

6. On the Functional-Representations of Normal Operators in Hilbert Spaces

By Sakuji INOUE

Faculty of Education, Kumamoto University

(Comm. by K. KUNUGI, M.J.A., Jan. 12, 1962)

Let \mathfrak{H} be the complex abstract Hilbert space which is complete, separable, and infinite dimensional; let $\{\varphi_\nu\}_{\nu=1,2,3,\dots}$ and $\{\psi_\mu\}_{\mu=1,2,3,\dots}$ both be incomplete orthonormal sets in \mathfrak{H} which have no element in common and together form a complete orthonormal set in that space; let $\{\lambda_\nu\}_{\nu=1,2,3,\dots}$ be an arbitrarily prescribed bounded sequence in the complex plane; let $\{u_{ij}\}$ be an infinite unitary matrix with $|u_{ij}| \neq 1$, $j=1, 2, 3, \dots$; let $\Psi_\mu = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} u_{\mu j} \psi_j$; let L_x be the continuous linear functional associated with an arbitrary $x \in \mathfrak{H}$; and let $y \otimes L_x$ be the operator defined by $(y \otimes L_x)z = (z, x)y$ for an arbitrarily given $y \in \mathfrak{H}$ and for every $z \in \mathfrak{H}$. Then, with respect to the operator N defined as

$$N = \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \lambda_\nu \varphi_\nu \otimes L_{\varphi_\nu} + c \sum_{\mu=1}^{\infty} \Psi_\mu \otimes L_{\psi_\mu},$$

where c is an arbitrarily given complex constant, I have proved in Vol. 37, No. 10 (1961) of Proceedings of the Japan Academy that not only the right-hand side converges uniformly, but that also N is a bounded normal operator with point spectrum $\{\lambda_\nu\}$ in \mathfrak{H} , and have defined the expression of the right-hand side as "the functional-representation of N ".

The purpose of this paper is to prove that conversely every bounded normal operator N in \mathfrak{H} is essentially expressible by such an infinite series of the continuous linear functionals associated with all the elements of a complete orthonormal set in \mathfrak{H} as described above.

Theorem A. Let N be a bounded normal operator in \mathfrak{H} ; let $\{\lambda_\nu\}_{\nu=1,2,3,\dots}$ be its point spectrum (inclusive of the multiplicity of each eigenvalue of N); let $\{\varphi_\nu\}_{\nu=1,2,3,\dots}$ be an orthonormal set determining the subspace \mathfrak{M} determined by all the eigenelements of N , such that φ_ν is a normalized eigenelement corresponding to an arbitrary eigenvalue λ_ν of N ; let $\{\psi_\mu\}_{\mu=1,2,3,\dots}$ be an orthonormal set determining the orthogonal complement \mathfrak{N} of \mathfrak{M} ; and let L_f be the continuous linear functional associated with any $f \in \mathfrak{H}$. Then $\|N\psi_\mu\|^2$, $\mu=1, 2, 3, \dots$, assume the same value, which will be denoted by σ ; and if we choose arbitrarily a complex constant c with absolute value $\sqrt{\sigma}$ and put $\Psi_\mu = \sum_j u_{\mu j} \psi_j$, where $u_{\mu j} = (N\psi_\mu, \psi_j)/c$ and \sum_j

denotes the sum for all $\psi_j \in \{\psi_\mu\}$, the equality

$$(1) \quad N = \sum_{\nu} \lambda_{\nu} \varphi_{\nu} \otimes L_{\varphi_{\nu}} + c \sum_{\mu} \Psi_{\mu} \otimes L_{\psi_{\mu}}$$

holds on the domain \mathfrak{H} of N , and moreover the matrix (u_{kj}) associated with all the elements of $\{\psi_{\mu}\}$ is unitary and possesses the property $|u_{jj}| \neq 1$ for $j=1, 2, 3, \dots$.

Proof. By hypotheses, $N\varphi_{\kappa} = \lambda_{\kappa}\varphi_{\kappa}$, $\kappa=1, 2, 3, \dots$; and in addition, $\sum_{\nu} \lambda_{\nu} \varphi_{\nu} \otimes L_{\varphi_{\nu}}(\varphi_{\kappa}) = \lambda_{\kappa}\varphi_{\kappa}$, $\kappa=1, 2, 3, \dots$. Since, on the other hand, any element g of \mathfrak{M} is expressed in the form $g = \sum_{\nu} (g, \varphi_{\nu}) \varphi_{\nu}$, these results permit us to assert that the equality $N = \sum_{\nu} \lambda_{\nu} \varphi_{\nu} \otimes L_{\varphi_{\nu}}$ holds on \mathfrak{M} .

Now, let $\{K(z)\}$ be the complex spectral family associated with N , K_{ν} the eigenprojector corresponding to an arbitrary eigenvalue λ_{ν} of N , and $\Delta(N)$ the continuous spectrum of N . Then, since $N = \sum_{\nu} \lambda_{\nu} K_{\nu} + \int_{\Delta(N)} z dK(z)$, where \sum_{ν} denotes the sum for all distinct eigenvalues λ_{ν} in $\{\lambda_{\nu}\}$, and since $K_{\nu} \psi_{\mu} = 0$ for every pair of μ, ν , $N\psi_{\mu}$, $\mu=1, 2, 3, \dots$, belong to \mathfrak{N} . Putting $\Phi_{\mu} = \sum_j C_{\mu j} \psi_j$ where $C_{\mu j} = (N\psi_{\mu}, \psi_j)$ and \sum_j denotes the sum for all $\psi_j \in \{\psi_{\mu}\}$, we have therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\mu} \Phi_{\mu} \otimes L_{\psi_{\mu}}(\psi_p) &= \Phi_p \\ &= \sum_j C_{pj} \psi_j \\ &= N\psi_p \end{aligned}$$

for every $\psi_p \in \{\psi_{\mu}\}$. This result leads us to the assertion that the equality $N = \sum_{\mu} \Phi_{\mu} \otimes L_{\psi_{\mu}}$ holds on \mathfrak{N} . Since, furthermore, any element $f \in \mathfrak{H}$ is uniquely expressed in the form $f = g + h$ where $g \in \mathfrak{M}$ and $h \in \mathfrak{N}$, and since

$$\sum_{\nu} \lambda_{\nu} \varphi_{\nu} \otimes L_{\varphi_{\nu}}(f) = \sum_{\nu} \lambda_{\nu} \varphi_{\nu} \otimes L_{\varphi_{\nu}}(g) = Ng$$

and

$$\sum_{\mu} \Phi_{\mu} \otimes L_{\psi_{\mu}}(f) = \sum_{\mu} \Phi_{\mu} \otimes L_{\psi_{\mu}}(h) = Nh,$$

we obtain

$$Nf = \sum_{\nu} \lambda_{\nu} \varphi_{\nu} \otimes L_{\varphi_{\nu}}(f) + \sum_{\mu} \Phi_{\mu} \otimes L_{\psi_{\mu}}(f),$$

which shows that the equality

$$(1') \quad N = \sum_{\nu} \lambda_{\nu} \varphi_{\nu} \otimes L_{\varphi_{\nu}} + \sum_{\mu} \Phi_{\mu} \otimes L_{\psi_{\mu}}$$

holds on \mathfrak{H} .

If we next denote by δ an arbitrary subset with non-zero measure of $\Delta(N)$, $K(\delta)$ is a projector and hence the relation

$$\begin{aligned} (K(\delta)f, f') &= \left\| K(\delta) \frac{f+f'}{2} \right\|^2 - \left\| K(\delta) \frac{f-f'}{2} \right\|^2 \\ &\quad + i \left[\left\| K(\delta) \frac{f+if'}{2} \right\|^2 - \left\| K(\delta) \frac{f-if'}{2} \right\|^2 \right] \end{aligned}$$

holds for every pair of $f, f' \in \mathfrak{H}$. Remembering that $K_{\nu} \psi_{\mu} = 0$ for

every pair of μ, ν , we have therefore

$$(2) \quad \begin{aligned} (N\psi_\mu, N\psi_j) &= \int_{\mathcal{A}(N)} |z|^2 d(K(z)\psi_\mu, \psi_j) \\ &= \int_{\mathcal{A}(N)} |z|^2 d \left[\left\| K(z) \frac{\psi_\mu + \psi_j}{2} \right\|^2 - \left\| K(z) \frac{\psi_\mu - \psi_j}{2} \right\|^2 \right] \\ &\quad + i \int_{\mathcal{A}(N)} |z|^2 d \left[\left\| K(z) \frac{\psi_\mu + i\psi_j}{2} \right\|^2 - \left\| K(z) \frac{\psi_\mu - i\psi_j}{2} \right\|^2 \right]. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, since

$$(3) \quad \zeta(\delta) = \left\| K(\delta) \frac{\psi_\mu + \psi_j}{2} \right\|^2 = \left\| K(\delta) \frac{\psi_\mu - \psi_j}{2} \right\|^2 + \Re(K(\delta)\psi_\mu, \psi_j) \geq 0,$$

the set function ζ defined here is an extended real valued and non-negative set function, defined on $\mathcal{A}(N)$ forming a (Boolean) ring, and such that $\zeta(0) = 0$. Moreover the verification of the assertion that ζ is countably additive offers no difficulty. It is thus apparent that ζ is a measure. In consequence, by applying the mean value theorem for integrals to the equality

$$\int_{\mathcal{A}(N)} |z|^2 d \left\| K(z) \frac{\psi_\mu + \psi_j}{2} \right\|^2 = \int_{\mathcal{A}(N)} |z|^2 d \left[\left\| K(z) \frac{\psi_\mu - \psi_j}{2} \right\|^2 + \Re(K(z)\psi_\mu, \psi_j) \right]$$

deduced from (3), we find from the boundedness of N that

$$\begin{aligned} \rho \int_{\mathcal{A}(N)} d \left\| K(z) \frac{\psi_\mu + \psi_j}{2} \right\|^2 &= \rho \int_{\mathcal{A}(N)} d \left[\left\| K(z) \frac{\psi_\mu - \psi_j}{2} \right\|^2 + \Re(K(z)\psi_\mu, \psi_j) \right] \\ &= \rho \int_{\mathcal{A}(N)} d \left\| K(z) \frac{\psi_\mu - \psi_j}{2} \right\|^2 + \rho \int_{\mathcal{A}(N)} d[\Re(K(z)\psi_\mu, \psi_j)], \end{aligned}$$

where ρ is a suitable positive constant such that $\inf_{z \in \mathcal{A}(N)} |z|^2 \leq \rho \leq \sup_{z \in \mathcal{A}(N)} |z|^2 \leq \|N\|^2$. As will be found from (2), this result shows that

$$\begin{aligned} \Re(N\psi_\mu, N\psi_j) &= \rho \int_{\mathcal{A}(N)} d[\Re(K(z)\psi_\mu, \psi_j)] \\ &= \rho \Re(K(\mathcal{A}(N))\psi_\mu, \psi_j) \\ &= \rho \Re(\psi_\mu, \psi_j) \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

for every pair of two distinct elements $\psi_\mu, \psi_j \in \{\psi_\mu\}$.

In the same manner as above, we find that $\Im(N\psi_\mu, N\psi_j) = 0$ for all distinct $\psi_\mu, \psi_j \in \{\psi_\mu\}$. Consequently the relation $(N\psi_\mu, N\psi_j) = 0$ holds for every pair of distinct $\psi_\mu, \psi_j \in \{\psi_\mu\}$.

Furthermore, by reasoning exactly like that applied to $\Re(N\psi_\mu, N\psi_j)$, we can find that

$$\begin{aligned} \Re(N(\psi_\mu + \psi_j), N(\psi_\mu - \psi_j)) &= \gamma \int_{\mathcal{A}(N)} d[\Re(K(z)(\psi_\mu + \psi_j), \psi_\mu - \psi_j)], \quad (0 < \gamma \leq \|N\|^2), \\ &= \gamma \Re(\psi_\mu + \psi_j, \psi_\mu - \psi_j) \\ &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

whereas $(N(\psi_\mu + \psi_j), N(\psi_\mu - \psi_j)) = \|N\psi_\mu\|^2 - \|N\psi_j\|^2$. Hence all the $\|N\psi_\mu\|^2$ for $\mu=1, 2, 3, \dots$ assume the same value, which will be denoted by σ .

We now choose arbitrarily a complex number c such that $|c|^2 = \sigma$ and put $\Psi_\mu = \sum_j u_{\mu j} \psi_j$ where $u_{\mu j} = C_{\mu j}/c$. Then, by making use of the just established relations

$$(N\psi_\mu, N\psi_p) = \begin{cases} |c|^2 & (\mu=p) \\ 0 & (\mu \neq p) \end{cases}, \quad \mu, p=1, 2, 3, \dots,$$

and of the fact that $N\psi_\mu$ belongs to \mathfrak{N} for every $\psi_\mu \in \{\psi_\mu\}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\Psi_\mu, \Psi_p) &= \sum_j u_{\mu j} \bar{u}_{pj} \\ &= \sum_j (N\psi_\mu, \psi_j) \overline{(N\psi_p, \psi_j)} / |c|^2 \\ &= (N\psi_\mu, N\psi_p) / |c|^2 \\ &= \begin{cases} 1 & (\mu=p) \\ 0 & (\mu \neq p) \end{cases}. \end{aligned}$$

In addition, it is clear that (1') is expressed in the form (1).

Thus it remains only to prove that

$$(4) \quad \sum_j u_{j\mu} \bar{u}_{jp} = \begin{cases} 1 & (\mu=p) \\ 0 & (\mu \neq p) \end{cases}$$

and that $|u_{jj}| \neq 1$ for $j=1, 2, 3, \dots$.

To prove the validity of these relations, we consider the adjoint operator N^* of N . Then we have $N^* \varphi_\nu = \bar{\lambda}_\nu \varphi_\nu$, $N^* = \int_G \bar{z} dK(z)$ where G denotes the complex z -plane, and $(N^* \psi_\mu, \psi_j) = \overline{(N\psi_j, \psi_\mu)} = \bar{C}_{j\mu}$. Accordingly, by the same reasoning as that used to establish the functional-representation (1) of N it can be verified without difficulty that

$$N^* = \sum_\nu \bar{\lambda}_\nu \varphi_\nu \otimes L \varphi_\nu + c \sum_\mu \Psi_\mu^* \otimes L \varphi_\mu,$$

where $\Psi_\mu^* = \sum_j \bar{u}_{j\mu} \psi_j$, and that (4) is valid. Thus the matrix (u_{kj}) associated with all the elements of $\{\psi_\mu\}$ is unitary. Furthermore it is seen that

$$(5) \quad |u_{jj}| = |C_{jj}| / |c| = |(N\psi_j, \psi_j)| / \|N\psi_j\|, \quad j=1, 2, 3, \dots,$$

and that $\|N\psi_j\|^2 = \sum_\mu |(N\psi_j, \psi_\mu)|^2$ in accordance with the Parseval identity and the fact that $N\psi_j$ belongs to \mathfrak{N} . On the other hand, it never occurs that $(N\psi_j, \psi_\mu)$ vanishes for every ψ_μ different from ψ_j ; for otherwise ψ_j would become an eigenelement of N , contrary to hypotheses. Hence $\|N\psi_j\| > |(N\psi_j, \psi_j)|$. By virtue of the application of this inequality to (5), we obtain $|u_{jj}| < 1$ for $j=1, 2, 3, \dots$.

With these results, the proof of the theorem is complete.

Remark 1. Since it is easily verified by means of (4) that

$$\sum_\mu (h, \Psi_\mu) \Psi_\mu = \sum_\mu (h, \psi_\mu) \psi_\mu = h$$

for every $h \in \mathfrak{H}$, the set $\{\Psi_\mu\}$ associated with $\{\psi_\mu\}$ is an orthonormal

set determining \mathfrak{N} ; and moreover it is seen that the same result is true of $\{\Psi_\mu^*\}$.

Remark 2. It is found immediately from the method of the proof of Theorem A that, if the (one-dimensional or two-dimensional) measure of $\Delta(N)$ is zero, the second member in the right-hand side of (1) vanishes and $\{\varphi_\nu\}$ is a complete orthonormal set, and that, if, on the contrary, the point spectrum of N is empty, N is expressed by that second member in which the orthonormal set $\{\psi_\mu\}$ is complete.

Corollary A. If, in Theorem A, $f(z)$ is a function holomorphic on the closed domain $D\{z: |z| \leq \|N\|\}$, then $\|f(N)\psi_\mu\|^2$, $\mu=1, 2, 3, \dots$, assume the same value, which will be denoted by σ' ; and if, in addition, we choose arbitrarily a complex constant c' with absolute value $\sqrt{\sigma'}$ and put $\Psi'_\mu = \sum_j u'_{\mu j} \psi_j$ where $u'_{\mu j} = (f(N)\psi_\mu, \psi_j)/c'$ and \sum_j denotes the sum for all $\psi_j \in \{\psi_\mu\}$, then the equality

$$f(N) = \sum_\nu f(\lambda_\nu) \varphi_\nu \otimes L_{\varphi_\nu} + c' \sum_\mu \Psi'_\mu \otimes L_{\psi_\mu}$$

holds on \mathfrak{H} and the matrix (u'_{kj}) associated with all the elements of $\{\psi_\mu\}$ possesses the same characters as those of the matrix (u_{kj}) described in Theorem A.

Proof. Since, by definition, we have $f(N) = \int_D f(z) dK(z)$, which implies that the adjoint operator $f^*(N)$ of $f(N)$ is given by $f^*(N) = \int_D \overline{f(z)} dK(z)$, and since, by hypotheses, $f(z)$ is holomorphic on D , there is no difficulty in showing that

- 1° $f(N)$ is a bounded normal operator in \mathfrak{H} ;
- 2° the point spectrum of N is given by $\{f(\lambda_\nu)\}_{\nu=1,2,3,\dots}$, and φ_ν is an eigenelement of $f(N)$ corresponding to the eigenvalue $f(\lambda_\nu)$;
- 3° the continuous spectrum of $f(N)$ also is given by the image of $\Delta(N)$ by $f(z)$.

Accordingly the present corollary is a direct consequence of Theorem A.

Correction to Sakuji Inoue: "Functional-Representations of Normal Operators in Hilbert Spaces and Their Applications" (Proc. Japan Acad., Vol. 37, No. 10, 614–618 (1961)).

Page 614, line 17 from bottom: read " $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}$ " in place of " $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}$ ".

Page 615, line 1: read " b_μ " in place of " b_μ ".

Page 616, line 1: read " $\overline{L_{\varphi_\nu}(y)}$ and $\overline{L_{\psi_\kappa}(y)}$ " in place of " $\overline{L_{\varphi_\nu}(y)}$ and $\overline{L_{\psi_\nu}(y)}$ ".

Page 617, line 18: read "relations" in place of "velations".