

### 132. Some Notes on Cesàro Summation

By Kenji YANO

Department of Mathematics, Nara Women's University, Nara, Japan

(Comm. by Z. SUEYAMA, M.J.A., Dec. 12, 1959)

In this paper we shall establish two lemmas concerning the Cesàro summability of Fourier series. Of these, Theorem 1 is closely related to the result of Chandrasekharan and Szász [2, Theorem 5]. And Theorem 2 is concerned with the estimation of the principal part of Fejér kernels.

1. THEOREM 1. If  $\varphi(t) \in L$  in  $0 \leq t \leq t_0$ , and  $r > 0$ ,  $\delta > 0$ , and  $q$  be arbitrary, then

$$(1.1) \quad \Phi_r(t) \equiv \frac{1}{\Gamma(r)} \int_0^t (t-u)^{r-1} \varphi(u) du = o(t^q) \quad (t \rightarrow 0)$$

is equivalent to

$$(1.2) \quad \Phi_r^\delta(t) \equiv \frac{1}{\Gamma(r)} \int_0^t (t-u)^{r-1} u^\delta \varphi(u) du = o(t^{q+\delta}) \quad (t \rightarrow 0).$$

Letting

$$\varphi_r^\delta(t) = \frac{\Gamma(r+\delta+1)}{\Gamma(\delta+1)} t^{-(r+\delta)} \Phi_r^\delta(t) \quad (\delta \geq 0),$$

and  $\varphi_r(t) = \varphi_r^0(t)$ , we have the following

COROLLARY 1. Let  $\varphi(t) \in L$  in  $(0, t_0)$ , and  $r > 0$ ,  $\delta > 0$ , and  $q$  be arbitrary. Then

$$\varphi_r(t) = s + o(t^{q-r}) \quad (t \rightarrow 0)$$

is equivalent to

$$\varphi_r^\delta(t) = s + o(t^{q-r}) \quad (t \rightarrow 0),$$

where  $s$  is a constant independent of  $t$ .

Concerning this corollary, cf. loc. cit. [2].

We need two lemmas:

LEMMA 1. Theorem 1 holds when  $\delta = k$ , where  $k$  is a positive integer.

This is Lemma 3 in the paper [3], but for the sake of completeness we prove it. We first consider the case  $k=1$ . Observe now that

$$(1.3) \quad \Phi_r^1(t) = t\Phi_r(t) - r\Phi_{r+1}(t),$$

and that necessarily, since  $r > 0$ ,

$$(1.4) \quad \Phi_{r+1}(t) = o(t^r).$$

If  $q > -1$ , then (1.1) implies

$$(1.5) \quad \Phi_{r+1}(t) = o(t^{q+1}),$$

and then by (1.3),

$$(1.6) \quad \Phi_r^1(t) = o(t^{q+1}),$$

which follows from (1.1) still when  $q \leq -1$ , by (1.3) and (1.4).

Inversely, (1.6) is written as, by (1.3),

$$\frac{\Phi_r(t)}{t^r} - r \frac{\Phi_{r+1}(t)}{t^{r+1}} = o(t^{q-r}),$$

i.e.

$$\frac{d}{dt} [t^{-r} \Phi_{r+1}(t)] = o(t^{q-r}).$$

If  $q - r > -1$ , integrating both sides from zero to  $t$ , we have  $t^{-r} \Phi_{r+1}(t) = o(t^{q-r+1})$ , by (1.4), which is equivalent to (1.5). And, (1.5) holds still when  $q - r \leq -1$  again by (1.4). Consequently, (1.6) implies (1.1) by (1.3).

We have thus the lemma when  $k=1$ . In the general case  $k > 1$ , replacing  $\varphi(u)$  by  $u\varphi(u), u^2\varphi(u), \dots$ , successively it is proved by induction.

LEMMA 2. If  $\varphi(t) \in L$  in  $(0, x)$  and  $0 < y < x, 0 < r \leq 1$ , then

$$\left| \frac{1}{\Gamma(r)} \int_0^y (x-t)^{r-1} \varphi(t) dt \right| \leq \max_{0 \leq u \leq x} |\Phi_r(u)|.$$

This is due to Riesz [1].

PROOF of THEOREM 1. (I) The case  $0 < r \leq 1, r \leq q$ . By the second mean-value theorem

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_r^{\delta+\eta}(t) &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(r)} \int_0^t (t-u)^{r-1} u^{\delta+\eta} \varphi(u) du \\ &= \frac{t^\delta}{\Gamma(r)} \int_\xi^t (t-u)^{r-1} u^\eta \varphi(u) du \quad (0 < \xi < t) \\ &= t^\delta \Phi_r^\eta(t) - \frac{t^\delta}{\Gamma(r)} \int_0^\xi (t-u)^{r-1} u^\eta \varphi(u) du, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\delta > 0$  and  $\eta \geq 0$ . So, by Lemma 2, we have

$$(1.7) \quad |\Phi_r^{\delta+\eta}(t)| \leq 2t^\delta \cdot \max_{0 \leq u \leq t} |\Phi_r^\eta(u)|.$$

Hence, if  $\Phi_r(t) = o(t^q)$ , then (1.7) with  $\eta=0$  yields  $\Phi_r^\delta(t) = o(t^{\delta+q})$ , since  $q > 0$ . Inversely, if  $\Phi_r^\eta(t) = o(t^{q+\eta})$ , then (1.7) with  $\delta = [\eta] + 1 - \eta$  yields

$$\Phi_r^{[\eta]+1}(t) = t^{[\eta]+1-\eta} \cdot o(t^{\eta+q}) = o(t^{[\eta]+1+q}),$$

which implies  $\Phi_r(t) = o(t^q)$  by Lemma 1, since  $[\eta] + 1$  is integral. Hence, we get the present case.

(II) The case  $1 < r \leq q$ . We have the identities

$$(1.8) \quad \begin{aligned} \Phi_r^\delta(t) &= \frac{(r-1)t}{\Gamma(r)} \int_0^t (t-u)^{r-2} u^{\delta-1} \Phi_1(u) du \\ &\quad - \frac{r-1+\delta}{\Gamma(r)} \int_0^t (t-u)^{r-1} u^{\delta-1} \Phi_1(u) du, \end{aligned}$$

$$(1.9) \quad \Phi_r^\delta(t) = \frac{t^{r+\delta}}{\Gamma(r)} \frac{d}{dt} \left( \frac{1}{t^{r-1+\delta}} \int_0^t (t-u)^{r-1} u^{\delta-1} \Phi_1(u) du \right).$$

And, (1.1) is equivalent to, since  $r > 1$ ,

$$(1.1)' \quad \int_0^t (t-u)^{r-2} \Phi_1(u) du = o(t^q).$$

Suppose now that the theorem is true when  $r$  is replaced by  $r-1$ . Then, (1.1)' is equivalent to

$$(1.10) \quad \int_0^t (t-u)^{r-2} u^{\delta-1} \Phi_1(u) du = o(t^{q+\delta-1}), \quad \delta > 1,$$

which clearly implies

$$(1.11) \quad \int_0^t (t-u)^{r-1} u^{\delta-1} \Phi_1(u) du = o(t^{q+\delta}).$$

Substituting this and (1.10) into (1.8), we have

$$\Phi_r^\delta(t) = o(t^{q+\delta}), \quad \delta > 1,$$

and then  $\Phi_r^{\delta-1}(t) = o(t^{q+\delta-1})$  by Lemma 1.

Inversely, if (1.2) holds, i.e.  $\Phi_r^\delta(t) = o(t^{q+\delta})$  then by integrating, we have (1.11) for  $\delta > 0$  from (1.9). (1.11) and (1.2) imply (1.10) by (1.8), and then (1.1)' by the above assumption. We thus get the present case by induction.

(III) General case  $r > 0$ ,  $q$  arbitrary. We put

$$\psi(u) = u^k \varphi(u),$$

where  $k$  is a positive integer such that  $k+q > r$ , and define

$$\Psi_r^\delta(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(r)} \int_0^t (t-u)^{r-1} u^\delta \psi(u) du \quad (\delta \geq 0),$$

and  $\Psi_r(t) = \Psi_r^0(t)$ . Then

$$(1.12) \quad \Psi_r(t) = \Phi_r^k(t), \quad \Psi_r^\delta(t) = \Phi_r^{k+\delta}(t) \quad (\delta > 0).$$

By the preceding result, we see that

$$\Psi_r(t) = o(t^{k+q}) \Leftrightarrow \Psi_r^\delta(t) = o(t^{k+q+\delta}),$$

since  $k+q > r$ . This is the same thing as, by (1.12),

$$\Phi_r^k(t) = o(t^{k+q}) \Leftrightarrow \Phi_r^{k+\delta}(t) = o(t^{k+q+\delta}),$$

whence follows, by Lemma 1,

$$\Phi_r(t) = o(t^q) \Leftrightarrow \Phi_r^\delta(t) = o(t^{q+\delta}).$$

This proves the theorem completely.

2. THEOREM 2.1. If  $0 < \delta < 1$ ,  $-1 < \beta$ ,  $1 \leq k$  and  $0 < u < \pi$ , then we have

$$\begin{aligned} F(u, k) &\equiv \int_u^\pi (t-u)^{\delta-1} \left(2 \sin \frac{1}{2}t\right)^{-\beta} e^{ikt} dt, \quad i = \sqrt{-1}, \\ &= \frac{\Gamma(\delta)}{k^\delta} \left(2 \sin \frac{1}{2}u\right)^{-\beta} e^{i(ku + \delta\pi/2)} + 2^{-\beta} (\pi-u)^{\delta-1} \frac{e^{ik\pi}}{ik} \\ &\quad + O\left(\frac{(\pi-u)^{\delta-2}}{k^2 u^\beta}\right) + O\left(\frac{1}{k^{\delta+1} u^{\beta+1}}\right), \end{aligned}$$

where  $O$ 's are independent of  $u$  and  $k$ .

PROOF.

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.1) \quad F(u, k) &= \left(2 \sin \frac{1}{2}u\right)^{-\beta} \int_u^\pi (t-u)^{\delta-1} e^{ikt} dt \\
 &+ \int_u^\pi (t-u)^\delta m(t, u) e^{ikt} dt = \left(2 \sin \frac{1}{2}u\right)^{-\beta} I + J,
 \end{aligned}$$

where  $0 < u < \pi$ , and

$$(2.2) \quad m(t, u) = \frac{1}{t-u} \left[ \left(2 \sin \frac{1}{2}t\right)^{-\beta} - \left(2 \sin \frac{1}{2}u\right)^{-\beta} \right].$$

Here, for the sake of convenience we denote

$$(2.3) \quad m(u, u) = \lim_{t \rightarrow u} m(t, u) = \frac{d}{du} \left(2 \sin \frac{1}{2}u\right)^{-\beta}.$$

By the mean-value theorem,

$$m(t, u) = m(u, u) \Big|_{u=u_1} \quad (u < u_1 < t).$$

And, clearly

$$(2.4) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial t} m(t, u) = \frac{1}{t-u} [m(t, t) - m(t, u)].$$

From these relations we see that  $m(t, u)$  conserves a constant sign for  $0 < u < t \leq \pi$ , and increases with  $1/t$  in absolute value, and that

$$(2.5) \quad |m(t, u)| < |m(u, u)| < \frac{K}{u^{\beta+1}},$$

where and in the sequel  $K$  denotes an absolute constant, and it may vary from one occurrence to another. Now,

$$(2.6) \quad I = \int_u^\pi (t-u)^{\delta-1} e^{ikt} dt = \int_u^\infty - \int_\pi^\infty.$$

And

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_u^\infty &= \int_u^\infty (t-u)^{\delta-1} e^{ikt} dt \\
 &= e^{iku} \int_0^\infty x^{\delta-1} e^{ikx} dx \quad (t-u=x) \\
 &= e^{iku} \cdot \frac{\Gamma(\delta)}{k^\delta} e^{i\delta\pi/2},
 \end{aligned}$$

by a well-known classical formula, cf. Zygmund [4, p. 224].

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_\pi^\infty &= \int_\pi^\infty (t-u)^{\delta-1} e^{ikt} dt \\
 &= \left[ (t-u)^{\delta-1} \frac{e^{ikt}}{ik} \right]_{t=\pi}^\infty - (\delta-1) \int_\pi^\infty (t-u)^{\delta-2} \frac{e^{ikt}}{ik} dt \\
 &= -(\pi-u)^{\delta-1} \frac{e^{ik\pi}}{ik} - (\delta-1) I_1,
 \end{aligned}$$

and clearly  $I_1 = O((\pi-u)^{\delta-2}/k^2)$ . Hence, from (2.6) we get

$$(2.7) \quad I = \frac{\Gamma(\delta)}{k^\delta} e^{i(ku + \delta\pi/2)} + (\pi-u)^{\delta-1} \frac{e^{ik\pi}}{ik} + O\left(\frac{(\pi-u)^{\delta-2}}{k^2}\right).$$

Next, integrating by parts and using (2.4),

$$\begin{aligned}
 J &= \int_u^\pi (t-u)^\delta m(t, u) e^{ikt} dt \\
 &= \left[ (t-u)^\delta m(t, u) \frac{e^{ikt}}{ik} \right]_{t=u}^\pi - (\delta-1) \int_u^\pi (t-u)^{\delta-1} m(t, u) \frac{e^{ikt}}{ik} dt \\
 (2.8) \quad &\quad - \int_u^\pi (t-u)^{\delta-1} m(t, t) \frac{e^{ikt}}{ik} dt \\
 &= (\pi-u)^\delta m(\pi, u) \frac{e^{ik\pi}}{ik} - (\delta-1) J_1 - J_2.
 \end{aligned}$$

By the monotony of  $m(t, u)$ , and (2.5),

$$|J_1| < K \frac{|m(u, u)|}{k^{\delta+1}} < \frac{K_1}{k^{\delta+1} u^{\beta+1}}.$$

It is analogous to  $J_2$ . Hence, from (2.8) and (2.2),

$$(2.9) \quad J = (\pi-u)^{\delta-1} \left[ 2^{-\beta} - \left( 2 \sin \frac{1}{2} u \right)^{-\beta} \right] \frac{e^{ik\pi}}{ik} + O\left( \frac{1}{k^{\delta+1} u^{\beta+1}} \right).$$

Substituting (2.7) and (2.9) into (2.1) we get the theorem.

Theorem 2.1 may be improved more precisely as follows:

**THEOREM 2.** If  $0 < \delta < 1$ ,  $-1 < \beta$ ,  $1 \leq k$  and  $0 < u < \pi$ , then

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\int_u^\pi (t-u)^{\delta-1} \left( 2 \sin \frac{1}{2} t \right)^{-\beta} e^{ikt} dt \\
 &= \frac{\Gamma(\delta)}{k^\delta} \left( 2 \sin \frac{1}{2} u \right)^{-\beta} e^{i(ku + \delta\pi/2)} \\
 &\quad + \frac{\Gamma(\delta+1)}{k^{\delta+1}} \frac{d}{dt} \left( 2 \sin \frac{1}{2} u \right)^{-\beta} e^{i(ku + (\delta+1)\pi/2)} \\
 &\quad + 2^{-\beta} (\pi-u)^{\delta-1} \frac{e^{ik\pi}}{ik} + (\delta-1) \cdot 2^{-\beta} (\pi-u)^{\delta-2} \frac{e^{ik\pi}}{k^2} \\
 &\quad + O\left( \frac{(\pi-u)^{\delta-3}}{k^3 u^\beta} \right) + O\left( \frac{(\pi-u)^{\delta-2}}{k^3 u^{\beta+1}} \right) + O\left( \frac{1}{k^{\delta+2} u^{\beta+2}} \right),
 \end{aligned}$$

where  $O$ 's are independent of  $u$  and  $k$ .

**PROOF.** We use the notations in the preceding proof. Integrating by parts,

$$\begin{aligned}
 I_1 &= \int_\pi^\infty (t-u)^{\delta-2} \frac{e^{ikt}}{ik} dt \\
 &= (\pi-u)^{\delta-2} \frac{e^{ik\pi}}{k^2} + O\left( \frac{(\pi-u)^{\delta-3}}{k^3} \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

Applying Theorem 2.1 replaced  $(2 \sin(2^{-1}t))^{-\beta}$  by  $m(t, u)$  to  $J_1$ , and observing that by (2.4) and (2.3)

$$\left| \frac{\partial}{\partial t} m(t, u) \right| < \frac{K}{u^{\beta+2}}$$

for  $0 < u < t \leq \pi$ , we have, as it is easily verified,

$$\begin{aligned}
 J_1 &= \int_u^\pi (t-u)^{\delta-1} m(t, u) \frac{e^{ikt}}{ik} dt \\
 &= \frac{\Gamma(\delta)}{k^\delta} \cdot \frac{m(u, u)}{ik} e^{i(ku + \delta\pi/2)} + m(\pi, u)(\pi-u)^{\delta-1} \frac{e^{ik\pi}}{(ik)^2} + O(R),
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$R = \frac{(\pi-u)^{\delta-2}}{k^\delta u^{\delta+1}} + \frac{1}{k^{\delta+2} u^{\beta+2}}.$$

Similarly

$$\begin{aligned}
 J_2 &= \int_u^\pi (t-u)^{\delta-1} m(t, t) \frac{e^{ikt}}{ik} dt \\
 &= \frac{\Gamma(\delta)}{k^\delta} \cdot \frac{m(u, u)}{ik} e^{i(ku + \delta\pi/2)} + m(\pi, \pi)(\pi-u)^{\delta-1} \frac{e^{ik\pi}}{(ik)^2} + O(R),
 \end{aligned}$$

where  $m(\pi, \pi) = 0$ .

Substituting these relations into the expressions of  $I$  and  $J$  respectively, (2.1) yields the desired result.

### References

- [1] M. Riesz: Sur un théorème de la moyenne et ses applications, Acta Szeged, **1**, 114-126 (1922).
- [2] K. Chandrasekharan and O. Szász: On Bessel summation, Amer. J. Math., **20**, 709-729 (1948).
- [3] K. Yano: Convexity theorems for Fourier series I, J. Math. Soc. Japan (to appear).
- [4] A. Zygmund: Trigonometrical Series, Warszawa-Lwow (1935).