

158. On Fields of Division Points of Algebraic Function Fields of One Variable

By Makoto ISHIDA

Department of Mathematics, Tsuda College, Tokyo

(Comm. by Zyoiti SUTUNA, M.J.A., Dec. 12, 1963)

Let K be a field of algebraic functions of one variable over an algebraically closed constant field k . Let $D_0(K)$ be the group of all the divisors of degree 0 of K and $C(K)$ the divisor class group of K , i.e. the factor group of $D_0(K)$ by the subgroup consisting of all the divisors which are linearly equivalent to 0 (in notation: ~ 0). We use the additive notation for the group laws of $D_0(K)$ and $C(K)$. Let g be the genus of K . Then, for a natural number n prime to the characteristic of k , it is known that there exist exactly n^{2g} elements c_1, \dots, c_N ($N=n^{2g}$) of $C(K)$ such that $nc_i=0$. We call these c_i the *n-division points* of $C(K)$.

Let D_1, \dots, D_N be an *arbitrary* system of representative divisors of the classes c_1, \dots, c_N (c_i is the divisor class containing D_i). Then nD_i is linearly equivalent to 0 and so there exist N elements x_1, \dots, x_N of K such that the divisor (x_i) of x_i is equal to nD_i . We consider the subfield $K_n=k(x_1, \dots, x_N)$ of K generated by x_1, \dots, x_N over k . We shall call such a field K_n a *field of n-division points* of K . Since there are infinitely many choices of systems of representative divisors of the classes c_i , there are also, for a fixed given n , infinitely many fields of n -division points of K . We note that if $n>1$, K_n has the transcendental degree 1 over k and so the degree $[K:K_n]$ is finite. In fact, for $n>1$, some c_i is not equal to 0 and so x_i is not a constant.

Now we shall prove the following

Theorem. *Suppose $g \geq 2$. Let $l \geq 3$ be a prime number different from the characteristic of k . Then, for any field K_l of l -division points of K , K is purely inseparable over K_l . In particular, if the characteristic of k is 0, we have $K=K_l$.*

The case where $l=2$ (and the characteristic $\neq 0$) was considered by Arima in [1]. We shall prove our theorem in the separable case by the same idea.

The proof of the theorem is divided into two cases.

1) First we consider the case where K is separable over K_l . We assume that $K \neq K_l$ and deduce a contradiction. Let g_0 be the genus of K_l . Then, as $g \geq 2$ and $K \neq K_l$, we have $g > g_0$ by the formula of Hurwitz. We denote by $(x_i)_K$ and $(x_i)_{K_l}$ the divisors of the function

x_i in K and K_l respectively. We also denote by f^{-1} the conorm mapping of divisors for the extension K/K_l (cf. Chevalley [2]). If $(x_i)_{K_l} = le_i$ and $(x_j)_{K_l} = le_j$ with divisors e_i and e_j of K_l , then, as in [1], e_i and e_j ($i \neq j$) determines distinct l -division points of $C(K_l)$. In order to prove this statement, we use the fact that f^{-1} is a homomorphism and $f^{-1}((x_i)_{K_l}) = (x_i)_K$. Hence at most l^{2g_0} divisors $(x_i)_{K_l}$ are of the form le_i and so there exist at least $l^{2g} - l^{2g_0}$ (> 0) functions x_j such that the divisors $(x_j)_{K_l}$ have the form

$$(*) \quad (x_j)_{K_l} = \cdots + tp + \cdots, \quad t \not\equiv 0 \pmod{l},$$

where p is a prime divisor of K_l and the right hand side is the reduced expression. Such x_j and $(x_j)_{K_l}$ will be called an element and a divisor of $(*)$ -type. Let $M = \{p_1, \dots, p_m\}$ be the set of all the prime divisors p_i of K which appear in the reduced expression of some $(x_j)_{K_l}$ of $(*)$ -type with the coefficient $\not\equiv 0 \pmod{l}$. We write all the divisors $(x_j)_{K_l}$ of $(*)$ -type as follows:

$$(x_j)_{K_l} = a_j + lb_j,$$

where a_j is of the form $t_{j1}p_1 + \cdots + t_{jm}p_m$ with $0 \leq t_{ji} \leq l-1$ and $(t_{j1}, \dots, t_{jm}) \not\equiv (0, \dots, 0)$. If we have $a_j = a_h$ ($j \neq h$), then we have $lf^{-1}(b_j - b_h) = f^{-1}((x_j)_{K_l} - (x_h)_{K_l}) = (x_j)_K - (x_h)_K = lD_j - lD_h$ and so $f^{-1}(b_j - b_h) = D_j - D_h$. So we have $b_j - b_h \neq 0$ (not linearly equivalent to 0) but $l(b_j - b_h) = (x_j)_{K_l} - (x_h)_{K_l} \sim 0$. Hence we see that, for a given a_j , the number of $(x_h)_{K_l}$ with $a_h = a_j$ is at most equal to the number of the l -division points of $C(K_l)$ i.e. l^{2g_0} . On the other hand, the number of such a_j is at most equal to $l^{m-1} - 1$. In fact, since $\deg(a_j) = t_{j1} + \cdots + t_{jm} = \deg((x_j)_{K_l}) - \deg(lb_j) \equiv 0 \pmod{l}$, t_{jm} is uniquely determined as the least non-negative residue of $-(t_{j1} + \cdots + t_{j,m-1})$ modulo l ; and so the number of a_j does not exceed the number of $(t_{j1}, \dots, t_{j,m-1}) \not\equiv (0, \dots, 0)$ with $0 \leq t_{ji} \leq l-1$ i.e. $l^{m-1} - 1$. Therefore we have

$$l^{2g} - l^{2g_0} \leq (\text{the number of } x_j \text{ of } (*)\text{-type}) \leq (l^{m-1} - 1) \cdot l^{2g_0}$$

and so

$$(1) \quad m \geq 2(g - g_0) + 1.$$

Let p be a prime divisor in M . Then there exists an element x_j of $(*)$ -type such that $(x_j)_{K_l} = \cdots + tp + \cdots$ with $t \not\equiv 0 \pmod{l}$. Let $f^{-1}(p) = t_1P_1 + \cdots + t_nP_n$ be the reduced expression, where P_i is a prime divisor of K ; then we have $lD_j = (x_j)_K = \cdots + tt_1P_1 + \cdots + tt_nP_n + \cdots$. Hence l divides t_j and so the degree $n = t_1 + \cdots + t_n$ of K over K_l and we have

$$(2) \quad \frac{t_i}{l} \geq 1, \quad \frac{n}{l} \geq 1.$$

Moreover, denoting by $m(P_i)$ the differential exponent of P_i for the extension K/K_l (cf. [2]), we have

$$(3) \quad \sum_{i=1}^n m(P_i) \geq n \left(1 - \frac{1}{l}\right).$$

In fact, we have $\sum_i m(P_i) \geq \sum_i (t_i - 1) = n - h$ and, by (2), $h \leq \sum_i \frac{t_i}{l} = \frac{n}{l}$.

Therefore we have, by the formula of Hurwitz and by (1) and (3),

$$2g - 2 \geq n(2g_0 - 2) + \{2(g - g_0) + 1\}n \left(1 - \frac{1}{l}\right) \\ = \frac{n}{l} \{2(l - 1)g + 2g_0 - (l + 1)\}.*$$

Since $\frac{n}{l} \geq 1$, $g_0 \geq 0$ and $2(l - 1)g > l + 1$, we have

$$2g - 2 \geq 2(l - 1)g - (l + 1)$$

and so

$$4g - 1 \geq (2g - 1)l.$$

Consequently we have

$$l \leq \frac{4g - 1}{2g - 1} = 2 + \frac{1}{2g - 1} < 3,$$

which is a contradiction.

2) Next we consider the case where K is not separable over K_l . Let K' be the maximal separable extension of K_l in K . Then K is purely inseparable over K' and the genus of K' is also g . Let $(x_i)_K$ be the divisor of x_i in K and f'^{-1} the conorm mapping for the extension K/K' . We have $f'^{-1}((x_i)_K) = lD_i$ and so, taking the norm mapping f' , we have $[K:K']((x_i)_K) = lf'(D_i)$. Since $[K:K']$ is a power of the characteristic of k and is prime to l , we have $(x_i)_K = lD'_i$ with some divisors D'_i in K' . Then, by a similar argument as above, we can show that $D'_1, \dots, D'_N (N = l^{2g})$ represent all the l -division points of $C(K')$. Hence $K_l = k(x_1, \dots, x_N)$ is also one of the fields of l -division points of K' . Since K' is separable over K_l , we have, by the first part of the proof, $K' = K_l$ and so K is purely inseparable over K_l .

Thus the proof is completed.

Finally we shall give three remarks.

REMARK 1. Let K_n be a field of n -division points of K and m a natural number dividing n . Then we can easily prove that there exists a field K_m of m -division points of K such that we have $K_n \supset K_m$. On the other hand, as in the first part of the proof, we can prove that if $K \not\cong K_l^n$ and K is separable over K_l^n (l is a prime number \neq the characteristic p of k) then l^n divides the degree $[K:K_l^n]$. Hence, combining with the result of Arima for $l=2$, we see that K is purely inseparable over any field K_n of n -division points of K , provided n is divisible by a prime number $l (\neq p) \geq 3$ or by 2^3 (in the case $2 \neq p$).

REMARK 2. When the characteristic p of k is positive, there occur, for the same prime number l , actually two cases: 1) $K = K_l$ and 2) $K \not\cong K_l$ (K/K_l is purely inseparable). We fix a divisor $A = P_1 + \dots + P_g$ with $P_i \neq P_j (i \neq j)$ and take the divisors $D_i = B_i - A$ as the

* For $l=2$, we have $2 + 1/(g - 3/2) \geq n$, from which Arima proved his theorem.

representative divisors of l -division points c_i , where B_i is a positive divisor of degree g . Then the field K_i of l -division points of K obtained by the choice of such representative divisors coincides with K . In fact, for a non-constant x_i , each coefficient of a prime divisor in the pole of x_i is not divisible by p and so $x_i^{\frac{1}{p}}$ is not in K . Hence x_i is a separating element over k of K and so, as $K \supset K_i \supset k(x_i)$, K is separable over K_i , i.e. we have $K = K_i$. On the other hand, we consider $K' = K^p$ and a field $K'_i = k(y_1, \dots, y_N)$ of l -division points of K' ($N = l^{2g}$). Then, denoting $(y_i)_{K'} = lD'_i$, we have $(y_i)_K = l f'^{-1}(D'_i)$, where f'^{-1} is the conorm mapping for the extension K/K' . If $f'^{-1}(D'_i) \sim f'^{-1}(D'_j)$, then $f'^{-1}(D'_i - D'_j) = (z)$ with some element z in K . Then, taking the norm mapping f' , we have $q(D'_i - D'_j) = (N_{K/K'} z)$, where q is a power of p . Hence we have $q(D'_i - D'_j) \sim 0$ and, as $l(D'_i - D'_j) = (y_i)_{K'} - (y_j)_{K'} \sim 0$, we have $D'_i - D'_j \sim 0$, which is a contradiction. Hence $K'_i = k(y_1, \dots, y_N)$ is also one of the fields of l -division points of K and we have $K \cong K' \supset K'_i$.

REMARK 3. From the results obtained above, we can show that K has two systems of generators over k , which have the following properties: We fix a prime number l which is ≥ 3 and different from the characteristic and we put $N = l^{2g}$. 1) For given g distinct prime divisors P_1, \dots, P_g , there exist N elements x_1, \dots, x_N of K such that $K = k(x_1, \dots, x_N)$ and the pole of x_j has the form $lP_{j_1} + \dots + lP_{j_s}$, $P_{j_i} \in \{P_1, \dots, P_g\}$. 2) For a given prime divisor P_0 , there exist N elements y_1, \dots, y_N of K such that $K = k(y_1, \dots, y_N)$ and the pole of y_j has the form $lt_j P_0$.

References

- [1] S. Arima: Certain generators of non-hyperelliptic fields of algebraic functions of genus ≥ 3 , Proc. Japan Acad., **36**, 6-9 (1960).
- [2] C. Chevalley: Introduction to the Theory of Algebraic Functions of One Variable, New York (1951).