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1. Introduction. The object of this note is to state some theorems concerning the existence and the uniqueness of the solution of the initial value problem for the evolutional equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
d x(t) / d t=A(t) x(t)+f(t), a \leqq t \leqq b \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here the unknown $x(t)$ as well as the inhomogeneous term $f(t)$ is a function on the closed interval $[a, b]$ to a Banach space $X$, whereas $A(t)$ is a function on $[a, b]$ to the set of (in general unbounded) linear operators acting in $X$.

For each $t, A(t)$ is assumed to be the infinitesimal generator of an analytic semi-group of bounded operators. We make some additional assumptions on the resolvents of $A(t)$. However, we do not assume that the domain of some fractional power of $A(t)$ is independent of $t$.

Under these assumptions, we will construct the evolution operator (or fundamental solution) $U(t, s)$, defined for $a \leqq s \leqq t \leqq b$, such that the solution of (1.1) can be expressed in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
x(t)=U(t, s) x(s)+\int_{s}^{t} U(t, \sigma) f(\sigma) d \sigma . \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

2. Notations and assumptions. We denote by $\sum$ the closed angular domain consisting of all the complex numbers $\lambda$ satisfying $|\arg \lambda| \leqq \pi / 2+\theta$, where $\theta$ is a fixed angle with $0<\theta<\pi / 2$. We make the following assumptions.
(A.1) For each $t \in[a, b], A(t)$ is a densely defined, closed linear operator whose resolvent set $\rho(A(t))$ contains $\sum$.
(A.2) There exists a positive constant $M$ such that the resolvent of $A(t)$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|(\lambda I-A(t))^{-1}\right\| \leqq M /|\lambda|, \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for each $t \in[a, b]$ and $\lambda \in \sum$.
(A.3) $A(t)^{-1}$, which is a bounded operator valued function of $t$, is once Hölder continuously differentiable in $a \leqq t \leqq b$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|d A(t)^{-1} / d t-d A(s)^{-1} / d s\right\| \leqq K|t-s|^{\alpha}, K, \alpha>0 . \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

(A.4) There exist positive constants $N$ and $\rho$ with $0 \leqq \rho<1$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\lambda I-A(t))^{-1}\right\| \leqq \frac{N}{|\lambda|^{1-\rho}}, \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for each $t \in[a, b]$ and $\lambda \in \sum$.
In what follows, we denote by $C$ constants which depend only on the constants appearing in the above assumptions.

As a sufficient condition for (A.4), we have
Theorem 1. If there exist positive numbers $\rho, \rho_{1}$ and a natural number $l$ satisfying $1=l \rho+\rho_{1}, 0 \leqq \rho_{1}<\rho<1$, such that both $A(t)^{-\rho}$ and $A(t)^{-\rho_{1}}$ are once continuously differentiable in $t$, then (A.4) is satisfied.

Proof. This follows from

$$
\begin{gather*}
(\partial / \partial t)(\lambda I-A(t))^{-1}=-A(t)(\lambda I-A(t))^{-1} d A(t)^{-1} / d t A(t)(\lambda I-A(t))^{-1},  \tag{2.4}\\
A(t)^{-1}=\left(A(t)^{-\rho}\right)^{2} A(t)^{-\rho_{1}},  \tag{2.5}\\
\left\|A(t)^{\alpha}(\lambda I-A(t))^{-1}\right\| \leqq C_{\alpha} / \|\left.\lambda\right|^{1-\alpha}, 0 \leqq \alpha \leqq 1 . \tag{2.6}
\end{gather*}
$$

Let $\Gamma$ be any smooth contour running from $\infty e^{-i\left(\theta+\frac{\pi}{2}\right)}$ to $\infty e^{i\left(\theta+\frac{\pi}{2}\right)}$ in $\sum$. By the assumptions made above, each $A(s)$ generates a semigroup $\exp (t A(s))$ by means of the formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
\exp (t A(s))=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{\Gamma} e^{\lambda t}(\lambda I-A(s))^{-1} d \lambda, \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is analytic in the sector $|\arg t|<\theta$.
3. Construction of the evolution operator. In this section, we construct the evolution operator $U(t, s)$. Setting

$$
\begin{equation*}
U(t, s)=\exp ((t-s) A(t))+\int_{s}^{t} \exp ((t-\tau) A(t)) R(\tau, s) d \tau \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and then calculating formally, we are led to the integral equation for $R(t, s)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
R(t, s)-\int_{s}^{t} R_{1}(t, \tau) R(\tau, s) d \tau=R_{1}(t, s) \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathrm{R}_{1}(t, s)=-(\partial / \partial t+\partial / \partial s) \exp ((t-s) A(t))$. The integral representation for $R_{1}(t, s)$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{1}(t, s)=-\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{r} e^{\lambda(t-s)} \frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\lambda I-A(t))^{-1} d \lambda \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

hence by (2.3),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|R_{1}(t, s)\right\| \leqq C(t-s)^{-\rho} . \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (3.4), the integral equation (3.2) can be solved by successive approximation, and $R(t, s)$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|R(t, s)\| \leqq C(t-s)^{-\rho} \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 1. For $s<\tau<t$, we have $\|R(t, s)-R(\tau, s)\|$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\leqq C\left\{\frac{t-\tau}{(t-s)(\tau-s)^{\rho}}+\frac{(t-\tau)^{\alpha}}{t-s}+\frac{(t-\tau)^{1-\rho}}{(t-s)^{\rho}}+\frac{(t-\tau)^{\alpha}}{(t-s)^{\rho}} \log \frac{t-s}{t-\tau}\right\} . \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. First, we write as

$$
\begin{align*}
R_{1}(t, s)-R_{1}(\tau, s) & =-\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{\Gamma} e^{\lambda(t-s)}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\lambda I-A(t))^{-1}-\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau}(\lambda I-A(\tau))^{-1}\right) d \lambda \\
& -\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{\Gamma}\left(e^{\lambda(t-s)}-e^{2(\tau-s)}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau}(\lambda I-A(\tau))^{-1} d \lambda \tag{3.7}
\end{align*}
$$

By (2.4), (2.2) and (2.3), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\lambda I-A(t))^{-1}-\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau}(\lambda I-A(\tau))^{-1}\right\| \leqq C\left\{(t-\tau)|\lambda|^{\rho}+(t-\tau)^{\alpha}\right\} . \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using this, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|R_{1}(t, s)-R_{1}(\tau, s)\right\| \leqq C\left(\frac{t-\tau}{(t-s)(\tau-s)^{p}}+\frac{(t-\tau)^{\alpha}}{t-s}\right) \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

The inequality (3.6) follows from (3.2), (3.5) and (3.9) (cf. Lemma 1.2 in [4]). If we write

$$
\begin{equation*}
W(t, s)=\int_{s}^{t} \exp ((t-\tau) A(t)) R(\tau, s) d \tau \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} W(t, s) & =\int_{s}^{t} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \exp ((t-\tau) A(t))(R(\tau, s)-R(t, s)) d \tau  \tag{3.11}\\
& -\int_{s}^{t} R_{1}(t, \tau) d \tau \cdot R(t, s)+\exp ((t-s) A(t)) R(t, s) .
\end{align*}
$$

Using (3.6), we easily obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\frac{\partial}{\partial t} U(t, s)\right\| \leqq \frac{C}{t-s},\left\|\frac{\partial}{\partial t} W(t, s)\right\| \leqq C\left(\frac{1}{(t-s)^{\rho}}+\frac{1}{(t-s)^{1-\alpha}}\right) . \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, $A(t) U(t, s)$ and $A(t) W(t, s)$ are also bounded operators if $s<t$, and satisfy similar inequalities to (3.12).

Next, we must prove that the solution of (1.1) is uniquely determined by the initial data and the inhomogeneous term. For this purpose, it is sufficient to show that there exists a bounded operator valued function $V(t, s)$ with the property:
i) $V(t, s)$ is defined and strongly continuous in $a \leqq s \leqq t \leqq b$,
ii) $V(s, s)=I$ for each $s \in[a, b]$,
iii) for any $x \in D(A(s)), \lim _{h \rightarrow 0} h^{-1}\{V(t, s+h)-V(t, s)\} x$ exists and equals to $-V(t, s) A(s) x$.

Such $V(t, s)$ is constructed by putting

$$
\begin{equation*}
V(t, s)=\exp ((t-s) A(s))+\int_{s}^{t} Q(t, \tau) \exp ((\tau-s) A(s)) d s \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

and it turns out that $V(t, s)=U(t, s)$ as a corollary (cf. [3], p. 146). Summing up, we have

Theorem 2. Under (A.1)~(A.4), there exists an evolution operator $U(t, s)$ for (1.1) which satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\frac{\partial}{\partial t} U(t, s)\right\|=\|A(t) U(t, s)\| \leqq \frac{C}{t-s} . \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

For any $x \in X$ and any Hölder continuous $f(t)$,

$$
x(t)=U(t, s) x+\int_{s}^{t} U(t, \sigma) f(\sigma) d \sigma
$$

represents the unique solution of (1.1) in $s<t \leqq b$ satisfying the initial condition $x(s)=x$.
4. Analyticity of the solution.

Theorem 3. If $A(t)^{-1}$ is holomorphic in $t$ in a complex neigh-
bourhood $\triangle$ of $[a, b]$ and (A,1)~(A.4) are satisfied in $\triangle$ (not only in $[a, b])$, the solution $x(t)$ of (1.1) is holomorphic in $t$ in any subdomain of $\triangle$ where $f(t)$ is holomorphic.

Proof. It is sufficient to prove that $U(t, s)$ is holomorphic in $s, t \in \triangle,|\arg (t-s)|<\theta_{1}$ for some positive $\theta_{1}$. This can be achieved quite similarly as in Komatsu [3].

If $A(t)^{-h}$ is holomorphic and $h^{-1}$ is some natural number, then $A(t)^{-1}$ is also holomorphic. Hence, Theorem 3 is a generalization of Theorem 2 of Kato [1].
5. Perturbation theory. In this section, we consider a perturbed equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
d x(t) / d t=A(t) x(t)+B(t) x(t)+f(t) . \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

(A.5) For each $t \in[a, b], B(t)$ is a closed operator such that $D(B(t))$ $\supset D(A(t))$. Moreover, for any $t \in[a, b]$ and $\lambda \in \sum$,

$$
\left\|B(t)(\lambda I-A(t))^{-1}\right\| \leqq H|\lambda|^{r-1}
$$

where $H$ and $\gamma$ are positive constants such that $0 \leqq \gamma<1$. (A.6) $B(t) A(t)^{-1}$ is Hölder continuous:

$$
\left\|B(t) A(t)^{-1}-B(s) A(s)^{-1}\right\| \leqq L|t-s|^{\beta},
$$

where $L$ and $\beta$ are some positive constants.
Theorem 4. Under (A.1)~(A.6), there exists an evolution operator $U(t, s)$ for (5.1). $U(t, s)$ satisfies the similar inequalities to (3.14). $U(t, s)$ also satisfies i)~iii) in 2 , hence the solution of (5.1) is uniquely determined by its initial data and the inhomogeneous term.
6. Remark. Professor T. Kato indicated the following remark:

Let $X=L^{2}(0,1)=\{$ the set of all square integrable functions $x(\xi)$ with the norm $\left.\|x\|=\left(\int_{0}^{1}|x(\xi)|^{2} d \xi\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right\}$, and $A(t)=-|\xi-t|^{-k}, \xi>1$. Then (A.1)~(A.4) are all satisfied. Especially, (2.3) holds good with $\rho=k^{-1}$. But, $A(t)^{-\rho}$ is differentiable only if $\rho k \geqq 1$. Hence, if $k$ is sufficiently near 1, the assumption of Theorem 1 is not satisfied. Thus, Theorem 1 does not give a very satisfactory sufficient condition for the validity of (2.3). Notice that the domain of $A(t)^{h}$ does change with $t$ for any $h>0$ in this example.
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