

150. A Note on Riemann's Period Relation

By Kunihiro MATSUI

(Comm. by Kinjirô KUNUGI, M.J.A., Nov. 12, 1964)

1. Let W be a Riemann surface of infinite genus and \mathfrak{I} the ideal boundary of W . First we consider the following classes of dividing cycles on W .

DEFINITION 1. A dividing cycle C on W belongs to the class \mathfrak{D}_h of dividing cycles of order at most h when, for $h > 1$, C can be written as $C = \sum_{k=1}^K \sigma_k$ with some $K \leq h$ where σ_k is a closed curve, and for any $i \leq K$ $\sigma_1 \cdots \sigma_{i-1}, \sigma_{i+1} \cdots \sigma_K$ are homologously independent mod \mathfrak{I} . For $h=1$ \mathfrak{D}_1 is the class of connected dividing curves.

DEFINITION 2. A dividing cycle on W belongs to the class \mathfrak{D}'_h ($\subset \mathfrak{D}_h$) of dividing cycles of order h , when it is written as $K=h$ in Def. 1, i.e. $\mathfrak{D}'_h = \mathfrak{D}_h - \mathfrak{D}_{h-1}$.

DEFINITION 3. An exhaustion of W by regular regions (F_n) belongs to the class \mathcal{E}_h of semi canonical exhaustions of at most order h , when it satisfies the following conditions:

- (A) (i) It is an exhaustion in Noshiro's sense, (cf. [6], p. 50).*)
- (ii) Denoting canonical partition Q of the set of the contours of F_n (cf. [3]) by $Q(\partial F_n) = \sum_{i=1}^{m(n)} \Gamma_n^i$, ($\Gamma_n^i \in \mathfrak{D}_h$, $\Gamma_n^i = \sum_{k=1}^{K(n,i)} \sigma_{nk}^i$, and σ_{nk}^i is a closed contour) there exist at least one Γ_n^i such that $\Gamma_n^i \in \mathfrak{D}'_h$.
- (iii) $\Gamma_n^i \sim \sum_j \Gamma_{n+1}^{ij}$ ($\Gamma_n^i, \Gamma_{n+1}^{ij} \in \mathfrak{D}_h$) being inner and outer boundary of a component F_n^i of $F_{n+1} - \bar{F}_n$, there is only one component of $F_{n+2} - \bar{F}_{n+1}$ which is adjoined to F_n^i along each Γ_{n+1}^{ij} .

2. By using Lemma 5 in [2], slit method in [7], and Noshiro's graph in [6], we can prove easily the following

LEMMA 1. For $h \geq 1$, $\mathcal{E}_h \neq \phi$.

Let D_{nk}^i be an annulus which satisfies the conditions:

- (B) (i) D_{nk}^i includes σ_{nk}^i and \bar{D}_{nk}^i is a closed annulus contained in $F_{n+1} - \bar{F}_{n-1}$.
- (ii) $\bar{D}_{nk}^i \cap \bar{D}_{m\beta}^\alpha = \phi$ if $n \neq m$ or $i \neq \alpha$ or $k \neq \beta$.

We put $D_n^i = \sum_{k=1}^{K(n,i)} D_{nk}^i$, $D_n = \sum_{i=1}^{m(n)} D_n^i$, $\partial D_n = \beta_n - \alpha_n$. Let M_{nk}^i , M_n^i , and M_n be the moduli of D_{nk}^i , D_n^i , and D_n respectively. We consider a harmonic function u_n in D_n which vanishes on α_n and is equal to M_n on β_n , and the conjugate v_n of u_n satisfies $\int_{\beta_n} dv_n = 2\pi$. Putting $u + iv$

*) [p] means the p-th paper in References which are shown at the end of this paper.

$=u_n+iv_n+\sum_{j=1}^{n-1}M_j$ in each D_n , we can map $D=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}D_n$ by $u+iv$ onto a strip domain $0 < u < R = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}M_n$, $0 < v < 2\pi$ (cf. [4]). For $\sum_{j=1}^{n-1}M_j < r \leq \sum_{j=1}^n M_j$, we denote the level curve $u=r$ by $\Gamma_r = \sum_{i=1}^{m(n)}\Gamma_r^i$ ($\Gamma_r^i \in \mathfrak{D}_h$) and put

$$L_i(r) = \left(\int_{\Gamma_r^i} |\omega_1| + |\omega_2| \right)^2, \quad L(r) = \sum_{i=1}^{m(n)} L_i(r),$$

where $\omega_1, \omega_2 \in \Gamma_h(W)$. Then we can obtain the following lemma by the same way as Lemma 1 in [4] was established.

LEMMA 2. *If $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \min_i \min_k M_{nk}^i$ is divergent, there exists a sequence $\{\gamma_n\}$ of level curves $u=r_n$ tending to \mathfrak{S} such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} L(r_n) = 0$.*

REMARK. If we choose a suitable subsequence of $\{\gamma_n\}$ we can assume that $(F'_{nj}|\partial F'_{nj} = \gamma_{nj})$ belongs to \mathcal{E}_h .

DEFINITION 4. *Such an exhaustion as mentioned above is called an exhaustion associated with (F_n) and ω_1, ω_2 .*

3. We suppose $(F_n) \in \mathcal{E}_h$ and put $F_{n+2} - F_n = \sum_{i=1}^{m(n)} E_n^i$ and $F_{n+1} - \bar{F}_n = \sum_{i=1}^{m(n)} F_n^i$. Next we are going to construct some family of curves on each component E_n^i and F_n^i . Hereafter we put $E_n^i = E, F_n^i = F$ and

$$Q'(\partial F) = \sum_{j=1}^N \Gamma^j - \alpha, \quad \Gamma^j = \sum_{\nu=1}^{K_j} \sigma_\nu^j \quad (\alpha, \Gamma^j \in \mathfrak{D}_h, K_j \leq h). \tag{3.1}$$

We fix a point P_ν^j on σ_ν^j and connect P_ν^j and $P_{\nu+1}^j$ by two analytic curves $C_\nu^j, C_\nu'^j, j=1, \dots, N, \nu=1, \dots, K_j-1$, which satisfy the following conditions (cf. condition (B)):

- (C) (i) C_ν^j is in $F - (\sum_{k \neq \nu, \nu+1}^{K_j} \bar{D}_k^j \cap \bar{F})$, and $C_\nu'^j$ is in $E - F - \sum_{k \neq \nu, \nu+1} \bar{D}_k^j \cap (E - \bar{F})$.
- (ii) $C_\nu^j + C_\nu'^j = C_\nu^j$ is a closed analytic curve with the orientation from P_ν^j to $P_{\nu+1}^j$ in F .
- (iii) $C_\nu^j \cap C_\beta^\alpha = \phi$, for $\alpha \neq j$ or $\beta \neq \nu$.

We cut E along C_ν^j (for fixed j and ν), and denote by G the cut surface and by \tilde{C}_ν^j the new boundary that corresponds to the left side of C_ν^j with respect to its orientation, and by $\tilde{C}_\nu'^j$ the other side. Let u_ν^j be a harmonic function on G which vanishes on \tilde{C}_ν^j , is equal to 1 on $\tilde{C}_\nu'^j$, and normal derivative $\frac{\partial u_\nu^j}{\partial n} = 0$ on other boundaries, then we can get (cf. [3])

$$\text{LEMMA 3.} \quad \int_{\tilde{C}_\nu^j} du_\nu^{*j} = \int_{u_\nu^j = \rho} du_\nu^{*j} = \lambda_G(\tilde{C}_\nu^j, \tilde{C}_\nu'^j) = \lambda_E(C_\nu^j),$$

where du_ν^{*j} is a conjugate harmonic differential of du_ν^j , $(\tilde{C}_\nu^j, \tilde{C}_\nu'^j)$ a family of curves that divide \tilde{C}_ν^j from $\tilde{C}_\nu'^j$ on G , (C_ν^j) a family of curves that are homologous to C_ν^j in E , and $\lambda_G(\tilde{C}_\nu^j, \tilde{C}_\nu'^j)$ is the extremal length of the family $(\tilde{C}_\nu^j, \tilde{C}_\nu'^j)$ on G .

With these preparations we consider the integral of $|\omega_1|, |\omega_2|$ along the curve $C_v^j(\rho)|u_v^j=\rho$, where $\omega_1, \omega_2 \in \Gamma_h(W)$. Since $u_v^j + iu_v^{*j}$ is considered as a uniformizer on \bar{G} , we can put $\omega_k = a_k du_v^j + b_k du_v^{*j}$.

Then we get from Lemma 3 by the Schwarz's inequality

$$(l_v^j(\rho))^2 = \left(\int_{C_v^j(\rho)} |\omega_1| + |\omega_2| \right)^2 \leq 2\lambda_E(C_v^j) \int_{C_v^j(\rho)} (|b_1|^2 + |b_2|^2) du_v^{*j}.$$

Hence if $\lambda_E(C_v^j) \leq A$ for $j=1, \dots, N, \nu=1, \dots, K_j-1$, we get

$$\int_0^1 \sum_{j=1}^N \sum_{\nu=1}^{K_j-1} (l_v^j(\rho))^2 d\rho = \int_0^1 M'(\rho) d\rho \leq 2ANh(|\omega_1|_{\bar{E}}^2 + |\omega_2|_{\bar{E}}^2). \tag{3.2}$$

We denote $A, N, M'(\rho)$ with respect to a component E_n^i by A_n^i, N_n^i , and $M_n^{i'}(\rho)$. If $A_n^i < A_n, N_n^i < N_n$ for all i , we get

$$\int_0^1 \sum_{i=1}^{m(n)} M_n^{i'}(\rho) d\rho = \int_0^1 M'_n(\rho) d\rho \leq 2A_n N_n h(|\omega_1|_{\bar{E}_{n+2} - \bar{E}_n}^2 + |\omega_2|_{\bar{E}_{n+2} - \bar{E}_n}^2). \tag{3.3}$$

DEFINITION 5. Above mentioned curve $C_v^j(\rho)$ is called a ρ -cycle in E , whose orientation is coherent to that of C_v^j . (C_v^j) is called a C -cycle family in E .

Let (W_μ) be an exhaustion associated with (F_{3n+1}') and ω_1, ω_2 , then we have the following statements:

(H) For each μ there corresponds an integer n_μ uniquely such that each component of ∂W_μ is homologous to a corresponding component of $\partial F_{3n_\mu+1}'$, and when, for simplicity, we put $F_{3n_\mu}' = F_{3\mu}'$, $F_{3n_\mu+1}' = F_{3\mu+1}'$, $F_{3n_\mu+2}' = F_{3\mu+2}'$ we get $F_{3\mu}' \subset W_\mu \subset F_{3\mu+2}'$, $F_{3\mu-1}' \subset F_{3\mu}'$ (cf. Defs. 3 and 4).

(J) If $\lim A_n N_n < \text{constant } K$, for large μ we get from (3.3)

$$\int_0^1 \sum_{i=1}^{m(3\mu)} M_{3\mu}^{i'}(\rho) d\rho = \int_0^1 M'_\mu(\rho) d\rho \leq 4Kh(|\omega_1|_{\bar{F}_{3\mu+2}' - \bar{F}_{3\mu}'}^2 + |\omega_2|_{\bar{F}_{3\mu+2}' - \bar{F}_{3\mu}'}^2),$$

where $m(3\mu) = m(3n_\mu)$.

Hence we get

$$\lim_{\mu \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^1 M'_\mu(\rho) d\rho = 0, \tag{3.4}$$

so there is a subsequence $\{\mu_k\}$ such that for almost everywhere on $[0, 1]$ (cf. [8])

$$\lim_{\mu_k \rightarrow \infty} M'_{\mu_k}(\rho) = 0. \tag{3.5}$$

We will make a new exhaustion from (W_μ) which will be called the special canonical exhaustion associated with (F_{3n+1}) and $\omega_1, \omega_2 \in \Gamma_h(W)$.

Let (W_μ) be an exhaustion which satisfies (3.5), then for fixed μ we can choose a positive number δ_μ such that for all values of ρ ($0 < \rho < \delta_\mu$) each ρ -cycle $C_v^j(\rho)$ in each component $E = E_\mu^i$ of $F_{3\mu+2}' - \bar{F}_{3\mu}'$ is disjoint with $\partial E, \bar{D}_k^g, g \neq j$ or $k \neq \nu, \nu+1$ and $C_\beta^g(\rho) \alpha \neq j$ or $\beta \neq \nu$ (cf. (B), (C)). Therefore for a fixed μ and ρ_μ ($0 < \rho_\mu < \delta_\mu$), $C_v^j(\rho_\mu) \cap F$ includes a connected simple curve $S_v^j(\rho_\mu)$ which satisfies $S_v^j(\rho_\mu) \cap \sigma_\nu^{j'} \neq \phi$ and $S_v^j(\rho_\mu) \cap \sigma_{\nu+1}^{j'} \neq \phi$, where $F = F_\mu^i$ is a component of $W_\mu - \bar{F}_{3\mu}'$ such

that $E \cap F \neq \emptyset$, and $Q'(\partial F) = \sum^N \Gamma'^j - \alpha$, $\Gamma'^j = \sum^{K_j} \sigma'_v{}^j$ (cf. (3.1)).

DEFINITION 6. $S_\nu^j(\rho_\mu)$ is called a ρ_μ -half cycle in F with respect to E .

From our construction $S_\nu^j(\rho_\mu)$ has following properties:

- (P) $S_\nu^j(\rho_\mu)$ does not intersect each other, $\nu=1, 2, \dots, K_j-1$, $j=1, 2, \dots, N$.
- (Q) Cutting F along $S_\nu^j(\rho_\mu)$, $\nu=1, \dots, K_j-1$, $j=1, \dots, N$, and denoting the cut surface by G'' , we get $Q'(\partial G'') = \sum \beta' - \alpha$, where $\alpha \in \mathcal{D}_h$ and $\beta' \in \mathcal{D}_1$ is a connected piecewise analytic curve with a finite number of corners.

$$(R) \quad M(\rho_\mu) = \sum_j^N \sum_{\nu=1}^{K_j-1} \left(\int_{S_\nu^j(\rho_\mu)} |\omega_1| + |\omega_2| \right)^2 \leq 4h^2 M'(\rho_\mu).$$

Denoting $M(\rho_\mu)$ with respect to F_μ^i by $M_\mu^i(\rho_\mu)$, we get

$$M_\mu(\rho_\mu) = \sum_{i=1}^{m(3\mu)} M_\mu^i(\rho_\mu) \leq 4h^2 M'_\mu(\rho_\mu) \quad (\text{cf. (3.5)}).$$

Therefore by the diagonal method

$$\lim M_\mu(\rho_\mu) = 0. \tag{3.6}$$

We collect the results in the following Lemma 4.

LEMMA 4. Let W be an open Riemann surface of infinite genus and (F_n) be an exhaustion which belongs to \mathcal{E}_h , and for each n we put

$$\left. \begin{aligned} N_n^i < N_n, \text{ where } Q(\partial F_n) &= \sum_{i=1}^{(m)n} \Gamma_n^i, \quad Q(\partial F_{n+1}) = \sum_{i=1}^{m(n)} \sum_{j=1}^{N_n^i} \Gamma_{n+1}^{ij} \\ \Gamma_n^i &\sim \sum \Gamma_{n+1}^{ij} \in \mathcal{D}_h \text{ absolutely} \end{aligned} \right\}. \tag{3.7}$$

If we assume that

- (i) $\sum \min_k M_{n_k}^i$ is divergent.
- (ii) The extremal length of C -cycles in each component of $F_{n+2} - \overline{F}_n$ are less than A_n , and $\overline{\lim} A_n N_n$ is finite.

Then we have the following conclusions:

- (1) There exists a sequence of the level curves $\{\gamma_\mu | u = r_\mu\}$ tending to \mathfrak{S} such that $\lim_{\mu \rightarrow \infty} L(r_\mu) = 0$, and the exhaustion $(W_\mu | \partial W_\mu = \gamma_\mu)$ is associated with $(F_{3n+1}) \in \mathcal{E}_h$ and $\omega_1, \omega_2 \in \Gamma_{\text{hse}}(W)$.
- (2) There exists a sequence of positive numbers $\{\rho_\mu\}$ such that for $\omega_1, \omega_2 \in \Gamma_{\text{hse}}(W)$ in (1)

$$\lim_{\mu \rightarrow \infty} M_\mu(\rho_\mu) = 0. \tag{3.6}$$

We cut W_μ along all ρ_μ -half cycles in each component of $W_\mu - \overline{F}_{3\mu}^i$, then we can get a new canonical exhaustion (W'_μ) , and each component of $\partial W'_\mu$ is a dividing cycle consisting of piecewise analytic curves.

DEFINITION 7. Above mentioned exhaustion (W'_μ) is called the special canonical exhaustion associated with (F_{3n+1}) and $\omega_1, \omega_2 \in \Gamma_{\text{hse}}(W)$.

4. Let W be an arbitrary Riemann surface and (F_n) be an exhaustion of W . Then there exists on F_n a canonical homology basis

such that $A_1, B_1, A_2, B_2, \dots, A_{k_n}, B_{k_n}$ form a canonical basis mod ∂F_n and $A_i \times B_j = \delta_{ij}^i$, $A_i \times A_j = B_i \times B_j = 0$ (cf. [2]). We denote such a basis by H. B. (F_n).

THEOREM 1. *Let W be a Riemann surface which satisfies the conditions of Lemma 4, then for $\omega_1, \omega_2 \in \Gamma_{\text{hse}}(W)$ there exists a special canonical exhaustion (W'_μ) and an H. B. (W'_μ) such that Riemann's bilinear relation holds.*

PROOF. At first we shall take (W'_μ) associated with (F_{3n+1}) and $\omega_1, \omega_2 \in \Gamma_{\text{hse}}(W)$ (cf. Lemma 4 and Def. 7). Next let $S_{\mu\nu}^{ij}(\rho_\mu)$ be a ρ_μ -half cycle on $\partial W'_\mu$ (cf. Defs. 6, 7, and Lemma 4). Then we can get

$$(\omega_1, \omega_2^*)_{W'_\mu} = \sum_{i=1}^{k_\mu} \left(\int_{A_i} \omega_1 \int_{B_i} \bar{\omega}_2 - \int_{A_i} \bar{\omega}_2 \int_{B_i} \omega_1 \right) + (\omega_1, \omega_2^* - (T_{W'_\mu} \omega_2)^*)_{W'_\mu}$$

where $a_i = \int_{A_i} \omega_2$, $b_i = \int_{B_i} \omega_2$, $T_{W'_\mu} \omega_2 = \sum_{i=1}^{k_\mu} b_i \sigma_{W'_\mu}(A_i) - a_i \sigma_{W'_\mu}(B_i)$, with reproducing differentials $\sigma_{W'_\mu}(A_i)$, $\sigma_{W'_\mu}(B_i)$ on W'_μ associated with cycles A_i resp. B_i . By the theorem in [1]

$$(\omega_1, \omega_2^* - (T_{W'_\mu} \omega_2)^*)_{W'_\mu} = \int_{\partial W'_\mu} u(p) \bar{\omega}_2,$$

where $u(p)$ is a function defined separately on each component of $\partial W'_\mu$. If we put, (cf. (3.7)),

$$Q(\partial F_{3n\mu}) = \sum_{i=1}^{m'(\mu)} \Gamma^i, \quad Q(\partial W_\mu) = \sum_{i=1}^{m'(\mu)} \sum_{j=1}^{N_\mu^i} \Gamma^{ij}, \quad Q(\partial W'_\mu) = \sum_{i=1}^{m'(\mu)} \sum_{j=1}^{N_\mu^i} \Gamma'^{ij},$$

then, since $\omega_1, \omega_2 \in \Gamma_{\text{hse}}(W)$, we have

$$\left| \int_{\partial W'_\mu} u(p) \bar{\omega}_2 \right| \leq \sum_{i,j} \left| \int_{\Gamma'^{ij}} u(p) \bar{\omega}_2 \right| \leq \sum_{i,j} \int_{\Gamma'^{ij}} |\omega_1| \int_{\Gamma'^{ij}} |\omega_2|.$$

Therefore by Lemma 4 we can obtain

$$|(\omega_1, \omega_2^* - (T_{W'_\mu} \omega_2)^*)| \leq \sum_i \sum_j \int_{\Gamma'^{ij}} |\omega_1| \int_{\Gamma'^{ij}} |\omega_2| \leq 2(1+h)(L(r_\mu) + M_\mu(\rho_\mu)) \rightarrow 0.$$

COROLLARY 1. *If we put $h=1$, Theorem 1 reduces to Theorem 1 in [4].*

LEMMA 5. *Under the conditions of Lemma 4, there exists an exhaustion (W_μ) $\in \mathcal{E}_h$ such that the Riemann's bilinear relation holds for $\omega_1, \omega_2 \in \Gamma_{\text{hse}}(W)$ where ω_2 should satisfy the following conditions,*

(i) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|T_n \omega_2\|_{W - \bar{F}_n} < \infty$, (ii) $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|T_{n+1} \omega_2\|_{F_{n+2} - \bar{F}_n}^2 < \infty$, where $a_i = \int_{A_i} \omega_2$, $b_i = \int_{B_i} \omega_2$, $T_n \omega_2 = \sum_{i=1}^{k_n} b_i \sigma(A_i) - a_i \sigma(B_i)$ with reproducing differentials $\sigma(A_i)$, $\sigma(B_i)$ on W associated with cycles A_i , B_i respectively, and $\{A_i, B_i\} = \text{H. B. } (F_n)$.

PROOF. We put

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{L}_n^i(r) &= \left(\int_{\Gamma_r^i} |\omega_1| + |\omega_2| + |T_n \omega_2| \right)^2, \quad \tilde{L}_n(r) = \sum_{i=1}^{m(n)} \tilde{L}_n^i(r), \\ \tilde{L}(r) &= \tilde{L}_n(r) \quad \text{for } \sum_j^{n-1} M_j \leq r < \sum_j^n M_j \quad (\text{cf. Lemma 2}), \\ \tilde{M}_\mu^i(\rho_\mu) &= \sum_{j,\nu} \left(\int_{S_{\mu\nu}^{i,j}(\rho_\mu)} |\omega_1| + |\omega_2| + |T_n \omega_2| \right)^2, \quad \sum_i \tilde{M}_\mu^i(\rho_\mu) = \tilde{M}_\mu(\rho_\mu). \end{aligned}$$

Then by the same way as in Lemma 4, we can get for $\omega_1, \omega_2 \in \Gamma_{\text{hse}}(W)$ a sequence $\{\gamma_\mu | u = r_\mu\}$ tending to \mathfrak{F} such that $\lim_{\mu \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{L}(r_\mu) = 0$, and $\lim_{\mu \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{M}_\mu(\rho_\mu) = 0$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} (\omega_1, \omega_2^*) &= (\omega_1, \omega_2^* - (T_\mu \omega_2)^*)_{W_\mu} + (\omega_1, \omega_2^* - (T_\mu \omega_2)^*)_{W - W_\mu} + (\omega_1, (T_\mu \omega_2)^*). \\ \text{But } |(\omega_1, \omega_2^* - (T_\mu \omega_2)^*)_{W - W_\mu}| &\leq \|\omega_1\|_{W - W_\mu} (\|\omega_1\|_{W - W_\mu} + \|T_\mu \omega_2\|_{W - W_\mu}) \rightarrow 0. \\ |(\omega_1, \omega_2^* - (T_\mu \omega_2)^*)_{W_\mu}| &= |(\omega_1, \omega_2^* - (T_\mu \omega_2)^*)_{W_\mu'}| \leq c'(\tilde{L}(r_\mu) + \tilde{M}_\mu(\rho_\mu)) \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently $(\omega_1, \omega_2^*) = \lim_{\mu \rightarrow \infty} (\omega_1, (T_\mu \omega_2)^*)$. Q.E.D.

THEOREM 2. *If W satisfies the conditions of Lemma 4, the Riemann's bilinear relation holds for $\omega_1, \omega_2 \in \Gamma_{\text{hse}}(W)$, where the one has only a finite number of non vanishing periods.*

REMARK. This is analogous to Theorem 2 in [4], but we have much more freedom for the choice of the homology basis though our surfaces are more restricted than theirs.

REMARK. If $W \in 0_{\text{HD}}$ and satisfies the conditions of Lemma 4, Theorem 2 reduces to Theorem 7 in [5].

References

- [1] Accola, R.: The bilinear relation on open Riemann surfaces. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **96**, 143-161 (1960).
- [2] Ahlfors, L.: Normalintegral auf offenen Riemannschen Flächen. *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn., Ser. A, I*, no. 35 (1947).
- [3] Ahlfors, L. and Sario, L.: *Riemann Surfaces*. Princeton (1960).
- [4] Kobori, A., and Sainouchi, Y.: On the Riemann's relation on open Riemann surfaces. *J. Math. Kyoto Univ.*, **2**, 11-23 (1962).
- [5] Kusunoki, Y.: On Riemann's period relations on open Riemann surfaces. *Mem. Coll. Sci. Univ. of Kyoto, Ser. A, Math.*, **30**, 1-22 (1956).
- [6] Noshiro, K.: *Cluster Sets*. Berlin (1960).
- [7] Sario, L.: Über Riemannschen Flächen mit hebbarem Rand. *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn., Ser. A, I*, no. 50 (1948).
- [8] V. I. Smirnov: *Higher Mathematics. V* (Japanese translation). Leningrad (1949-1951).