

127. Note on the Relations on Steenrod Algebra

By Katuhiko MIZUNO and Yoshihiro SAITO

(Comm. by K. KUNUGI, M.J.A., Nov. 12, 1959)

The object of this note is to show some relations of binomial coefficients mod p where p is a prime, and using of them to show some relations on the Steenrod algebra. We shall use the results of José Adem.¹⁾

1. Relations of binomial coefficients. Let $A_n = \sum_{i=0}^n \binom{n-i}{i}$, where n is any non-negative integer, so that

$$A_0 = \binom{0}{0} = 1, \quad A_1 = \binom{1}{0} + \binom{0}{1} = 1, \quad A_2 = \binom{2}{0} + \binom{1}{1} + \binom{0}{2} = 2, \dots$$

Generally

$$\begin{aligned} A_n &= \sum_{i=0}^n \left[\binom{n-i-1}{i} + \binom{n-i-1}{i-1} \right] \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \binom{n-1-i}{i} + \binom{-1}{n} + \sum_{i=0}^{n-2} \binom{n-2-i}{i} + \binom{n-1}{-1} + \binom{-1}{n-1} \\ &= A_{n-1} + A_{n-2} + (-1)^n + (-1)^{n-1} = A_{n-1} + A_{n-2}, \end{aligned}$$

then we have inductively

$$A_{3t} \equiv 1, \quad A_{3t+1} \equiv 1, \quad A_{3t+2} \equiv 0 \pmod{2}. \tag{1}$$

Let $B_b^a = \sum_{i=0}^b \binom{a+i(p-1)}{b-i}$ where a is any number and b is any non-negative integer, if $p=2$ it is easily recognized that $A_n = B_n^0$.

Then we will prove

$$B_b^a - B_{b-1}^a + \dots + (-1)^i B_{b-i}^a + \dots + (-1)^p B_{b-p}^a \equiv \binom{a}{b} \pmod{p}. \tag{2}$$

To prove this, deform B_b^a in two ways;

$$B_b^a = \binom{a}{b} + B_{b-1}^{a+(p-1)} \tag{3}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} B_b^a &= \sum_{i=0}^b \left[\binom{a-1+i(p-1)}{b-i} + \binom{a-1+i(p-1)}{b-1-i} \right] = B_b^{a-1} + B_{b-1}^{a-1} \\ &= \binom{p-1}{0} B_b^{a-(p-1)} + \dots + \binom{p-1}{i} B_{b-i}^{a-(p-1)} + \dots + \binom{p-1}{p-1} B_{b-(p-1)}^{a-(p-1)} \\ &\equiv B_b^{a-(p-1)} + \dots + (-1)^i B_{b-i}^{a-(p-1)} + \dots + (-1)^{p-1} B_{b-(p-1)}^{a-(p-1)} \pmod{p}. \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

Substituting the suitable expression (4) for the last term of (3) we have (2).

Hence from (4) and (2)

$$B_{b+p}^{a+(p-1)} \equiv (-1)^{p-1} B_b^a + \binom{a}{b+p} \pmod{p}. \tag{5}$$

Especially for any number a

1) José Adem: The Relations on Steenrod Powers of Cohomology Classes, Algebraic Geometry and Topology, Princeton University (1957).

$$B_0^a = \binom{a}{0} = 1 \tag{6}$$

and if $0 < b < p$

$$\begin{aligned} B_b^{-1} &= \sum_{i=0}^b \binom{-1+i(p-1)}{b-i} \\ &= (-1)^b + \binom{p-2}{b-1} + \binom{p-3}{b-2} + \dots + \binom{p-(i+1)}{b-i} + \dots + \binom{p-b-1}{0} \\ &= (-1)^b + \binom{p-2}{p-b-1} + \binom{p-3}{p-b-1} + \dots + \binom{p-(i+1)}{p-b-1} + \dots + \binom{p-b-1}{p-b-1} \\ &= (-1)^b + \binom{p-1}{p-b} \equiv (-1)^b + (-1)^{b-1} = 0 \pmod{p}. \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

2. Relations on Steenrod algebra. Let $p=2$. We shall calculate the number of $Sq^{k-i}Sq^i$ which appear in the admissible expansion of $\sum_{i=0}^k Sq^{k-i}Sq^i$ and we shall denote Sq^iSq^j simply by (i, j) in the following discussion.

Let $k=3n+m$ where n is any non-negative integer and $m=0, 1, 2$. It is evident that if we expand the expression

$$(k, 0) + (k-1, 1) + (k-2, 2) + \dots + (k-t, t) + \dots + (2n+m, n) + (2n+m-1, n+1) + \dots + (2, k-2) + (1, k-1) + (0, k)$$

as the admissible terms, t is less than or equal to n and $(k-t, t)$ appears from the back part of this expression except for the $(t+1)$ -th term of the front part. We shall denote the number of $(k-t, t)$ in the admissible expansion of the above expression as $N_m^n(t)$ in the following.

By the Adem relation

$$\begin{aligned} N_m^n(t) &= 1 + \binom{n+1-t-1}{2n+m-1-2t} + \binom{n+2-t-1}{2n+m-2-2t} + \dots + \binom{3n+m-1-t-1}{1-2t} \\ &\quad + \binom{3n+m-t-1}{-2t} \\ &= 1 + \binom{0}{3(n-t)+m-1} + \dots + \binom{3(n-t)+m-1-(2n-2t+m)}{2n-2t+m} \\ &\quad + \binom{3(n-t)+m-1-(2n-2t+m-1)}{2n-2t+m-1} + \dots + \binom{3(n-t)+m-1}{0} \end{aligned}$$

because $2(n-t)+m+j > (n-t)-j-1 = 3(n-t)+m-1-(2n-2t+m+j)$ if j is non-negative.

Hence

$$N_m^n(t) = 1 + A_{3(n-t)+m-1}.$$

Thus by the aid of (1)

$$N_m^n(t) \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \text{ if } m=1, 2. \tag{8}$$

It is evident from our definition that $N_0^n(n)=1$, then we have similarly

$$N_0^n(t) \equiv 1 \pmod{2} \text{ for any } t. \tag{9}$$

From (8) and (9) we have

PROPOSITION 1. $\sum_{i=0}^k Sq^{k-i}Sq^i = 0$ if $k \neq 3n$, (10)

$$\sum_{i=0}^{2n-1} Sq^iSq^{3n-i} = 0. \tag{11}$$

Since $2^{2n} \equiv 1, 2^{2n-1} \equiv 2 \pmod 3$, we have

COROLLARY 2. $\sum_{i=1}^{2^j-1} Sq^{2^j-i} Sq^i = 0$ for any positive integer j . (12)

Let p be an odd prime. We shall calculate the number of $St_p^{k-i} St_p^i$ which appear in the admissible expansion of $\sum_{i=0}^k (-1)^i St_p^{k-i} St_p^i$ if $k = (p+1)n + m$ where n is any non-negative integer and $m = 0, 1, 2, \dots, p$. We shall use the same notations as in the case $p=2$.

By the Adem relation

$$\begin{aligned} N_m^n(t) &= (-1)^t \left[1 + (-1)^m \sum_{i=1}^{pn+m} \binom{(n+i-t)(p-1)-1}{pn+m-i-pt} \right] \\ &= (-1)^t \left[1 + (-1)^m \sum_{i=1}^{(n-t)p+m} \binom{(n-t)(p-1)-1+i(p-1)}{(n-t)p+m-i} \right]. \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

From our definition

$$N_0^n(n) = (-1)^n, \tag{14}$$

and from (13) if $m = 1, 2, \dots, p$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} N_m^n(n) &= (-1)^n \left[1 + (-1)^m \left[\sum_{i=0}^m \binom{-1+i(p-1)}{m-i} - \binom{-1}{m} \right] \right] \\ &= (-1)^n [1 + (-1)^m [B_m^{-1} - (-1)^m]] = (-1)^{n+m} B_m^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

By the aid of (7) we have

$$N_m^n(n) \equiv 0 \pmod p \quad \text{for } m = 1, 2, \dots, p-1, \tag{15}$$

and if $m = p$, using of (5) and (6), we have

$$\begin{aligned} N_p^n(n) &= (-1)^{n+p} \left[(-1)^{p-1} B_0^{-1-(p-1)} + \binom{-p}{p} \right] \\ &\equiv (-1)^{n+p} \left[(-1)^{p-1} + \binom{-1}{1} \right] = 0 \pmod p. \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

If $n-t$ is positive we have from (13)

$$\begin{aligned} N_m^n(t) &= (-1)^t \left[1 + (-1)^m \left[\sum_{i=0}^{(n-t)p+m} \binom{(n-t)(p-1)-1+i(p-1)}{(n-t)p+m-i} \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. - \binom{(n-t)(p-1)-1}{(n-t)p+m} \right] \right], \end{aligned}$$

since $(n-t)p+m > (n-t)(p-1)-1 > 0$, we have by the aid of (5)

$$\begin{aligned} N_m^n(t) &= (-1)^t [1 + (-1)^m B_{(n-t)p+m}^{(n-t)(p-1)-1}] \\ &\equiv (-1)^t \left[1 + (-1)^m \left[B_{(n-t)p+m}^{(n-t-1)(p-1)-1} + \binom{(n-t-1)(p-1)-1}{(n-t)p+m} \right] \right] \pmod p. \end{aligned}$$

And $(n-t)p+m > (n-t-1)(p-1)-1 > 0$ if $n-t-1 > 0$, then we have inductively

$$\begin{aligned} N_m^n(t) &\equiv (-1)^t \left[1 + (-1)^m B_m^{-1} + (-1)^m \binom{-1}{p+m} \right] \\ &= (-1)^{t+m} B_m^{-1} = (-1)^{n-t} N_m^n(n) \pmod p. \end{aligned}$$

From (14), (15) and (16) we have

$$N_0^n(t) \equiv (-1)^t, \quad \text{and } N_m^n(t) \equiv 0 \quad \text{if } m = 1, 2, \dots, p \pmod p. \tag{17}$$

Combining the above results we have

$$\text{PROPOSITION 3. } \sum_{i=0}^k (-1)^i St_p^{k-i} St_p^i = 0 \quad \text{if } k \neq (p+1)n, \tag{18}$$

$$\sum_{i=0}^{pn-1} (-1)^i St_p^i St_p^{(p+1)n-i} = 0. \tag{19}$$

Since $p^{2n} \equiv 1, p^{2n-1} \equiv -1 \pmod{(p+1)}$, we have

COROLLARY 4.

$$\sum_{i=0}^{p^j-1} (-1)^i St_p^{p^j-i} St_p^i = 0 \text{ for any positive integer } j. \tag{20}$$

Let c be the canonical anti-automorphism of the Steenrod algebra.²⁾

Then there is a relation:

$$c(Sq^k) = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} Sq^{k-i} c(Sq^i).$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} Sq^i c(Sq^{k-i}) &= \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} Sq^i (\sum_{j=0}^{k-i-1} Sq^{k-i-j} c(Sq^j)) \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} (\sum_{i=0}^{k-j-1} Sq^i Sq^{k-j-i}) c(Sq^j). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we have

$$\begin{aligned} Sq^k + c(Sq^k) &= \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} Sq^{k-j} c(Sq^j) + \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} Sq^i c(Sq^{k-i}) \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} (\sum_{i=0}^{k-j} Sq^i Sq^{k-j-i}) c(Sq^j). \end{aligned}$$

Now we take the notation $M_2(k)$ which is $\sum_{i=0}^k Sq^i Sq^{k-i}$.

Then we have

COROLLARY 5. $Sq^a + c(Sq^a) = \sum_{j=0}^{a-1} M_2(a-j) c(Sq^j).$ (21)

Let p be an odd prime. We take the notation $M_p(k)$ which is $\sum_{i=0}^k (-1)^i St_p^i St_p^{k-i}$. By the similar way we have the following

COROLLARY 6. $(-1)^a St_p^a - c(St_p^a) = \sum_{j=0}^{a-1} M_p(a-j) c(St_p^j).$ (22)

3. On the 2-adic number. In this section we shall calculate some binomial coefficients mod 2, and by the aid of these results we show some relations on the Steenrod squares Sq^i ($i=0, 1, \dots$). We shall omit the sign "mod 2" in this section since there is no confusion.

Let t be any non-negative integer if no restriction is set up, and let $r, h < k$ be any and every positive integers. We shall prove the following lemmas:

(7.1) $\binom{2^h+t}{2t} \equiv 1$ if and only if $t=2^h-2^p$ or 2^h where $0 \leq p \leq h$.

(7.2) $\binom{2^k+2^h+t}{2t+1} \equiv 1$ if and only if $t=2^k-2^p+2^h-1$ or 2^k+2^h-1

where $h < p \leq k$.

(7.3) $\binom{2^k-2^h+t}{2t+1} \equiv 1$ if and only if $t=2^k-2^p+2^h-1$ where $h < p \leq k$.

(7.4) $\binom{2^h+t-1}{2t} \equiv 1$ if and only if $t=2^h-2^p$ where $0 \leq p \leq h$.

(7.5) $\binom{2^k r + 2^h + t - 1}{2t} \equiv 1$ and $t \leq 2^h$ if and only if $t=2^h-2^p$ or 2^h where $0 \leq p \leq h$.

Proof of (7.2). Put $t=a_0+2a_1+4a_2+\dots+2^i a_i+\dots$ where $a_i=0$ or 1, then it is obvious that $a_{k+1}=a_{k+2}=\dots=0$ since 2^k+2^h+t is greater than or equal to $2t+1$.

The 2-adic expansions of 2^k+2^h+t and $2t+1$ are

$$\begin{aligned} 2^k+2^h+t &= a_0+2a_1+\dots+2^h b_h+2^{h+1} b_{h+1}+\dots+2^k b_k+2^{k+1} b_{k+1}, \\ 2t+1 &= 1+2a_0+\dots+2^h a_{h-1}+2^{h+1} a_h+\dots+2^k a_{k-1}+2^{k+1} a_k. \end{aligned}$$

2) J. Milnor: The Steenrod algebra and its dual, Ann. Math., **67** (1958).

Then our assumption is equivalent to the following inequalities

$$1 \leq a_0 \leq a_1 \leq \dots \leq a_{h-1} \leq b_h, \quad a_n \leq b_{h+1}, \quad a_{h+1} \leq b_{h+2}, \dots,$$

$$a_{k-1} \leq b_k \quad \text{and} \quad a_k \leq b_{k+1},$$

where $b_h \equiv a_h + 1$, $b_{h+i} \equiv a_{h+i}$ or $\equiv a_{h+i} + 1$ for $0 < i < k - h$, $b_k \equiv a_k + 1$ or $b_k \equiv a_k$ and only at the last case $b_{k+1} = 1$.

Thus we have

$$a_0 = a_1 = a_2 = \dots = a_{h-1} = b_h = 1,$$

namely $a_n = 0$, and if $b_{h+1} = \dots = b_{p-1} = 0$ and $b_p = 1$ then we have

$$a_{h+1} = \dots = a_{p-1} = 0, \quad a_p = a_{p+1} = \dots = a_{k-1} = 1, \quad a_k = 0$$

where $h + 1 \leq p \leq k - 1$, and if $b_{h+1} = \dots = b_k = 0$ we have

$$a_{h+1} = \dots = a_{k-1} = 0, \quad a_k = 1.$$

Hence we have the desirable result.

As the others can be proved in similar way, we omit the proofs.

In the following we shall denote $Sq^i \dots Sq^j$ simply by $(i \dots j)$.

From the above lemmas we have the following propositions:

(8.1) *It appears the term $(2^j + 2^i)$ $j > i \geq 0$ in the admissible expansion of $(s \cdot r)$ $s, r > 0$ if and only if $s = 2^p$ $i \leq p < j$.*

(8.2) *It appears the term $(2^j - 2^i)$ $j > i > 0$ in the admissible expansion of $(s \cdot r)$ $s, r > 0$ if and only if $s = 2^p - 2^i$ $i < p < j$.*

Proof of (8.1). At first we consider the case $i = 0$. In the expression

$$(s \cdot r) = \sum_{t=0}^{\lfloor \frac{s}{2} \rfloor} \binom{r-t-1}{s-2t} (s+r-t \cdot t)$$

we put $t = 0$, then we may represent

$$\binom{r-1}{s} = \binom{2^{j-1} + n}{2^{j-1} - n} = \binom{2^{j-1} + n}{2n}$$

for some non-negative integer n .

Thus from the lemma (7.1), we obtain

$$s = 2^{j-1} - (2^{j-1} - 2^p) = 2^p \quad 0 \leq p < j$$

since $s > 0$.

Next we consider the case $i > 0$. In the above expression we may represent

$$\binom{r-1}{s} = \binom{2^{j-1} + 2^{i-1} + n}{2^{j-1} + 2^{i-1} - (n+1)} = \binom{2^{j-1} + 2^{i-1} + n}{2n+1}$$

for some non-negative integer n .

Thus from the lemma (7.2), we obtain

$$s = 2^{j-1} + 2^{i-1} - [(2^{j-1} - 2^p + 2^{i-1} - 1) + 1] = 2^p \quad i \leq p < j$$

since $s > 0$.

As the proof of (8.2) can be carried on similarly by the aid of the lemma (7.4) or (7.3), we omit it.

We shall calculate some types $(s \cdot r)$ using Lemma 7.

If $s = 2k$, we have

$$(2k \cdot r) = \sum_{i=0}^k \binom{r-i-1}{2k-2i} (2k+r-i \cdot i) = \sum_{t=0}^k \binom{r-k+t-1}{2t} (k+r+t \cdot k-t),$$

and we use this formula in the following.

If $j > 0$, from (7.4) we have

$$(2^j \cdot 2^j) = \sum_{p=0}^{j-1} (2^{j+1} - 2^p \cdot 2^p). \quad (23)^3$$

If $i < j$, from (7.5) we have

$$(2^i \cdot 2^j) = (2^j + 2^i) + \sum_{p=0}^{i-1} (2^j + 2^i - 2^p \cdot 2^p). \quad (24)^3$$

If $j \geq 2$, from (7.1) we have

$$(2^{j-1} \cdot 2^{j-1} + 1) = (2^j + 1) + \sum_{p=0}^{j-2} (2^j + 1 - 2^p \cdot 2^p). \quad (25)$$

If $j \geq i + 2$, from (7.5) we have

$$(2^{i+1} \cdot 2^j - 2^i) = (2^i + 2^j) + (2^j \cdot 2^i) \quad (26)$$

since $t \leq 2^i$.

If $j \geq i + 2$, from (7.5) we have

$$(2^i \cdot 2^j - 2^{i+1}) = (2^j - 2^i) + \sum_{p=0}^{i-1} (2^j - 2^i - 2^p \cdot 2^p). \quad (27)$$

As an application of these relations (23), ..., (27) we shall show some relations each of which is convenient to calculation of the stable secondary cohomology operation.

From (25), we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= (1 \cdot 2^j) + (2^{j-1} \cdot 2^{j-1} + 1) + \sum_{p=0}^{j-2} (2^j + 1 - 2^p \cdot 2^p) \\ &= (1 \cdot 2^j) + (2^{j-1}) [(2^{j-2} \cdot 2^{j-2} + 1) + \sum_{p=0}^{j-3} (2^{j-1} + 1 - 2^p \cdot 2^p)] \\ &\quad + \sum_{p=0}^{j-2} (2^j + 1 - 2^p \cdot 2^p). \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= (1 \cdot 2^j) + [(2^{j-1} + 2^{j-2} + 1) + (2^{j-1} \cdot 2^{j-2} \cdot 1)] (2^{j-2}) \\ &\quad + \sum_{p=0}^{j-3} [(2^j + 1 - 2^p) + (2^{j-1} \cdot 2^{j-1} + 1 - 2^p)] (2^p). \quad (28)^4 \end{aligned}$$

If $j = i + 2$, from (24) and (26), we have

$$0 = (2^i \cdot 2^{i+2}) + (2^{i+2} \cdot 2^i) + \sum_{p=0}^{i-1} (2^{i+2} + 2^i - 2^p \cdot 2^p) + (2^{i+1} \cdot 2^{i+1} + 2^i).$$

Thus from (24) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= (2^i \cdot 2^{i+2}) + (2^{i+1} \cdot 2^i \cdot 2^{i+1}) + (2^{i+2} \cdot 2^i) \\ &\quad + \sum_{p=0}^{i-1} [(2^{i+2} + 2^i - 2^p) + (2^{i+1} \cdot 2^{i+1} + 2^i - 2^p)] (2^p). \quad (29) \end{aligned}$$

If $j \geq i + k + 3$, $k \geq 0$, by applying the relation (27) repeatedly, we have

$$(2^j - 2^i) = \sum_{s=0}^k E_s^k (2^{i+s}) + \sum_{p=0}^{i-1} F_p^k (2^p) + (2^i \cdot 2^{i+1} \dots 2^{i+k+1} \cdot 2^j - 2^{i+k+2}) \quad (30)$$

where $E_s^k = (2^i \cdot 2^{i+1} \dots 2^{i+s}) [(2^j - 2^{i+s+1} - 2^{i+s}) + (2^{i+s+1}) [(2^j - 2^{i+s+2} - 2^{i+s})$

$+ \dots + (2^{i+k-1}) [(2^j - 2^{i+k} - 2^{i+s}) + (2^{i+k} \cdot 2^j - 2^{i+k+1} - 2^{i+s})] \dots]$,
and $F_p^k = [(2^j - 2^i - 2^p) + (2^i) [(2^j - 2^{i+1} - 2^p) + (2^{i+1}) [(2^j - 2^{i+2} - 2^p) + \dots$

$\dots + (2^{i+k-1}) [(2^j - 2^{i+k} - 2^p) + (2^{i+k} \cdot 2^j - 2^{i+k+1} - 2^p)] \dots]$.

On the other hand, if $j \geq i + 3$ we have from (24) and (26)

$$0 = (2^i \cdot 2^j) + (2^j \cdot 2^i) + \sum_{p=0}^{i-1} (2^j + 2^i - 2^p \cdot 2^p) + (2^{i+1} \cdot 2^j - 2^i)$$

and if $j = i + k + 3$, the last term of (30) is

$$(2^i \cdot 2^{i+1} \dots 2^{i+k+1} \cdot 2^{i+k+2}) = (2^i \cdot 2^{i+1} \dots 2^{j-2} \cdot 2^{j-1}).$$

Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= (2^i \cdot 2^j) + (2^{i+1} \cdot 2^i \cdot 2^{i+1} \dots 2^{j-1}) + (2^{i+1} \cdot E_{j-i-3}^{j-i-3} \cdot 2^{j-3}) + \dots \\ &\quad + (2^{i+1} \cdot E_1^{j-i-3} \cdot 2^{i+1}) + [(2^j) + (2^{i+1} \cdot E_0^{j-i-3})] (2^i) \quad (31) \\ &\quad + \sum_{p=0}^{i-1} [(2^j + 2^i - 2^p) + (2^{i+1} \cdot F_p^{j-i-3})] (2^p). \end{aligned}$$

3) These relations are reported by J. Adem in the Proc. N. A. S. without the proof (1952).

4) Relation (28) is reported by N. Shimada on the Symposium of Topology at Toyama University (1959).