

81. On Axiom Systems of Propositional Calculi. XVIII

By Shôtarô TANAKA

(Comm. by Kinjirô KUNUGI, M.J.A., April 12, 1966)

In their notes ([1], [2]), Y. Arai and K. Iséki discuss on some theses of equivalential calculus introduced by S. Leśniewski (see, [3]).

The fundamental axioms of equivalential calculus are given by

E1 $EEEprEqpErq,$

E2 $EEpEqrEEpqr,$

where E is the truth functor in the calculus (see, [4]). In his paper, Y. Arai has proved that the equivalential calculus characterizes the following theses:

(1) $EEpqEqp, EEEpqrEpEqr,$

and he deduced some theses in the equivalential calculus by using the inference rule of substitution and detachment: α and $E\alpha\beta$ imply β .

In this note, we shall show that $EEpqEEprErq$, the system (1) and the set of $E1, E2$ are equivalent. For the proof we shall use the prooflines by J. Lukasiewicz.

Proof. From the following fundamental thesis, i.e.,

1 $EEpqEEprErq,$

we have the following theses:

1 $p/Epq, q/EEpsEsq$ *C1 $r/s-2,$

2 $EEEpqrErEEpsEsq.$

2 $p/Epq, q/r, r/ErEEpsEsq$ *C2—3,

3 $EEEpqsEsEpq.$

3 $s/EEprErq$ *C1—4,

4 $EEprErqEpq.$

2 $p/Epr, q/Erq, r/Epq$ *C4—5,

5 $EEpqEEprEsErq.$

3 $s/EEprEsErq$ *C5—6,

6 $EEEEprEsErqEpq.$

6 $r/p, s/p, q/p$ *C3 $q/p, s/v-7.$

7 $Epp.$

1 q/p *C7—8,

8 $EEprErp.$

5 $q/p, r/q$ *C7—9,

9 $EEprEsEqp.$

9 $s/EEprErq$ *C1—10,

10 $EEprErqEqp.$

- 8 $p/EEprErq, r/Eqp$ *C10—11,
 11 $EEqpEEprErq.$
 11 $q/Eqp, p/EEprErq, r/s$ *C11—12,
 12 $EEEEprErqsEsEqp.$
 12 $s/EErqEpr$ *C8 $p/Epr, r/Erq$ —13,
 13 $EEErqEprEqp.$
 8 $p/EErqEpr, r/Eqp$ *C13—14,
 14 $EEpqEErpEqr.$
 14 $p/Epq, q/EErpEqr, r/s$ *C14—15,
 15 $EEsEpqEEErpEqrs.$
 15 $s/Epq, p/q, q/p$ *C8 r/q —16,
 16 $EEErqEprEpq.$
 8 $p/EErqEpr, r/Epq$ *C16—17,
 17 $EEpqEErqEpr.$
 9 $p/Epq, q/r, s/ErEpq$ *C9—18,
 18 $EErEqpErEpq.$
 1 $p/EsEqp, q/EsEpq, r/t$ *C18—19,
 19 $EEEsEqptEtEsEpq.$
 18 $r/EEsEqpt, q/t, p/EsEpq$ *C19—20,
 20 $EEEsEqptEEsEpqt.$
 20 $s/Erq, q/p, p/r, t/Epq$ *C15—21,
 21 $EEErqErpEpq.$
 8 $p/EErqErp, r/Epq$ *C21—22,
 22 $EEpqEErqErp.$
 18 $r/Epq, q/Erq, p/Erp$ *C22—23,
 23 $EEpqEErpErq.$
 18 $r/EEpqs, q/s, p/Eqp$ *C9—24,
 24 $EEEpqsEEqps.$
 4 $p/EErqr, r/Erq$ *C4 p/Erq —25,
 25 $EEErqrrq.$
 24 $p/Erq, q/r, s/q$ *C25—26,
 26 $EErErqq.$
 20 $s/r, q/r, p/q, t/q$ *C26—27,
 27 $EErEqrq.$
 24 $p/r, q/Eqr, s/q$ *C27—28,
 28 $EEEqrrq.$
 8 $p/EEqrr, r/q$ *C28—29,
 29 $EqEEqrr.$
 23 $p/Epq, q/EErpErq, r/s$ *C23—30,
 30 $EEsEpqEsEErpErq.$
 30 $s/q, p/Eqr, q/r, r/s$ *C29—31,
 31 $EqEEsEqrEsr.$

- 31 $q/EqEEsEEqrEsr, r/p, s/t$ *C31—32,
 32 $EEtEEqEEsEEqrEsrpEtp.$
 32 $t/EqErs, q/r, r/s, s/q, p/ErEqs$ *C31 $q/EqErs,$
 $s/r, r/Eqs$ —33,
 33 $EEqErsErEqs.$
 18 $p/Eqs, q/r, r/EqErs$ *C33—34,
 34 $EEqErsEEqrs.$
 20 $s/q, q/r, p/s, t/EEqsr$ *C34—35,
 35 $EEqEsrEEqsr.$
 8 $p/EqEsr, r/EEqsr$ *C35—36,
 36 $EEEqsrEqEsr.$

The theses 13 and 35 are the axioms by S. Leśniewski. The theses 8 and 36 are (1).

Therefore, from the results proved in [1], [2] and in this note, we have the following theorem.

Theorem. *The equivalential calculus is characterized by the axiom 1: $EEpqEEprErq$.*

Therefore, the following theses are equivalent:

- 1) $EEEprEEqpErq, EEpEqrEEpqr,$
- 2) $EEpqEqp, EEEpqrEpEqr,$
- 3) $EEpqEErqEpr,$
- 4) $EEpqEEprErq.$

References

- [1] Y. Arai: On axiom systems of propositional calculi. XVII. Proc. Japan Acad., **42**, 351-354 (1966).
- [2] K. Iséki: On axiom systems of propositional calculi. XV. Proc. Japan Acad., **42**, 217-220 (1966).
- [3] S. Leśniewski: Grundzüge eines neuen Systems der Grundlagen der Mathematik. Fund. Math., **14**, 1-81 (1929).
- [4] A. N. Prior: Formal Logic. Oxford (1962).