

18. Compactness in Ranked Spaces

By Yukio YOSHIDA

Osaka University

(Comm. by Kinjirô KUNUGI, M. J. A., Feb. 12, 1968)

It is the purpose of this note to study certain properties of sequentially compact sets in ranked spaces. Throughout this note, we shall always treat ranked spaces with indicator ω_0 ([2] p. 319), and $i, k, m, n, n_0, n_1, \dots, n_k, \dots$ will denote non-negative integers.

In a ranked space, for a point-sequence $\{x_n\}_{n=0,1,2,\dots}$ and for a point x , if we have $x \in \{\lim x_n\}$ ([2] p. 319), then the sequence $\{x_n\}$ is said to r -converge to x , or the point x is said to be an r -limit point of $\{x_n\}$. The symbol $\mathcal{F}(x)$ will denote the collection of all fundamental sequences of neighbourhoods with respect to a point x ([3] p. 551).

Let A be a subset of a ranked space. If every countable sequence $\{x_n\}_{n=0,1,2,\dots}$ of points of A contains a subsequence r -converging to a point of A , then A is said to be r -compact. The set of all points, each of which is an r -limit point of a countable sequence of points of A , is called the r -closure of A and denoted by $\text{cl}(A)$. The set A is said to be r -closed, if we have $\text{cl}(A) = A$.

We must take care about the r -convergence in a subset A of a ranked space E . The sequence of points of A , r -converging in the space E to a point x of A , r -converges also to x in the induced ranked space A ([3] p. 550), but the converse is not always true.¹⁾

Example 1. The interval $I = [-2, 2]$ of real numbers with families $\mathfrak{B}_n(x) = \left\{ \left(x - \frac{1}{n}, x + \frac{1}{n} \right) \cap I \right\}$ ($x \in I, n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$)²⁾ becomes a ranked space with indicator ω_0 which will be denoted by E .

(we put $\frac{1}{0} = +\infty$.)

For $x \in I$, let

$$\mathfrak{B}'_n(x) = \begin{cases} \mathfrak{B}_n(x) & \text{when } x \neq 0, \text{ or when } x = 0, n = 0. \\ \left\{ \left(-\frac{1}{n}, \frac{1}{n} \right), \left(-2 + \frac{1}{n}, 2 - \frac{1}{n} \right) \right\} & \text{when } x = 0, n > 0. \end{cases}$$

Then I with $\mathfrak{B}'_n(x)$ ($x \in I, n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$) also becomes another ranked space with indicator ω_0 which will be denoted by E' .

1) A condition which makes the converse hold was given in [6] Proposition 15.

2) $\mathfrak{B}_n(x)$ will denote the family of neighbourhoods of point x and of rank n . See [5] p. 616.

In the induced space A' of E' , consisting of all points x such that $|x| < 1$, any point-sequence r -converges to 0. Therefore the sequence $\left\{(-1)^n \cdot \frac{1}{2}\right\}_{n=0,1,2,\dots}$ r -converges in A' , though it does not in E' .

The r -convergence in the above definitions will mean that in the whole ranked space. Therefore, if a subset A of a ranked space E is r -compact, then the induced ranked space A of E is also r -compact.

§ 1. Fundamental properties. **Proposition 1.** *In a ranked space:*

- (1) any one point set is r -compact;
- (2) any finite union of r -compact sets is r -compact;
- (3) any r -closed subset of an r -compact set is r -compact.

Proposition 2. *The image by an r -continuous mapping ([3] p. 550) of an r -compact set is r -compact.*

In Example 1, two induced subspaces $A = (-1, 1)$ and $B = [1, 2]$ of E' are both r -compact. But induced space $A \cup B$ of E' is not r -compact. The natural mapping $i: E' \rightarrow E$ is r -continuous. But the induced space $i(A)$ of E is not r -compact, though the induced space A of E' is r -compact.

A ranked space is said to be r -separated if it satisfies the following axiom:

(R_2) for any two distinct point x and y , and for any members $\{U_n(x)\}$ of $\mathcal{F}(x)$ and $\{V_n(y)\}$ of $\mathcal{F}(y)$, there is an n such that

$$U_n(x) \cap V_n(y) = \phi.$$

We can consider any metric space an r -separated ranked space. And each of the examples in the note [4] is r -separated.

In any r -separated ranked space, every point-sequence $\{x_n\}_{n=0,1,2,\dots}$ r -converges to at most one point. Hence the following holds.

Proposition 3. *Any r -compact subset of an r -separated ranked space is r -closed.*

A linear space which is at the same time a ranked space will be called a *linear ranked space* if addition and scalar multiplication are both r -continuous ([4]).

Proposition 4. *In a linear ranked space:*

- (1) any scalar multiple of an r -compact set is r -compact;
- (2) any finite sum of r -compact sets is r -compact;
- (3) the sum of an r -compact set and an r -closed set is r -closed.

Proof. (1) and (2). These result from the r -continuity of addition and scalar multiplication.

(3) Let C be r -compact, F be r -closed and suppose that a sequence $\{x_n\}_{n=0,1,2,\dots}$ of points of $C + F$ r -converges to a point x . Any x_n can be represented by the form $y_n + z_n$ where $y_n \in C$ and $z_n \in F$.

From the r -compactness of C , there is a subsequence $\{y_{n_i}\}_{i=0,1,2,\dots}$ of $\{y_n\}$ r -converging to a point y of C . Because $\{x_{n_i}\}_{i=0,1,2,\dots}$ also r -converges to x ([2] Proposition 1), and from the r -continuity of addition and scalar multiplication, $\{z_{n_i} = x_{n_i} - y_{n_i}\}$ r -converges to $x - y$. From the r -closedness of F , $x - y \in F$. Therefore x belongs to $C + F$, so $C + F$ is r -closed.

§ 2. Coverings and r -compactness. Let E be a ranked space and T be a set of indices. Suppose that, for any point x of E and for any index τ of T , there is a member of $\mathcal{F}(x)$ denoted by $\tau(x)$, and that any member of $\mathcal{F}(x)$ is inferior to a $\tau(x)$.³⁾

Any ranked space may be considered to possess this property. In the case of metric spaces, T consists essentially one element. For any linear ranked space, in which every $\mathcal{F}(x)$ is obtained by translation of $\mathcal{F}(0)$ of the origin 0, we can identify T with a sub-collection of $\mathcal{F}(0)$.

For any subset A of E , and for any τ of T , we define the sets

$$\begin{aligned} \text{cl}_\tau(A) &= \{x \mid x \in E, \forall V \in \tau(x) \ A \cap V \neq \phi\}, \\ \text{in}_\tau(A) &= \{x \mid x \in E, \exists V \in \tau(x) \ V \subseteq A\}, \\ \text{in}(A) &= \bigcap_\tau \text{in}_\tau(A), \end{aligned}$$

then we have

$$\text{cl}(A) = \bigcup_\tau \text{cl}_\tau(A), \quad (\text{cl}_\tau(A))^\circ = \text{in}_\tau(A^\circ), \quad (\text{cl}(A))^\circ = \text{in}(A^\circ),$$

where X° denoted the compliment of subset X of E .

Theorem 1. *For any subset A of the ranked space E , the following three conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) A is r -compact;
- (2) for any countable family $\{B_n\}$ of subsets of A , possessing the finite intersection property, there is a $\tau \in T$ such that we have $A \cap (\bigcap_n \text{cl}_\tau(B_n)) \neq \phi$.
- (3) for any countable family $\{C_n\}$ of subsets of E such that, for every $\tau \in T$, $\{\text{in}_\tau(C_n)\}$ covers A , there is a finite subfamily of $\{C_n\}$ covering A .

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2). Let $\{B_n\}_{n=0,1,2,\dots}$ be a family satisfying the supposition in (2) and, for any n , x_n be a point of $\bigcap_{k=0}^n B_k$. Then there is a point x of A which is an r -limit point of some subsequence of $\{x_n\}$. Therefore, for some τ of T , every $V_m(x)$ of $\tau(x)$ contains countably many terms of $\{x_n\}$, so we have

$$x \in \text{cl}_\tau(B_n) \quad (n=0, 1, 2, \dots).$$

(2) \Rightarrow (3). Let $\{C_n\}_{n=0,1,2,\dots}$ be a family of subsets of E , and suppose that every finite subfamily of $\{C_n\}$ does not cover A . Let us put

3) For two fundamental sequences $u = \{U_n(x)\}$ of and $v = \{V_n(x)\}$ of neighbourhoods with respect to a same point x , we say that v is inferior to u and write it $v < u$ when, for any $U_m(x)$ there is a $V_n(x)$ included in $U_m(x)$.

$B_n = A - \bigcup_{k=0}^n C_k (n=0, 1, 2, \dots)$, then $\{B_n\}_{n=0,1,2,\dots}$ is a countable family of subsets of A and possesses the finite intersection property. From (2), for some τ of T , there is a point x of A such that

$$x \in \bigcap_n \text{cl}_\tau(B_n).$$

Because

$$\text{in}_\tau(C_n) \subseteq \text{in}_\tau\left(\bigcup_{k=0}^n C_k\right) \subseteq \text{in}_\tau(B_n^c) = (\text{cl}_\tau(B_n))^c,$$

we have

$$x \in \bigcup_n \text{in}_\tau(C_n).$$

Hence $\{\text{in}_\tau(C_n)\}$ does not cover A .

(3) \Rightarrow (1). Let $\{x_n\}_{n=0,1,2,\dots}$ be a point-sequence of A . We may suppose that, if $m \neq n$, then $x_m \neq x_n$. Let us put

$$C_n = E - \{x_n, x_{n+1}, \dots\} \quad (n=0, 1, 2, \dots)$$

then every finite subfamily of $\{C_n\}_{n=0,1,2,\dots}$ does not cover A . Therefore, for some τ of T , there is a point x of A such that

$$x \notin \bigcup_n \text{in}_\tau(C_n).$$

This means that $x \in \bigcap_n \text{cl}_\tau(\{x_n, x_{n+1}, \dots\})$. Let $\tau(x) = \{V_k(x)\}_{k=0,1,2,\dots}$

We can choose a subsequence $\{x_{n_k}\}_{k=0,1,2,\dots}$ from $\{x_n\}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} n_0 < n_1 < n_2 < \dots < n_k < \dots, \\ x_{n_k} &\in V_k(x) \quad (n=0, 1, 2, \dots), \end{aligned}$$

so $\{x_n\}$ contains a subsequence r -converging to the point x of A . Hence A is r -compact.

References

- [1] K. Kunugi: Sur les espaces complets et régulièrement complets. I. Proc. Japan Acad., **30**, 553-556 (1954).
- [2] —: Sur la méthode des espaces rangés. I. Proc. Japan Acad., **42**, 318-322 (1966).
- [3] —: Sur la méthode des espaces rangés. II. Proc. Japan Acad., **42**, 549-554 (1966).
- [4] M. Washihara: On ranked space and linearity. Proc. Japan Acad., **43**, 584-589 (1967).
- [5] Y. Yoshida: Sur les structures des espaces rangés. I. Proc. Japan Acad., **42**, 616-619 (1966).
- [6] —: Sur les structures des espaces rangés. II. Proc. Japan Acad., **42**, 1144-1148 (1966).