5. On the Ambiguity of Cut-off Process in the Theory of Quantum Field

By Tadashige ISHIHARA

Department of Mathematics, Osaka University (Comm. by Kinjirô KUNUGI, M.J.A., Jan. 13, 1964)

1. In the calculations of quantum field theory, so called "cutoff" procedure is frequently used to remove divergence of some physical quantity. In this and the following [1] notes we discuss the ambiguities which is immanent in some of these cut-off procedures and consider some kind of remedies for it.

2. To clarify the cut-off procedures we consider the relation between the occupation number representation and the tensor product of Hilbert spaces in an axiomatic manner.

Assumptions with respect to the states of a single particle are the following:

 S_i . The states of a single particle correspond to the vectors of a Hilbert space H_s .

 S_2 . The physical quantities of a single particle correspond to the self-adjoint operators (defined on a dense subset of the space H_s).

 S_3 . There is a set of physical quantities whose corresponding operators commute each other and makes a complete system of operators. We denote these operators by O_1, \dots, O_n .

 S_4 . We restrict our considerations to the case that eigenvalues $\alpha_i(\alpha_{i1}, \dots, \alpha_{in})$ of the operators (O_1, \dots, O_n) makes a point (discrete) spectrum.

Assumptions with respect to the states of quantized field are the following:

 F_1 . To every system of eigenvalues $\alpha_i(\alpha_{i1}, \dots, \alpha_{in})$ of single particle, i.e. to every eigenstate Ψ_i of the quantum number α_i , one assigns the number n_i of particles which are in this state. We assume that there exist eigenvectors ψ_{n_i} for every n_i and a Hilbert space H_i which is the closure of the linear aggregate of $\{\psi_{n_i} | n_i = 0, 1, 2 \dots\}$.

 F_2 . Corresponding to a state Φ of the field, there exist vectors of the infinite direct product $\Pi \otimes H_i$ of the space H_i in the sense of J. Von Neumann [2]. We leave details of the indicate direct product to the original author's article [2], and indicate only the following properties (1), (2) of *c*-sequences^{*)} which has close relation to the am-

^{*)} Notations and abbreviations in this article follow J. Von Neumann [2].

[Vol. 40,

biguities of cut-off procedures.

 $\begin{array}{ll} (1) & \lambda_0 \Pi_{i=1}^{\infty} \otimes f_i = (\Pi_{i=1}^{m-1} \otimes f_i) \otimes \lambda_0 f_m \otimes (\Pi_{i=m+1}^{\infty} \otimes f_i) \\ \text{for any integer } m \text{ and for any complex number } \lambda_0, \\ (2) & (\Pi_{i=1}^{m-1} \otimes f_i) \otimes (g_m + h_m) \otimes (\Pi_{i=m+1}^{\infty} \otimes f_i) \\ & = (\Pi_{i=1}^{m-1} \otimes f_i) \otimes g_m \otimes (\Pi_{i=m+1}^{\infty} \otimes f_i) + (\Pi_{i=1}^{m-1} \otimes f_i) \otimes h_m \otimes (\Pi_{i=m+1}^{\infty} \otimes f_i) \\ \end{array}$

for any integer m.

The vectors having the expressions of either side of these equalities hence define the same state.

3. We consider here some of usual cut-off process in the terms of tensor product. The cut-off procedure which appears in the customary calculation of quantum field theory is of the following kind.

With respect to some physical quantity \mathfrak{M} which is expressed by the function of the divergent integral e.g. $\int_{0}^{\infty} g(\alpha)d\alpha$, the domain of the integral is cut off as the following: $\int_{0}^{M} g(\alpha)d\alpha$ or $\int_{\delta}^{\infty} g(\alpha)d\alpha$ or $\int_{\delta}^{M} g(\alpha)d\alpha$ etc. After these cut-off procedures the physical quantity $\mathfrak{M}\left(\int_{0}^{\infty} g(\alpha)d\alpha\right)$ is frequently calculated as the $\lim_{M\to\infty} \mathfrak{M}\left(\int_{0}^{M} g(\alpha)d\alpha\right)$ etc. To express these procedures using the corresponding states of field, one defines cut-off operator P_{N} which maps a vector $\prod_{i=1}^{\infty} \otimes f_{i}$ to the vector $(\prod_{i=1}^{N} \otimes f_{i}) \otimes (\prod_{i=N+1}^{\infty} \otimes e_{i0})$ where e_{i0} is a vector which corresponds to the occupation number e.g. 0 for the quantum number α_{i} .

In some cases we may be able to consider that the cut-off proceeds as follows e.g.

$$\lim_{M\to\infty}\int_0^M g(\alpha)d\alpha = \lim_{N\to\infty}\langle \Phi | G | P_N \psi \rangle \equiv \langle \Phi | G | \psi \rangle = \int_0^\infty g(\alpha)d\alpha.$$

4. Now we consider the ambiguity of these cut-off procedures by the following four examples.

Example 1. For any linear aggregate of c-sequences Φ there exists a set of vectors Φ^n of H such that $P_n \Phi^n$ converges to 0.

To avoid this sort of ambiguity one may immediately think about the standard forms: $(\Pi_{\alpha}||f_{\alpha}||) \cdot \Pi_{\alpha} \otimes (f_{\alpha}/||f_{\alpha}||)$ for $\Phi = \Pi \otimes f_{\alpha}$ and $\Sigma_{\nu}\{(\Pi_{\alpha}||f_{\alpha}||) \cdot \Pi_{\alpha} \otimes (f_{\alpha\nu}/||f_{\alpha\nu}||)\}$ for $\Phi = \Sigma_{\nu} \Pi_{\alpha} \otimes f_{\alpha\nu}$, where $\alpha = 1, 2, 3, \cdots$ and $\nu = 1, 2, \cdots, n$.

One can see however even these standard forms do not always give unique cut-off vector because of the property (2) as the following Example 2 shows.

Example 2. Assume that $\varphi = \Pi \otimes f_{\alpha} + \Pi \otimes g_{\alpha}$ satisfies the following two conditions: (1) $||f_{\alpha}|| = ||g_{\alpha}|| = 1$ (2) $\langle f_{\alpha}, g_{\alpha} \rangle = 0$ for any α . Then we see that $\Pi \otimes f_{\alpha} = \Psi_N \otimes \Psi_{\infty}, \Pi \otimes g_{\alpha} = \Phi_N \otimes \Phi_{\infty}$ and $\Psi_N \perp \Phi_N, \Psi_{\infty} \perp \Phi_{\infty},$ $||\Phi_N|| = ||\Phi_{\infty}|| = ||\Psi_N|| = ||\Psi_{\infty}|| = 1.$

Now

$$\varphi = \Psi_N \otimes \Psi_{\infty} + \Phi_N \otimes \Phi_{\infty} = \Psi_N \otimes (\Psi_{\infty} - \lambda \Phi_{\infty}) + (\lambda \Psi_N + \Phi_N) \otimes \Phi_{\infty}$$

Hence using the first expression.

$$P_N \varphi = \Psi_N \otimes e_{\infty 0} + \Phi_N \otimes e_{\infty 0} = (\Psi_N + \Phi_N) \otimes e_{\infty 0}.$$

So $||P_N \varphi|| = \sqrt{2}$. Using the 2nd expression, however.

$$\varphi = ||\psi_{\infty} - \lambda \Phi_{\infty}|| \cdot \Psi_{N} \otimes \frac{(\Psi_{\infty} - \lambda \Phi_{\infty})}{||\Psi_{\infty} - \lambda \Phi_{\infty}||} + ||\lambda \Psi_{N} + \Phi_{N}|| \cdot \frac{(\lambda \psi_{N} + \Phi_{N})}{||\lambda \psi_{N} + \Phi_{N}||} \otimes \Phi_{\infty}.$$

Hence

$$P_{N}\varphi = \{(||\Psi_{\infty} - \lambda \Phi_{\infty}|| + \lambda)\Psi_{N} + \Phi_{N}\} \otimes e_{\infty 0}$$

Hence

$$||P_N\varphi|| = \sqrt{(\sqrt{1+\lambda^2}+\lambda)^2+1} = \sqrt{2}\sqrt{1+2\lambda}\sqrt{1+\lambda^2}+\lambda^2$$
. So $||P_N\varphi||$ runs through the value from $\sqrt{2}$ to ∞ . We can see also here

$$\lim_{\lambda\to-\infty} P_N \varphi = \Psi_N \otimes e_{\infty 0} \text{ and } \lim_{\lambda\to+\infty} P_N \varphi = \Psi_N \otimes e_{\infty 0}.$$

The following two examples show more pathological ambiguity of cut-off operators.

Example 3. For any state Φ whose corresponding vector is a c-sequence, there exist standard expressions Φ^N such that $P_N \Phi^N$ converges to 0.

Example 4. For any Φ, Ψ which are expressed by finite linear aggregate of c-sequence, there exist sets of expressions $\{\Phi^N\}$ and $\{\Psi^N\}$ such that $P_N \Phi^N = P_N \Psi^N$.

Proof. Let *c*-sequence $\Phi = \Pi \otimes f_{\alpha} = (\prod_{i=1}^{N} \otimes f_i) \otimes (\prod_{i=N+1}^{\infty} f_i) = \Phi_N \otimes \Phi_{\infty}$ be a standard form. Let $e_{\infty 1}$, $e_{\infty 2}$ be a unit vector such that $e_{\infty 1} \perp e_{\infty 2}$ and $||e_{\omega_1}|| = ||e_{\omega_2}|| = 1$ and $\Phi_{\omega} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(e_{\omega_1} - e_{\omega_2})$. Then we see that $\Phi = \Phi^N$ $\equiv \varPhi_N \otimes \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} e_{\infty 1} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} e_{\infty 2}\right) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (\varPhi_N \otimes e_{\infty 1}) - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (\varPhi_N \otimes e_{\infty 2}).$

Hence

$$P_N \Phi = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (\Phi_N \otimes e_{\infty 0}) - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (\Phi_N \otimes e_{\infty 0}) = 0.$$

In case $\Phi = \sum_{\nu=1}^{m} \prod_{\alpha=1}^{\infty} \otimes f_{\alpha\nu}$, we can prove similarly.

Example 4 is also easily constructed utilizing Example 3.

Lastly it is remarkable that the cut-off process can not always be done in the space H as the following example shows:

Example 5. Let $\Phi = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} c_i \prod_{n=1}^{i-1} \otimes \varphi_{n1} \otimes \varphi_{i2} \otimes \prod_{n=i+1}^{\infty} \otimes \varphi_{n1}$ where $c_i > 0, \Sigma_{i=1}^{\infty} c_i^2 < \infty$ and $\Sigma_{i=1}^{\infty} c_i = \infty$ are satisfied. Then we can see easily that $||\varphi|| < \infty$ and $||P_N \varphi|| = \infty$.

21

T. ISHIHARA

5. In view of these ambiguities one may ask the following questions: Under what expressions the customary cut-off procedure is done?

Some answers for these questions will be given in the following articles [1] [3] which also contain some sort of remedies against these ambiguities.

The author would like to thank Prof. K. Kunugi for his helpful criticism and encouragement. The author would like to thank also Prof. T. Imamura for his valuable discussions.

(This article is dedicated to Prof. K. Kunugi for the memory of his 60th birthday.)

References

- T. Ishihara: On some topologies in the universal Hilbert space. Proc. Japan Acad., 40, 23-28 (1964).
- [2] J. Von Neumann: On infinite direct product. Comp. Math., 46, 1-77 (1939).
- [3] T. Ishihara: On the universal Hilbert space in the quantum field theory. III (unpublished).