

26. Some Applications of the Functional-Representations of Normal Operators in Hilbert Spaces. VI

By Sakuji INOUE

Faculty of Education, Kumamoto University
(Comm. by Kinjirô KUNUGI, M.J.A., Feb. 12, 1963)

On the assumption that ζ and Ω denote respectively a given complex number and an appropriately large circle with center at the origin and that the ordinary part $R(\lambda)$ of the function $S(\lambda)$ defined in the statement of Theorem 1 [1] is a transcendental integral function, in this paper we shall discuss the relation between the distribution of ζ -points of $S(\lambda)$ and that of ζ -points of $R(\lambda)$ in the exterior of the same circle Ω and shall then show that, if each of $S(\lambda)$ and $R(\lambda)$ has its finite exceptional value for the exterior of Ω , the two exceptional values are identical under some conditions.

Theorem 16. Let $S(\lambda)$, $R(\lambda)$, and $\{\lambda_\nu\}$ be the same notations as those in Theorem 1; let σ be an appropriately large number such that $\sup |\lambda_\nu| < \sigma < \infty$; let $\{z_n\}$ be an infinite sequence of all ζ -points of $R(\lambda)$ in the exterior of the circle $|\lambda| = \sigma$ such that

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} R(z_n) = \zeta \\ \sigma < |z_n| \leq |z_{n+1}| \end{array} \right\} (n=1, 2, 3, \dots)$$

and $|z_n| \rightarrow \infty$ ($n \rightarrow \infty$), each ζ -point being counted with the proper multiplicity; let

$$C = \sup_n \left\{ \frac{1}{2\pi} \left| \int_0^{2\pi} S(\rho e^{it}) e^{in t} dt \right| \right\} (< \infty),$$

where ρ is an arbitrarily prescribed number subject to the condition $\sup |\lambda_\nu| < \rho < \infty$; let μ be the greatest value of the positive integers ν_n in the first non-zero coefficients $R^{(\nu_n)}(z_n)/\nu_n!$ of the Taylor expansions of $R(\lambda)$ at z_n , $n=1, 2, 3, \dots$; let $m \equiv \inf_n \{|R^{(\nu_n)}(z_n)|/\nu_n!\}$ be positive; let $M \equiv \sup_n [\max_k \{|R^{(k)}(z_n)|/k!\}]$ ($n, k=1, 2, 3, \dots$) be finite; and let r be an arbitrarily given number such that $0 < r < m/(M+m)$. Then, in the interior of the circle $|\lambda - z_n| = r$ associated with any z_n satisfying

$$\left\{ \frac{C}{r^\mu \left(m - \frac{Mr}{1-r} \right)} + 1 \right\} \rho + r < |z_n|,$$

$S(\lambda)$ has ζ -points whose number (counted according to multiplicity) equals that of ζ -points of $R(\lambda)$ in the interior of the same circle as it.

Proof. It must first be noted that the case where $R(\lambda)$ has such ζ -points $\{z_n\}$ as was described in the statement of the present theorem

can occur in accordance with Picard's theorem when it is a transcendental integral function.

Now, by hypotheses,

$$\begin{aligned} |R(z_n + re^{i\theta}) - \zeta| &= \left| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{R^{(k)}(z_n)}{k!} (re^{i\theta})^k \right| \\ &\geq r^{\nu_n} \left(m - \frac{Mr}{1-r} \right) \\ &\geq r^{\mu} \left(m - \frac{Mr}{1-r} \right) > 0, \end{aligned}$$

where ν_n is the same notation as that defined in the statement of the present theorem; and in addition, denoting by $\chi(\lambda)$ the sum of the two principal parts of $S(\lambda)$ and applying the expansions of $R(\lambda)$ and $S(\lambda)$ [2], we can find at once that for every z_n satisfying $|z_n| > r + \rho$

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \chi(z_n + re^{i\theta}) \right| &= \frac{1}{2} \left| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (a_k + ib_k) \left(\frac{\rho}{z_n + re^{i\theta}} \right)^k \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_k + ib_k| \left(\frac{\rho}{|z_n| - r} \right)^k \\ &\leq \frac{C\rho}{|z_n| - r - \rho} < \infty, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\left. \begin{aligned} a_k &= \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} S(\rho e^{it}) \cos kt \, dt \\ b_k &= \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} S(\rho e^{it}) \sin kt \, dt \end{aligned} \right\}.$$

Since, on the other hand, there exist large positive integers n such that

$$0 < \frac{C\rho}{|z_n| - r - \rho} < r^{\mu} \left(m - \frac{Mr}{1-r} \right), \text{ i.e., } \left\{ \frac{C}{r^{\mu} \left(m - \frac{Mr}{1-r} \right)} + 1 \right\} \rho + r < |z_n|,$$

by denoting by G the least value of n satisfying this last inequality we obtain the inequalities $|R(z_{G+p} + re^{i\theta}) - \zeta| > |\chi(z_{G+p} + re^{i\theta})|$, $p=0, 1, 2, \dots$, for every θ in the closed interval $[0, 2\pi]$. If, for simplicity, we denote by Γ_p the circle $|\lambda - z_{G+p}| = r$ associated with the point z_{G+p} for each value of $p=0, 1, 2, \dots$, then the just established result shows that $|R(\lambda) - \zeta| > |\chi(\lambda)|$ on Γ_p , $p=0, 1, 2, \dots$. In addition to it, $R(\lambda) - \zeta$ and $\chi(\lambda)$ are both regular inside and on any Γ_p by the condition $|z_{G+p}| > r + \rho$. In consequence, it is found with the help of Rouché's theorem that the function $S(\lambda) - \zeta = \{R(\lambda) - \zeta\} + \chi(\lambda)$ has zeros (with multiplicities properly counted) inside any Γ_p and that the number of those zeros is equal to that of zeros (with multiplicities properly counted) of $R(\lambda) - \zeta$ inside the same Γ_p . Evidently this implies that the result stated

in the present theorem holds true.

Theorem 17. Let $S(\lambda)$, $R(\lambda)$, $\{\lambda_n\}$, σ , ρ , C , μ , m , M , and r be the same notations as those in Theorem 16 but let $\{z_n\}$ in it be an infinite sequence of all ζ -points of $S(\lambda)$ in the exterior of the circle $|\lambda| = \sigma$ such that

$$\left. \begin{aligned} S(\lambda) = \zeta \\ \sigma < |z_n| \leq |z_{n+1}| \end{aligned} \right\} (n=1, 2, 3, \dots)$$

and $|z_n| \rightarrow \infty$ ($n \rightarrow \infty$), each ζ -point being counted with the proper multiplicity; and let ε be a positive number less than $r^\mu \left(m - \frac{Mr}{1-r} \right)$.

Then, in the interior of the circle $|\lambda - z_n| = r$ associated with any z_n satisfying the conditions $|R(z_n) - \zeta| < \varepsilon$ and

$$\left\{ \frac{2C}{r^\mu \left(m - \frac{Mr}{1-r} \right) - \varepsilon} + 1 \right\} \rho + r < |z_n|,$$

$R(\lambda)$ has ζ -points whose number (counted according to multiplicity) equals that of ζ -points of $S(\lambda)$ in the interior of the same circle as it.

Proof. As will be seen immediately from the expansion of $\chi(\lambda)$ [2], $|\chi(\lambda)| \rightarrow 0$ ($|\lambda| \rightarrow \infty$) and so $|R(z_n) - \zeta| \rightarrow 0$ ($n \rightarrow \infty$) by virtue of the hypothesis $S(z_n) = \zeta$, $n=1, 2, 3, \dots$. Since, moreover, by hypotheses,

$$\begin{aligned} |R(z_n + re^{i\theta}) - \zeta| &\geq r^\mu \left(m - \frac{Mr}{1-r} \right) - |R(z_n) - \zeta| \\ &> r^\mu \left(m - \frac{Mr}{1-r} \right) - \varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

for all z_n with $|R(z_n) - \zeta| < \varepsilon$, and since, as demonstrated in the course of the proof of Theorem 16,

$$|\chi(z_n + re^{i\theta})| \leq \frac{C\rho}{|z_n| - r - \rho} < \infty$$

for any z_n with $|z_n| > r + \rho$, it can be verified without difficulty from the relation $S(z_n + re^{i\theta}) - \zeta = \{R(z_n + re^{i\theta}) - \zeta\} + \chi(z_n + re^{i\theta})$ that $|S(z_n + re^{i\theta}) - \zeta| > |\chi(z_n + re^{i\theta})|$ for every $\theta \in [0, 2\pi]$ and every z_n satisfying the conditions $|R(z_n) - \zeta| < \varepsilon$ and

$$\begin{aligned} 0 < \frac{2C\rho}{|z_n| - r - \rho} < r^\mu \left(m - \frac{Mr}{1-r} \right) - \varepsilon, \text{ i.e.,} \\ \left\{ \frac{2C}{r^\mu \left(m - \frac{Mr}{1-r} \right) - \varepsilon} + 1 \right\} \rho + r < |z_n|. \end{aligned}$$

For any z_n satisfying these two conditions, we have therefore the inequality $|S(\lambda) - \zeta| > |\chi(\lambda)|$ holding on the circle $|\lambda - z_n| = r$, and moreover $S(\lambda) - \zeta$ and $\chi(\lambda)$ are both regular inside and on this circle by the condition $|z_n| > r + \rho$. On the other hand, as can be seen from

the familiar method of the proof of the Rouché theorem quoted before, it is rewritten as follows: if $f(\lambda)$ and $g(\lambda)$ are both regular on a simply connected domain D , if Γ is the curve defined by the equation $\lambda = \xi(s)$, ($0 \leq s \leq 1$, $\xi(0) = 0$, $\xi(1) = 1$), where $\xi(s)$ is a continuous function of s , and if for any point ξ on Γ the function $f(\lambda) - \xi(s)g(\lambda)$ never vanishes on a rectifiable closed Jordan curve K contained in D , then, in the interior of K , the number (counted according to multiplicity) of zeros of $f(\lambda) - g(\lambda)$ coincides with that of zeros of $f(\lambda)$. In consequence, by applying this rewritten Rouché theorem to the above established results, we can conclude that the number (counted according to multiplicity) of ζ -points of the function $R(\lambda) = S(\lambda) - \chi(\lambda)$ inside any circle $|\lambda - z_n| = r$ where z_n satisfies the above-mentioned conditions is equal to that of ζ -points of $S(\lambda)$ inside the same circle as it.

The present theorem has thus been proved.

Theorem 18. Let $S(\lambda)$, $R(\lambda)$, $\{\lambda_v\}$, and σ have the same meanings as in Theorems 16 and 17 respectively. If $S(\lambda)$ has $\zeta (\neq \infty)$ as its exceptional value for the exterior of the circle $|\lambda| = \sigma$, that is, if the equation $S(\lambda) = \zeta$ has not infinitely many solutions in the domain $\mathfrak{D}\{\lambda: |\lambda| > \sigma\}$, then the same is also valid of the equation $R(\lambda) = \zeta$, and conversely.

Proof. First we consider the case where $S(\lambda)$ has ζ as its finite exceptional value for the above-mentioned domain \mathfrak{D} . If, contrary to what we wish to prove, ζ is not the exceptional value of $R(\lambda)$ for \mathfrak{D} , there would exist ζ -points $\{z_n\}_1^\infty$ of $R(\lambda)$, which are so arranged as to satisfy the conditions stated in Theorem 16. Contrary to the hypothesis on $S(\lambda)$, this result would lead us to the conclusion that $S(\lambda)$ has also an infinite sequence of ζ -points in \mathfrak{D} , according to Theorem 16. Consequently ζ must be the exceptional value of $R(\lambda)$.

Next we consider the case where ζ is the finite exceptional value of $R(\lambda)$. In this case, by making use of a method analogous to that applied in the preceding paragraph and of Theorem 17 it can be verified similarly that $S(\lambda)$ has ζ as its exceptional value for the domain \mathfrak{D} .

The proof of the theorem is thus complete.

Remark. We here remark on $R^{(k)}(\lambda)$, $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, that each of these functions is expressible by a curvilinear integral associated with $S(\lambda)$ itself, as shown in Theorem 1.

References

- [1] S. Inoue: Some applications of the functional-representations of normal operators in Hilbert spaces, Proc. Japan Acad., **38**, 265-266 (1962).
- [2] —: Some applications of the functional-representations of normal operators in Hilbert spaces. III, Proc. Japan Acad., **38**, 641-642 (1962).

Correction to Sakuji Inoue: "Some Applications of the Functional-Representations of Normal Operators in Hilbert Spaces. V" (Proc. Japan Acad., **38**, 706-710 (1962)).

Page 707, line 6 from bottom:

For " $\frac{1}{(1-\mu)\kappa^\alpha}M_S(\rho, 0)=K$ " read " $\frac{1}{(1-\mu)\rho^\alpha}M_S(\rho, 0)=K$ ".