## 205. On Generalized Integrals. III

By Shizu NAKANISHI University of Osaka Prefecture (Comm. by Kinjirô KUNUGI, M. J. A., Nov. 12, 1968)

In the preceding papers [3], we showed that the special (E.R.)integral is defined as a unique and natural extension of integrals (defined as usual) of step functions, using the method of the ranked space. In fact, to do this, we introduced on the set  $\mathcal{E}$  of step functions on [a, b] a set of neighbourhoods, denoted by  $V(A, \varepsilon; f)$ , and a rank so that  $\mathcal{E}$  should become a ranked space. In this ranked space  $\mathcal{E}$ , we see that if  $u: \{V_n(f_n)\}$  is a fundamental sequence of neighbourhoods, the limit  $f(x) = \lim_{n \to \infty} f_n(x)$  exists almost everywhere, and the sequence of integrals  $\int_a^b f_n(x) dx$  converges to a finite limit. Moreover, if  $u: \{V_n(f_n)\}$  and  $v: \{V_n(g_n)\}$  are two fundamental sequences belonging to the same maximal collection  $u^*$ , then we have

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} f_n(x) = \lim_{n \to \infty} g_n(x) \quad \text{a.e.,}$$
$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \int_a^b f_n(x) dx = \lim_{n \to \infty} \int_a^b g_n(x) dx.$$

Therefore, each maximal collection  $u^*$  in  $\mathcal{E}$  determines a function and a value which we can associate to this  $u^*$ .  $J(u^*)$  denotes the function and  $I(u^*)$  denotes the value. If we denote, by U, the set of all maximal collections  $u^*$ , we have  $J(u^*) \neq J(v^*)$  for  $u^* \in U$  and  $v^* \in U$  such that  $u^* \neq v^*$ . We denoted, by K, the set  $\{J(u^*); u^* \in U\}$ , and for each  $f=J(u^*)$ , we defined the integral I(f) of f by taking the value  $I(u^*)$ . Then, K coincides with the set of (E.R.) integrable functions in the special sense (or A-integrable functions) and we have  $I(f) = (E.R.) \int_a^b f(x) dx$  $= (A) \int_a^b f(x) dx$ . In this paper, we will show that if we reasonably introduce a set of neighbourhoods and a rank on K, then the ranked space K is a completion of the ranked space  $\mathcal{E}$  (Theorem 3). Moreover, the special (E.R.) integral is the r-continuous extension of integrals of step functions, and it is a r-continuous linear functional on the complete ranked space K (Theorem 4).

In order to introduce the notion of completion in the ranked spaces,<sup>1)</sup> we first recall a few basic concepts in the general ranked spaces. Throughout this paper, we suppose that the ranked spaces

<sup>1)</sup> For the problem of the completion of the ranked spaces, see [1] and [5].

*R* satisfy the axiomes (A) and (B) of Hausdorff and have the indicator  $\omega_0$  ( $\omega_0$  is the first non-finite cardinal). Prof. K. Kunugi in [2] gave the notion of the limit in the ranked space in the following form :

Definition of the convergence of a sequence of points. Given a sequence  $\{p_n; n=0, 1, 2, \cdots\}$  of points of R and a point p of R, we say that the sequence  $\{p_n\}$  r-converges to the point p, or that p is a r-limit of  $\{p_n\}$ , if there is a fundamental sequence  $\{V_n(p)\}$  consisting of neighbourhoods of p such that  $V_n(p) \ni p_n$  for each n. In this case, we write

$$p \in \{\lim p_n\}$$

 $\{\lim p_n\}$  is not a set consisting of one point alone in general.

We write  $Cl_r(E)$  the set of all *r*-limit points of a set *E* [6]. We say that a set *E* is *r*-dense in a ranked space *R* if  $Cl_r(E) = R$ .

Definition of the continuity. Let R, S be two ranked spaces. Consider a one valued function f(p) defined for every  $p \in R$  and taking values in a set S. Let  $p_0 \in R$ . Then, the function f(p) is said to be *r*-continuous at the point  $p_0$  if  $p_0 \in \{\lim_n p_n\}$  implies  $f(p_0) \in \{\lim_n f(p_n)\}[2]$ . The function is said to be *r*-continuous if it is *r*-continuous at each point of R. In particular, the continuity of the real valued function f(p) is usually understood, unless the contrary is expressly stated, as follows: f(p) is *r*-continuous at the point  $p_0$  if  $\{\lim_n p_n\} \ni p_0$  implies  $\lim_n f(p_n) = f(p_0)$ .

When f is a one-to-one function of R onto S, and both f and  $f^{-1}$  is r-continuous, we say that f is a r-isomorphism of R into S, and the spaces R and S are said to be r-equivalent.

Relative notions. Let A be a subset of R. For every point p of A, the neighbourhood of p in A is the set of points of A defined by the relation  $V(p, A) = V(p) \cap A$ , where V(p) is a neighbourhood of p in R. We also define the set  $\mathfrak{B}_n(A)$   $(n=0, 1, 2, \cdots)$  of neighbourhoods of rank n of points of A as follows:  $V(p, A) \in \mathfrak{B}_n(A)$  if and only if  $V(p) \in \mathfrak{B}_n$ , where  $\mathfrak{B}_n$  is a set of neighbourhoods of rank n in R. Then, A is a ranked space. Prof. K. Kunugi called it a ranked space induced from R [2].

In particular, let us consider a ranked spaces A induced from R such that: for every  $p \in A$  and for every fundamental sequence  $\{V_n(p, A)\}$  of neighbourhoods of p, there is a fundamental sequence  $\{V_n(p)\}$  of neighbourhoods of p in R for which we have  $V_n(p, A) = V_n(p)$  $\cap A$  for each n. Y. Yoshida called this ranked space A a ranked subspace of R [6]. He showed that when  $\{p_n\}$  is a sequence of points A and p is a point of A, we have  $p \in \{\lim_{n} p_n\}$  in A if and only if  $p \in \{\lim_{n} p_n\}$  in R. S. NAKANISHI

Definition of the complete space.<sup>2)</sup> The ranked space R is said to be complete, if, for every fundamental sequence  $\{V_n(p_n); n=0,1,2,\cdots\}$  of neighbourhoods, we have  $\bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} V_n(p_n) \neq \phi$ .

Now, we will give a definition of completion of the ranked space.

Definition 2. A ranked space  $R^*$  is called a *completion* of a ranked space R, if  $R^*$  is complete and if there is a r-isomorphism of R into a r-dense ranked subspace of  $R^*$ .

The metric space R can be regarded as a ranked space. In fact, as a set  $\mathfrak{B}_n$   $(n=0, 1, 2, \cdots)$  of neighbourhoods of rank n, if we take the set of all open spheres  $S_{1/n+1}(p)$  of radius 1/n+1 about p (p runs through the set R), R is a ranked space with indicator  $\omega_0$ . Then, the completion in the ordinary sense of the metric space R is a completion of the ranked space R in the above sense.

5. Completion of the ranked space  $\mathcal{E}$ . First of all, let us consider the set  $\mathcal{M}$  of all real valued measurable functions on [a, b], and we regard two functions equal if they differ only in a set of measure zero. Let us introduce, as in  $\mathcal{E}$ , on the set  $\mathcal{M}$  a set of neighbourhoods in the following way:

Definition 3. Given a closed subset A of [a, b] and a positive number  $\varepsilon$ , the neighbourhood  $V(A, \varepsilon; f)$ , or simply V(f), of the point f of  $\mathcal{M}$  is the set of all those measurable functions g(x) which are expressible as the sums of f(x) and the other functions r(x) with the following three properties:

 $\begin{array}{l|l} [\alpha] & |r(x)| < \varepsilon & \text{for all } x \in A, \\ [\beta] & k \max \{x; |r(x)| > k\} < \varepsilon & \text{for each } k > 0, \\ [\gamma] & \left| \int_{a}^{b} [r(x)]^{k} dx \right| < \varepsilon & \text{for each } k > 0. \end{array}$ 

Then, the neighbourhoods satisfy the axioms (A) and (B) of Hausdorff. The neighbourhoods  $V(A, \varepsilon; f)$  and  $V(B, \varepsilon; f)$  are identical if mes  $((A \setminus B) \cup (B \setminus A)) = 0$ .

First, we obtain the following Lemma as in I,<sup>3)</sup> Lemma 1.

Lemma 11. If  $V(A, \varepsilon; f) \supseteq V(B, \eta; g)$ , then we have  $mes(A \setminus B) = 0$  and  $\varepsilon \ge \eta$ .

From this, we see that  $\mathcal{M}$  is a space of depth  $\omega_0$ . Hence, the indicator should be  $\omega_0$ . For  $n=0, 1, 2, \cdots$ , a neighbourhood  $V(A, \varepsilon; f)$  is said to be rank n, if it satisfies the condition

 $[\delta] \mod ([a, b] \setminus A) < \varepsilon \text{ and } \varepsilon = 2^{-n}.$ Then:

**Proposition 4.**  $\mathcal{M}$  is a ranked space of depth  $\omega_0$ .

<sup>2)</sup> For this notion, see [1], [4], and [7].

<sup>3)</sup> The reference number indicates the number of the Note.

We have  $\operatorname{mes}(A_n \setminus (\bigcap_{m=n}^{\infty} A_m)) = 0$  for the fundamental sequence  $\{V(A_n, \varepsilon_n; f_n)\}$ . Therefore, without loss of generality, we can always assume that  $\{A_n\}$  is a monotone increasing sequence. Without notice, we consider, from now onwards, that it is always the case.

**Lemma 12.** Let  $\{f_n\}$  be a r-converging sequence of points in  $\mathcal{M}$ , then the limit  $f(x) = \lim_{n \to \infty} f_n(x)$  exists and  $\{\lim_n f_n\}$  is the set consisting of f alone.

**Proof.** By the assumption, there is a function  $f \in \mathcal{M}$  such that  $f \in \{\lim_{n} f_n\}$ , and so there is a fundamental sequence  $\{V(A_n, \varepsilon_n; f)\}$  with  $V(A_n, \varepsilon_n; f) \ni f_n$ . We have then  $|f(x) - f_n(x)| < \varepsilon_n$  for all  $x \in A_n$ . Hence,  $\lim_{n \to \infty} f_n(x)$  exists and f coincides with the limit function.

**Lemma 13.** If  $f \in \{\lim_{n} f_n\}$  and  $g \in \{\lim_{n} g_n\}$ , then we have  $\alpha f + \beta g$  $\in \{\lim_{n} (\alpha f_n + \beta g_n)\}$  for any pair,  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ , of real numbers.

**Proof.** By the assumptions, there are a fundamental sequence  $\{V(A_n, \varepsilon_n; f)\}$  with  $V(A_n, \varepsilon_n; f) \ni f_n$  and a fundamental sequence  $\{V(B_n, \eta_n; g)\}$  with  $V(B_n, \eta_n; g) \ni g_n$ . Then, as it is easily seen, the sequence  $\{V(A_n \cap B_n, \kappa_n; f+g)\}$ , where  $\kappa_n = 8 \max(\varepsilon_n, \eta_n)$ , is fundamental. Moreover, we have  $V(A_n \cap B_n, \kappa_n; f+g) \ni f_n + g_n$ : in fact, for the function  $(f_n + g_n) - (f+g)$ ,  $[\alpha]$  and  $[\beta]$  are obvious,  $[\gamma]$  results by using II, Lemma 5. Thus, we obtain  $f+g \in \{\lim_n (f_n + g_n)\}$ . The sequence  $\{V(A_n, 2^i\varepsilon_n; \alpha f)\}$ , where l is the smallest positive integer such that  $2^i \ge |\alpha|$ , is fundamental, and it satisfies  $V(A_n, 2^i\varepsilon_n; \alpha f) \ni \alpha f_n$ . Hence,  $\alpha f \in \{\lim \alpha f_n\}$ .

Proposition 5.  $K = Cl_r(\mathcal{E})$ .

**Proof.** Let  $f \in K$ , then there is a fundamental sequence  $\{V(A_n, \varepsilon_n; f_n)\}$  in  $\mathcal{E}$  such that  $\lim_{n \to \infty} f_n(x) = f(x)$ . By I, Lemma 3,  $\{V(A_n, 2\varepsilon_n; f)\}$  in  $\mathcal{M}$  is a fundamental sequence with  $V(A_n, 2\varepsilon_n; f) \ni f_n$ . Therefore, we have  $f \in \{\lim_n f_n\}$ . It shows that  $f \in Cl_r(\mathcal{E})$ . Let  $f \in Cl_r(\mathcal{E})$ , then there is a sequence  $\{f_n\}$  of points of  $\mathcal{E}$  r-converging to f in  $\mathcal{M}$ , so that there is a fundamental sequence  $\{V(A_n, \varepsilon_n; f)\}$  in  $\mathcal{M}$  with  $V(A_n, \varepsilon_n; f) \ni f_n$ . Let  $\{n_i; i=0, 1, 2, \cdots\}$  be an index sequence which satisfies the relation  $\varepsilon_{ni} \ge 2^6 \varepsilon_{ni+1}$  for each i. Let us consider the sequence  $\{V(A_i^*, \varepsilon_i^*; f_i^*)\}$  in  $\mathcal{E}$  such that  $A_{2i}^* = A_{2i+1}^* = A_{ni}, \varepsilon_{2i}^* = 16\varepsilon_{ni}, \varepsilon_{2i+1}^* = 8\varepsilon_{ni}$  and  $f_{2i}^* = f_{2i+1}^* = f_{ni}$ . Then  $\{V(A_i^*, \varepsilon_i^*; f_i^*)\}$  is a fundamental sequence in  $\mathcal{E}$ , and we have, by Lemma 12,  $\lim_{i \to \infty} f_i^*(x) = f(x)$ . Thus, we obtain  $f \in K$ .

Since  $\mathcal{E}$  is a vector space, we see, by Lemma 13 and Proposition

5, that:

Proposition 6. K is a vector space.

We now introduce on K the neighbourhoods and the rank induced from  $\mathcal{M}$ . Then, K is a ranked subspace of  $\mathcal{M}$ . We also see that:

Proposition 7.  $\mathcal{E}$  is a ranked subspace of the ranked space K.

**Lemma 14.** Let  $\{V(A_n, \varepsilon_n; f_n)\}$  be a fundamental sequence in K, then  $f_n(x)$  converges to a finite function f(x), and the integrals  $I(f_n)$  converges to a finite limit.

**Proof.** As in I, Lemma 2, we can prove the convergence of  $f_n(x)$ . We have  $|I(f_n) - I(f_m)| \le |I(f_n) - \int_a^b [f_n(x)]^k dx| + |\int_a^b [f_n(x)]^k dx - \int_a^b [f_n(x)]^k dx| + |\int_a^b [f_n(x)]^k dx - I(f_m)|$ . The second term can be estimated, by II, Lemma 5, as follows:  $\left|\int_a^b [f_n(x)]^k dx - \int_a^b [f_m(x)]^k dx\right| \le \left|\int_a^b [f_m(x) - f_n(x)]^{2k} dx\right| + 2k[\max\{x; |f_n(x)| > k\} + \max\{x; |f_m(x)| > k\}].$  Hence, the convergence of  $I(f_n)$  follows from II, Lemma 9.

Lemma 15. Let  $\{V(A_n, \varepsilon_n; f_n)\}$  be a fundamental sequence in K, and put  $f(x) = \lim_{n \to \infty} f_n(x)$ . Then,  $f \in K$  and  $\bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} V_n(f_n) = \{f\}$ .

**Proof.** As in I, Lemma 3, first we have, for each n,

- i)  $|f(x)-f_n(x)| \leq \varepsilon_n$  for all  $x \in A_n$ ,
- ii)  $k \max \{x; |f(x)-f_n(x)| > k\} \le \varepsilon_n \text{ for each } k > 0,$

iii)  $\left|\int_{a}^{b} [f(x) - f_{n}(x)]^{k} dx\right| \leq \varepsilon_{n} \text{ for each } k > 0.$ 

Since we have k mes  $\{x; |f(x)| > k\} \le 2[k/2 \max\{x; |f(x) - f_n(x)| > k/2\} + k/2 \max\{x; |f_n(x)| > k/2\}$  and since  $f_n \in K$ ,  $\lim_{n \to \infty} k \max\{x; |f(x)| > k\} = 0$  follows from ii) and II, Lemma 9. Moreover, we have  $\left|\int_a^b [f(x)]^k dx - I(f_n)\right| \le \left|\int_a^b [f(x)]^k dx - \int_a^b [f_n(x)]^k dx\right| + \left|\int_a^b [f_n(x)]^k dx - I(f_n)\right|$ . The first term can be estimated, by II, Lemma 5, as follows:  $\left|\int_a^b [f(x)]^k dx - \int_a^b [f_n(x)]^k dx\right| + 2[k \max\{x; |f(x)| > k\} + k \max\{x; |f_n(x)| > k\} + k \max\{x; |f_n(x)| > k\}$ . Therefore,  $\lim_{k \to \infty} \int_a^b [f(x)]^k dx$  exists by iii), II, Lemma 9 and Lemma 14. Thus,  $f \in K$  results from II, Lemma 10. On the other hand, from that  $\{V_n(f_n)\}$  is a fundamental sequence, there is a sub-sequence  $\{V_{n_i}(f_{n_i}); i=0, 1, 2, \cdots\}$  such that  $f_{n_{2i}} = f_{n_{2i+1}}$  and  $\varepsilon_{n_{2i}} > \varepsilon_{n_{2i+1}}$  ( $i=0, 1, 2, \cdots$ ). Hence,  $f \in V_{n_{2i}}(f_{n_{2i}})$  for each *i*, so that  $f \in \bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} V_n(f_n)$ .

we have  $|g(x) - f_n(x)| < \varepsilon_n$  for all  $x \in A_n$ , and so g coincides with f. Lemma 15 asserts that:

Proposition 8. K is a complete ranked space.

Theorem 3. K is a completion of  $\mathcal{E}$ .

**Proof.** By Proposition 5, for each  $f \in \mathcal{M}$ , there is a sequence  $\{f_n\}$  of points of  $\mathcal{E}$  r-converging to f in  $\mathcal{M}$ . Then, the sequence  $\{f_n\}$  r-converges to f in K. Therefore,  $\mathcal{E}$  is r-dense in K. Thus, from Propositions 7 and 8, the desired assertion follows.

6. Characterization of the (E.R.) integral in the special sense. As it is easily seen from the definition, we first have the following Proposition.

**Proposition 9.** If  $f \in \mathcal{E}$ , I(f) coincides with the integral  $\int_{a}^{b} f(x)dx$  defined for f as usual.

Proposition 10. If  $f \in \{\lim_{n} f_n\}$  in K, then  $\lim_{n \to \infty} I(f_n) = I(f)$ .

**Proof.** This is proved by use of the same method as Lemma 14. Propositions 5, 9, and 10 assert that the integral I is the *r*-continuous extension of integrals of step functions.

Proposition 11. If  $f \in K$  and  $g \in K$ , then, for any pair,  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ , of real numbers, we have  $I(\alpha f + \beta g) = \alpha I(f) + \beta I(g)$ .

**Proof.** From that I(f),  $f \in K$ , is the *r*-continuous extension of integrals of step functions, and that I(f) is a linear functional on  $\mathcal{E}$ , our assertion results by Lemma 13.

Propositions 10 and 11 assert that:

**Theorem 4.** I(f) is a r-continuous linear functional on K.

## References

- K. Kunugi: Sur les espaces complets et régulièrement complets. I-III. Proc. Japan Acad., 30, 553-556, 912-916 (1954), 31, 49-53 (1955).
- [2] —: Sur la méthode des espaces rangés. I, II. Proc. Japan Acad., 42, 318-322, 549-554 (1966).
- [3] S. Nakanishi: On generalized integrals. I, II. Proc. Japan Acad., 44, 133– 138, 225–280 (1968).
- [4] H. Okano: Some operations on the ranked spaces. I. Proc. Japan Acad., 33, 172-176 (1957).
- [5] —: On the completion of the ranked spaces. Proc. Japan Acad., 33, 338-340 (1957).
- [6] Y. Yoshida: Sur les structures des espaces rangés. I. Proc. Japan Acad., 42, 616-619 (1966).
- [7] —: A remark on Baire's theorem in ranked spaces. Proc. Japan Acad., 44, 255-256 (1968).