

### 103. Open Mappings and Metrization Theorems

By Sitiro HANAI

Osaka Gakugei Daigaku

(Comm. by Kinjirô KUNUGI, M.J.A., Sept. 12, 1963)

Let  $X$  be a  $T_1$ -space and let  $\mathfrak{U} = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathfrak{U}_n$  be an open base of  $X$  where each  $\mathfrak{U}_n$  is a point-finite system of open sets, then  $\mathfrak{U}$  is called to be a  $\sigma$ -point-finite open base of  $X$ .

In this note, we shall obtain the necessary and sufficient condition that  $X$  has a  $\sigma$ -point-finite open base which is a generalization of K. Nagami's theorem [7]. As its application, we shall next obtain some metrization theorems.

**1. Open images.** K. Nagami [7] has shown the following theorem: *a metric space is always an open compact image<sup>1)</sup> of a 0-dimensional metric space.* As a generalization of this theorem, we get the following

**Theorem 1.** *A  $T_1$ -space  $X$  has a  $\sigma$ -point-finite open base if and only if  $X$  is an open compact image of a 0-dimensional metric space.*

*Proof.* As the "if" part is easily seen from our previous note ([4], Theorem 5), we shall prove the "only if" part.

The following proof is carried out in the similar way as K. Nagami [7]. We may assume that  $X$  has a  $\sigma$ -point-finite open base  $\mathfrak{U} = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathfrak{U}_n$  such that each  $\mathfrak{U}_n = \{U_{\alpha_n} \mid \alpha_n \in A_n\}$  is a point-finite open covering of  $X$  and  $\mathfrak{U}_{n+1}$  is a refinement of  $\mathfrak{U}_n$  for  $n=1, 2, \dots$ . Let  $A$  be the set of points  $a = (\alpha_n; n=1, 2, \dots)$  of the product space  $\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n$ , where each  $A_n$  is a discrete topological space, such that  $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} U_{\alpha_n} = x$  for any point  $x$  of  $X$ . Then  $A$  is a 0-dimensional metric space as the subspace of  $\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n$ . Let  $f(a) = x$ , then  $f$  is an open continuous mapping of  $A$  onto  $X$  such that  $f^{-1}(x)$  is compact for any point  $x$  of  $X$  (cf. [7]). This completes the proof.

As an immediate consequence of Theorem 1 and a theorem in our previous note ([4], Theorem 5), we get the following

**Theorem 2.** *A  $T_1$ -space  $X$  has a  $\sigma$ -point-finite open base if and only if there exists a countable family  $\{\mathfrak{U}_n\}$  of point-finite open coverings of  $X$  such that  $\{(S(x, \mathfrak{U}_n) \mid n=1, 2, \dots)\}$  is a neighborhood basis of  $x$  for each point  $x$  of  $X$ .*

---

1) Let  $f(X) = Y$  be an open continuous mapping. If  $f^{-1}(y)$  is compact for each point  $y$  of  $Y$ , then  $Y$  is said to be an open compact image of  $X$ .

In the same way as the proof of Theorem 1, we can prove the following theorem which is a generalization of K. Nagami's theorem ([7], Theorem 3).

**Theorem 3.** *A  $T_1$ -space  $X$  is perfectly separable if and only if  $X$  is an open compact image of a 0-dimensional separable metric space.*

**2. Metrization theorems. Theorem 4.** *A collectionwise normal  $T_1$ -space  $X$  is metrizable if and only if  $X$  has a  $\sigma$ -point-finite open base.*

*Proof.* As the "only if" part is obvious, we shall prove the "if" part. By Theorem 1,  $X$  is an open compact image of a 0-dimensional metric space. Then, by the theorem in our previous note ([4], Theorem 7),  $X$  is metrizable. Thus the theorem is proved.

**Remark 1.** P. Alexandroff [1] has shown the following theorem: *a collectionwise normal  $T_1$ -space  $X$  is metrizable if and only if  $X$  has a uniform base.*<sup>2)</sup>

By Theorem 1 and a theorem due to A. Arhangel'skii ([2], Theorem 1), we can see that a  $T_1$ -space  $X$  has a  $\sigma$ -point-finite open base if and only if  $X$  has a uniform base. Therefore Theorem 4 is equivalent to the above theorem due to P. Alexandroff.

A. H. Stone [11] has investigated the metrizability of unions of spaces. In the following, we shall obtain some theorems which are analogous to the results of A. H. Stone.

**Theorem 5.** *If  $X$  is a collectionwise normal space and  $X = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} G_n$  where each  $G_n$  is an open metrizable subset, then  $X$  is metrizable.*

*Proof.* It is evident that  $X$  is a  $T_1$ -space. Since  $G_n$  is an open metrizable subset of  $X$ , there exists a  $\sigma$ -point-finite open base  $\mathfrak{U}_n$ . Then, it is easy to see that  $\mathfrak{U} = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathfrak{U}_n$  is a  $\sigma$ -point-finite open base of  $X$ . By Theorem 4,  $X$  is metrizable. This completes the proof.

**Theorem 6.** *If  $X$  is a collectionwise normal space and  $X$  is the union of a star-countable system of open metrizable subsets of  $X$ , then  $X$  is metrizable.*

*Proof.* Let  $X = \bigcup_{\alpha \in A} G_\alpha$  where  $\{G_\alpha | \alpha \in A\}$  is a star-countable system of open metrizable subsets. Then,  $X = \bigcup_{\lambda \in A} H_\lambda$  such that  $H_\lambda \cap H_{\lambda'} = \emptyset$  ( $\lambda \neq \lambda'$ ) and each  $H_\lambda$  is the union of countable number of sets of  $\{G_\alpha\}$  ([6], [10]). By Theorem 5, we can see that each  $H_\lambda$  is an open and closed metrizable subset of  $X$ . Therefore,  $X$  is metrizable ([8], [9]). This completes the proof.

---

2) If  $\mathfrak{B} = \{V_\alpha\}$  is an open base of  $X$  such that, for every point  $x$  of  $X$ , any infinite family of sets  $V_\alpha$  of  $\mathfrak{B}$  which contain  $x$  is a neighborhood basis of  $x$ , then  $\mathfrak{B}$  is called to be a uniform base of  $X$  (cf. [1]).

**Theorem 7.** *If  $X$  is a collectionwise normal space and  $X$  is the union of a  $\sigma$ -locally finite system  $\mathfrak{G}=\{G_\alpha\}$  of open metrizable subsets such that the boundary  $\mathfrak{B}(G_\alpha)$  of each  $G_\alpha$  has the Lindelöf property, then  $X$  is metrizable.*

*Proof.* Since  $\mathfrak{G}=\{G_\alpha\}$  is a  $\sigma$ -locally finite system,  $\mathfrak{G}=\bigcup_{n=1}^\infty \mathfrak{G}_n$  where each  $\mathfrak{G}_n=\{G_\alpha^{(n)}\}$  is a locally finite system. Since the boundary  $\mathfrak{B}(G_\alpha^{(n)})$  has the Lindelöf property, there exists a countable family  $\{G_{\alpha_i} \mid i=1,2,\dots\}$  of sets of  $\mathfrak{G}$  such that  $\mathfrak{B}(G_\alpha^{(n)}) \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^\infty G_{\alpha_i}$ . Therefore  $G_\alpha^{(n)} \cup (\bigcup_{i=1}^\infty G_{\alpha_i}) \supset \overline{G_\alpha^{(n)}}$ . Then we can see that  $\overline{G_\alpha^{(n)}}$  has a  $\sigma$ -point-finite open base and  $\overline{G_\alpha^{(n)}}$  is a collectionwise normal  $T_1$ -space. By Theorem 4,  $\overline{G_\alpha^{(n)}}$  is a closed metrizable subset of  $X$ . Since  $\mathfrak{G}_n$  is locally finite,  $\{\overline{G_\alpha^{(n)}}\}$  is locally finite. Therefore  $K_n = \bigcup \{\overline{G_\alpha^{(n)}} \mid G_\alpha^{(n)} \in \mathfrak{G}_n\}$  is a closed metrizable subset of  $X$  ([8], [9]). On the other hand, since  $H_n = \bigcup \{G_\alpha^{(n)} \mid G_\alpha^{(n)} \in \mathfrak{G}_n\} \subset K_n$ ,  $H_n$  is an open metrizable subset of  $X$  and  $X = \bigcup_{n=1}^\infty H_n$ , then  $X$  is metrizable by Theorem 4. This completes the proof.

In Theorems 5 and 6, the assumption that  $X$  is a collectionwise normal space can be replaced by that  $X$  is a countably paracompact normal space. Namely, we get the following theorems.

**Theorem 8.** *If  $X$  is a countably paracompact normal space and  $X = \bigcup_{n=1}^\infty G_n$  where each  $G_n$  is an open metrizable subset of  $X$ , then  $X$  is metrizable.*

*Proof.* Since  $X$  is countably paracompact normal space,  $\{G_n\}$  has a countable, locally finite, closed refinement  $\{F_n\}$  such that  $F_n \subset G_n$  ([5], Proof of Theorem 3). Then  $X$  is the union of a locally finite system of closed metrizable subsets. Therefore  $X$  is metrizable ([8], [9]).

**Theorem 9.** *If  $X$  is a countably paracompact normal space and  $X$  is the union of a star-countable system  $\{G_\alpha\}$  of open metrizable subsets of  $X$ , then  $X$  is metrizable.*

As we can easily prove Theorem 9 by the similar argument as the proof of Theorem 6, we omit the proof.

**Theorem 10.** *If  $X$  is a normal space and  $X = \bigcup_{n=1}^\infty G_n$  where each  $G_n$  is an open metrizable subset of  $X$  and  $\{G_n\}$  is a point-finite system, then  $X$  is metrizable.*

*Proof.* Since  $X$  is normal and  $\{G_n\}$  is point-finite, we can easily see that there exists an open  $F_\sigma$ -set  $H_n$  such that  $H_n \subset G_n$  for each  $n$  and  $\{H_n\}$  is an open covering of  $X$ . Then, by the theorem due to H. H. Corson and E. Michael ([3], Theorem 1.1),  $X$  is metrizable. This completes the proof.

**Remark 2.** In Theorem 10, the hypothesis of the normality of

$X$  can not be omitted. We can see this by the example given by H. H. Corson and E. Michael ([3], Example 6.7).

In the next place, we shall consider the case when  $X$  is the union of a family of closed metrizable subsets of  $X$ .

**Theorem 11.** *If  $X$  is a topological space and  $X = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} K_n$  where each  $K_n$  is a closed metrizable subset of  $X$  such that  $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \overline{X - K_n} = \phi$ , then  $X$  is metrizable.*

*Proof.* Let  $C_n = K_n - \bigcap_{i=1}^{n-1} \text{Int}(K_i)$  where  $\text{Int}(K_i)$  denotes the interior of  $K_i$ , then  $\{C_n\}$  is a locally finite closed covering of  $X$  and each  $C_n$  is metrizable. In fact, since  $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \overline{X - K_n} = \phi$ ,  $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \text{Int}(K_n) = X$ . Let  $x$  be any point of  $X$ , then there exists  $\text{Int}(K_k)$  which contains  $x$ . Then  $C_n \cap \text{Int}(K_k) = \phi$  for  $n > k$ . Therefore  $\{C_n\}$  is locally finite. It is obvious that  $\{C_n\}$  is a closed covering of  $X$ . Hence  $X$  is metrizable ([8], [9]).

**Remark 3.** In Theorem 11, the hypothesis that  $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \overline{X - K_n} = \phi$  is not superfluous even when  $X$  is collectionwise normal. We can see this by the example given by A. H. Stone ([11], p. 363).

**Theorem 12.** *If  $X$  is a topological space and  $X = \bigcup_{\alpha \in A} K_\alpha$  where  $\{K_\alpha\}$  is a  $\sigma$ -locally finite system of closed metrizable subsets of  $X$  such that  $\bigcap_{\alpha \in A} \overline{X - K_\alpha} = \phi$ , then  $X$  is metrizable.*

*Proof.* Since  $\{K_\alpha\}$  is a  $\sigma$ -locally finite system, we get  $\{K_\alpha\} = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \{K_\alpha^{(n)}\}$  where each  $\{K_\alpha^{(n)}\}$  is locally finite. Let  $Y_n = \bigcup_{\alpha \in A_n} K_\alpha^{(n)}$  where  $A_n = \{\alpha | K_\alpha^{(n)}\}$ , then  $Y_n$  is closed and metrizable because  $\{K_\alpha^{(n)}\}$  is a locally finite system of closed metrizable subsets. Since it is evident that  $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \overline{X - Y_n} = \phi$ ,  $X$  is metrizable by Theorem 11. This completes the proof.

**Theorem 13.** *If  $X$  is a paracompact topological space and  $X$  is the union of a locally countable system  $\{K_\alpha | \alpha \in A\}$  of closed metrizable subsets such that  $\bigcap_{\alpha \in A} \overline{X - K_\alpha} = \phi$ , then  $X$  is metrizable.*

*Proof.* Let  $x$  be any point of  $X$ . Since  $\{K_\alpha\}$  is a locally countable system, there exists an open neighborhood  $U(x)$  which intersects at most a countable number of sets of  $\{K_\alpha\}$ . Then  $\mathfrak{U} = \{U(x) | x \in X\}$  is an open covering of  $X$ . Let  $\{K_i^{(x)} | i = 1, 2, \dots\} = \{K_\alpha | U(x) \cap K_\alpha \neq \phi\}$  and let  $\mathfrak{X}^{(n)} = \{K_n^{(x)} | x \in X\}$ , then  $X = \bigcup_{x \in X} \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} K_n^{(x)}$ . We shall next prove that each  $\mathfrak{X}^{(n)}$  is locally finite. Since  $X$  is paracompact,  $\mathfrak{U}$  has a locally finite open refinement  $\mathfrak{B} = \{V_\beta | \beta \in B\}$ . For every point  $x$  of  $X$ , there exist  $V_\beta \in \mathfrak{B}$  and  $U(x') \in \mathfrak{U}$  such that  $x \in V_\beta \subset U(x')$ . Then  $\{K_\alpha | K_\alpha \cap V_\beta \neq \phi\} \subset \{K_\alpha | K_\alpha \cap U(x') \neq \phi\} = \{K_i^{(x')} | i = 1, 2, \dots\}$ . On the other hand, since there exists an open neighborhood  $W(x)$  of  $x$  which intersects only a finite number of sets of  $\mathfrak{B}$ ,  $\{K_n^{(x')} | W(x) \cap K_n^{(x')} \neq \phi, K_n^{(x')} \in \mathfrak{X}^{(n)}, x' \in X\}$  is

finite. Therefore  $\mathcal{X}^{(n)}$  is a locally finite system of closed metrizable subsets. Then, by Theorem 12,  $X$  is metrizable. This completes the proof.

### References

- [ 1 ] P. Alexandroff: On the metrization of topological spaces, *Bull. Poly. Acad. Nauk*, **8**, 135-140 (1960).
- [ 2 ] A. Arhangel'skii: On mappings of metric spaces, *Soviet Mathematics*, **3**, 953-956 (1962).
- [ 3 ] H. H. Corson and E. Michael: Metrizable unions, forthcoming.
- [ 4 ] S. Hanai: On open mappings. II, *Proc. Japan Acad.*, **37**, 233-238 (1961).
- [ 5 ] M. J. Mansfield: On countably paracompact normal spaces, *Canad. Jour. Math.*, **9**, 443-449 (1957).
- [ 6 ] K. Morita: Star-finite coverings and the star-finite property, *Math. Japonicae*, **1**, 60-68 (1948).
- [ 7 ] K. Nagami: A note on Hausdorff spaces with the star-finite property. III, *Proc. Japan Acad.*, **37**, 356-357 (1961).
- [ 8 ] J. Nagata: On a necessary and sufficient condition of metrizable spaces, *Jour. Polytech. Osaka City Univ., Ser. A*, **1**, 93-100 (1950).
- [ 9 ] Yu. M. Smirnov: On metrization of topological spaces, *Uspehi Matem. Nauk*, **6**, 100-111 (1951).
- [10] —: On strongly paracompact spaces, *Izv. Akad. Nauk, SSSR, Ser. Mat.*, **20**, 253-274 (1956).
- [11] A. H. Stone: Metrizable unions of spaces, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **10**, 361-366 (1959).