

## 76. On the $\sigma$ -weak Topology of $W^*$ -algebras

By Shôichirô SAKAI

Mathematical Institute, Tôhoku University, Sendai, Japan

(Comm. by K. KUNUGI, M.J.A., May 15, 1956)

1. Preliminaries. The author [5] had shown that the  $\sigma$ -weak topology of  $W^*$ -algebras is free from the adjoint operation as follows:

**Theorem A.** *Suppose that a  $C^*$ -algebra  $M$  is the adjoint space of a Banach space  $F$ , then it is a  $W^*$ -algebra and the topology  $\sigma(M, F)$  of  $M$  is the  $\sigma$ -weak topology.*

This will suggest that the following question is affirmative: *Suppose that  $\phi$  is an algebraic isomorphism (not necessarily adjoint preserving) of a  $W^*$ -algebra onto another. Then, can we conclude that  $\phi$  is  $\sigma$ -weakly bicontinuous?*

The purpose of this paper is to prove this in a more general form (§2, Theorem 2).

2. Theorems. Let  $M$  be a  $C^*$ -algebra,  $M^*$  the adjoint space of  $M$ .

**Definition.** A subspace  $V$  of  $M^*$  is said invariant, if  $f \in V$  implies  $f_a, {}_b f \in V$  for any  $a, b \in M$ , where  $f_a(x) = f(xa)$  and  ${}_b f(x) = f(bx)$ .

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $V$  be an invariant subspace of  $M^*$  which is everywhere  $\sigma(M^*, M)$ -dense in  $M^*$ , then  $V \cap S$  is everywhere  $\sigma(M^*, M)$ -dense in  $S$ , where  $S$  is the unit sphere of  $M^*$ .*

**Proof.** Put  $T_a f = f_a$  for  $f \in V$ , then  $T_a$  is a linear operator on the normed space  $V$  and moreover  $\|T_a f\| = \sup_{\|x\| \leq 1} |f(xa)| \leq \|f\| \|a\|$ ; hence  $\|T_a\| \leq \|a\|$ , where  $\|T_a\|$  is the operator norm of  $T_a$ .

Suppose that  $T_a = 0$ , then  $(T_a f)(x) = f(xa) = 0$  for all  $f \in V$  and  $x \in M$ . Since  $V$  is everywhere  $\sigma(M^*, M)$ -dense in  $M^*$ ,  $xa = 0$  for all  $x \in M$ ; hence  $a = 0$ . Moreover  $T_{ab} = T_a T_b$  and so the mapping  $a \rightarrow T_a$  is an isomorphism; hence by the minimality of  $C^*$ -norm [cf. [1], Th. 10]  $\|T_a\| = \|a\|$  for all  $a \in M$ . Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \|a\| &= \sup_{\|x\| \leq 1, f \in V \cap S} |f(xa)| = \sup_{\|x\| \leq 1, f \in V \cap S} |{}_x f(a)| \\ &\leq \sup_{f \in V \cap S} |f(a)| \quad (\|{}_x f\| \leq \|x\| \|f\|), \end{aligned}$$

so that  $\|a\| = \sup_{f \in V \cap S} |f(a)|$  for all  $a \in M$ ; hence the bipolar of  $V \cap S$  in  $E^*$  is  $S$ , that is,  $V \cap S$  is everywhere  $\sigma(M^*, M)$ -dense in  $S$ . This completes the proof.

J. Dixmier [2] had shown a characterization of adjoint Banach spaces as follows: Let  $E$  be a Banach space,  $E^*$  the adjoint space of  $E$  and  $V$  be a subspace which is strongly closed and everywhere

$\sigma(E^*, E)$ -dense in  $E^*$ . Then, if any strongly closed, proper subspace of  $V$  is not everywhere  $\sigma(E^*, E)$ -dense in  $E^*$ ,  $V$  is said to be *minimal*.

**Theorem B.** *If there is a minimal subspace  $V$  in  $E^*$  such that  $V \cap S$  is everywhere  $\sigma(E^*, E)$ -dense in  $S$ , where  $S$  is the unit sphere of  $E^*$ , then  $E$  is the adjoint space of  $V$ .*

Using Theorems A and B, we obtain immediately the following corollary of Theorem 1.

**Corollary.** *For a given  $C^*$ -algebra  $M$ , if there is an invariant minimal subspace  $F$  in its adjoint space, it is a  $W^*$ -algebra and  $\sigma(M, F)$  is the  $\sigma$ -weak topology.*

It is noteworthy that the notion of positive functionals, which has played a principal rôle in the theory of  $W^*$ -algebras, is not employed in above discussions.

Now we shall deal with isomorphisms which are not necessarily adjoint preserving.

Let  $M$  be an  $AW^*$ -algebra in the sense of Kaplansky [4],  $N$  a  $C^*$ -algebra,  $S$  the unit sphere of  $M$  and  $\phi$  be an isomorphism of  $M$  onto  $N$ .

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $(e_n)$  ( $n=1, 2, \dots$ ) be a family of orthogonal projections of  $M$  and put  $k_n = \sup_{x \geq 0, x \in e_n M e_n \cap S} ||| \phi(x) |||$ , then there are an integer  $n_0$  and a positive number  $k$  such that  $k_n \leq k$  for  $n \geq n_0$ .*

**Proof.** Suppose that the lemma is false, then there is a sequence of positive elements  $(a_{nj})$  ( $a_{nj} \in e_{nj} M e_{nj} \cap S$ ) such that  $||| \phi(a_{nj}) ||| \geq j$  ( $j=1, 2, \dots$ ). On the other hand, let  $A$  be a maximal abelian self-adjoint subalgebra of  $M$  containing all  $a_{nj}$ , then  $\phi(A)$  is a maximal abelian subalgebra of  $N$ , so that it is uniformly closed; hence by the uniqueness theorem of norm in semi-simple abelian Banach algebras [3; Satz 17],  $\phi$  is bicontinuous on  $A$ . This contradicts the above inequality and completes the proof.

**Lemma 2.**  *$\phi$  is uniformly continuous on  $M$ .*

**Proof.** Since  $M$  is a direct sum of a finite algebra and a purely infinite algebra, and  $\phi$  becomes naturally adjoint preserving on the center of  $M$ , the proof is reduced to each case.

Case (1). Suppose that  $M$  is purely infinite, then the unit can be exhibited as the l.u.b. of  $\aleph_0$  equivalent orthogonal projections  $(e_i)$  such that  $e_i \sim I$ . By Lemma 1 there is a projection  $e_{n_0}$  such that  $\sup_{x \in e_{n_0} M e_{n_0} \cap S} ||| \phi(x) ||| \leq 4k$ . Let  $v$  be a partially isometric operator such that  $v^*v = e_{n_0}$  and  $vv^* = I$ , then  $x = ve_{n_0}v^*xve_{n_0}v^*$  and if  $||| x ||| \leq 1$ ,  $||| e_{n_0}v^*xve_{n_0} ||| \leq 1$ , so that

$$\begin{aligned} ||| \phi(x) ||| &= ||| \phi(ve_{n_0}v^*xve_{n_0}v^*) ||| \\ &= ||| \phi(v) \phi(e_{n_0}v^*xve_{n_0}) \phi(v^*) ||| \\ &\leq 4 ||| \phi(v) ||| \cdot ||| \phi(v^*) ||| \cdot k. \end{aligned}$$

This means that  $\phi$  is continuous.

Case (2). Suppose that  $M$  is a finite algebra, then it is a direct sum of two algebras of types I and II. Therefore, the proof is reduced to each case.

(i) Now suppose that  $M$  is of type II, then there exists a sequence  $(e_j)$  of orthogonal projections such that for any  $e_j$  there is a projection  $f_j$  as follows:  $e_j f_j = 0$ ,  $e_j \sim f_j$  and  $e_{j-1} \sim e_j + f_j$  ( $j \geq 2$ ), and  $e_1 f_1 = 0$ ,  $e_1 \sim f_1$  and  $e_1 + f_1 = I$ . Therefore, by Lemma 1 there is an integer  $j_0$  such that  $\sup_{x \in e_{j_0} M e_{j_0} \cap S} \|\phi(x)\| \leq 4k$ . On the other hand, there is a finite family  $(p_i \mid i=1, 2, \dots, m)$  of orthogonal equivalent projections such that  $p_i = e_{j_0}$  and  $\sum_{i=1}^m p_i = I$ .

Let  $v_i$  be a partially isometric operator such that  $v_i^* v_i = p_i$  and  $v_i v_i^* = e_{j_0}$ , then  $x = \left(\sum_{i=1}^m v_i^* e_{j_0} v_i\right) x \left(\sum_{i=1}^m v_i^* e_{j_0} v_i\right) = \sum_{i,j=1}^m v_i^* e_{j_0} v_i x v_j^* e_{j_0} v_j$ . Since  $\|x\| \leq 1$  means  $\|e_{j_0} v_i x v_j^* e_{j_0}\| \leq 1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\phi(x)\| &\leq \sum_{i,j=1}^m \|\phi(v_i^*) \phi(e_{j_0} v_i x v_j^* e_{j_0}) \phi(v_j)\| \\ &\leq 4k \cdot \sum_{i,j=1}^m \|\phi(v_i^*)\| \cdot \|\phi(v_j)\|; \end{aligned}$$

hence  $\phi$  is continuous.

(ii) Suppose that  $M = \sum_{1 \leq i < \infty} M e_i$ , where  $e_i$  is a central projection of  $M$  and  $M e_i$  is of type I<sub>i</sub>.

By Lemma 1 there are an integer  $n_0$  and a number  $k$  ( $> 0$ ) such that  $k_n \leq k$  for  $n \geq n_0$ . Put  $e = \bigvee_{n \geq n_0} e_n$ , then for any  $x \in e M e \cap S$

$$\begin{aligned} \|\phi(e x e)\| &= \|\phi(e) \phi(x) \phi(e)\| = \sup_{n \geq n_0} \|\phi(e_n) \phi(x) \phi(e_n)\| \\ &= \sup_{n \geq n_0} \|\phi(e_n x e_n)\| \leq 4k, \end{aligned}$$

for  $(\phi(e_n))$  are orthogonal central projections of  $N$ , and  $\phi(e) = \bigvee_{n \geq n_0} \phi(e_n)$ .

Moreover, for each  $M e_n$  ( $\neq (0)$ ) there are orthogonal maximal abelian projections  $(p_i \mid i=1, 2, \dots, n)$  such that  $p_i \sim p_j$  and  $\sum_{i=1}^n p_i = I$ .

Since  $\phi$  is continuous on the abelian algebra  $p_1 M p_1$  from the proof of Lemma 1, we can conclude that  $\phi$  is continuous on  $M e_n$  by the same method with (i), so that it is also continuous on  $\sum_{i=1}^{n_0-1} M e_i$ ; finally it is so on  $M$ . This completes the proof.

Now we shall show the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $M$  be a  $W^*$ -algebra,  $N$  a  $C^*$ -algebra and  $\phi$  be an isomorphism (not necessarily adjoint preserving) of  $M$  onto  $N$ , then  $N$  is a  $W^*$ -algebra and  $\phi$  is  $\sigma$ -weakly bicontinuous.*

**Proof.** By Lemma 2,  $\phi$  is uniformly continuous, so that it is bicontinuous by the classical theorem of Banach space. Let  $M^*$  and

$N^*$  be the adjoint spaces of  $M$  and  $N$  respectively, and  $M_*$  be the subspace of  $M^*$  composed of all  $\sigma$ -weakly continuous linear functionals on  $M$ .

Then, for any  $y^* \in M^*$  and  $x \in N$

$$(\phi^{-1}(x), y^*)_M = (x, \tilde{\phi}^{-1}(y^*))_N,$$

where  $(a, b)_M$  (resp.  $(a', b')_N$ ) is the value at  $a$  (resp.  $a'$ ) of a linear functional  $b$  of  $M^*$  (resp.  $b'$  of  $N^*$ ), and  $\tilde{\phi}^{-1}$  is the adjoint mapping of  $\phi^{-1}$ .

Since  $\phi^{-1}$  is uniformly bicontinuous,  $\tilde{\phi}^{-1}$  is a bicontinuous mapping of  $M^*$  with the topology  $\sigma(M^*, M)$  onto  $N^*$  with the topology  $\sigma(N^*, N)$ , and so  $\tilde{\phi}^{-1}(M_*)$  is a minimal subspace of  $N^*$ . Moreover, if  $\tilde{\phi}^{-1}(\eta) \in \tilde{\phi}^{-1}(M_*)$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} {}_b\tilde{\phi}^{-1}(\eta)_a(x) &= \tilde{\phi}^{-1}(\eta)(bxa) = (bxa, \tilde{\phi}^{-1}(\eta))_N \\ &= (\phi^{-1}(bxa), \eta)_M = (\phi^{-1}(b)\phi^{-1}(x)\phi^{-1}(a), \eta)_M \\ &= (\phi^{-1}(x), {}_{\phi^{-1}(b)\eta\phi^{-1}(a)}})_M = (x, \tilde{\phi}^{-1}({}_{\phi^{-1}(b)\eta\phi^{-1}(a)}}))_N. \end{aligned}$$

Since  ${}_{\phi^{-1}(b)\eta\phi^{-1}(a)}$  belongs to  $M_*$ ,  ${}_b\tilde{\phi}^{-1}(\eta)_a$  belongs to  $\tilde{\phi}^{-1}(M_*)$ , so that  $\tilde{\phi}^{-1}(M_*)$  is an invariant minimal subspace of  $N^*$ ; hence by the corollary of Theorem 1,  $N$  is a  $W^*$ -algebra. As  $\sigma(N, \tilde{\phi}^{-1}(M_*))$  is the  $\sigma$ -weak topology,  $\phi$  is  $\sigma$ -weakly bicontinuous.

This completes the proof.

Remark 1. In this paper, the existence of the unit in  $C^*$ -algebras is not assumed, and particularly Theorem A implies that an adjoint  $C^*$ -algebra has necessarily the unit. More generally we can show the following theorem [cf. [5]; Appendix]: *A  $C^*$ -algebra has the unit if and only if its unit sphere has an extreme point.*

Remark 2. Lemma 2 is immediately obtained from the result of C. E. Rickart [Ann. Math., 51, 615-628 (1950)] which assures that an isomorphism of a  $C^*$ -algebra onto another is uniformly continuous.

But, as our proof is extensible to some *into*-isomorphisms of  $AW^*$ -algebras, it is stated.

## References

- [1] F. F. Bonsall: A minimal property of the norm in some Banach algebras, *Journal of the London Math. Soc.*, **29**, 156-164 (1954).
- [2] J. Dixmier: Sur un théorème de Banach, *Duke Math. Jour.*, **15**, 1057-1071 (1948).
- [3] I. Gelfand: Normierte Ringe, *Recueil Mathématique (Matematicheski Sbornik) N. S.*, **9**, 3-24 (1941).
- [4] I. Kaplansky: Projections in Banach algebras, *Ann. Math.*, **53** (2), 235-249 (1952).
- [5] S. Sakai: A characterization of  $W^*$ -algebras (to appear in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics*).