

166. Notes on Ergodicity and Mixing Property

By NAOHIRO OISHI

Department of Mathematics, Tokai University, Hiratsuka, Japan

(Comm. by Kinjirō KUNUGI, M.J.A., Nov. 12, 1965)

1. In this note we will give the conditions for the validity of ergodicity, mixing property and weakly mixing property in terms of entropy.

Let (X, S_x) be a measurable space where S_x is a σ -field in X , and let γ and μ be two probability measures on S_x . The *entropy rate* $H_\mu(\gamma)$ of γ with respect to μ is defined by

$$H_\mu(\gamma) = \int_X \log \frac{d\gamma}{d\mu} d\gamma$$

if γ is absolutely continuous with respect to μ , and otherwise $H_\mu(\gamma) = +\infty$, where $\frac{d\gamma}{d\mu}$ is a Radon-Nikodym density function of γ with respect to μ .*)

Proposition 1. Let μ and γ_t ($0 \leq t \leq +\infty$) be probability measures on S_x . Suppose that $\gamma_t \leq c\mu$ on S_x for any t , where c is a constant ≥ 1 . Then

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \gamma_t(E) = \mu(E)$$

uniformly for $E \in S_x$ if, and only if,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} H_\mu(\gamma_t) = 0.$$

Proof. Note that $\frac{d\gamma_t}{d\mu}$ are uniformly bounded and that the “only if” assertion is equivalent to that $\frac{d\gamma_t}{d\mu}$ converges to 1 in the L_1 -mean (with respect to μ).

Now we prove the “only if” part. Since $\frac{d\gamma_t}{d\mu}$ converges to 1 in probability and

$$|x \log x| \leq |x-1| + \frac{1}{2}(x-1)^2$$

for any $x \geq 0$, so $\frac{d\gamma_t}{d\mu} \log \frac{d\gamma_t}{d\mu}$ converges to 0 in probability. Therefore, since $\frac{d\gamma_t}{d\mu} \log \frac{d\gamma_t}{d\mu}$ are uniformly bounded,

*) Cf. Prinsker, M. S., Information and information stability of random variables and processes, English edition, translated by A. Feinstein (1964).

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_x \frac{d\gamma_t}{d\mu} \log \frac{d\gamma_t}{d\mu} d\mu = 0.$$

We prove next the "if" part. Since

$$x \log x \geq (x-1) + \frac{1}{2c} (x-1)^2$$

for any x with $0 \leq x \leq c$,

$$\int_x \frac{d\gamma_t}{d\mu} \log \frac{d\gamma_t}{d\mu} d\mu \geq \frac{1}{2c} \int_x \left(\frac{d\gamma_t}{d\mu} - 1 \right)^2 d\mu \geq 0.$$

Hence $\frac{d\gamma_t}{d\mu}$ converges to 1 in the L_2 -mean and so does in the L_1 -mean.

2. Let $(X(0), S_{X(0)})$ be a measurable space, and $(X, S_X) = \otimes_{t \geq 0} (X(t), S_{X(t)})$, where $(X(t), S_{X(t)}) = (X(0), S_{X(0)})$ for any $t \geq 0$. Given a probability measure μ on S_X , we call $\psi = \{\psi_t, t \geq 0\}$ a *semi-flow on* (X, S_X, μ) if ψ_t is an endomorphism on (X, S_X, μ) for each t , and ψ a semi-group. We will consider only *measurable* semi-flows, and so the word "measurable" will be omitted in the sequel. For each t , we define a probability measure $\bar{\gamma}_t$ on $S_X \otimes S_X$ by

$$\bar{\gamma}_t(E \otimes F) = \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t \mu(\psi_s^{-1} E \cap F) ds$$

for any $E, F \in S_X$. Let θ be the class of all finite S_X -partitions of X . For each $\theta \in \theta$, let μ_θ be the restriction of μ into the σ -field generated by θ and, for each pair $\theta, \theta' \in \theta$, $\bar{\gamma}_t^{\theta, \theta'}$ the restriction of $\bar{\gamma}_t$ into the σ -field $S(\theta, \theta')$ generated by the class $\{E \otimes F: E \in \theta, F \in \theta'\}$.

A semi-flow ψ is called *ergodic* if

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t \mu(\psi_s^{-1} E \cap F) ds = \mu(E)\mu(F)$$

for any $E, F \in S_X$. Now, we introduce following quantities: for each pair $\theta, \theta' \in \theta$ and each t ,

$$\bar{I}_t(\theta, \theta') = \sum_{E \in \theta, F \in \theta'} \bar{\gamma}_t(E \otimes F) \log \frac{\bar{\gamma}_t(E \otimes F)}{\mu(E)\mu(F)},$$

$$\bar{H}_t(\theta, \theta') = - \sum_{E \in \theta, F \in \theta'} \bar{\gamma}_t(E \otimes F) \log \bar{\gamma}_t(E \otimes F),$$

and

$$H(\theta, \theta') = - \sum_{E \in \theta} \mu(E) \log \mu(E) - \sum_{F \in \theta'} \mu(F) \log \mu(F).$$

Proposition 2. Let ψ be a semi-flow. Then the following three assertions are mutually equivalent:

- (1) ψ is ergodic.
- (2) $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \bar{I}_t(\theta, \theta') = 0$ for any pair $\theta, \theta' \in \theta$.
- (3) $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \bar{H}_t(\theta, \theta') = H(\theta, \theta')$ for any pair $\theta, \theta' \in \theta$.

Proof. (1) \Leftrightarrow (2): The semi-flow is ergodic if, and only if,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \bar{\gamma}_t^{\theta, \theta'}(M) = \mu_\theta \otimes \mu_{\theta'}(M)$$

for any $M \in S(\theta, \theta')$ and any pair $\theta, \theta' \in \Theta$. This convergence is uniform for $M \in S(\theta, \theta')$, and

$$\frac{d\bar{\gamma}_t^{\theta, \theta'}}{d\mu_\theta \otimes \mu_{\theta'}} \leq \max_{\substack{F \in \Theta \\ \mu(F) \neq 0}} \frac{1}{\mu(F)},$$

$$\bar{I}_t(\theta, \theta') = H_{\mu_\theta \otimes \mu_{\theta'}}(\bar{\gamma}_t^{\theta, \theta'})$$

and so, by Prop. 1, (1) and (2) are mutually equivalent.

(2) \Leftrightarrow (3): This mutual implication holds trivially, since

$$\bar{I}_t(\theta, \theta') = -\bar{H}_t(\theta, \theta') + H(\theta, \theta')$$

for any θ, θ' and t .

A semi-flow ψ is called *mixing* if

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \mu(\psi_t^{-1}E \cap F) = \mu(E)\mu(F)$$

for any $E, F \in S_x$. We define a probability measure γ_t on $S_x \otimes S_x$ for each t by

$$\gamma_t(E \otimes F) = \mu(\psi_t^{-1}E \cap F)$$

for any $E, F \in S_x$, and let $\gamma_t^{\theta, \theta'}$ be the restriction of γ_t into $S(\theta, \theta')$. We introduce moreover following quantities: for each pair θ, θ' and each t ,

$$I_t(\theta, \theta') = \sum_{E \in \Theta, F \in \Theta} \gamma_t(E \otimes F) \log \frac{\gamma_t(E \otimes F)}{\mu(E)\mu(F)},$$

and

$$H_t(\theta, \theta') = - \sum_{E \in \Theta, F \in \Theta} \gamma_t(E \otimes F) \log \gamma_t(E \otimes F).$$

Proposition 3. Let ψ be a semi-flow. Then the following three assertions are mutually equivalent:

- (1) ψ is mixing.
- (2) $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} I_t(\theta, \theta') = 0$ for any pair $\theta, \theta' \in \Theta$.
- (3) $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} H_t(\theta, \theta') = H(\theta, \theta')$ for any pair $\theta, \theta' \in \Theta$.

Proof. The proof of Prop. 2 remains valid if therein $\bar{\gamma}_t, \bar{I}_t$, and \bar{H}_t are replaced by γ_t, I_t , and H_t , respectively.

A semi-flow ψ is called *weakly mixing* if

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t (\mu(\psi_s^{-1}E \cap F) - \mu(E)\mu(F))^2 ds = 0$$

for each $E, F \in S_x$.

Proposition 4. Let ψ be a semi-flow. Then the following three assertions are mutually equivalent:

- (1) ψ is weakly mixing.
- (2) $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t I_s(\theta, \theta') ds = 0$ for any $\theta, \theta' \in \Theta$.
- (3) $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t H_s(\theta, \theta') ds = H(\theta, \theta')$ for any $\theta, \theta' \in \Theta$.

Proof. (1) \Leftrightarrow (2): For any θ, θ' and t ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2c} \sum_{\substack{E \in \theta, F \in \theta', \\ \mu(E)\mu(F) \neq 0}} \frac{1}{\mu(E)\mu(F)} \left(\frac{1}{t} \int_0^t (\mu(\psi_s^{-1}E \cap F) - \mu(E)\mu(F))^2 ds \right) \\ & \leq \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t I_s(\theta, \theta') ds \\ & \leq \sum_{\substack{E \in \theta, F \in \theta', \\ \mu(E)\mu(F) \neq 0}} \frac{1}{\mu(E)\mu(F)} \left(\frac{1}{t} \int_0^t (\mu(\psi_s^{-1}E \cap F) - \mu(E)\mu(F))^2 ds \right), \end{aligned}$$

where $c = \max_{\substack{F \in \theta', \\ \mu(F) \neq 0}} \frac{1}{\mu(F)}$. Therefore (1) and (2) are equivalent.

(2) \Leftrightarrow (3): This mutual implication is trivial, since

$$\frac{1}{t} \int_0^t I_s(\theta, \theta') ds = -\frac{1}{t} \int_0^t H_s(\theta, \theta') ds + H(\theta, \theta').$$

Finally I have to express my cordial thanks to Professor S. Tsurumi who gave me valuable advices and remarks.