

91. On Zeta-Functions and L-Series of Algebraic Varieties. II

By Makoto ISHIDA

Mathematical Institute, University of Tokyo

(Comm. by Z. SUETUNA, M.J.A., July 12, 1958)

Here I shall give some supplementary results to my previous paper [1].

Let k be a finite field with q elements. Then, for an abelian variety B defined over k , π_B denotes the endomorphism of B such that $\pi_B(b) = b^q$ for all points b on B and M_l denotes the l -adic representation of the ring of endomorphisms of B for a (fixed) rational prime l different from the characteristic of k .

1. Let A/V be a Galois (not necessarily unramified) covering defined over k , with group G and of degree n , where A is an abelian variety and V is a normal projective variety (both defined over k); let r be the dimensions of A and V . Then, in this section, we shall explain the behaviors of the zeta-function $Z(u, V)$ of V and the L -series $L(u, \chi, A/V)$ of A/V over k in the circle $|u| < q^{-(r-3/2)}$ and $|u| < q^{-(r-1)}$ respectively.

Now let η_σ be the automorphism of A induced by an element σ of G and let $\pi = \pi_A$. Then $Z(u, V)$ and $L(u, \chi, A/V)$ are given by the following logarithmic derivatives:

$$\begin{aligned} d/du \cdot \log Z(u, V) &= \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \{1/n \cdot \sum_{\sigma \in G} \det M_l(\pi^m - \eta_\sigma)\} u^{m-1}, \\ d/du \cdot \log L(u, \chi, A/V) &= \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \{1/n \cdot \sum_{\sigma \in G} \det M_l(\pi^m - \eta_\sigma) \chi(\sigma)\} u^{m-1}. \end{aligned}$$

First we shall calculate $\det M_l(\pi^m - \eta_\sigma)$. If we transform the representation M_l of G (i.e. the restriction of M_l to G such that $M_l(\sigma) = M_l(\eta_\sigma)$) into the following form:

$$(*) \quad M_l|_G = \begin{pmatrix} E_{d_1} \times 1 & & & 0 \\ & E_{d_\chi} \times F_\chi & & \\ & & E_{d_{\chi'}} \times F_{\chi'} & \\ 0 & & & \ddots \end{pmatrix}^{1)}$$

where $1, F_\chi, F_{\chi'}, \dots$ are non-equivalent irreducible representations of G with characters $1, \chi, \chi', \dots$ respectively, then, as $\pi \eta_\sigma = \eta_\sigma \pi$ for every σ in G , $M_l(\pi)$ must be transformed into the following form simultaneously:

$$(**) \quad M_l(\pi) = \begin{pmatrix} (\pi_{ij}^{(1)}) \times E_{f_1} & & & 0 \\ & (\pi_{ij}^{(\chi)}) \times E_{f_\chi} & & \\ & & (\pi_{ij}^{(\chi')}) \times E_{f_{\chi'}} & \\ 0 & & & \ddots \end{pmatrix}^{1)}$$

where $(\pi_{ij}^{(\chi)})$ is a matrix of degree d_χ and f_χ is the degree of F_χ .

1) In the following, the matrices $E_{d_1} \times 1$ and $(\pi_{ij}^{(1)}) \times E_{f_1}$ do not appear if $d_1 = 0$.

(In the above expressions, E_d means the unit matrix of degree d .)
Hence we have

$$\det M_i(\pi^m - \eta_\sigma) = \Pi_x \det |(\pi_{ij}^{(x)})^m \times E_{f_x} - E_{d_x} \times F_x(\sigma)|.$$

For fixed F_x and σ , let $\lambda_1(\sigma), \dots, \lambda_{f_x}(\sigma)$ be the characteristic roots of $F_x(\sigma)$; and also let $\pi_1^{(x)}, \dots, \pi_{d_x}^{(x)}$ be those of $(\pi_{ij}^{(x)})$. We note that $\pi_i^{(x)}$, $1 \leq i \leq d_x$, are of course the characteristic roots of $M_i(\pi)$ and so of absolute values $q^{1/2}$. Then, by a matrix of the form $P \times Q$ where P, Q are non-singular matrices of degrees d_x, f_x , we can transform $E_{d_x} \times F_x(\sigma)$ and $(\pi_{ij}^{(x)})^m \times E_{f_x}$ simultaneously into

$$E_{d_x} \times \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1(\sigma) & & 0 \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & \lambda_{f_x}(\sigma) \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } \begin{pmatrix} \pi_1^{(x)m} & & 0 \\ & \ddots & \\ * & & \pi_{d_x}^{(x)m} \end{pmatrix} \times E_{f_x}$$

respectively. So we have

$$\begin{aligned} \det |(\pi_{ij}^{(x)})^m \times E_{f_x} - E_{d_x} \times F_x(\sigma)| &= \Pi_{i=1}^{d_x} \Pi_{j=1}^{f_x} (\pi_i^{(x)m} - \lambda_j(\sigma)) \\ &= Q_x^m - \sum_i (Q_x \pi_i^{(x)-1})^m \chi(\sigma) + \sum_{i \neq j} (Q_x \pi_i^{(x)-1} \pi_j^{(x)-1})^m \chi(\sigma)^2 \\ &\quad + \sum_i (Q_x \pi_i^{(x)-2})^m \cdot 1/2 \cdot \{\chi(\sigma)^2 - \chi(\sigma^2)\} + O(q^{m(f_x d_x - 3)/2}), \end{aligned}$$

where $Q_x = \det |(\pi_{ij}^{(x)}) \times E_{f_x}| = (\pi_1^{(x)} \dots \pi_{d_x}^{(x)})^{f_x}$.

Therefore we have

$$\begin{aligned} \det M_i(\pi^m - \eta_\sigma) &= q^{mr} - \sum_x \sum_i (q^r \pi_i^{(x)-1})^m \chi(\sigma) \\ &\quad + \sum_x \sum_{i \neq j} (q^r \pi_i^{(x)-1} \pi_j^{(x)-1})^m \chi(\sigma)^2 + \sum_x \sum_i (q^r \pi_i^{(x)-2})^m \cdot 1/2 \cdot \{\chi(\sigma)^2 - \chi(\sigma^2)\} \\ &\quad + \sum_{x, x': x \neq x'} \sum_{i, j} (q^r \pi_i^{(x)-1} \pi_j^{(x')-1})^m \chi(\sigma) \chi'(\sigma) + O(q^{m(r-3/2)}). \end{aligned}$$

Here we remark that, as the traces of the l -adic representations are rational numbers, the character of $M_i|G$ is rational; and so if χ appears in the character of $M_i|G$, then $\bar{\chi}$ also appears in it, where $\bar{\chi}(\sigma) = \chi(\sigma^{-1})$. Moreover, by the expressions (*) and (**), it is easily verified that the set $\{\pi_1^{(x)}, \dots, \pi_{d_x}^{(x)}\} = \{q\bar{\pi}_1^{(x)-1}, \dots, q\bar{\pi}_{d_x}^{(x)-1}\}$ is identical with the set $\{q\pi_1^{(\bar{x})-1}, \dots, q\pi_{d_x}^{(\bar{x})-1}\}$ completely.

Before stating the main results, we shall give three lemmas; except the last one, they are entirely of group-theoretical nature.

Lemma 1. *Let H be a finite group of order h and F_x an irreducible representation of H with character χ and of degree f . Then, for any irreducible character χ' of H , we have*

$$\sum_{\tau \in H} \chi'(\tau^{-1}) F_x(\tau) = \begin{cases} h/f \cdot E_f, & \text{if } \chi = \chi', \\ 0, & \text{if } \chi \neq \chi'. \end{cases}$$

Proof. If we put $M_{x'} = \sum_{\tau \in H} \chi'(\tau^{-1}) F_x(\tau)$, we have $F_x(\sigma) M_{x'} = M_{x'} F_x(\sigma)$ for every σ in H . Therefore, by Schur's lemma, we have $M_{x'} = c \cdot E_f$ and so $f \cdot c = \text{Tr} M_{x'} = \sum_{\tau \in H} \chi'(\tau^{-1}) \chi(\tau)$. Then our assertion is clear.

Lemma 2. *Let H be a finite group of order h and χ an irreducible character of H . Then we have $\sum_{\tau \in H} \{\chi(\tau)^2 - \chi(\tau^2)\} = 0$.*

Proof. Let $F: \tau \rightarrow F(\tau) = (a_{ij}(\tau))$ be the representation of H with character χ . Then $F^*: \tau \rightarrow F^*(\tau) = (a_{ij}^*(\tau)) = {}^t F(\tau^{-1}) = (a_{ji}(\tau^{-1}))$ is also

an irreducible representation of H and we have $\chi(\tau^2) = \sum_i a_{ii}(\tau^2) = \sum_{i,j} a_{ij}(\tau)a_{ji}(\tau) = \sum_{i,j} a_{ij}(\tau)a_{ij}^*(\tau^{-1})$. Hence, by Schur [3], we have

$$\sum_{\tau \in H} \chi(\tau^2) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } F \text{ and } F^* \text{ are not equivalent,} \\ h, & \text{if } F \text{ and } F^* \text{ are equivalent.} \end{cases}$$

On the other hand, if χ^* is the character of F^* , we have $\sum_{\tau} \chi(\tau)^2 = \sum_{\tau} \chi(\tau)\chi^*(\tau^{-1})$ and so

$$\sum_{\tau \in H} \chi(\tau)^2 = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } F \text{ and } F^* \text{ are not equivalent,} \\ h, & \text{if } F \text{ and } F^* \text{ are equivalent.} \end{cases}$$

Lemma 3. *The Albanese variety $A(V)$ of V is isogenous to $\rho(A)$, where $\rho = \sum_{\sigma \in G} \eta_{\sigma}$, and $M_i(\pi_{A(V)})$ is equivalent to $(\pi_{ij}^{(1)})$ in (**).*

Proof. The first assertion is proved similarly as in the proof of Theorem 3 in Ishida [1]. From (*) we have, by Lemma 1,

$$M_i(\rho) = M_i(\sum_{\sigma} \eta_{\sigma}) = \begin{pmatrix} n \cdot E_{d_1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

and so $M_i(\pi_{\rho(A)})(n \cdot E_{d_1}, 0) = (n \cdot E_{d_1}, 0)M_i(\pi_A)$. Hence $M_i(\pi_{\rho(A)})$ is equivalent to $(\pi_{ij}^{(1)})$ and the second assertion follows from the first.

Theorem 1. *Let $P(u) = \prod_{i=1}^{2g} (1 - q^{r-1} \pi_i^* u)$,²⁾ where $\pi_1^*, \dots, \pi_{2g}^*$ are the characteristic roots of $M_i(\pi_{A(V)})$ and g is the dimension of $A(V)$; let d_x be the multiplicity of χ in the character of $M_i | G$. Then*

$$Z(u, V) \cdot (1 - q^r u) / P(u)$$

has $\sum_{x: x=\bar{x}} 1/2 \cdot d_x(d_x - 1) + \sum_{x, \bar{x}: x \neq \bar{x}} d_x d_{\bar{x}}$ poles on the circle $|u| = q^{-(r-1)}$ and, except them, it has neither zero nor pole in the circle $|u| < q^{-(r-3/2)}$.

Proof. From the expressions of $\det M_i(\pi^m - \eta_{\sigma})$, using the orthogonal relation of group-characters and Lemma 2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 1/n \cdot \sum_{\sigma \in G} \det M_i(\pi^m - \eta_{\sigma}) &= q^{mr} - \sum_{i=1}^{d_1} (q^r \pi_i^{(1)})^m \\ &+ \sum_{x: x=\bar{x}} \sum_{i \neq j} (q^r \pi_i^{(x)-1} \pi_j^{(x)-1})^m + \sum_{x, \bar{x}: x \neq \bar{x}} \sum_{i, j} (q^r \pi_i^{(x)-1} \pi_j^{(\bar{x})-1})^m \\ &+ O(q^{m(r-3/2)}). \end{aligned}$$

Then the above remarks and Lemma 3 show that the set $\{\pi_1^*, \dots, \pi_{2g}^*\}$ is identical with the set $\{\pi_1^{(1)}, \dots, \pi_{d_1}^{(1)}\} = \{q\pi_1^{(1)-1}, \dots, q\pi_{d_1}^{(1)-1}\}$ completely and $2g = d_1$. Therefore we have

$$\begin{aligned} d/du \cdot \log Z(u, V) + d/du \cdot \log (1 - q^r u) - d/du \cdot \log P(u) \\ = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \{ \sum_{x: x=\bar{x}} \sum_{i \neq j} + \sum_{x, \bar{x}: x \neq \bar{x}} \sum_{i, j} + C_m q^{m(r-3/2)} \} u^{m-1}, \end{aligned}$$

where C_m is a constant bounded in absolute value by a fixed constant C . Then all the assertions are easily verified.

Remark. In the case where $g \geq 1$ or G is abelian and, moreover, in many other cases, we can show that $u = q^{-(r-1)}$ is a pole of $Z(u, V) \cdot (1 - q^r u) / P(u)$ (on the circle $|u| = q^{-(r-1)}$).

Corollary. *Let $N(A, k_m)$ and $N(V, k_m)$ be the numbers of rational points of A and V over k_m , the (unique) extension over k of degree m . Then V is also an abelian variety over k if and only if we have*

$$N(A, k_m) - N(V, k_m) = O(q^{m(r-1)})$$

for all $m \geq 1$.

2) If d_1 (or d_x) = 0, we put, in the following, $P(u)$ (or $P_x(u)$) = 1.

Proof. Clearly $N(A, k_m) - N(V, k_m) = O(q^{m(r-1)})$ is equivalent to the fact that $Z(u, A)/Z(u, V)$ has neither zero nor pole in the circle $|u| < q^{-(r-1)}$ and also that $d_1 = 2g = 2r$ i.e. the degree of M_l . This equality holds if and only if $M_l | G = E_{2r}$, i.e. all $\eta_\sigma = 1$. And this is clearly a necessary and sufficient condition for V to be an abelian variety over k .

Theorem 2. Let $P_\chi(u) = \prod_{i=1}^{d_\chi} (1 - q^{r-1} \pi_i^{(\chi)} u)$, where $\pi_1^{(\chi)}, \dots, \pi_{d_\chi}^{(\chi)}$ are the characteristic roots of $(\pi_{ij}^{(\chi)})$ and d_χ is the multiplicity of χ in the character of $M_l | G$. Then, for $\chi \neq 1$,

$$L(u, \chi, A/V) / P_\chi(u)$$

has neither zero nor pole in the circle $|u| < q^{-(r-1)}$.

Proof. Similarly as in the proof of the preceding theorem, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & 1/n \cdot \sum_{\sigma \in G} \det M_l(\pi^m - \eta_\sigma) \chi(\sigma) \\ &= - \sum_{i=1}^{d_\chi} (q^r \pi_i^{(\chi^{-1})})^m + O(q^{m(r-1)}) = - \sum_{i=1}^{d_\chi} (q^{r-1} \pi_i^{(\chi)})^m + O(q^{m(r-1)}) \end{aligned}$$

and so

$$d/du \cdot \log L(u, \chi, A/V) - d/du \cdot \log P_\chi(u) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} C_m^{(\chi)} q^{m(r-1)} u^{m-1},$$

where $C_m^{(\chi)}$ is a constant bounded in absolute value by a fixed constant $C^{(\chi)}$. Then all the assertions are easily verified.

2. Let U/V be a Galois (not necessarily unramified) covering, defined over k , with group G and of degree n , where U, V are non-singular projective varieties (both defined over k) of dimension r . Then, from the preceding theorems, we can give the following conjectural statement on the behaviors of the L -series $L(u, \chi, U/V)$ of U/V over k in the circle $|u| < q^{-(r-1)}$, which is equivalent to that given by Lang. (As for the definition of L -series in general cases, see Lang [2].) Let $A(U)$ be the Albanese variety of U . As k is a finite field, we may assume that $A(U)$ and the canonical map: $U \rightarrow A(U)$ are defined over k . Then every element σ in G induces an automorphism η_σ , defined over k , of $A(U)$ and $\pi_{A(U)} \eta_\sigma = \eta_\sigma \pi_{A(U)}$, for every σ in G ; and so we have similarly as in 1:

$$M_l | G = \begin{pmatrix} E_{a_1} \times 1 & & 0 \\ & E_{a_\chi} \times F_\chi & \\ & & E_{a_{\chi'}} \times F_{\chi'} \\ 0 & & & \ddots \end{pmatrix}, \quad M_l(\pi_{A(U)}) = \begin{pmatrix} (\pi_{ij}^{(1)}) \times E_{f_1} & & 0 \\ & (\pi_{ij}^{(\chi)}) \times E_{f_\chi} & \\ & & (\pi_{ij}^{(\chi')}) \times E_{f_{\chi'}} \\ 0 & & & \ddots \end{pmatrix},$$

where $1, F_\chi, F_{\chi'}, \dots$ are non-equivalent irreducible representations of G with characters $1, \chi, \chi', \dots$ and of degrees $f_1 = 1, f_\chi, f_{\chi'}, \dots$. We put $P_\chi(u) = \prod_{i=1}^{d_\chi} (1 - q^{r-1} \pi_i^{(\chi)} u)$, where $\pi_1^{(\chi)}, \dots, \pi_{d_\chi}^{(\chi)}$ are the characteristic roots of $(\pi_{ij}^{(\chi)})$ and $a_\chi = 1$ if $\chi = 1$ and $a_\chi = 0$ if $\chi \neq 1$. Then, for every χ (not excluding $\chi = 1$),

$$L(u, \chi, U/V) \cdot (1 - q^r u)^{a_\chi} / P_\chi(u)$$

has neither zero nor pole in the circle $|u| < q^{-(r-1)}$. As, in this general

case, we can also prove that $\rho(A(U))$ is isogenous to the Albanese variety $A(V)$ of V where $\rho = \sum_{\sigma \in G} \eta_\sigma$, this conjecture complements those of Weil and Lang.

In the case where $U = \Gamma$, $V = \Gamma_0$ are non-singular complete curves defined over k , this conjecture is easily verified. In fact, by Weil [4, 5], we have

$$L(u, \chi, \Gamma/\Gamma_0) = \exp\left(-\int_0^u \Phi_\chi(u) \cdot du/u\right) (1-u)^{\alpha_\chi} (1-qu)^{\alpha_\chi},$$

where $\Phi_\chi(u) = 1/f_\chi \cdot \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \text{Tr } M_l(\rho_\chi \pi^m) u^m$, $\rho_\chi = f_\chi/n \cdot \sum_{\sigma \in G} \chi(\sigma^{-1}) \eta_\sigma$ and $\pi = \pi_{A(\Gamma)}$. Then by Lemma 1,

$$\begin{aligned} M_l(\rho_\chi \pi^m) &= f_\chi/n \cdot M_l(\sum_{\sigma} \chi(\sigma^{-1}) \eta_\sigma) M_l(\pi^m) \\ &= f_\chi/n \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 & & \\ & n/f_\chi \cdot E_{d_\chi} \times E_{f_\chi} & \\ & & 0 \end{pmatrix} \cdot M_l(\pi^m) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & & \\ & (\pi_{ij}^{(\chi)})^m \times E_{f_\chi} & \\ & & 0 \end{pmatrix}^{3)}; \end{aligned}$$

and so we have $\text{Tr } M_l(\rho_\chi \pi^m) = f_\chi \sum_{i=1}^{d_\chi} \pi_i^{(\chi)m}$ and

$$\Phi_\chi(u) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} (\sum_i \pi_i^{(\chi)m}) u^m = \sum_{i=1}^{d_\chi} \pi_i^{(\chi)} u / (1 - \pi_i^{(\chi)} u).$$

Therefore we have

$$\begin{aligned} L(u, \chi, \Gamma/\Gamma_0) &= \exp(\sum_i \log(1 - \pi_i^{(\chi)} u)) / (1-u)^{\alpha_\chi} (1-qu)^{\alpha_\chi} \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^{d_\chi} (1 - \pi_i^{(\chi)} u) / (1-u)^{\alpha_\chi} (1-qu)^{\alpha_\chi}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $Z(u, \Gamma) = \det(E_{2g} - M_l(\pi)u) / (1-u)(1-qu)$, this result gives an algebraic-geometrical explanation of the well-known group-theoretical decomposition of the zeta-function $Z(u, \Gamma)$:

$$Z(u, \Gamma) = Z(u, \Gamma_0) \prod_{\chi \neq 1} L(u, \chi, \Gamma/\Gamma_0)^{f_\chi}.$$

Correction. In Theorem 2 of the previous paper [1], the functional equations of L -series $L(u, \chi, A/V)$ with $\chi \neq 1$ should be corrected as follows:

$$L(1/q^r u, \chi, A/V) = (-1)^{e(\chi)} W(\chi) u^{e(\chi)} L(u, \bar{\chi}, A/V),$$

where $W(\chi)$ is a constant with $|W(\chi)| = q^{re(\chi)/2}$ and $W(\bar{\chi}) = \overline{W(\chi)}$.

References

- [1] M. Ishida: On zeta-functions and L -series of algebraic varieties, Proc. Japan Acad., **34**, 1-5 (1958).
- [2] S. Lang: Sur les séries L d'une variété algébrique, Bull. Soc. Math. France, **84**, 385-407 (1956).
- [3] J. Schur: Die algebraischen Grundlagen der Darstellungstheorie der Gruppen, Zürich (1936).
- [4] A. Weil: Sur les Courbes Algébriques et les Variétés Qui s'en Déduisent, Paris (1948).
- [5] —: Variétés Abéliennes et Courbes Algébriques, Paris (1948).

3) Here d_χ may be 0. Then the matrix on the right side means 0-matrix.