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1. Introduction. 1.1. Definition.*) Let $\lambda=\lambda(w)$ be continuous, differentiable and monotone increasing in ( $0, \infty$ ), and let it tend to infinity as $w \rightarrow \infty$. For a given series $\sum_{1}^{\infty} a_{n}$, we put

$$
C_{r}(w)=\sum_{n \leqslant w}\{\lambda(w)-\lambda(n)\}^{r} a_{n} \quad(r \geqslant 0) .
$$

Then the series $\sum_{1}^{\infty} a_{n}$ is called to be summable $|R, \lambda, r|(r \geqslant 0)$, if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Delta}^{\infty}\left|d\left[\frac{C_{r}(w)}{(w)^{r}}\right]\right|<\infty \tag{1.1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for a positive number $A$.
For $r>0$, and non-integral $w$, we have

$$
\frac{d}{d w}\left[\frac{C_{r}(w)}{\{\lambda(w)\}^{r}}\right]=\frac{r \lambda^{\prime}(w)}{\{\lambda(w)\}^{1+r}} \sum_{n \leqslant w}\{\lambda(w)-\lambda(n)\}^{r-1} \lambda(n) a_{n} .
$$

Hence $\sum_{1}^{\infty} a_{n}$ is summable $|R, \lambda, r|(r>0)$, if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Delta}^{\infty}\left|\frac{r \lambda^{\prime}(w)}{\{\lambda(w)\}^{1+r}} \sum_{n \leqslant w}\{\lambda(w)-\lambda(n)\}^{r-1} \lambda(n) a_{n}\right| d w<\infty . \tag{1.1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

1.2. We suppose that $f(t)$ is integrable in the Lebesgue sense in the interval $(-\pi, \pi)$, and is periodic with period $2 \pi$, so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(t) \sim \frac{1}{2} a_{0}+\sum_{1}^{\infty}\left(a_{n} \cos n t+b_{n} \sin n t\right)=\frac{1}{2} a_{0}+\sum_{1}^{\infty} A_{n}(t) \tag{1.2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then the allied series is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{1}^{\infty}\left(b_{n} \cos n t-a_{n} \sin n t\right)=\sum_{1}^{\infty} B_{n}(t) . \tag{1.2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

We write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi(t)=\frac{1}{2}\{f(x+t)-f(x-t)\}, \quad \theta(t)=\int_{t}^{\pi} \frac{\psi(u)}{u} d u \tag{1.2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The object of the present paper is to prove the following
Theorem. If $t^{-1}|\theta(t)| \log \frac{2 \pi}{t} \in L(0, \pi)$, then (1.2.2) is summable $|R, \log w, 2|$ at $t=x$.
This theorem was conjectured by N. Basu in a stronger form.
2. Proof of the Theorem. 2.1. We write

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(w, t)=\sum_{n<w} \log n\left(\log \frac{w}{n}\right) \sin n t \tag{2.1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

*) Mohanty (1).

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(w, t)=\sum_{n \ll w} n \log n\left(\log \frac{w}{n}\right) \cos n t . \tag{2.1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the proof of the theorem we require the following lemmas:
Lemma 1. $g(w, t)=O(w \log w)$.
Proof. By (2.1.1),

$$
|g(w, t)| \leqslant \sum_{n \leqslant w} \log n\left(\log \frac{w}{n}\right)
$$

Now we put

$$
\sum_{n \leqslant w} \log n\left(\log \frac{w}{n}\right)=\sum_{n<w^{\frac{1}{2}}} \log n\left(\log \frac{w}{n}\right)+\sum_{w^{\frac{1}{2}<n<w}} \log n\left(\log \frac{w}{n}\right)=P+Q
$$

Since $\log u\left(\log \frac{w}{u}\right)$ is monotone increasing in $1 \leqslant u<w^{\frac{1}{2}}$, we have, by the second mean value theorem,

$$
\begin{aligned}
P & \leqslant \int_{1}^{w^{\frac{1}{2}}} \log u\left(\log \frac{w}{u}\right) d u+O\left((\log w)^{2}\right) \\
& \leqslant \log w^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\log w^{\frac{1}{2}}\right) w^{\frac{1}{2}}+O\left((\log w)^{2}\right)=O\left(w^{\frac{1}{2}}(\log w)^{2}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\log u\left(\log \frac{w}{u}\right)$ is monotone decreasing in $w^{\frac{1}{2}} \leqslant u \leqslant w$, we have $Q \leqslant \int_{w^{\frac{1}{2}}}^{w} \log u\left(\log \frac{w}{u}\right) d u+O\left((\log w)^{2}\right) \leqslant \log w \int_{w^{\frac{1}{2}}}^{w}\left(\log \frac{w}{u}\right) d u+O\left((\log w)^{2}\right)$

$$
=w \log w \int_{1}^{w^{\frac{1}{2}}} \frac{\log v}{v^{2}} d v+O\left((\log w)^{2}\right)=O(w \log w)
$$

Hence we get the required inequality.
Lemma 2. $g(w, t)=O\left(t^{-1}(\log w)^{2}\right)$.
Proof. By Abel's lemma, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
g(w, t)= & \sum_{n \leqslant w} \log n\left(\log \frac{w}{n}\right) \sin n t \\
\leqslant & \frac{A}{t} \sum_{n \leqslant w-1}\left|\Delta\left(\log n \log \frac{w}{n}\right)\right|+O\left(t^{-1} w^{-1} \log w\right) \\
\leqslant & \frac{A}{t}\left\{\sum_{n<w^{\frac{1}{2}}}\left|\Delta\left(\log n \log \frac{w}{n}\right)\right|+{ }_{w^{\frac{1}{2} \leqslant n \leqslant w-1}} \sum\left|\Delta\left(\log n \log \frac{w}{n}\right)\right|\right\} \\
& +O\left(t^{-1} w^{-1} \log w\right) \\
= & O\left(t^{-1}(\log w)^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

since $\log u\left(\log \frac{w}{u}\right)$ is monotone increasing in $1 \leqslant u<w^{\frac{1}{2}}$ and monotone decreasing in $w^{\frac{1}{2}} \leqslant u \leqslant w$.

Lemma 3. $g(w, t)=O\left(t^{-2} \log w\right)$.
Proof. Using Abel's lemma twice, we have
$g(w, t)=\sum_{n \leqslant w} \log n\left(\log \frac{w}{n}\right) \sin n t$

$$
=\sum_{n \leqslant w-2} \Delta^{2}\left(\log n \log \frac{w}{n}\right) \sum_{1}^{n} \widetilde{D}_{v}(t)+O\left(t^{-2} w^{-1} \log w\right)+O\left(t^{-1} w^{-1} \log w\right)
$$

so that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { 1.3) } \quad|g(w, t)| \leqslant \frac{A}{t^{2}} \sum_{n \leqslant w-2}\left|\Delta^{2}\left(\log n \log \frac{w}{n}\right)\right|+O\left(t^{-2} w^{-1} \log w\right)  \tag{2.1.3}\\
& =\frac{A}{t^{2}}\left\{\sum_{n<e w^{\frac{1}{2}}}+\sum_{e w^{\frac{1}{2}<n \leqslant w-2}}\right\}+O\left(t^{-2} w^{-1} \log w\right)=\frac{A}{t^{2}}(P+Q)+O\left(t^{-2} w^{-1} \log w\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Since $\left(\log u \log \frac{w}{u}\right)^{\prime}$ is monotone decreasing in $1 \leqslant u<e w^{\frac{1}{2}}$ and monotone increasing in $e w^{\frac{1}{2}} \leqslant u \leqslant w-2$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& P=\sum_{n<e w^{\frac{1}{2}}}\left|\Delta\left(\frac{1}{n} \log \frac{w}{n}-\frac{1}{n} \log n\right)\right|=O(\log w)  \tag{2.1.4}\\
& Q=\sum_{e w^{\frac{1}{2}}\langle n \leqslant w-2}\left|\Delta\left(\frac{1}{n} \log \frac{w}{n}-\frac{1}{n} \log n\right)\right|=O\left(w^{\frac{1}{2}} \log w\right) \tag{2.1.5}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus from (2.1.3), (2.1.4), and (2.1.5), we get the required inequality.
Lemma 4. $h(w, t)=O\left(t^{-i} w \log w\right)$.
Proof. Using Abel's lemma to (2.1.2), we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
h(w, t) & =\sum_{n \leqslant \omega} n \log n \log \frac{w}{n} \cos n t \\
& \leqslant \frac{A}{t} \sum_{n \leqslant w-1}\left|\Delta\left(n \log n \log \frac{w}{n}\right)\right|+O\left(t^{-1} \log w\right) \\
& =\frac{A}{t}\left\{\sum_{n<\eta w}+\sum_{n w \leqslant n \leqslant w-1}\right\}+O\left(t^{-1} \log w\right)=\frac{A}{t}(P+Q)+O\left(t^{-1} \log w\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\eta$ is taken so that $\left(u \log u \log \frac{w}{u}\right)$ is monotone increasing in $1 \leqslant u<\eta w$ and monotone decreasing in $\eta w \leqslant u \leqslant w, 0<\eta<1$. Therefore we get $P=O(w \log w)$ and $Q=O(w \log w)$. Hence we get the required inequality.

Lemma 5. $h(w, t)=O\left(t^{-2}(\log w)^{2}\right)$.
Proof. By twice use of Abel's lemma, we have

$$
h(w, t)=\sum_{n \leqslant w-2} \Delta^{2}\left(n \log n \log \frac{w}{n}\right) \sum_{1}^{n} D_{v}(t)+O\left(t^{-2} \log w\right) .
$$

Therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
& |h(w, t)| \leqslant \frac{A}{t^{2}} \sum_{n \leqslant w-2}\left|\Delta^{2}\left(n \log n \log \frac{w}{n}\right)\right|+O\left(t^{-2} \log w\right) \\
& \quad=\frac{A}{t^{2}}\left\{\sum_{n<e^{-1} w^{\frac{1}{2}}}+\sum_{e^{-1} w^{2} \frac{\sum^{2} \leqslant n \leqslant w-2}{}}\right\}+O\left(t^{-2} \log w\right)=\frac{A}{t^{2}}(P+Q)+O\left(t^{-2} \log w\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\left(u \log u \log \frac{w}{u}\right)^{\prime}$ is monotone increasing in $1 \leqslant u<e^{-1} w^{\frac{1}{2}}$ and is monotone decreasing in $e^{-1} w^{\frac{1}{2}} \leqslant u \leqslant w$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P=\sum_{n<e^{-1} w^{\frac{1}{2}}}\left|\Delta\left(\log n \log \frac{w}{n}+\log \frac{w}{n}-\log n\right)\right|=O\left((\log w)^{2}\right), \\
& Q=\sum_{e^{-1} w^{\frac{1}{2}}<n \leqslant w-2}\left|\Delta\left(\log n \log \frac{w}{n}+\log \frac{w}{n}-\log n\right)\right|=O\left((\log w)^{2}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence we get the required inequality.
Lemma 6. $h(w, t)=O\left(t^{-3} \log w\right)$.
Proof. By three time use of Abel's lemma, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
h(w, t)= & \sum_{n \leqslant w-2} \Delta^{2}\left(n \log n \log \frac{w}{n}\right) \sum_{1}^{n} D_{v}(t)+O\left(t^{-2} \log w\right) \\
= & \frac{1}{4 \sin ^{2} t / 2} \sum_{n \leqslant w-2} D^{2}\left(n \log n \log \frac{w}{n}\right) \\
& -\frac{1}{4 \sin ^{2} t / 2} \sum_{n \leqslant w-2} \Delta^{2}\left(n \log n \log \frac{w}{n}\right) \cos (n+1) t+O\left(t^{-2} \log w\right) \\
= & -\frac{1}{4 \sin ^{2} t / 2} \sum_{n \leqslant w-2} \Delta^{2}\left(n \log n \log \frac{w}{n}\right) \cos (n+1) t+O\left(t^{-2} \log w\right) \\
= & -\frac{1}{4 \sin ^{2} t / 2} \sum_{n<w-3} \Delta^{3}\left(n \log n \log \frac{w}{n}\right) \sum_{1}^{n} \cos (n+1) t+O\left(t^{-2} \log w\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
|h(w, t)| & \leqslant \frac{A}{t^{3}} \sum_{n \leqslant w-3}\left|\Delta^{3}\left(n \log n \log \frac{w}{n}\right)\right|+O\left(t^{-2} \log w\right) \\
& =\frac{A}{t^{3}}\left\{\sum_{n<w^{\frac{1}{2}}}+\sum_{w^{\frac{1}{2}} \leqslant n<w-3}\right\}+O\left(t^{-2} \log w\right)=\frac{A}{t^{3}}(P+Q)+O\left(t^{-2} \log w\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\left(u \log u \log \frac{w}{u}\right)^{\prime \prime}$ is monotone decreasing in $1 \leqslant u<w^{\frac{1}{2}}$ and monotone increasing in $w^{\frac{1}{2}} \leqslant u \leqslant w$, we have

$$
P=\sum_{n<w^{\frac{1}{2}}}\left|\Delta\left(\frac{1}{n} \log \frac{w}{n}-\frac{1}{n} \log n-\frac{2}{n}\right)\right|=O(\log w) .
$$

Similarly we have $Q=O\left(w^{-\frac{1}{2}} \log w\right)$. Hence we get the required inequality.
2.2. We shall now prove the theorem. By integrating by parts, we find

$$
\begin{align*}
B_{n}(x) & =\frac{2}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\pi} \psi(t) \sin n t d t=-\frac{2}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\pi} t \theta^{\prime}(t) \sin n t d t  \tag{2.2.1}\\
& =\frac{2}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\pi} \theta(t) \sin n t d t+\frac{2}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\pi} \theta(t) n t \cos n t d t .
\end{align*}
$$

The series $\sum_{1}^{\infty} B_{n}(x)$ is summable $|R, \log w, 2|$, if

$$
I=\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{d w}{w(\log w)^{3}}\left|\sum_{n \leqslant w} \log n \log \frac{w}{n} B_{n}(x)\right|<\infty
$$

Substituting (2.2.1) for $B_{n}(x)$, we have, by (2.1.1) and (2.1.2),

$$
\begin{align*}
I \leqslant & \frac{2}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\pi}|\theta(t)| d t \int_{0}^{\infty} w^{-1}(\log w)^{-3}|g(w, t)| d w  \tag{2.2.2}\\
& +\frac{2}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\pi} t|\theta(t)| d t \int_{e}^{\infty} w^{-1}(\log w)^{-3}|h(w, t)| d w
\end{align*}
$$

Since $\int_{0}^{\pi} t^{-1}|\theta(t)| \log \frac{2 \pi}{t} d t$ is finite, it is enough to show that

$$
I_{1}=\int_{0}^{\infty} w^{-1}(\log w)^{-3}|g(w, t)| d w=O\left(t^{-1} \log \frac{2 \pi}{t}\right) \quad \text { for } 0<t<\pi
$$

and
$I_{2}=\int_{e}^{\infty} w^{-1}(\log w)^{-3}|h(w, t)| d w=O\left(t^{-2} \log \frac{2 \pi}{t}\right) \quad$ for $0<t<\pi$.
Let $A_{1}=\frac{2 \pi}{t} \log \frac{2 \pi}{t}, A_{2}=e^{2 \pi / t}$ and let

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{1}=\int_{0}^{\Lambda_{1}}+\int_{\Lambda_{1}}^{\Lambda_{2}}+\int_{\Lambda_{2}}^{\infty}=I_{11}+I_{12}+I_{13} \tag{2.2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using Lemma 1, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{11}=O\left(\int_{e}^{\Lambda_{1}}(\log w)^{-2} d w\right)=O\left(t^{-1}\left(\log \frac{2 \pi}{t}\right)^{-1}\right) \tag{2.2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Lemma 2, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{12}=O\left(t^{-1} \int_{\Lambda_{1}}^{\Lambda_{2}} w^{-1}(\log w)^{-1} d w\right)=O\left(t^{-1} \log \frac{2 \pi}{t}\right) \tag{2.2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Lemma 3, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{13}=O\left(t^{-2} \int_{A_{2}}^{\infty} w^{-1}(\log w)^{-2} d w\right)=O\left(t^{-1}\right) \tag{2.2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence from (2.2.3), (2.2.4), (2.2.5), and (2.2.6), we get $I_{1}=O\left(t^{-1} \log \frac{2 \pi}{t}\right)$.
It remains to prove that $I_{2}=O\left(t^{-2} \log \frac{2 \pi}{t}\right)$. Let $A_{1}=\frac{2 \pi}{t} \log \frac{2 \pi}{t}$, $A_{2}=e^{2 \pi / t}$ and let

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{2}=\int_{e}^{\infty}=\int_{e}^{A_{1}}+\int_{A_{1}}^{A_{2}}+\int_{A_{2}}^{\infty}=I_{21}+I_{22}+I_{23} \tag{2.2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Lemma 4, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{21}=O\left(t^{-1} \int_{e}^{\Lambda_{1}}(\log w)^{-2} d w\right)=O\left(t^{-2}\left(\log \frac{2 \pi}{t}\right)^{-1}\right) \tag{2.2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Lemma 5, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{22}=O\left(t^{-2} \int_{\Lambda_{1}}^{\Lambda_{2}} w^{-1}(\log w)^{-1} d w\right)=O\left(t^{-2} \log \frac{2 \pi}{t}\right) \tag{2.2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Lemma 6, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{23}=O\left(t^{-3} \int_{A_{2}}^{\infty} w^{-1}(\log w)^{-2} d w\right)=O\left(t^{-2}\right) \tag{2.2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence from (2.2.7), (2.2.8), (2.2.9), and (2.2.10), we have the required inequalily for $I_{2}$. Thus the proof of the theorem is completed.
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