

2. An Extension of Beurling's Theorem. I

By Zenjiro KURAMOCHI

Mathematical Institute, Hokkaido University

(Comm. by Kinjirô KUNUGI, M.J.A., Jan. 12, 1968)

Let R be a Riemann surface with positive boundary and let $\{R_n\}$ ($n=0, 1, 2, \dots$) be its exhaustion with compact relative boundary ∂R_n such that $\partial R_n \cap \partial R_{n+1} = 0$. Let $N(z, p)$ be a positive harmonic function in $R - R_0 - p : p \in R - R_0$ such that $N(z, p) = 0$ on ∂R_0 , $N(z, p)$ has a logarithmic singularity at p and $N(z, p)$ has minimal Dirichlet integral over $R - R_0$, where Dirichlet integral is taken with respect to $N(z, p) + \log |z - p|$ in a neighbourhood of p . We call such $N(z, p)$ an N -Green's function with pole at p . Consider now a sequence of points $\{p_i\}$ of $R - R_0$ having no points of accumulation in $R - R_0 + \partial R_0$. Since the functions $N(z, p_i)$ ($i=1, 2, \dots$) forms, from some i on, a bounded sequence of harmonic functions—thus a normal family. A sequence of these functions, therefore is convergent in every compact part of $R - R_0$ to a positive harmonic function. A sequence $\{p_i\}$ of $R - R_0$ having no point of accumulation in $R - R_0 + \partial R_0$, for which the corresponding $\{N(z, p_i)\}$ have the property just mentioned, that is, $\{N(z, p_i)\}$ converges to a harmonic function—will be called fundamental. If two fundamental sequences determine the same limit function $N(z, p)$, we say that they are equivalent. Two fundamental sequences equivalent to a given one determine an ideal boundary point of R . The set of all the ideal boundary points of R will be denoted by B and the set $R - R_0 + B$ by $\bar{R} - R_0$. The domain of definition of $N(z, p)$ may now be extended by writing $N(z, p) = \lim_i N(z, p_i)$ ($z \in R - R_0, p \in \bar{R} - R_0$), where $\{p_i\}$ is any fundamental sequence determining p . The function $N(z, p)$ is characteristic of the point p of their corresponding $N(z, p)$ as a function of z . The distance $\delta(p_1, p_2)$ of two points p_1 and p_2 in $\bar{R} - R_0$ is defined as

$$\delta(p_1, p_2) = \sup_{z \in R_1} \left| \frac{N(z, p_1)}{1 + N(z, p_1)} - \frac{N(z, p_2)}{1 + N(z, p_2)} \right|.$$

The topology (N -Martin's topology) [1] is induced by this metric.

Let $U(z)$ be a positive superharmonic function in $R - R_0$ such that $D(\min(M, U(z))) < \infty$ for every M and $U(z) = 0$ on ∂R_0 . Let G be a domain [2] in $R - R_0$ and let ${}_G U^M(z)$ be a superharmonic function in $R - R_0$ such that ${}_G U^M(z) = \min(M, U(z))$ on $G + \partial R_0$ and ${}_G U^M(z)$ has minimal Dirichlet integral. Put ${}_G U(z) = \lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} {}_G U^M(z)$. If for any domain G , ${}_G U(z) \leq U(z)$, $U(z)$ is called a full-superharmonic function

[3] in $R-R_0$. We see $N(z, p)$ is full-superharmonic in $R-R_0$. To every point $p \in \bar{R}-R_0$ an N -Green's function corresponds. B consists of two parts, B_1^N , the set of N -minimal point and the set B_0^N , the set of non N -minimal points, where B_0^N is an F_σ set of capacity zero. It is known that $N(z, p) : p \in R-R_0+B_1^N$ has many properties as the function $-\log|z-p|$ in the z -plane, for instance, $N(z, p) = \lim_{M=M^*} V_M(p)N(z, p)$, where $V_M(p) = E[z \in R-R_0 : N(z, p) > M]$ and $M^* = \sup_{z \in R} N(z, p)$. Let $G_1 \supset G_2$ be domains. Let $\omega(G_2, z, G_1)$ be a continuous function in G_1 such that $\omega(G_2, z, G_1) = 0$ on ∂G_1 , $= 1$ on G_2 , and $\omega(G_2, z, G_1)$ is harmonic in G_1-G_2 and has M.D.I. (minimal Dirichlet integral) $< \infty$. We call $\omega(G_2, z, G_1)$ C.P. (Capacitary potential) [4] of G_2 relative to G_1 .

Let $\{G_n\} (n=0, 1, 2, \dots)$ be a decreasing sequence of domains in $R-R_0$. Let $\omega_n(z) = \omega(G_n, z, G_0)$, where $\omega_n(z)$ has M.D.I. $< \infty$ for $n \geq n_0$ and n_0 is a certain number. Then $\omega_n(z)$ converges in mean (we denote it by \Rightarrow) to a harmonic function in $G_0 - (\lim_n G_n)$ denoted by $\omega(\{G_n\}, z, G)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. If $\{G_n\}$ tends to the boundary, we call $\omega(\{G_n\}, z, G)$ the C.P. of the ideal boundary determined by $\{G_n\}$. If $G_0 = R-R_0$, we simply denote by $\omega(\{G_n\}, z)$. It is known if $\omega(\{G_n\}, z, G_0) > 0$, $\sup_{z \in \bar{R}} \omega(\{G_n\}, z, G_0) = 1$ [5].

Let $p \in B_1^N$. Then two cases occur (1) $\sup_{z \in \bar{R}} N(z, p) = \infty$ (this is equivalent to $\omega(p, z) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \omega(v_n(p), z) = 0$) and (2) $\sup_{z \in \bar{R}} N(z, p) < \infty$ (this is equivalent to $\omega(p, z) > 0$), where $v_n(p) = E\left[z \in \bar{R} : \delta(z, p) < \frac{1}{n}\right]$.

We denote by B_S^N the set of $p \in B$ such that $\omega(p, z) > 0$. Then $B_S^N \subset B_1^N$.

Contact set $\Delta(p)$ of $p \in B_1^N$. Suppose $p \in R-R_0+B_1^N$. Then $N(z, p) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} v_n(p)N(z, p) = N(z, p)$. Let $\Delta(p)$ be a closed set in R . If $\overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Delta(p) \cap v_n(p)N(z, p) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Delta(p) \cap v_n(p)N(z, p) > 0$, we call $\Delta(p)$ a contact set of p . Clearly $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Delta(p) \cap v_n(p)N(z, p)$ has mass only at p , whence $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Delta(p) \cap v_n(p)N(z, p) = \alpha N(z, p) : 1 \geq \alpha \geq 0$. If $N(z, p) -_{CG} N(z, p) > 0$ (this is equivalent to that CG is thin at p), we denote by $G \overset{N}{\ni} p$. It is well known $v_n(p) \overset{N}{\ni} p$ and $V_M(p) \overset{N}{\ni} p$ [6] for $M < M^* = \sup_{z \in R} N(z, p)$.

Lemma 1.1). *Suppose $G \overset{N}{\ni} p$, then $_{CG \cap F} N(z, p) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} _{CG \cap v_n(p)} N(z, p) = 0$.*

2). *Let $\Delta(p)$ be a contact set of p . Then $(R-\Delta(p)) \overset{N}{\not\ni} p$. This means that $\Delta(p)$ is not contained in any thin set at p .*

3). *Let $\Delta(p)$ be a contact set and suppose $G \overset{N}{\ni} p$. Then $\Delta(p) \cap G$ is also a contact set.*

Proof of 1). *Case 1. $p \in B_1^N - B_S^N$, i.e. $\omega(p, z) = 0$. Suppose $G \overset{N}{\ni} p$*

and assume ${}_p(c_G N(z, p)) > 0$. Then ${}_p(c_G N(z, p))$ has mass only at p , whence ${}_p(c_G N(z, p)) = \alpha N(z, p) > 0$, ${}_c G N(z, p) - {}_p(c_G N(z, p)) = U(z)$ is also full-superharmonic [7] and ${}_c G U(z) \leq U(z)$. Now ${}_c G N(z, p) = \alpha N(z, p) + U(z)$. Clearly ${}_c G({}_c G N(z, p)) = {}_c G N(z, p)$. We have

$${}_c G({}_c G N(z, p)) = \alpha {}_c G N(z, p) + {}_c G U(z) = \alpha N(z, p) + U(z) = {}_c G N(z, p).$$

On the other hand, ${}_c G N(z, p) \leq N(z, p)$ and ${}_c G U(z) \leq U(z)$, whence we have $\alpha N(z, p) = \alpha {}_c G N(z, p)$. This contradicts $G \ni p$. Hence ${}_p(c_G N(z, p)) = 0$. Assume $0 < {}_p \cap {}_c G N(z, p) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} {}_{v_n(p) \cap {}_c G} N(z, p)$. Then ${}_p \cap {}_c G N(z, p) = \beta N(z, p) + U'(z)$: $\beta > 0$, where $U'(z)$ is full-superharmonic. Whence ${}_c G N(z, p) \geq {}_p \cap {}_c G N(z, p) \geq \beta N(z, p)$ and we have ${}_p(c_G N(z, p)) \geq \beta N(z, p) > 0$. This contradicts ${}_p(c_G N(z, p)) = 0$. Thus ${}_p \cap {}_c G N(z, p) = 0$.

Case 2. $p \in B_S^N \subset B_1^N$. In this case $\omega(p, z) > 0$, $\sup_{z \in \bar{R}} N(z, p) < \infty$ and we can use $\omega(p, z)$ instead of $N(z, p)$. Assume ${}_p \cap {}_c G \omega(p, z) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} {}_{v_n(p) \cap {}_c G} \omega(p, z) > 0$. For any $\varepsilon > 0$ we can find a number n_0 such that $1 \geq \omega(p, z) \geq 1 - \varepsilon$ in $v_n(p)$ [8] for $n \geq n_0$. We have

$$\omega(CG \cap v_n(p), z) \geq {}_c G \cap v_n(p) \omega(p, z) \geq (1 - \varepsilon) \omega(CG \cap v_n(p), z).$$

Let $n \rightarrow \infty$ and then $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. Then

$$({}_c G \omega(p, z) \geq) {}_c G \cap p \omega(p, z) = \omega(CG \cap p, z) > 0.$$

Now $\omega(CG \cap p, z) > 0$ implies $\sup_{z \in \bar{R}} \omega(CG \cap p, z) = 1$ and $\omega(CG \cap p, z)$ has mass only at p , whence ${}^N \omega(CG \cap p, z) = \omega(p, z)$. Hence ${}_c G \omega(p, z) = \omega(p, z)$. This contradicts $G \ni p$. Hence ${}_c G \cap p \omega(p, z) = 0$ and ${}_c G \cap p N(z, p) = 0$.

Proof of 2). By 1) we have $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} {}_{v_n(p) \cap {}_c G} N(z, p) = 0$. Hence CG is not a contact set.

Proof of 3). Also by 1)

$$0 < \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} {}_{\Delta(p) \cap v_n(p)} N(z, p) \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} {}_{\Delta(p) \cap v_n(p) \cap {}_c G} N(z, p) + \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} {}_{\Delta(p) \cap v_n(p) \cap G} N(z, p) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} {}_{\Delta(p) \cap v_n(p) \cap G} N(z, p).$$

Hence $G \cap \Delta(p)$ is a contact set of p . A sufficient condition for a set Δ to be a contact set of $p \in B_1^N$. By Theorem 6 of the previous paper (C) [9] we have the following

Lemma 2). *If there exists a sequence $M_1 < M_2, \dots < M^* = \sup_{z \in \bar{R}} N(z, p)$ such that*

$$\overline{\lim}_{M_i \rightarrow M^*} \int_{\partial V_{M_i}(p) \cap \Delta} \frac{\partial}{\partial n} N(z, p) ds > 0.$$

Then Δ is a contact set of p .

In the following we consider contact sets when a Riemann surface is very simple. Let R be a unit circle $|z-1| < 1$. We suppose N -Martin's topology is defined in $R - R_0$. Then we have $B_0^N = 0$ and every point $e^{i\theta}$ is an N -minimal boundary point.

Lemma 3.1). *Let $F = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} F_n$ be a closed set in $|z-1| < 1$ such*

that $\{F_n\}$ tends to $z=0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and F_n is a connected component. Let F_n^p be the circular projection of F_n on the positive real axis such that $F_n^p = E[z : r'_n \leq \operatorname{Re} z \leq r_n]$, $r_n = \max_{z \in F_n} |z|$ and $r'_n = \min_{z \in F_n} |z|$. Put $\delta_n = r_n - r'_n$. Then

Condition (A). If $\overline{\lim}_{n=\infty} \frac{\log r_n}{\log \delta_n} > 0$, then F is a contact set of $z=0$.

Condition (A) means there exists a const. $M < \infty$ and infinitely many numbers n_i such that $\delta_{n_i} > r_{n_i}^M$.

We can suppose without loss of generality $R_0 = E[z : |z-1| < \frac{1}{2}]$. Let $\hat{R} - \hat{R}_0$ and \hat{F} be symmetric images of $R - R_0$ and of F with respect to the circle $C : |z-1|=1$ respectively. Let $\tilde{R} - \tilde{R}_0 = R - R_0 + C + \hat{R} - \hat{R}_0$. Then $\tilde{R} - \tilde{R}_0$ is a ring domain $\frac{1}{2} < |z-1| < 2$. Let $N(z, 0)$

be the N -Green's function of $R - R_0$ corresponding to $z=0$. Then $N(z, 0) = 2G(z, 0) - 2\log|z| + V(z)$, where $G(z, 0)$ is the Green's function of $\tilde{R} - \tilde{R}_0$ with pole at $z=0$ and $V(z)$ is a harmonic function in a neighbourhood in $\tilde{R} - \tilde{R}_0$ of $z=0$. Let $\{v_n(0)\}$ be a system of neighbourhood of the boundary point $z=0$ with respect to N -Martin's topology and let $v_n^E(0) = E[z \in \tilde{R} - \tilde{R}_0 : |z| < \frac{1}{n}]$. Then systems $\{v_n(0) + \hat{v}_n(0)\}$ and $\{v_n^E(0)\}$ are equivalent, where $\hat{v}_n(0)$ is the symmetric image of $v_n(0)$ with respect to C . We show $\lim_{n=\infty} v_{v_n(0) \cap F} N(z, 0) > 0$ under the condition (A). Now

$$v_{v_n(0) \cap F} N(z, 0) = 2_{(v_n(0) + \hat{v}_n(0)) \cap (F + \hat{F})} G(z, 0),$$

where $_{(v_n(0) + \hat{v}_n(0)) \cap (F + \hat{F})} G(z, 0)$ is the lower envelope of positive superharmonic functions in $\tilde{R} - \tilde{R}_0$ larger than $G(z, 0)$ on

$$(v_n(0) + \hat{v}_n(0)) \cap (F + \hat{F}).$$

Let $_{(v_n(0) + \hat{v}_n(0)) \cap (F + \hat{F})} U(z)$ and $_{v_n(p) \cap F} U^*(z)$ be lower envelopes of positive superharmonic functions in $\Gamma : |z| < 1$ larger than $-\log|z|$ on $(v_n(0) + \hat{v}_n(0)) \cap (F + \hat{F})$ and larger than $-\log|z|$ on $v_n(0) \cap F$ respectively. Then since $V(z)$ is bounded in a neighbourhood of $z=0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n=\infty} v_{v_n(0) \cap F} N(z, 0) &= \lim_{n=\infty} 2_{(v_n(p) + \hat{v}_n(p)) \cap (F + \hat{F})} G(z, 0) \\ &\geq \lim_{n=\infty} _{(v_n(p) + \hat{v}_n(p)) \cap (F + \hat{F})} U^*(z) \geq \overline{\lim}_{n=\infty} v_{v_n(0) \cap F} U^*(z) \\ &= \overline{\lim}_{n=\infty} v_{v_n(p) \cap F} U(z) \geq \overline{\lim}_{n=\infty} _{F_n} U^*(z) \geq \overline{\lim}_{n=\infty} U_n(z), \end{aligned}$$

where $_{F_n} U^*(z)$ and $U_n(z)$ are lower envelopes of positive superharmonic function in $|z| < 1$ larger than $-\log|z|$ on F_n and larger than $-\log r_n$ on F_n respectively (because $-\log|z| \geq -\log r_n$ on F_n).

We estimate the module of a ring domain $(\Gamma - F_n)$. Let p and q be two points such that $p = r'_n e^{i\theta}$, $q = r_n e^{i\varphi}$, where $r_n = \max_{z \in F_n} |z|$ and

$r'_n = \min_{z \in F} |z|$. Then F_n contains at least a curve γ connecting p with q . Then by $F_n \supset \gamma$, module of $(\Gamma - F_n)$ is smaller than that of $(\Gamma - \gamma)$. Map $\Gamma - \gamma$ by

$$w = \frac{1 - r'_n e^{-i\theta} z}{z - r'_n e^{i\theta}}.$$

Then $\Gamma - \gamma$ is mapped onto a ring whose boundary consists of $|w| = 1$ and a curve γ_w connecting $w = \infty$ with $w = \frac{1 - r_n r'_n e^{-i\theta + i\varphi}}{r_n e^{i\varphi} - r'_n e^{-i\theta}}$. Now

$\left| \frac{1 - r_n r'_n e^{-i\theta + i\varphi}}{r_n e^{i\varphi} - r'_n e^{-i\theta}} \right| \leq \frac{2}{r_n - r'_n}$. Let Ω be a Koebe's extremal ring domain such that $\partial\Omega$ consists of $|w| = 1$ and a half straight line on the real axis connecting $w = \infty$ with $w = \frac{2}{r_n - r'_n} > 1$. Then the module of

$(\Gamma - \gamma)$ is smaller than that of $\Omega \leq \log \frac{4 \times 2}{r_n - r'_n}$. $U_n(z)$ is a harmonic function in $\Gamma - \gamma$ such that $U_n(z) = 0$ on $\partial\Gamma$ and $U_n(z) = -\log r_n$ on γ , whence

$$\int_{\partial\Gamma} \frac{\partial}{\partial n} U_n(z) ds \geq \frac{2\pi(-\log r_n)}{\text{mod. of } (\Gamma - \gamma)} \geq \frac{-2\pi \log r_n}{\log \frac{8}{r_n - r'_n}} \geq \frac{2\pi \log r_n}{\log \delta_n} > 0.$$

Hence $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{v_n(p) \cap F} N(z, p) \geq \overline{\lim} U_n(z) > 0$ and F is a contact set of $z = 0$. As an application of Lemma 3), 1) we have at once the following

Lemma 3. 2). *Let R be a Riemann surface such that $|z| < 1$. Let γ be a curve terminating at $e^{i\theta}$. Then γ is a contact set of $e^{i\theta}$.*

Since $N(z, 0) + 2 \log |z|$ is harmonic in a neighbourhood of $z = 0$ in $\hat{R} - \hat{R}_0$ and by Lemma 2 we have at once

Lemma 3. 3). *Let R be the same Riemann surface as Lemma 3). 1. Let $F = \sum_n F_n$ be a closed set in R such that $\{F_n\}$ tends to $z = 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and every F_n contains a circular arc: $E[z : |z| = r_n, \theta_n \leq \arg z \leq \theta_n + \delta_n]$. Then*

Condition (B). *If $\overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \delta_n > 0$, F is a contact set of $z = 0$.*

Let R be $|z - 1| < 1$. Then we see F is thin at $z = 0$ (this is equivalent to $R - F \ni$ the point $z = 0$), if and only if $z = 0$ is regular for the Dirichlet problem in a domain $\Gamma - F - \hat{F}$, where $\Gamma = E\left[z : \frac{1}{2} < |z - 1| < 2\right]$ and \hat{F} is the symmetric image of F with respect to $|z - 1| = 1$. Hence by Lemma 2 we have

Theorem 1. *Conditions (A) and (B) are sufficient conditions for $z = 0$ to be regular for the Dirichlet problem in $\Gamma - F - \hat{F}$.*

Let $G_1 \supset G_2$ be two domains. If there exists a C_1 -function $U(z)$ in G_1 [10] such that $U(z) = 0$ on ∂G_1 , $U(z) = 1$ on G_2 and the Dirichlet integral $D(U(z)) < \infty$, we say CG_1 and G_2 are Dirichlet-disjoint. Let

$\omega(\{G_n\}, z, G_0)$ be C.P. of the boundary determined by $\{G_n\}$. Then we proved

Lemma 4. 1). [11] *Let $\omega(\{G_n\}, z, G_0) > 0$. Then there exists a level curve C_r of $\omega(\{G_n\}, z, G_0)$ such that*

$$\int_{C_r} \frac{\partial}{\partial n} \omega(\{G_n\}, z, G_0) ds = D(\omega(\{G_n\}, z, G_0))$$

for almost $r : 0 \leq r \leq 1$.

2). [12] *If G_{n+i} and CG_n are Dirichlet-disjoint, for any G_n*

$$\int_{C_r \cap CG_n} \frac{\partial}{\partial n} \omega(\{G_n\}, z, G_0) ds \downarrow 0 \text{ as } r \uparrow 1.$$

3). If CG_0 and G_{n_0} (n_0 is a certain number) are Dirichlet-disjoint, we have by the Dirichlet principle and by maximum principle

$$\omega(\{G_n\}, z, G_0) > 0 \text{ if and only if } \omega(\{G_n\}, z) (= \omega(\{G_n\}, z, R - R_0)) > 0.$$

References

- [1] Z. Kuramochi: Potentials on Riemann surfaces. Jour. Fac. Sci. Hokkaido Univ., XVI (1962).
- [2] In the present articles we suppose ∂G consist of enumerably infinite number of components clustering nowhere in R .
- [3] See [1] But in 1) full-superharmonic functions called superharmonic functions.
- [4] See [1].
- [5] See [1].
- [6] Z. Kuramochi: Singular points of Riemann surfaces. Jour. Fac. Sci. Hokkaido Univ., XVI (1962).
- [7] See Theorem 6 of [1].
- [8] See [6].
- [9] C means the paper "Correspondence of Boundaries of Riemann surfaces. Jour. Fac. Sci. Hokkaido Univ., XVII (1963).
- [10] If $g(z)$ is continuous and partially differentiable almost everywhere, $g(z)$ is called a C_1 -function.
- [11] See Lemma 1 of C (See [9]).
- [12] See [11].