

213. On Extensions of Mappings into n -Cubes

By Shozo SAKAI

Department of Mathematics, Shizuoka University

(Comm. by Kinjirô KUNUGI, M. J. A., Nov. 12, 1968)

1. Introduction. The purpose of this note is to give a generalization of the results of M. K. Fort, Jr. [1] to the case of arbitrary metric spaces.

Let X be a metric space and $\dim X$ the covering dimension of X . We denote by I^n the closed unit cube in Euclidean n -space, where $n > 0$. If A is a subset of X and f is a mapping whose domain contains A , f is of type k on A if and only if $\dim (f^{-1}(y) \cap A) \leq k$ for all y in the range of f , where $k \geq -1$. In the following, a mapping means always a continuous transformation.

Let us assume that A is a closed subset of X , $\dim(X-A) = m \geq n$ and f is a mapping of A into I^n . It will be shown that f can be extended to a mapping φ of X into I^n such that φ is of type $m-n$ on $X-A$. Under the assumption of separability for X , this theorem was proved by A. L. Gropen [2] and essentially by M. K. Fort, Jr. [1]. If f is, in addition, of type $m-n$ on A , it will also be shown that the mapping φ , whose existence is asserted above, is of type $m-n$ on X . These results will be established in §3.

The author wishes to express his hearty thanks to Professor K. Morita who has suggested this problem and has given him various valuable advices kindly.

2. Auxiliary lemmas. We employ the terminology of M. K. Fort, Jr. [1]. A finite collection Σ of subsets of a metric space has Property D if and only if there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that any set which contains at least one point from each member of Σ has diameter greater than ε . If A is a closed subset of a metric space X and f is a mapping into I^n whose domain contains A , we let $C_n(f|A)$ be the space of mappings g of X into I^n for which $g|A = f|A$ metrized by the uniform metric. By the Tietze extension theorem, $C_n(f|A)$ is non-empty and is a complete metric space.

The following Lemma 1 was proved by M. K. Fort, Jr. In his paper [1], it was assumed that X is a separable metric space, but by virtue of [5, p. 49] the separability of X is not necessary.

Lemma 1. *If A is a closed subset of a metric space X , f is a mapping of A into I^n and F_0, \dots, F_n are mutually exclusive subsets of $X-A$ which are closed in X and each of dimension less than n , then*

the set G of all mappings $g \in C_n(f|A)$ for which $g(F_0), \dots, g(F_n)$ has Property D is open and dense in $C_n(f|A)$.

Lemma 2. *Let F be a subset of a metric space X and let $\mathfrak{U} = \{U_\gamma | \gamma \in \Gamma\}$ be a discrete collection of subsets of X such that $\dim(\mathfrak{B}(U_\gamma) \cap F) < k$ for $\gamma \in \Gamma$, where $\mathfrak{B}(U_\gamma)$ denotes the boundary of U_γ and $k \geq 0$. Then $\dim(\mathfrak{B}(\bigcup_{\gamma \in \Gamma} U_\gamma) \cap F) < k$.*

Proof. Since \mathfrak{U} is a locally finite collection, we have Lemma 2 by the sum theorem [3, Theorem 3.2].

Lemma 3. *If f is a mapping of a closed subset A of a metric space X into I^n , F is a subset of $X - A$ which is closed in X and $\dim F = m \geq n$, m finite, then there exists a second category set $E \subset C_n(f|A)$ such that each $\varphi \in E$ is of type $m - n$ on F .*

Proof. We let n be a fixed positive integer and give a proof by induction on $m - n$.

Suppose $m = n$. By A. H. Stone's theorem [6], there exists an open basis $\mathfrak{U} = \bigcup_{i=1}^\infty \mathfrak{U}_i$ of X , where $\mathfrak{U}_i = \bigcup_{j=1}^\infty \mathfrak{U}_{i,j}$ is a locally finite open covering of X and $\mathfrak{U}_{i,j} = \{U(i, j; \gamma) | \gamma \in \Gamma_{i,j}\}$ is a discrete collection, $i, j = 1, 2, \dots$. We put $\mathfrak{U}_i = \{U(i; \gamma) | \gamma \in \Gamma_i\}$ and we may assume that $\text{mesh } \mathfrak{U}_i = \sup\{\text{diameter of } U(i; \gamma) | \gamma \in \Gamma_i\} < 1/i$.

Since \mathfrak{U}_i is a locally finite open covering, there exists an open covering $\mathfrak{U}_i^k = \{U(i; \gamma, k) | \gamma \in \Gamma_i\}$ of X such that $\overline{U(i; \gamma, k)} \subset U(i; \gamma)$ for $\gamma \in \Gamma_i$ and $i = 1, 2, \dots$. Continuing this process, we have locally finite open coverings $\mathfrak{U}_i^k = \{U(i; \gamma, k) | \gamma \in \Gamma_i\}$, $k = 1, \dots, n + 1$, of X such that

$$1) \quad \overline{U(i; \gamma, n+1)} \subset U(i; \gamma, n) \subset \overline{U(i; \gamma, n)} \subset \dots \subset U(i; \gamma, 1) \\ \subset \overline{U(i; \gamma, 1)} \subset U(i; \gamma, 0), \quad \gamma \in \Gamma_i, i = 1, 2, \dots$$

where we set $U(i; \gamma, 0) = U(i; \gamma)$. By K. Morita's theorem [4, Theorem 9.1], there is a system of open sets $V(i; \gamma, k)$, $k = 0, 1, \dots, n$, $\gamma \in \Gamma_i$, $i = 1, 2, \dots$ such that

$$2) \quad \overline{U(i; \gamma, k+1)} \subset V(i; \gamma, k) \subset U(i; \gamma, k),$$

$$3) \quad \dim(\mathfrak{B}(V(i; \gamma, k)) \cap F) \leq n - 1$$

for $k = 0, 1, \dots, n$, $\gamma \in \Gamma_i$, $i = 1, 2, \dots$. Thus, by 1), 2), and 3), for each $U(i, j; \gamma) \in \mathfrak{U}_{i,j} \subset \mathfrak{U}_i$ we have $n + 1$ open sets $V(i, j; \gamma, k)$, $k = 0, 1, \dots, n$ satisfying 4) and 5):

$$4) \quad \dim(\mathfrak{B}(V(i, j; \gamma, k)) \cap F) \leq n - 1,$$

$$5) \quad \overline{V(i, j; \gamma, n)} \subset V(i, j; \gamma, n-1) \subset \overline{V(i, j; \gamma, n-1)} \subset \dots \\ \subset V(i, j; \gamma, 1) \subset \overline{V(i, j; \gamma, 1)} \subset V(i, j; \gamma, 0)$$

for $k = 0, 1, \dots, n$, $\gamma \in \Gamma_i$, $i, j = 1, 2, \dots$. We put

$$V(i, j; k) = \cup \{V(i, j; \gamma, k) | \gamma \in \Gamma_{i,j}\}.$$

Then by Lemma 2 combined with 4) and by 5), we have

$$6) \quad \dim(\mathfrak{B}(V(i, j; k)) \cap F) \leq n - 1,$$

$$7) \quad \overline{V(i, j; n)} \subset V(i, j; n-1) \subset \overline{V(i, j; n-1)} \subset \dots \subset V(i, j; 1) \\ \subset \overline{V(i, j; 1)} \subset V(i, j; 0)$$

for $k=0, 1, \dots, n, i, j=1, 2, \dots$. Let

$$F_{ijk} = \mathfrak{B}(V(i, j; k)) \cap F.$$

Then, by 6) and 7), F_{ij0}, \dots, F_{ijn} satisfy the conditions of Lemma 1, and therefore there exists an open dense subset $G_{ij} \subset C_n(f|A)$ such that if $g \in G_{ij}$ then the collection $g(F_{ij0}), \dots, g(F_{ijn})$ has Property D. We let

$$E = \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} \bigcap_{j=1}^{\infty} G_{ij}.$$

E is clearly a second category subset of $C_n(f|A)$.

Now let $\varphi \in E$ and $y \in I^n$. Suppose that $x \in \varphi^{-1}(y) \cap F$ and that W is a neighbourhood of x . There is an integer i such that the $1/i$ -neighbourhood of x in $\varphi^{-1}(y) \cap F$ is contained in W . Therefore, since $\mathfrak{B}_i^n = \{V(i, j; \gamma, n) | \gamma \in \Gamma_i\}$ is an open covering of X , there exist open sets $V(i, j; \gamma, 0), \dots, V(i, j; \gamma, n)$ such that $x \in V(i, j; \gamma, n)$ and $V(i, j; \gamma, 0) \cap \varphi^{-1}(y) \cap F \subset W$. On the other hand, since $\bigcap_{k=0}^n \varphi(F_{ijk}) = \emptyset$, there exists k such that $F_{ijk} \cap \varphi^{-1}(y) = \emptyset$. Since $\mathfrak{B}(V(i, j; \gamma, k)) \cap F \subset F_{ijk}$, we have

$$\mathfrak{B}(V(i, j; \gamma, k)) \cap \varphi^{-1}(y) \cap F = \emptyset.$$

Thus there exists a σ -locally finite open basis

$$\mathfrak{B} = \{V(i, j; \gamma, k) | \mathfrak{B}(V(i, j; \gamma, k)) \cap \varphi^{-1}(y) \cap F = \emptyset, \\ k=0, 1, \dots, n, \gamma \in \Gamma_{ij}, i, j=1, 2, \dots\}$$

of $\varphi^{-1}(y) \cap F$ and $\dim(\varphi^{-1}(y) \cap F) \leq 0$ (cf. [4, Theorem 8.7] or [5, Theorem 2.9]).

Let us assume that the lemma is true for $m-n \leq l$, and show that this implies the lemma for $m-n = l+1$. We assume $\dim F = n+l+1$.

There exists an open basis $\mathfrak{U} = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathfrak{U}_i$ of X , where $\mathfrak{U}_i = \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} \mathfrak{U}_{ij}$ is a locally finite open covering of X and $\mathfrak{U}_{ij} = \{U(i, j; \gamma) | \gamma \in \Gamma_{ij}\}$ is a discrete collection, $i, j=1, 2, \dots$. By K. Morita's theorem, we can find an open covering $\mathfrak{B}_i = \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} \mathfrak{B}_{ij}$ of X , where $\mathfrak{B}_{ij} = \{V(i, j; \gamma) | \gamma \in \Gamma_{ij}\}$ is a discrete collection such that

$$8) \quad \overline{V(i, j; \gamma)} \subset U(i, j; \gamma), \\ 9) \quad \dim(\mathfrak{B}(V(i, j; \gamma)) \cap F) \leq n+l$$

for $\gamma \in \Gamma_{ij}, i, j=1, 2, \dots$. Let

$$V_{ij} = \cup \{V(i, j; \gamma) | \gamma \in \Gamma_{ij}\}.$$

By Lemma 2, $\dim(\mathfrak{B}(V_{ij}) \cap F) \leq n+l, i, j=1, 2, \dots$. By the induction hypothesis, for each i and j there exists a second category set $E_{ij} \subset C_n(f|A)$ such that if $g \in E_{ij}$ then g is of type l on $\mathfrak{B}(V_{ij}) \cap F$. We define

$$E = \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} \bigcap_{j=1}^{\infty} E_{ij}.$$

Clearly, $E \subset C_n(f|A)$ is a second category subset.

Let $\varphi \in E$ and $y \in I^n$. Suppose that x is a point of $\varphi^{-1}(y) \cap F$ and W is a neighbourhood of x . There exists an open set $V(i, j; \gamma) \in \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathfrak{B}_i$ such that $x \in V(i, j; \gamma) \cap \varphi^{-1}(y) \cap F \subset W$. Since $\mathfrak{B}(V(i, j; \gamma)) \subset \mathfrak{B}(V_{ij})$, we have $\dim(\mathfrak{B}(V(i, j; \gamma)) \cap \varphi^{-1}(y) \cap F) \leq l$. Therefore, $\dim(\varphi^{-1}(y) \cap F) \leq l+1$ and φ is of type $l+1$ on F . Thus the proof of Lemma 3 is completed.

3. Extension theorem.

Theorem. *Let X be a metric space, A a closed subset of X and f a mapping from A into I^n . If $\dim(X-A) = m \geq n$, $m < \infty$, then there exists a second category set $E \subset C_n(f|A)$ such that φ is of type $m-n$ on $X-A$ for each $\varphi \in E$.*

Proof. Since A is closed, $X-A = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} F_i$, where F_i is a closed subset of X , $i=1, 2, \dots$. Clearly, $\dim F_i \leq m$. By Lemma 3, there exists a second category set $E_i \subset C_n(f|A)$ such that each $g \in E_i$ is of type $m-n$ on F_i , $i=1, 2, \dots$. Letting $E = \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i$, we have the desired set E . Indeed, by the sum theorem we have $\dim(\varphi^{-1}(y) \cap (X-A)) \leq m-n$ for $\varphi \in E$ and $y \in I^n$.

The following corollaries are easily proved by the method used in [1]. Therefore, we omit their proofs.

Corollary 1. *Let X be a metric space, A a closed subset of X and f a mapping from A into I^n . If $\dim(X-A) = m \geq n$, $m < \infty$, then there exists a continuous extension φ of f over X such that φ is of type $m-n$ on $X-A$.*

Corollary 2. *Let X be a metric space, A a closed subset of X and f a mapping from A into I^n . If $\dim(X-A) = m \geq n$, $m < \infty$, and f is of type $m-n$ on A , then f can be extended to a mapping φ of X into I^n such that φ is of type $m-n$ on X .*

References

- [1] M. K. Fort, Jr.: Extensions of mappings into n -cubes. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **7**, 539-542 (1956).
- [2] A. L. Gropen: Special homeomorphisms in the functional space $C(X, I_{2n+1})$. Duke Math. J., **28**, 629-637 (1961).
- [3] K. Morita: On the dimension of normal spaces. II. J. Math. Soc. Japan, **2**, 16-33 (1950).
- [4] —: Normal families and dimension theory for metric spaces. Math. Ann., **128**, 350-362 (1954).

- [5] J. Nagata: *Modern Dimension Theory*. Amsterdam-Groningen (1965).
- [6] A. H. Stone: Paracompactness and product spaces. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **54**, 977-982 (1948).