

103. On Maharam Subfactors of Finite Factors

By Hisashi CHODA

Department of Mathematics, Osaka Kyoiku Daigaku

(Comm. by Kinjirô KUNUGI, M.J.A., June 12, 1967)

1. H. A. Dye [2] has laboriously investigated the structure of measure preserving transformations. In his study, Maharam's lemma plays an eminent role.

It seems natural to consider that a non-commutative version of Maharam's lemma is useful in the theory of von Neumann algebras. We shall introduce a notion of Maharam subalgebra (cf. Definition in § 2), motivated by Maharam's lemma.

In this paper, we shall treat subfactors of II_1 -factors which are Maharam subalgebras. Maharam subalgebras in general von Neumann algebras of finite type will be discussed in a subsequent paper.

2. In the first place, we shall state briefly main properties of the conditional expectation of a finite von Neumann algebra introduced and discussed by H. Umegaki [5].

Let \mathcal{A} be a finite factor, then there exists a unique faithful normal trace ϕ on \mathcal{A} such that $\phi(I)=1$. Let \mathcal{B} be a subfactor of \mathcal{A} . Then for each A in \mathcal{A} , there exists a normal linear mapping $A \rightarrow A^\varepsilon$ of \mathcal{A} onto \mathcal{B} which has the following properties:

- (1) $\phi(AB) = \phi(A^\varepsilon B)$, for $A \in \mathcal{A}$ and $B \in \mathcal{B}$,
- (2) $A^\varepsilon = 0$ and $A \geq 0$ implies $A = 0$,
- (3) $A \geq 0$ implies $A^\varepsilon \geq 0$,
- (4) $A^{*\varepsilon} = A^{\varepsilon*}$,
- (5) $(AB)^\varepsilon = A^\varepsilon B$, for $A \in \mathcal{A}$ and $B \in \mathcal{B}$,
- (6) $I^\varepsilon = I$,
- (7) $(AB)^\varepsilon = (BA)^\varepsilon$, for $A \in \mathcal{A}$ and $B \in \mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{B}'$.

The mapping ε will be called the *conditional expectation* of \mathcal{A} relative to \mathcal{B} . The conditional expectation is uniquely determined by (1).

Now, we shall introduce the following

Definition. Let \mathcal{A} be a finite factor, \mathcal{B} a subfactor of \mathcal{A} and ε the conditional expectation of \mathcal{A} relative to \mathcal{B} . Then \mathcal{B} is called a *Maharam subalgebra* of \mathcal{A} if for any A in \mathcal{B} such that $0 \leq A \leq 1$, there exists a projection E in \mathcal{A} such that

$$E^\varepsilon = A.$$

The following properties on Maharam subalgebras are clear by

the definition: Let \mathcal{A} be a finite factor, \mathcal{B} a subfactor of \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{C} a subfactor of \mathcal{B} , then

(i) If \mathcal{B} is a Maharam subalgebra of \mathcal{A} , then \mathcal{C} is a Maharam subalgebra of \mathcal{A} ,

and

(ii) if \mathcal{C} is a Maharam subalgebra of \mathcal{B} , then \mathcal{C} is a Maharam subalgebra of \mathcal{A} .

These properties seem to indicate that the distance between the whole algebra and the Maharam subalgebras is sufficiently large.

A sufficient condition that a subfactor of a finite factor is a Maharam subfactor will be given in the following

Theorem 1. *Let \mathcal{A} be a finite factor and \mathcal{B} be a subfactor of \mathcal{A} . If the relative commutant $\mathcal{B}' = \mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{B}'$ is a II_1 -factor, then \mathcal{B} is a Maharam subalgebra of \mathcal{A} .*

Proof. Let A be an arbitrary operator of \mathcal{B} such that $0 \leq A \leq I$. Then there exists a resolution of the identity E_λ , the one-parameter family of projections in \mathcal{B} such that

$$A = \int_0^1 \lambda dE_\lambda.$$

Let us put

$$F(n, i) = E_{i2^{-n}} - E_{(i-1)2^{-n}}$$

and

$$A_n = \sum_{i=1}^{2^n} (i-1)2^{-n} F(n, i),$$

for $n=1, 2, \dots$ and $i=1, 2, \dots, 2^n$. Then A_n converges strongly to A .

Let ϵ be the conditional expectation of \mathcal{A} relative to \mathcal{B} . Because $\mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{B}'$ is a II_1 -factor, there exists a family

$$\{E(n, i); n=1, 2, \dots, i=1, 2, \dots, 2^n\}$$

of projections in $\mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{B}'$ which satisfies

$$(8) \quad E(n, i)^\epsilon = (i-1)2^{-n},$$

$$(9) \quad E(n+1, 2i-1) = E(n, i),$$

and

$$(10) \quad E(n+1, 2i) \geq E(n, i),$$

for every n and i . Define

$$G_n = \sum_{i=1}^{2^n} E(n, i) F(n, i).$$

Then G_n is a projection of \mathcal{A} since $E(n, i) \in \mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{B}'$, $F(n, i) \in \mathcal{B}$ and

$$F(n, i) F(n, j) = 0 \quad \text{for } i \neq j.$$

By (9), (10) and

$$F(n, i) = F(n+1, 2i-1) + F(n+1, 2i),$$

we have

$$G_n \leq G_{n+1} \quad \text{for } n=1, 2, \dots$$

Hence G_n converges strongly to a projection G in \mathcal{A} . By the linearity of the conditional expectation ε , (5) and (8), we have

$$\begin{aligned} G_n^\varepsilon &= \sum_{i=1}^{2^n} E(n, i)^\varepsilon F(n, i) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{2^n} (i-1)2^{-n} F(n, i) = A_n. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, ε is strongly continuous in the unit sphere of \mathcal{A} . Therefore,

$$G^\varepsilon = s\text{-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} G_n^\varepsilon = s\text{-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_n = A,$$

that is, \mathcal{B} is a Maharam subalgebra of \mathcal{A} .

3. We shall begin with a proof of the following lemma which may be well-known among specialists:

Lemma 1. *Let \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{A}_1 (resp. \mathcal{B} and \mathcal{B}_1) be semi-finite von Neumann algebras acting on a Hilbert space \mathfrak{H} (resp. \mathfrak{K}), then*

$$(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}) \cap (\mathcal{A}_1 \otimes \mathcal{B}_1) = (\mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{A}_1) \otimes (\mathcal{B} \cap \mathcal{B}_1),$$

on $\mathfrak{H} \otimes \mathfrak{K}$.

Proof. First we shall show for $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}_1 = \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{K})$. Since

$$\begin{aligned} [(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{K})) \cap (\mathcal{A}_1 \otimes \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{K}))]' &= \mathbf{R}(\mathcal{A}' \otimes \mathbf{C}_{\mathfrak{K}}, \mathcal{A}_1' \otimes \mathbf{C}_{\mathfrak{K}}) \\ &= \mathbf{R}(\mathcal{A}', \mathcal{A}_1') \otimes \mathbf{C}_{\mathfrak{K}} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} [(\mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{A}_1) \otimes \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{K})]' &= (\mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{A}_1)' \otimes \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{K})' \\ &= \mathbf{R}(\mathcal{A}', \mathcal{A}_1') \otimes \mathbf{C}_{\mathfrak{K}}, \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$(11) \quad \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{K}) \cap \mathcal{A}_1 \otimes \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{K}) = (\mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{A}_1) \otimes \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{K}).$$

Similarly, we have

$$(12) \quad \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{H}) \otimes \mathcal{B} \cap \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{H}) \otimes \mathcal{B}_1 = \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{H}) \otimes (\mathcal{B} \cap \mathcal{B}_1).$$

Since $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{B}$, and \mathcal{B}_1 are semi-finite, by [1; p. 30] we have

$$(13) \quad \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B} = \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{K}) \cap \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{H}) \otimes \mathcal{B},$$

and

$$(14) \quad \mathcal{A}_1 \otimes \mathcal{B}_1 = \mathcal{A}_1 \otimes \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{K}) \cap \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{H}) \otimes \mathcal{B}_1.$$

These equalities (11)-(14) together imply

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}) \cap (\mathcal{A}_1 \otimes \mathcal{B}_1) &= \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{K}) \cap \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{H}) \otimes \mathcal{B} \cap \mathcal{A}_1 \otimes \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{K}) \cap \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{H}) \otimes \mathcal{B}_1 \\ &= (\mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{A}_1) \otimes \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{K}) \cap \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{H}) \otimes (\mathcal{B} \cap \mathcal{B}_1) \\ &= (\mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{A}_1) \otimes (\mathcal{B} \cap \mathcal{B}_1), \end{aligned}$$

which is the desired.

The following lemma is due to Powers [3; Lemma 3.3]. For the sake of completeness, we shall list here a proof.

Lemma 2. *Let \mathcal{A} be a finite factor, and \mathcal{B} and \mathcal{C} I_n -subfactors of \mathcal{A} , then there exists a unitary operator U in \mathcal{A} such that $UBU^* = \mathcal{C}$.*

Proof. Let ϕ be the normalized trace of \mathcal{A} . Since \mathcal{B} (resp. \mathcal{C}) is I_n -factor, there exists a system of matrix units

$$\{W_{ij}; i, j=1, 2, \dots, n\} \text{ (resp. } \{V_{ij}; i, j=1, 2, \dots, n\})$$

which spans \mathcal{B} (resp. \mathcal{C}). Since

$$I = \sum_{i=1}^n W_{ii} \quad \text{and} \quad I = \sum_{i=1}^n V_{ii},$$

we have

$$(15) \quad 1 = \sum_{i=1}^n \phi(W_{ii}) \quad \text{and} \quad 1 = \sum_{i=1}^n \phi(V_{ii}).$$

Since the matrix units satisfy

$$W_{ii} = W_{1i}^* W_{1i} \quad \text{and} \quad W_{11} = W_{1i} W_{1i}^* \\ \text{(resp. } V_{ii} = V_{1i}^* V_{1i} \quad \text{and} \quad V_{11} = V_{1i} V_{1i}^*),$$

W_{ii} (resp. V_{ii}) is equivalent to W_{11} (resp. V_{11}) with respect to \mathcal{A} . Therefore, by (15), we have

$$\phi(W_{11}) = \frac{1}{n} = \phi(V_{11}).$$

This implies that W_{11} is equivalent to V_{11} , and that there is a partially isometric operator V in \mathcal{A} such that

$$W_{11} = V^* V \quad \text{and} \quad V_{11} = V V^*.$$

Let us define

$$U = \sum_{i=1}^n V_{i1} V W_{1i}.$$

Then it is easy to compute that U is a unitary operator in \mathcal{A} and

$$U W_{ij} U^* = V_{ij} \quad \text{for } i, j=1, 2, \dots, n.$$

This proves the lemma.

Lemma 3. *Let \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} be isomorphic finite factors. If \mathcal{A}_1 (resp. \mathcal{B}_1) is a I_n -subfactor of \mathcal{A} (resp. \mathcal{B}), then the relative commutant $\mathcal{A}_1^c = \mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{A}'_1$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{B}_1^c = \mathcal{B} \cap \mathcal{B}'_1$.*

Proof. Let Φ be the isomorphism of \mathcal{A} onto \mathcal{B} . Write $\mathcal{B}_2 = \Phi(\mathcal{A}_1)$. Then \mathcal{B}_1 and \mathcal{B}_2 are I_n -subfactors of \mathcal{B} . By Lemma 2, there exists a unitary operator U in \mathcal{B} such that $U \mathcal{B}_1 U^* = \mathcal{B}_2$. Hence \mathcal{B}_1^c is isomorphic to \mathcal{B}_2^c . On the other hand, \mathcal{A}'_1 is isomorphic to \mathcal{B}'_2 . Therefore \mathcal{A}_1^c is isomorphic to \mathcal{B}_1^c .

The following theorem may shed light on the notion of Maharam subalgebras:

Theorem 2. *Let \mathcal{A} be a II_1 -factor acting on a Hilbert space \mathfrak{H} and \mathcal{B} a I_n -subfactor of \mathcal{A} , then \mathcal{B} is a Maharam subfactor of \mathcal{A} .*

Proof. By (ii), it is sufficient to show that \mathcal{B} is a Maharam subfactor of the hyperfinite factor since a I_n -subfactor in a II_1 -factor is contained in a hyperfinite subfactor. Hence it is sufficient to assume that \mathcal{A} itself is hyperfinite. Then \mathcal{A} is isomorphic to $\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}$. The relative commutant of $C_{\mathfrak{H}} \otimes \mathcal{B}$ in $\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}$ is $\mathcal{A} \otimes C_{\mathfrak{H}}$ by

Lemma 1, and $\mathcal{B}^\circ = \mathcal{B}' \cap \mathcal{A}$ is a II_1 -factor by Lemma 3. Now, the theorem is a consequence of Theorem 1.

Without appealing the hyperfinite subfactor, the another proof of the theorem is also possible based on [4; Lemma 4].

The class \mathfrak{M} of all Maharam subfactors of a II_1 -factor contains all subfactors of type I by Theorem 2. However, the class \mathfrak{M} is wider than the class of all of all subfactors of type I. This is a consequence of the following

Theorem 3. *In a II_1 -factor \mathcal{A} , there exists a Maharam II_1 -subfactor \mathcal{B} of \mathcal{A} .*

Proof. By (ii), we can assume, as in the proof of Theorem 2, \mathcal{A} is hyperfinite. It is well-known that \mathcal{A} is isomorphic to $\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{A}$. Applying Lemma 1, we have a II_1 -subfactor \mathcal{B} of \mathcal{A} such that $\mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{B}'$ is a II_1 -factor. By Theorem 1, \mathcal{B} is a Maharam subfactor which is also of type II.

The existence of a non Maharam proper subfactor of a certain II_1 -factor will be discussed on another occasion.

References

- [1] J. Dixmier: Les algèbres d'opérateurs dans l'espace hilbertien. Gauthier-Villars, Paris (1957).
- [2] H. A. Dye: On groups of measure preserving transformations. I. Amer. J. Math., **81**, 119-159 (1959).
- [3] R. Powers: Isomorphic classification of type III factors, an unpublished manuscript distributed at Baton Rouge Conference. March (1967).
- [4] Y. Misonou: On divisors of factors. Tohoku Math. J., **8**, 63-69 (1956).
- [5] H. Umegaki: Conditional expectation in an operator algebra. Tohoku Math. J., **6**, 177-181 (1954).