

47. On the Convergence Theorem for Star-shaped Sets in E^n

By Tūnehisa HIROSE

Department of Mathematics, Defense Academy, Yokosuka, Japan
(Comm. by Zyoiti SUETUNA, M.J.A., March 12, 1965)

Introduction. It is well known, as Blaschke convergence theorem, that a uniformly bounded infinite collection of closed convex sets in a finite dimensional Minkowski space contains a sequence which converges to a non-empty compact convex set. The convergence problem for star-shaped sets seems open up to-day (cf. [1]).

In this paper, modifying F. A. Valentine's proof of the Blaschke convergence theorem in [1], we prove a convergence theorem for star-shaped sets in the n -dimensional euclidean space E^n . In the case of E^3 , Z. A. Melzak's result [2] is known.

1. Notations and lemmas. In the following, we consider sets in the n -dimensional euclidean space E^n only.

Let S be a star-shaped set relative to a point p . Then the closure of S , denoted by clS , is a star-shaped set relative to the point p . If $\{S^\alpha; \alpha \in \text{index set}\}$ is a finite or an infinite collection of star-shaped sets relative to a point p , then $\bigcup_\alpha S^\alpha$ and $\bigcap_\alpha S^\alpha$ are star-shaped relative to the point p .

An ε -parallel set A_ε of a set A is defined by

$$A_\varepsilon \equiv \bigcup_{a \in A} K(a, \varepsilon), \quad (0 \leq \varepsilon, \varepsilon \in \text{reals}),$$

where $K(a, \varepsilon)$ denotes the solid sphere with center a and radius ε . The distance between the two points x and y is denoted by $d(x, y)$.

Lemma 1. $(A_\rho)_\sigma \subset A_{\rho+\sigma}$.

Proof. Let x be a point in $(A_\rho)_\sigma$. Then there is a point $y \in A_\rho$ such that $d(x, y) \leq \sigma$. Similarly there is a point $z \in A$ such that $d(y, z) \leq \rho$. Hence we have

$$d(x, z) \leq d(x, y) + d(y, z) = \sigma + \rho.$$

Therefore x is a point of $A_{\rho+\sigma}$.

The distance $d(A, B)$ between the two sets A and B is defined by

$$d(A, B) = \inf_{\substack{A \subset B_\rho \\ B \subset A_\rho}} \rho.$$

If A and B degenerate to two points x and y , the distance function coincides with the ordinary distance of E^n .

Lemma 2. *A collection of compact sub-sets becomes a metric space with the metric defined above.*

Proof. i) $d(A, A)=0$,

ii) $d(A, B)=d(B, A)$,

and iii) $d(A, B)>0$, if $A \neq B$

are trivial consequences of the definition and the compactness of the sets A and B . To prove

iv) $d(A, C) \leq d(A, B) + d(B, C)$,

let $d(A, B)=\rho$, $d(B, C)=\sigma$ and $\rho+\sigma=\tau$. Then $B \subset A_\rho$, and by lemma 1, $B_\sigma \subset (A_\rho)_\sigma \subset A_\tau$. Since $C \subset B_\sigma$, we have $C \subset A_\tau$. Similarly we have $A \subset C_\tau$. Hence $d(A, C) \leq \tau = d(A, B) + d(B, C)$.

A family of sets $\mathfrak{M}=\{A^\alpha; \alpha \in \text{index set}\}$ is uniformly bounded if there exists a solid sphere $K(O, R)$ with center at the origin and with radius $R(0 \leq R < \infty)$ which contains the entire sets of \mathfrak{M} .

Given a set A and a point p , the set

$${}_pA \equiv \{x \mid \exists y \in A, x = \beta p + \gamma y \text{ for } \beta \geq 0, \gamma \geq 0 \text{ and } \beta + \gamma = 1\}$$

is called the *star extension* of a set A relative to a point p . It is easily seen that, if a set A is compact then ${}_pA$ is also compact.

Lemma 3. *If a set A is star-shaped relative to a point p , and q be a point such that $d(p, q) < \varepsilon$, then $d(A, {}_qA) < \varepsilon$.*

Proof. By the definition of star extension, $A \subset {}_qA \subset {}_qA_\varepsilon$. If $x \in {}_qA$, then there is a point $a \in A$ such that $x = \beta q + \gamma a$, $\beta \geq 0$, $\gamma \geq 0$ and $\beta + \gamma = 1$. Let $y = \beta p + \gamma a$. Then $y \in A$, for A is star-shaped relative to p ; and

$$\begin{aligned} d(x, y) &= \|x - y\| = \|\beta q + \gamma a - \|\beta p - \gamma a\| \\ &= \beta \|q - p\| < \beta \cdot \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $d(A, {}_qA) < \varepsilon$.

A sequence of sets $\{A^i; i=1, 2, \dots\}$ is said to converge to a set A if $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} d(A^i, A) = 0$.

2. Convergence Theorem.

Theorem. *Let $\mathfrak{M}=\{S^\alpha; \alpha \in \text{index set}\}$ be a uniformly bounded infinite collection of compact star-shaped sets in E^n . Then \mathfrak{M} contains a sequence which converges to a non-empty compact star-shaped set.*

Proof. By the same reasoning of [1] (Th. 3.8), we can prove that there exists a sequence $\{S^n; n=1, 2, \dots\}$ such that for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there is a number N and for any $m > N$ and $n > N$, we have $d(S^m, S^n) < \varepsilon$.

Now each S^n is a star-shaped set, so let p^n be a point relative to which S^n is star-shaped. Since $\{p^n; n=1, 2, \dots\}$ is contained in the solid sphere $K(O, R)$, which also contains the entire sets of \mathfrak{M} , the infinite sequence $\{p^n\}$ has a convergent sub-sequence $\{p^{n_i}\}$. Let $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} p^{n_i} = p$.

Let us now denote n_i as n . Then we can say by lemma 3 that

there exists a sequence $\{(S^n, p^n); n=1, 2, \dots\}$, such that for any $\varepsilon > 0$ we have

$$d(p^n, p) < \varepsilon \text{ and } d(S^m, S^n) < \varepsilon \text{ for any } m > N, n > N. \quad (1)$$

Let C^n be the star extension of S^n relative to the point p , then by Lemma 2, Lemma 3 and (1) we have,

$$d(C^n, S^n) < \varepsilon, \text{ for } n > N, \quad (2)$$

and
$$d(C^m, C^n) \leq d(C^m, S^m) + d(S^m, S^n) + d(S^n, C^n) < 3\varepsilon, \quad (3)$$

$$\text{for } m > N, n > N.$$

$$\text{Let } B^n \equiv cl(C^n \cup C^{n+1} \cup \dots) \subset K(O, R)$$

and
$$S \equiv \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} B^n. \quad (4)$$

Since $(C^n \cup C^{n+1} \cup \dots)$ is star-shaped relative to the point p , B^n is compact and star-shaped relative to the point p . Moreover $B^{n+1} \subset B^n$. Therefore S is a non-empty compact and star-shaped set relative to the point p .

The convergence of $\{S^n\}$ to the limit S is proved similar to [1], on account of (1), (3), and (4). Let S_ε and C_ε^n be the ε -parallel sets of S and of C^n respectively (where $d(S_\varepsilon, S) = \varepsilon$ and $d(C_\varepsilon^n, C^n) = \varepsilon$). Given any $\varepsilon > 0$, there is a number N' such that for any $n > N'$ we have $B^n \subset S_\varepsilon$. For if not so, $B^n \cap \partial(S_\varepsilon) \neq \phi^{*1}$ for infinitely many $n > N'$, and B^n 's are compact and $B^{n+1} \subset B^n$. Therefore we have $S \cap \partial(S_\varepsilon) \neq \phi$, which is a contradiction. Hence

$$C^n \subset B^n \subset S_\varepsilon \subset S_{3\varepsilon} \text{ for } n > N'. \quad (5)$$

The condition (3) implies $C^m \subset C_\varepsilon^n$ for $m > N, n > N$, and by (3) and definition of B^n we have $B^n \subset C_\varepsilon^n$ for $n > N$. Hence

$$S \subset B^n \subset C_\varepsilon^n \text{ for } n > N. \quad (6)$$

Therefore by (5) and (6)

$$d(S, C^n) < 3\varepsilon \text{ for } n > \max(N, N'). \quad (7)$$

By Lemma 2, (2) and (7), we have

$$d(S^n, S) \leq d(S^n, C^n) + d(S, C^n) < 4\varepsilon.$$

Hence we have proved that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S^n = S$. This completes the proof.

References

- [1] F. A. Valentine: Convex sets. McGraw-Hill (1964).
 [2] Z. A. Melzak: A class of star-shaped bodies. Can. Math. Bull., 2, 175-180 (1959).

*) ∂ denotes "the boundary of". ϕ denotes the empty set.