

37. A Note on the Entropy for Operator Algebras

By Masahiro NAKAMURA^{*)} and Hisaharu UMEGAKI^{**)}

(Comm. by K. KUNUGI, M.J.A., March 13, 1961)

Recently, I. E. Segal [9] established the notion of the entropy of states of semi-finite von Neumann algebras. Segal's entropy contains the cases of the information theory, e.g. A. I. Khinchin [5], and the quantum statistical mechanics due to J. von Neumann [8]. The purpose of the present note is to discover the background of Segal's definition basing on a study of the so-called convex operator functions due to originally C. Loewner and extensively J. Bendat and S. Sherman [1].

1. A real-valued continuous function f defined on an interval I will be called *operator-convex* in the sense of Loewner-Bendat-Sherman provided that

$$(1) \quad f(\alpha a + \beta b) \leq \alpha f(a) + \beta f(b),$$

for any hermitean operators a and b having their spectra in I , and for any non-negative real numbers α and β with $\alpha + \beta = 1$. According to a theorem of Bendat-Sherman [1; Theorem 3.5], an analytic function,

$$(2) \quad f(\lambda) = \sum_{i=2}^{\infty} \gamma_i \lambda^i,$$

with the convergence radius R , is operator-convex for $|\lambda| < R$ if and only if

$$(3) \quad \sum_{i,k=0}^n \frac{f^{(i+k+2)}(0)}{(i+k+2)!} \alpha_i \alpha_k \geq 0,$$

for any sequence of real numbers α_i and for all n .

LEMMA 1. $\lambda \log(1+\lambda)$ is operator-convex for $|\lambda| < 1$.

Proof. Put $f(\lambda) = \lambda \log(1+\lambda)$. Clearly f satisfies (2) for $R=1$. Calculating, for $k=2, 3, \dots$,

$$f^{(k)}(\lambda) = (-1)^k [(k-2)! (1+\lambda)^{-(k-1)} + (k-1)! (1+\lambda)^{-k}].$$

Putting $\lambda=0$, one has $f^{(k)}(0) = (-1)^k (k-2)! k$ for $k=2, 3, \dots$. Applying (3), one has, for any real numbers α_i ,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i,k=0}^n \frac{f^{(i+k+2)}(0)}{(i+k+2)!} \alpha_i \alpha_k &= \sum_{i,k=0}^n (-1)^{i+k} \frac{(i+k)! (i+k+2)}{(i+k+2)!} \alpha_i \alpha_k \\ &= \sum_{i,k=0}^n (-1)^{i+k} \frac{\alpha_i \alpha_k}{i+k+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Replacing $(-1)^i \alpha_i$ by α_i , it is non-negative, since the matrix,

^{*)} Osaka Gakugei Daigaku.

^{**)} Tokyo Institute of Technology.

$$C_n = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{1} & \frac{1}{2} & \dots & \frac{1}{n} \\ \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{3} & \dots & \frac{1}{n+1} \\ & & \dots & \\ \frac{1}{n} & \frac{1}{n+1} & \dots & \frac{1}{2n-1} \end{pmatrix},$$

is positive definite, according to the well-known Hilbert's formula:

$$(4) \quad \det C_n = \frac{[2!3!\dots(n-1)!]^2}{n!(n+1)!\dots(2n-1)!} > 0,$$

for $n=1, 2, \dots$, which proves the lemma.

It is noteworthy that Lemma 1 is implied also by an another theorem of Bendat-Sherman [1; Theorem 3.4] since $\log(1+\lambda)$ is clearly operator-monotone.

LEMMA 2. $(1+\lambda) \log(1+\lambda)$ is operator-convex for $|\lambda| < 1$.

It is clearly sufficient to show the lemma that the function,

$$(5) \quad g(\lambda) = (1+\lambda) \log(1+\lambda) - \lambda,$$

is operator-convex for $|\lambda| < 1$. By computation, (5) implies

$$g^{(k)}(\lambda) = (-1)^k (k-2)! (1+\lambda)^{-(k-1)},$$

for $k=2, 3, \dots$. Since g is analytic for $|\lambda| < 1$ and satisfies (2), it is also sufficient to prove the lemma that

$$\sum_{i,k=0}^n \frac{g^{(i+k+2)}(0)}{(i+k+2)!} \alpha_i \alpha_k = \sum_{i,k=0}^n (-1)^{i+k} \frac{(i+k)!}{(i+k+2)!} \alpha_i \alpha_k \geq 0,$$

for all n and for any real numbers α_i . Therefore, it is sufficient to show the lemma that the matrix,

$$D_{n+1} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{1 \cdot 2} & \frac{1}{2 \cdot 3} & \frac{1}{3 \cdot 4} & \dots & \frac{1}{(n+1)(n+2)} \\ \frac{1}{2 \cdot 3} & \frac{1}{3 \cdot 4} & \frac{1}{4 \cdot 5} & \dots & \frac{1}{(n+2)(n+3)} \\ & & & \dots & \\ \frac{1}{(n+1)(n+2)} & \dots & & & \frac{1}{(2n+1)(2n+2)} \end{pmatrix},$$

is non-negative definite, for $n=1, 2, \dots$. It will be shown in the next section that $\det D_n > 0$ for $n=1, 2, \dots$.

2. In this section, Lemma 2 is proved by establishing a determinant formula in the following

LEMMA 3. For $n=1, 2, \dots$,

$$(6) \quad \det D_n = \begin{vmatrix} \frac{1}{1 \cdot 2} & \frac{1}{2 \cdot 3} & \dots & \frac{1}{n(n+1)} \\ \frac{1}{2 \cdot 3} & \frac{1}{3 \cdot 4} & \dots & \frac{1}{(n+1)(n+2)} \\ & & \dots & \\ \frac{1}{n(n+1)} & \dots & & \frac{1}{(2n-1)2n} \end{vmatrix} = \frac{[(n-1)!(n-2)!\dots 3!2!]^2 n!}{(n+1)!(n+2)!\dots(2n-1)!2n!}.$$

Our proof is an imitation of a proof which gives Hilbert's formula (4) from Cauchy's formula:

$$\left| \begin{array}{ccc} \frac{1}{x_1-a_1} & \frac{1}{x_1-a_2} & \dots & \frac{1}{x_1-a_n} \\ \frac{1}{x_2-a_1} & \frac{1}{x_2-a_2} & \dots & \frac{1}{x_2-a_n} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \frac{1}{x_n-a_1} & \frac{1}{x_n-a_2} & \dots & \frac{1}{x_n-a_n} \end{array} \right| = (-1)^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}} \frac{\prod_{i>k} (x_i-x_k)(a_i-a_k)}{\prod_{i=1}^n p(x_i)},$$

where $p(x) = \prod_{k=1}^n (x-a_k)$.

Proof. Put $p(x) = \prod_{k=1}^{n+1} (x-a_k)$,

$$D = \left| \begin{array}{ccc} \frac{1}{(x_1-a_1)(x_1-a_2)} & \frac{1}{(x_1-a_2)(x_1-a_3)} & \dots & \frac{1}{(x_1-a_n)(x_1-a_{n+1})} \\ \frac{1}{(x_2-a_1)(x_2-a_2)} & \frac{1}{(x_2-a_2)(x_2-a_3)} & \dots & \frac{1}{(x_2-a_n)(x_2-a_{n+1})} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \frac{1}{(x_n-a_1)(x_n-a_2)} & \frac{1}{(x_n-a_2)(x_n-a_3)} & \dots & \frac{1}{(x_n-a_n)(x_n-a_{n+1})} \end{array} \right|,$$

and $C = D \cdot \prod_{i=1}^n p(x_i)$. Then we have

$$C = \left| \begin{array}{ccc} \prod_{k \neq 1,2} (x_1-a_k) & \prod_{k \neq 2,3} (x_1-a_k) \dots \prod_{k \neq n,n+1} (x_1-a_k) \\ \prod_{k \neq 1,2} (x_2-a_k) & \prod_{k \neq 2,3} (x_2-a_k) \dots \prod_{k \neq n,n+1} (x_2-a_k) \\ \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \prod_{k \neq 1,2} (x_n-a_k) & \prod_{k \neq 2,3} (x_n-a_k) \dots \prod_{k \neq n,n+1} (x_n-a_k) \end{array} \right|.$$

Since C is divisible by x_i-x_k for $i \neq k, i, k=1, 2, \dots, n$, C is also divisible by $\prod (x_i-x_k)$ ($n \geq i > k \geq 1$). Similarly, D is divisible by a_i-a_k for $i-k \neq 1$. Hence C is divisible by

$$\frac{\prod_{n+1 \geq i > k \geq 1} (a_i-a_k)}{\prod_{n \geq k \geq 1} (a_{k+1}-a_k)},$$

that is,

$$C = c \cdot \frac{\prod_{n \geq i > k \geq 1} (x_i-x_k) \prod_{n+1 \geq i > k \geq 1} (a_i-a_k)}{\prod_{k=1}^n (a_{k+1}-a_k)}.$$

Comparing with the order of the both sides of the above equality, c is known as a constant. Also comparing with the corresponding terms of the expansions, $c = (-1)^{n(n-1)} / (-1)^{n(n-1)/2} = (-1)^{n(n-1)/2}$. Therefore, one has

$$(7) \quad D = (-1)^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}} \frac{\prod_{n \geq i > k \geq 1} (x_i-x_k) \prod_{n+1 \geq i > k \geq 1} (a_i-a_k)}{\prod_{k=1}^n (a_{k+1}-a_k) \prod_{i=1}^n p(x_i)}.$$

Finally, it is shown that (7) implies (6) assuming

$$(8) \quad x_i - a_k = i + k - 1.$$

Since (8) implies at once $x_k - a_i = k + i - 1 = x_i - a_k$, one has

$$x_i - x_k = i - k, \quad a_i - a_k = k - i, \quad a_{k+1} - a_k = -1,$$

and $p(x_i) = \prod_{k=1}^{n+1} (x_i - a_k) = \prod_{k=1}^{n+1} (i + k - 1)$. Replacing them in (7), one has

$$\begin{aligned} \det D_n = D &= (-1)^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}} \frac{\prod_{n \geq i > k \geq 1} (i-k) \prod_{n+1 \geq i > k \geq 1} (k-i)}{\prod_{k=1}^n (-1)^k \prod_{i=1}^n \prod_{k=1}^{n+1} (i+k-1)} \\ &= (-1)^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2} + \frac{n(n+1)}{2} - n} \frac{\left[\binom{n-1}{k=1} (n-k) \right] \cdot \left[\binom{n-2}{k=1} (n-1-k) \right] \cdots 2 \cdot 1 \Big]^2 \prod_{k=1}^n (n-k+1)}{\prod_{i=1}^n [i(i+1) \cdots (i+n)]} \\ &= (-1)^{n(n-1)} \frac{[(n-1)! (n-2)! \cdots 3! 2!]^2 [n(n-1) \cdots 2 \cdot 1]}{n! (n+1)! \frac{(n+2)!}{2!} \cdots \frac{(2n-1)!}{(n-1)!} \frac{2n!}{n!}} \\ &= \frac{[(n-1)! \cdots 3! 2!]^3 n!}{(n+1)! (n+2)! \cdots 2n!}, \end{aligned}$$

which proves the lemma.

3. In this section, the following theorem will be proved:

THEOREM 1. $f(\lambda) = \lambda \log \lambda$ is operator-convex for $\lambda \geq 0$, where $f(0)$ is defined by

$$(9) \quad f(0) = 0.$$

The proof will be divided into two steps. At first, it will be shown that f is operator-convex in $[0, 2\rangle$. If a and b are two hermitean operators having their spectra in $[0, 2\rangle$, then there exist nets $\{a_s\}$ and $\{b_s\}$ which have their spectra in $\langle 0, 2\rangle$ and converge strongly to a and b respectively. For each δ Lemma 2 implies $f(\alpha a_s + \beta b_s) \leq \alpha f(a_s) + \beta f(b_s)$, where $\alpha, \beta \geq 0$ with $\alpha + \beta = 1$. By the continuity of $f(\lambda)$ for $\lambda \geq 0$ and by a lemma of Kaplansky [4], the mapping $a \rightarrow f(a)$ is strongly continuous on $0 \leq a < 2$, whence the above inequality implies (1) for $I = [0, 2\rangle$.

Now let c and d are non-negative hermitean operators, and choose a constant $k > 0$ such that $a = c/k$ and $b = d/k$ have their spectra in $[0, 2\rangle$. By the above, a and b satisfy (1). Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{k} (ac + \beta d) [\log (ac + \beta d) - \log k] \\ & \leq \frac{1}{k} [\alpha c \log c + \beta d \log d - (ac + \beta d) \log k], \end{aligned}$$

which implies that c and d satisfy (1) in place of a and b . This completes the proof of the theorem.

4. Suppose that A is a semi-finite von Neumann algebra in the sense of J. Dixmier [3] having a normal trace or a gage τ . If a is

a non-negative hermitean member of A , then $a \log a$ belongs to A too. Hence the following definition has a meaning:

DEFINITION 1. For a non-negative hermitean a of A , the *entropy* of a is defined by

$$(10) \quad H(a) = -\tau(a \log a).$$

If τ is semi-finite, a is called to have the *bounded entropy* provided that $H(a)$ is finite. If τ is finite, the entropy is always finite.

Since $f(a) = a \log a$ satisfies (1) by Theorem 1, and τ is monotone, the definition implies at once

THEOREM 2. *The entropy is concave on A^+ , that is,*

$$(11) \quad H(\alpha a + \beta b) \geq \alpha H(a) + \beta H(b),^{1)}$$

for non-negative hermiteans a and b of A , where $\alpha, \beta \geq 0$ and $\alpha + \beta = 1$.

Moreover, the following theorem holds:

THEOREM 3. *The entropy does not decrease after an application of the conditional expectation ϵ conditioned by a von Neumann subalgebra B in the sense of [10, I and II]:²⁾*

$$(12) \quad H(a^\epsilon) \geq H(a).$$

Proof.¹⁾ Since $\lambda \log \lambda$ is operator-convex by Theorem 1 and satisfies (9), theorems in [2] and [6] imply

$$(13) \quad a^\epsilon \log a^\epsilon \leq [a \log a]^\epsilon.$$

By the monotonicity of τ , one has

$$H(a^\epsilon) = -\tau(a^\epsilon \log a^\epsilon) \geq -\tau([a \log a]^\epsilon) = -\tau(a \log a) = H(a),$$

which is desired.

Since Segal [9] defined the entropy of a state σ of A by the Radon-Nikodym derivative a of σ with respect to the trace τ , the above theorems imply the corresponding theorems of Segal.

To conclude the note, it may be observed with some interests, that Theorem 3 allows us to introduce the following

DEFINITION 2. If B is a von Neuman subalgebra of A , and if a is a non-negative hermitean element of A , then the *information of a with respect to B* is defined by

$$(14) \quad I(a; B) = H(a^\epsilon) - H(a),$$

where a^ϵ is the conditional expectation of a conditioned by B . By Theorem 3, $I(a; B)$ is non-negative.

1) By the same methods, we can prove the followings: For a state σ of a C^* -algebra, we define $H_\sigma(a) = -\sigma(a \log a)$ ($a \geq 0$) and call it by σ -entropy. If the ϵ is an expectation ϵ_σ on a finite von Neumann algebra in the sense of [10, III], then the inequalities (11) and (12) for H_σ in places of H also hold, where σ is a normal state in the tracelet space defined by the von Neumann subalgebra. More generally, if the ϵ is an expectation on a C^* -algebra A in the sense of [7], then the same facts also hold for any state σ , invariant by ϵ , that is, $\sigma(a) = \sigma(a^\epsilon)$ for all $a \in A$.

2) The subalgebra B is clearly defined such that the restriction of τ onto B , is also a gage. Therefore, $\tau(a) = \tau(a^\epsilon)$ for all $a \in A$ (cf. [10, II]).

References

- [1] J. Bndat and S. Sherman: Monotone and convex operator functions, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **79**, 58-71 (1955).
- [2] C. Davis: A Schwarz inequality for convex operator functions, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **8**, 42-44 (1957).
- [3] J. Dixmier: *Les Algèbres d'Opérateurs dans l'Espace Hilbertien*, Gauthier-Villars, Paris (1957).
- [4] I. Kaplansky: A theorem on rings of operators, *Pacific J. Math.*, **1**, 227-232 (1951).
- [5] A. I. Khinchin: *Mathematical Foundations of Information Theory*, Dover, New York (1957).
- [6] M. Nakamura, M. Takesaki, and H. Umegaki: A remark on the expectations of operator algebras, *Kōdai Math. Sem. Rep.*, **12**, 82-90 (1960).
- [7] M. Nakamura and T. Turumaru: Expectations in an operator algebra, *Tōhoku Math. J.*, **6**, 182-188 (1954).
- [8] J. von Neumann: *Mathematical Foundations of Quantum Mechanics*, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton (1955).
- [9] I. E. Segal: A note on the concept of entropy, *J. Math. Mech.*, **9**, 623-629 (1960).
- [10] H. Umegaki: Conditional expectation in an operator algebra, I, *Tōhoku Math. J.*, **6**, 177-181 (1954); II, **8**, 86-100 (1956); and III, *Kōdai Math. Sem. Rep.*, **11**, 51-64 (1959).