

94. A Tauberian Theorem for Fourier Series

By Shigeyuki TAKAGI

Department of Mathematics, Gifu University, Gifu, Japan

(Comm. by Z. SUETUNA, M.J.A., Oct. 12, 1959)

1. Let $\varphi(t)$ be an even function, integrable in Lebesgue sense, periodic of period 2π , and let

$$\varphi(t) \sim \frac{1}{2} a_0 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \cos nt$$

and

$$s_n = \frac{1}{2} a_0 + \sum_{\nu=1}^n a_{\nu}.$$

Hardy and Littlewood [1] have proved that if

$$\int_0^t |\varphi(u)| du = o\left(t / \log \frac{1}{t}\right) \quad (t \rightarrow 0),$$

and if for some positive δ

$$a_n > -An^{-\delta}, \quad A > 0,$$

then

$$s_n \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

In this paper we shall prove a converse

THEOREM. If $\sum a_n$ is summable to zero in Abel sense, and

$$(1) \quad \sum_{\nu=n}^{2n} |a_{\nu}| = o(1/\log n) \quad (n \rightarrow \infty),$$

and if for some positive ρ ,

$$(2) \quad \varphi'(t) > -At^{-\rho} \quad (0 < t < t_0),$$

where A is a positive constant independent of t , then

$$\varphi(t) \rightarrow 0 \quad (t \rightarrow 0).$$

2. Proof of the theorem. We require a

LEMMA. If $\sum u_n$ is summable in Abel sense, and if

$$u_{n+1} + u_{n+2} + \cdots + u_{n+\nu} > -K \quad (\nu = 1, 2, \dots, n),$$

where K is a positive constant, then the series $\sum u_n$ converges to the same sum.

This is Lemma 2, slightly modified, of Szász [2].

For the proof of our Theorem, using the argument in Yano [3], we begin with the identities

$$(3) \quad \varphi(t) = \frac{1}{h} \int_0^h \varphi(t+u) du - \frac{1}{h} \int_0^h [\varphi(t+u) - \varphi(t)] du$$

and

$$(4) \quad \varphi(t) = \frac{1}{h} \int_0^h \varphi(t-u) du + \frac{1}{h} \int_0^h [\varphi(t) - \varphi(t-u)] du,$$

where $0 < h < t$. The condition (2) implies

$$\varphi(t+u) - \varphi(t) = \int_0^u \varphi'(t+v) dv > -Aut^{-\rho},$$

for $0 < u < h$. Thus, letting

$$(5) \quad h = t^{\rho+1},$$

we have, from (3)

$$\varphi(t) < \frac{1}{h} \int_0^h \varphi(t+u) du + At.$$

Similarly, from (4)

$$\varphi(t) > \frac{1}{h} \int_0^h \varphi(t-u) du - At.$$

Hence, if it is shown that

$$(6) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{h} \int_0^h \varphi(t \pm u) du = 0,$$

where h is defined by (5), then the result $\varphi(t) \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow 0$ follows immediately from the above two inequalities.

Now, replacing $\varphi(t+u)$ by its Fourier series,

$$(7) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{1}{h} \int_0^h \varphi(t+u) du &= \frac{1}{h} \int_0^h \left[\frac{1}{2} a_0 + \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} a_{\nu} \cos \nu(t+u) \right] du \\ &= \frac{1}{h} \int_0^h \left(\frac{1}{2} a_0 + \sum_{\nu=1}^{n-1} a_{\nu} \right) du + \frac{1}{h} \int_0^h \left(\sum_{\nu=n}^N a_{\nu} \right) du + \frac{1}{h} \int_0^h \left(\sum_{\nu=N+1}^{\infty} a_{\nu} \right) du \\ &= S_1 + S_2 + S_3, \quad \text{say,} \end{aligned}$$

where we assume that, for fixed small $t > 0$,

$$(8) \quad n = \left[\frac{1}{t} \right] \quad \text{and} \quad N = [n^{\rho+2}].$$

Then, by Abel's transformation

$$\begin{aligned} S_1 &= \frac{1}{h} \int_0^h \left[\frac{1}{2} a_0 + \sum_{\nu=1}^{n-1} a_{\nu} \cos \nu(t+u) \right] du \\ &= \sum_{\nu=0}^{n-1} s_{\nu} \cdot \frac{1}{h} \int_0^h [\cos \nu(t+u) - \cos (\nu+1)(t+u)] du \\ &\quad + s_{n-1} \cdot \frac{1}{h} \int_0^h \cos n(t+u) du. \end{aligned}$$

And

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{h} \int_0^h [\cos \nu(t+u) - \cos (\nu+1)(t+u)] du \\ = \frac{2}{h} \int_0^h \sin \left(\nu + \frac{1}{2} \right) (t+u) \sin \frac{1}{2} (t+u) du. \end{aligned}$$

Since the integrand in the last integral is positive and increasing with u in $(0, h)$ for $0 < t+h < \pi/2(n+1)$ and $0 \leq \nu \leq n$, the last expression is, by the second mean-value theorem,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{2}{h} \sin\left(\nu + \frac{1}{2}\right)(t+h) \sin \frac{1}{2}(t+h) \int_{h_1}^n du & \quad (0 < h_1 < h) \\ = \theta_\nu \left(\nu + \frac{1}{2}\right)(t+h)^2 & < 4\left(\nu + \frac{1}{2}\right)t^2 \quad (0 < \theta_\nu < 1). \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$|S_1| < 4 \sum_{\nu=0}^{n-1} |s_\nu| \left(\nu + \frac{1}{2}\right)t^2 + |s_{n-1}|.$$

On the other hand, the two conditions (1) and the Abel summability of $\sum a_n$ to the sum zero imply $\lim s_n = 0$ by the above lemma. So, we may suppose that

$$|s_\nu| < K \ (\nu \geq 0) \quad \text{and} \quad |s_\nu| < \varepsilon \ (\nu \geq n_0).$$

For $n > n_0$, then,

$$|S_1| < 2Kn_0^2t^2 + 2\varepsilon n^2t^2 + \varepsilon < 2Kn_0^2t^2 + 3\varepsilon,$$

by (8), and this is less than 4ε for $t < (\varepsilon/2K)^{1/2}/n_0$. Next

$$|S_2| = \left| \frac{1}{h} \sum_{\nu=n}^N a_\nu \int_0^h \cos \nu(t+u) du \right| < \sum_{\nu=n}^N |a_\nu|.$$

And, since by (8)

$$N = [n^{\rho+2}] \leq n \cdot e^{(\rho+1) \log n} < n \cdot 2^{[2(\rho+1) \log n]},$$

and assuming that

$$(9) \quad \sum_{\mu=\nu}^{2\nu} |a_\mu| < \varepsilon / \log \nu \quad (\nu \geq n_0 > 1),$$

which is permissible by (1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} |S_2| & < \sum_{k=0}^{[2(\rho+1) \log n]-1} \sum_{\nu=2^k n}^{2^{k+1} n} |a_\nu| < \varepsilon \sum_{k=0}^{[2(\rho+1) \log n]-1} \frac{1}{\log(2^k n)} \\ & \sim \varepsilon \int_0^{2^{(\rho+1) \log n}} \frac{dx}{\log(2^x n)} < 2\varepsilon \log(2\rho+3). \end{aligned}$$

Further,

$$\begin{aligned} |S_3| & = \left| \frac{1}{h} \sum_{\nu=N+1}^\infty a_\nu \int_0^h \cos \nu(t+u) du \right| \\ & \leq \frac{2}{h} \sum_{\nu=N+1}^\infty \frac{|a_\nu|}{\nu} \leq \frac{2}{h} \sum_{k=0}^\infty \sum_{\nu=2^k N}^{2^{k+1} N} \frac{|a_\nu|}{\nu} \\ & < \frac{2}{h} \sum_{k=0}^\infty \frac{1}{2^k N} \sum_{\nu=2^k N}^{2^{k+1} N} |a_\nu|, \end{aligned}$$

which does not exceed, by (9),

$$\frac{2}{h} \sum_{k=0}^\infty \frac{1}{2^k N} \cdot \frac{\varepsilon}{\log(2^k N)} < \frac{4\varepsilon}{hN \log N} < \frac{4\varepsilon}{hn^{\rho+2}} \sim 4\varepsilon t,$$

since $n = [1/t]$ and $h = t^{\rho+1}$. Combining the above estimations of S 's with (7), we have

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{h} \int_0^h \varphi(t+u) du = 0.$$

Similarly,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{h} \int_0^h \varphi(t-u) du = 0,$$

and we get (6), which completes the proof.

Finally, I wish to express my heartfelt gratitude to Mr. K. Yano for his suggestions and kind advices.

References

- [1] G. H. Hardy and J. E. Littlewood: Some new convergence criteria for Fourier series, *Annali Scuola Normale Superiore, Pisa*, **3**, 43-62 (1934).
- [2] O. Szász: Convergence properties of Fourier series, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **37**, 483-500 (1935).
- [3] K. Yano: Convexity theorems for Fourier series, *J. Math. Soc. Japan* (to appear).