

52. Characterizations of Spaces with Dual Spaces

By Takesi ISIWATA

Tokyo Gakugei University, Tokyo

(Comm. by K. KUNUGI, M.J.A., April 12, 1960)

In the following we assume that spaces considered here are always completely regular and continuous functions are real-valued one. Let $X^* = \beta X - X$. We shall say that X has a dual space X^* if there is a homeomorphism of $\beta(X^*)$ onto βX which keeps X^* pointwisely fixed.¹⁾ Then we write $X^{**} = (X^*)^* (= \beta(X^*) - X^*) = X$ or $\beta X = \beta(X^*)$. This notations may be justified by the properties A), B) and C) in §1. A subset B of X is said to be *inessential to X* if any *bounded* continuous function defined on $X - B$ is continuously extended over X . In §2 we shall show that if X has a dual space, then every compact subset of X is inessential to X and every finite subset of βX is inessential to βX . Using this results, we shall prove that X has a dual space if and only if every proper open subset of X whose complement is compact has a dual space.²⁾ We have given in [3] a stonian space with a dual space. In §3, we shall give examples of spaces with dual spaces among spaces of the following types: i) pseudo-compact spaces, ii) countably compact, Σ -product spaces, iii) countably compact, non-paracompact, normal spaces which have a uniform structure by the family of neighborhoods of the diagonal of product with itself, and iv) countably compact, non-normal spaces.

1. The proofs of the following properties are obvious.

A) Let Z and X be given spaces and let Y be a dense subset of Z . If two homeomorphisms φ and ψ from Z onto X coincide with each other on Y , then $\varphi(z) = \psi(z)$ for every $z \in Z$.

Let φ be a homeomorphism from $\beta(X^*)$ onto βX which keeps X^* pointwisely fixed.

B) If X has a dual space X^* , then every bounded continuous function f^* on X^* has a continuous extension $f = F \circ \varphi^{-1}$ over βX where F is a continuous extension of f^* over $\beta(X^*)$.

C) In B), let g be a bounded continuous function on X and g^* be

1) The definition, in [3], of a dual space (the first row of p. 148 and the last row of p. 160) seems to be ambiguous, but the progression of arguments, in [3], with respect to a dual space was set in the sense of this paper.

2) This characterization may be of interest in view of the fact that the following conditions are equivalent for any X : i) X is a stonian space with a dual space, ii) any proper open subspace U of X has a dual space and $X - U$ is inessential to X , and iii) any proper dense subspace of X has a dual space. This fact is essentially proved in [3, Th. 12] (but with an inexact statement).

its continuous extension over βX . If G is a continuous extension of $g^*|X^*$ over $\beta(X^*)$, then we have $G \circ \varphi^{-1} = g$.

2. Lemma 1. *If X has a dual space, then we have i) every point of X has no compact neighborhoods, ii) every compact subset of X is inessential to X , and iii) every finite subset of βX is inessential to βX .*

Proof. i) Obvious.

ii) Let B be a compact subset of X , $\{U_\alpha; \alpha \in \Gamma\}$ be a base of neighborhoods, in βX , of B and let f be a bounded continuous function on $X - B$. Since βX is normal, there is a continuous function g_α for each $\alpha \in \Gamma$ such that $g_\alpha = 0$ on a neighborhood V_α , in βX , contained in U_α , $g_\alpha = 1$ on $\beta X - U_\alpha$ and $0 \leq g \leq 1$. We put $f_\alpha = fg_\alpha$ on $X - B$ and $f_\alpha = 0$ on $X \cap V_\alpha$ for each α . It is obvious that every f_α is continuous on X . Let f_α^* be a continuous extension of f_α over βX . Now let us put $F(x) = \sup_{\alpha \in \Gamma} f_\alpha^*(x)$ for each $x \in \beta X$. For any point $x \in \beta X - B$, since $\{U_\alpha\}$ is a base of neighborhoods, in βX , of B , we have $f_\alpha^*(x) = f_\beta^*(x)$ on some neighborhood (in βX) of x for $\alpha, \beta > \alpha_0$ where α_0 is a suitable index in Γ . This means that F is continuous on $\beta X - B$. By the method of construction of f_α , it is obvious that $f = F|(X - B)$. Thus $F_1 = F|(\beta X - B)$ is a continuous extension of f over $\beta X - B$. Since $\beta X - B \supset X^*$ and X has a dual space X^* , F_1 has a continuous extension over βX , and hence over X . Therefore B is inessential to X .

iii) The proof is obtained by the analogous method as used in the proof of ii) (or see [6]).

As easily seen from the proof of ii), any bounded continuous function on $X - F$ has a continuous extension over $\beta X - \bar{F}$ (in βX) for any closed subset F of X even if X has not a dual space.

We shall introduce an order relation in a family of subsets of βX by the inclusion relation. Then for any point $z \in \beta X - X$, by Lemma 1 it is easily seen that $X \setminus \{z\}$ has a dual space and $\beta(X \setminus \{z\}) = \beta X$. Thus we have

Theorem 1. *If a compact space Z is a Čech compactification of a space X with a dual space, then there are no maximal subspaces of Z with dual spaces whose Čech compactifications are Z .*

Theorem 2. *The following conditions are equivalent for any space X :*

- i) X has a dual space,
- ii) every proper open subspace of X whose complement is compact has a dual space,
- iii) every point of X has no compact neighborhoods and any proper open subset of X whose complement is compact is inessential to βX ,
- iv) every point of X has no compact neighborhoods and X is

inessential to every compact space Z containing X as a dense subset.

Proof. (i) \leftrightarrow (iii) \leftrightarrow (iv) was obtained by Theorems 10 and 11 in [3].

(i) \rightarrow (ii). Suppose that U is open in X and $B = X - U$ is compact. Since B is compact and every point of X has no compact neighborhoods, it is easy to see that $\overline{U}(\text{in } \beta X) = \overline{(\beta X - U)}(\text{in } \beta X) = \beta X$. By Lemma 1, any bounded continuous function on U has a continuous extension over X , and hence βX . Conversely, let f be a bounded continuous function on $\beta X - U$. Then, since $\beta X - U \supset \beta X - X$ and X has a dual space, $f|(\beta X - X)$ has a continuous extension over βX , and hence over U . Thus U has a dual space.

(ii) \rightarrow (i). It is obvious that every point of X has no compact neighborhoods. We shall first show that a dual space of U is $W = \beta X - U$ for every open subspace U of X whose complement B is compact. Let f be a bounded continuous function on U . Then by the assumption $V = X - \{p\}$, $p \in X - B$, has a dual space. The $f|(V - B)$ has a continuous extension over V by Lemma 1. This means that f is continuously extended over X , and hence βX . Therefore a dual space of U is W .

Let g be a bounded continuous function on X^* . Then X^* is an open subspace of W whose complement is a compact set B . Thus g has a continuous extension over W by Lemma 1. Since W is a dual space of U , g can be continuously extended over U . This means that f has a continuous extension over X , that is, X has a dual space.

Corollary.³⁾ *Let X be a space with a dual space: then we have*

i) *if $M \times X$ has a dual space for a compact space M , then X^* is pseudo-compact,*

ii) *if X is pseudo-compact, then $M \times X$ has a dual space for any compact space M if and only if X^* is pseudo-compact,*

iii) *if X has a dual space homeomorphic with itself,⁴⁾ then $M \times X$ has a dual space for any compact space M if and only if X is pseudo-compact.*

Proof. Since X has a dual space, it is easily seen that every point of $M \times X$ has no compact neighborhoods. Suppose that $M \times X$ has a dual space. $M \times \beta X$ is a compactification of $M \times X$. By (iv) of Theorem 2, any bounded continuous function on $M \times X^*$ ($= M \times \beta X - M \times X$) can be continuously extended over $M \times \beta X$. Thus we have $\beta(M \times X^*) = M \times \beta X$. By Glicksberg's theorem (see (G1) in § 3 below) $M \times X^*$ is pseudo-compact, and hence X^* is also pseudo-compact.

(ii) and (iii). These are obvious from (i) and Glicksberg's theorem (G1).

3) We assume, in this corollary, that compact spaces have infinitely many points.

4) An example of such a space X is obtained by setting X a disjoint union of open sets Y and Y^* where Y is a space with a dual space.

3. In this section we shall give examples of spaces with dual spaces. Let $\{X_\alpha\}$ be an uncountable set of spaces and $Z = \mathbf{P}X_\alpha \ni b = (b_\alpha)$. A subset of Z , denoted by Σ_b , is called a Σ -product with a base point b if Σ_b consists of all points $z = (z_\alpha)$ with $z_\alpha \neq b_\alpha$ for at most countably many α . Moreover we denote by Σ^b a set consisting of all points $z = (z_\alpha)$ of Z with $z_\alpha = b_\alpha$ for at most countably many α .

Glicksberg and Corson have proved the following theorems.

(G1) [1, Theorem 1]. Suppose that every X_α is compact and $\mathbf{P}X_\alpha$ is infinite for every α_0 . Then $\beta Z = \mathbf{P}(\beta X_\alpha)$ if and only if Z is pseudo-compact.

(G2) [1, Theorem 2]. If each X_α is compact and has at least two points, then $\beta(\Sigma_b) = Z$ for every $b \in Z$.

(C1) [2, Theorem 1]. If each X_α is a complete metric space, then a Σ -product Σ_b is normal for every $b \in Z$.

(C2) [2, Theorem 3]. If each X_α is a complete separable metric space, then any Σ -product Σ_b has a uniform structure which is the family of neighborhoods of the diagonal of $\Sigma_b \times \Sigma_b$ for every $b \in Z$.

From these theorems we shall construct spaces with a dual space.

Example 1. If, in (G2), b and c are points in Z such that $b_\alpha \neq c_\alpha$ for every α , then Σ_b and Σ_c are countably compact and any subspace Y such that either $\Sigma_b \subseteq Y \subseteq Z - \Sigma_c$ or $\Sigma_c \subseteq Y \subseteq Z - \Sigma_b$ has a dual space and $\beta Y = Z$.

This follows from (G2) and the fact that $\beta X \supset Y \supset X$ implies $\beta Y = \beta X$.

Example 2. If, in (G2), b is a point such that each coordinate b_α is not a cluster point of a sequence of X_α , then Σ^b is countably compact and any subspace Y such that either $\Sigma_b \subseteq Y \subseteq Z - \Sigma^b$ or $\Sigma^b \subseteq Y \subseteq Z - \Sigma_b$ has a dual space and $\beta Y = Z$.

This is obtained by the same methods as used in the proof of (G2) (or see [6]).

Next we shall notice the following: i) a space Y , in Examples 1 and 2, is always pseudo-compact [5], and ii) an existence of the point b mentioned in Example 2 is shown by the following way: if Y_α is discrete and $X_\alpha = \beta Y_\alpha$, then the point b is given by (b_α) where $b_\alpha \in Y$ for every α .

Example 3. If each X_α is a compactum, then any Σ -product Σ_b , $b \in Z$, has a dual space and Σ_b is a countably compact, non-paracompact, normal space which has a uniform structure by the family of neighborhoods of the diagonal of $\Sigma_b \times \Sigma_b$.

By (C1) and (C2), Σ_b has all properties above except a non-paracompactness. A non-paracompactness follows from the facts that a countably compact space with a complete structure is compact and a

paracompact space has a complete structure.

Example 4. Let Σ_b be a Σ -product in Example 3; then $\Sigma_b \times Z$ is a countably compact, non-normal space with a dual space and $\beta(\Sigma_b \times Z) = Z \times Z$.

This follows from the facts that i) (G1), ii) a product of a countably compact normal space with its any compactification is not normal [4, Theorem 1], and iii) a product of a countably compact space with a compact space is always countably compact.

References

- [1] I. Glicksberg: Stone-Čech compactifications of products, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **90**, 369-382 (1959).
- [2] H. H. Corson: Normality in subsets of product spaces, *Amer. Jour. Math.*, **81**, 785-796 (1959).
- [3] T. Isiwata: On stonean spaces, *Sci. Rep. Tokyo Kyoiku Daigaku*, **6**, 147-176 (1958).
- [4] —: Normality of the product spaces of countably compact space with its any compactification, *ibid.*, **6**, 181-184 (1958).
- [5] —: On subspaces of Čech compactification space, *ibid.*, **5**, 303-309 (1957).
- [6] —: On duality with respect to Stone-Čech compactifications (in Jap.), *Sūgaku*, **11**, no. 4, 226-228 (1960).