

202. Note on Lie Subrings in Malcev Rings

By Kiyosi YAMAGUTI

Department of Mathematics, Kumamoto University, Japan

(Comm. by Zyoiti SUETUNA, M.J.A., Dec. 12, 1967)

A Malcev ring or a Moufang-Lie ring [2] is an anti-commutative ring M satisfying

$$(xy)(zx) + (xy \cdot z)x + (yz \cdot x)x + (zx \cdot x)y = 0 \text{ for all } x, y, z \in M. \quad \text{Any}$$

Lie ring is Malcev and there are non-Lie Malcev rings [3, § 3]. A Jacobian J of x, y, z is a skew-symmetric function defined by

$$J(x, y, z) = (xy)z + (yz)x + (zx)y.$$

In [3], Sagle proved the following theorem:

Let A, B, C be subsets of a Malcev algebra M . If it holds

$$J(A, A, M) = J(B, B, M) = J(C, C, M) = J(A, B, C) = (0),$$

then there is a Lie subalgebra of M containing the subset $A \cup B \cup C$.

In this note, we remark that the method by Grätzer and Schmidt [1] for proof of associativity theorem for alternative rings can be also applied for Malcev rings and obtain the following similar result, which is a generalization of Sagle's theorem:

Theorem. *Let $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n (n \geq 2)$ be subsets of a Malcev ring M and D^* be Malcev subring of M generated by $D = \cup_{i=1}^n A_i$. Then D^* is a Lie subring of M if and only if*

$$(1) \quad J(A_i, A_i, D^*) = (0)$$

and

$$(2) \quad J(A_i, A_j, A_{ij}) = (0), \quad i, j = 1, \dots, n,$$

where A_{ij} means a set of products of at most $n-2$ factors a_{k_m} such that $a_{k_m} \in A_{k_m}$, k_m 's are different each other and $k_m \neq i, k_m \neq j$ for $n > 2$ and $i \neq j$, and $A_{ij} = (0)$ for $n = 2$ or $i = j$.

Proof. We assume (1) and (2) and prove that $J(D^*, D^*, D^*) = (0)$. First $J(D, D, D) \subseteq \cup_{i,j,k} J(A_i, A_j, A_k) = (0)$ by (1) and (2). Denote D^p a set of products of p elements of D and by induction assume $J(D^p, D^q, D^r) = (0)$ has been proved for all p, q, r satisfying $p+q+r < N$, p, q, r being positive integers. Now by [3, (2.7)] we have

$$J(aa', b, c) + J(a, b, a'c) = J(a', b, c)a + J(a, a', bc).$$

Hence $J(a', b, c) = J(a, a', b) = 0$ implies $J(aa', b, c) = J(a, b, ca')$. Let $p + q + r = N$, by the assumption of induction, any element $J(a, b, c)$ of $J(D^p, D^q, D^r)$ can be changed to the form

$$J(a_i, b, c'), \quad c' \in D^{r+p-1},$$

and by repeating this process for b , to the form

$$J(a_i, a_j, c''), \quad c'' \in D^{N-2}.$$

Hence if $i = j$ this term vanishes by (1), and we may assume that $i \neq j$ and $c'' \in A_{ij}$, then such term also vanishes from (2), and $J(D^p, D^q, D^r) = (0)$ for $p + q + r = N$. The converse is clear.

References

- [1] Gy. Grätzer and E. T. Schmidt: An associativity theorem for alternative rings. Magyar Tud. Akad. Mat. Kutató Int. Közl. (Publ. Math. Inst. Hungar. Acad. Sci.), **4**, 259-264 (1959).
- [2] E. Kleinfeld: A note on Moufang-Lie rings. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **9**, 72-74 (1958).
- [3] A. A. Sagle: Malcev algebras. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **101**, 426-458 (1961).