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1. Let $f(z)$ be a non-constant holomorphic function in $\{|z|<1\}$, having asymptotic values at each point of a dense subset on $\{|z|=1\}$. Such a function is said to belong to the class $\mathcal{A}$ (MacLane [1]). MacLane proposed a problem:

If $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ belong to $\mathcal{A}$, do $f(z)+g(z)$ and $f(z) g(z)$ belong to A?

Ryan and Barth [2] answered to this negatively, and raised a further question:

If $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}$ and $b(z)$ is bounded, are $b(z) f(z) \in \mathcal{A}$ ? (We suppose, of course, that $b(z) f(z)$ is not a constant.)

In the present note, we will answer to this positively but only partly. That is, we will prove the following

Theorem A. Let b(z) be a function, holomorphic and bounded in $\{|\boldsymbol{z}|<1\}$, having non-zero Fatou limits on $\{|\boldsymbol{z}|=1\}$ except on a set of the first Baire category. Then, if $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}$, we have $b(z) f(z) \in \mathcal{A}$.
2. For the sake of convenience, we repeat the definitions due to MacLane [1], with slight modifications in notations.

An arc $\Gamma: z=z(t), 0 \leqq t<1$, in $\{|z|<1\}$ is said to be the path ending at a point $\zeta,|\zeta|=1$, if $z(t) \rightarrow \zeta$ as $t \rightarrow 1$. A function $f(z)$ is said to have an asymptotic value $a(\alpha=\infty$ permitted) at $\zeta$, if there exists a path $\Gamma$ ending at $\zeta$ on which $f(z)$ has the limit $a$, i.e., if $f(z(t)) \rightarrow a$ as $t \rightarrow 1$. The set of these points is denoted by $A_{f}(\alpha)$. That is, $A_{f}(\alpha)$ is the set at each point of which $f(z)$ has the asymptotic value $a$. We put

$$
A_{f}^{*}=\bigcup_{a \neq \infty} A_{f}(a), \quad A_{f}=A_{f}^{*} \cup A_{f}(\infty)
$$

A function $f(z)$ is defined to belong to the class $\mathcal{A}$ if $f(z)$ is holomorphic and non-constant in $\{|z|<1\}$ and the set $A_{f}$ is dense on $\{|z|=1\}$.

Next we define the sets $B_{f}^{*}$ and $B_{f}$. A point $\zeta,|\zeta|=1$, belongs to $B_{f}^{*}$ if and only if there exists a path $\Gamma$ ending at $\zeta$, on which $f(z)$ is bounded by some finite constant $M$. The bound $M$ may vary as $\zeta$ and $\Gamma$ vary. We put

$$
B_{f}=B_{f}^{*} \cup A_{f}(\infty)
$$

$f(z)$ is defined to belong to the class $\mathcal{B}$ if $f(z)$ is holomorphic and non-constant in $\{|z|<1\}$ and the set $B_{f}$ is dense on $\{|z|=1\}$.

The set $\{z ;|f(z)|=\lambda\}$, where $\lambda \geqq 0$ is a constant, is called level set
and denoted by $L_{f}(\lambda)$. For each $r, 0<r<1$, let the components of

$$
L_{f}(\lambda) \cap\{r<|z|<1\}
$$

be $\Lambda_{i}(r), i \in I$. Let $\delta_{i}(r)=$ diam. of $\Lambda_{i}(r)$ and put

$$
\delta(r)=\sup _{i \in I} \delta_{i}(r)
$$

with $\delta(r) \equiv 0$ if $I$ is void. Clearly $\delta(r) \searrow$ as $r \nearrow$. We shall say that the level set $L_{f}(\lambda)$ ends at points of $\{|z|=1\}$ if and only if $\delta(r) \backslash 0$ as $r \nearrow 1$.
$f(z)$ is defined to belong to the class $\mathcal{L}$ if $f(z)$ is holomorphic and non-constant in $\{|z|<1\}$ and every level set $L_{f}(\lambda)$ ends at points of $\{|z|=1\}$.

MacLane proved the following important
Theorem M. $\mathcal{A}=\mathscr{B}=\mathcal{L}$.
3. Now we prove our Theorem A. Suppose that $b(z) f(z) \notin \mathcal{A}$. By Theorem M, $b(z) f(z) \notin \mathscr{B}$ and hence there exists an arc $\gamma$ on $\{|z|=1\}$ such that
(3.1)

Since a fortiori
(3.2)

$$
B_{b f} \cap \gamma=\phi
$$

$$
B_{b f}^{*} \cap \gamma=\phi,
$$

$B_{f}^{*} \cap \gamma$ must be void. Then there exists a sequence of arcs $\left\{C_{n}\right\}$ in $\{|z|<1\}$ such that (see [1], p. 15).
(3.3) $\quad C_{n} \cap C_{m}=\phi \quad$ if $\quad n \neq m ; C_{n} \rightarrow \gamma \quad$ and $\inf _{z \in \sigma_{n}}|f(z)| \rightarrow \infty \quad$ as $\quad n \rightarrow \infty$.

Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mu_{n} & =\inf _{z \in \sigma_{n}}|f(z)|, \\
\gamma & =\left\{e^{i \theta} ; \alpha \leqq \theta \leqq \beta\right\}, \\
S & =\{z ;|z|<1, \alpha<\arg z<\beta\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

By choosing $\gamma$ suitably, we may assume that
(3.4) $\quad C_{n}$ is a cross-cut of the sector $S$ and, if $n>m, C_{n}$ separates $C_{m}$ from $\gamma$.
Then ([1], Theorem 3) $\gamma \subset A_{f}(\infty)$, i.e., for any point $\zeta \in \gamma$ there is a path $\Gamma(\zeta)$ ending at $\zeta$ such that $f(z) \rightarrow \infty$ on $\Gamma(\zeta)$. But because of (3.1)

$$
\lim _{\Gamma(\overline{)}}|b(z) f(z)|<+\infty
$$

Take $\alpha^{\prime}, \beta^{\prime}\left(\alpha<\alpha^{\prime}<\beta^{\prime}<\beta\right)$ and put

$$
\gamma^{\prime}=\left\{e^{i \theta} ; \alpha^{\prime} \leqq \theta \leqq \beta^{\prime}\right\}
$$

For a natural number $N$ we set
(3.5) $E_{N}=\left\{\zeta \in \gamma^{\prime}\right.$; there exists a path $\Gamma(\zeta)$ ending at $\zeta$, on which

$$
f(z) \rightarrow \infty \text { and } \underline{\lim }|b(z) f(z)| \leqq N\}
$$

$E_{N}$ is a closed set. To prove this, let $\zeta_{n} \in E_{N}$ and $\zeta_{n} \rightarrow \zeta_{0}$. We will construct a path $\Gamma\left(\zeta_{0}\right)$ satisfying the condition (3.5).

For each $n$, we can easily find a point $z_{n} \in \Gamma\left(\zeta_{n}\right)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|z_{n}-\zeta_{n}\right|<\frac{1}{n}, \quad\left|f\left(z_{n}\right)\right| \geqq \mu_{n}, \quad\left|b\left(z_{n}\right) f\left(z_{n}\right)\right|<N+\frac{1}{n} \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
z_{n} \rightarrow \zeta_{0} \quad \text { and } \quad \underline{\lim }\left|b\left(z_{n}\right) f\left(z_{n}\right)\right| \leqq N, \quad \text { as } \quad n \rightarrow \infty \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

We may assume that $\alpha<\arg z_{n}<\beta$ and $\left|z_{n}\right|<\left|z_{n+1}\right|, n=1,2, \cdots$. Connecting these points by segments in order, we get a path (Jordan arc) $\Gamma^{\prime}$ which tends monotonely to $\{|z|=1\}$, lying in the sector $\alpha<\arg z<\beta$, and ends at $\zeta_{0}$.

Let $\alpha_{k}=\arg \zeta_{0}-\frac{1}{k}, \beta_{k}=\arg \zeta_{0}+\frac{1}{k}$, and let $R\left(\alpha_{k}\right), R\left(\beta_{k}\right)$ be the radii to $e^{i \alpha_{k}}, e^{i \beta_{k}}$ respectively.

Let $E(n, k)$ be the domain bounded by $C_{n}, C_{n+1}, R\left(\alpha_{k}\right), R\left(\beta_{k}\right)$, and let the components of $L_{f}\left(\mu_{k}\right) \cap E(n, k)$ be $l_{f}(n, k ; i)$. If $n>k$, each of $l_{f}(n, k ; i)$ is apart from $C_{n}$ and $C_{n+1}$. Put

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta_{n, k}=\max . \operatorname{diam} . \text { of } 1_{f}(n, k ; i) \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $f(z) \in \mathcal{L}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta_{n, k} \rightarrow 0 \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty \text { for any fixed } k . \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $k=1$. There exists an $n_{1}$ such that if $n \geqq n_{1}$, any curve $l_{f}(n, k ; i)$ which intersects with $\Gamma^{\prime}$ is contained in $E(n, 1)$. Hence any portions of $\Gamma^{\prime}$ in $E(n, 1)$, on which $|f(z)|<\mu_{1}$, may be replaced by Jordan sub$\operatorname{arcs}$ of $l_{f}(n, 1 ; i)$. Making such replacements (finite in number for any $n$ ) for each $n \geqq n_{1}$, we obtain a path $\Gamma_{1}$ such that

$$
\underline{\lim }|f(z)| \geqq \mu_{1} \quad \text { on } \quad \Gamma_{1} .
$$

$\Gamma_{1}$ tends to $\zeta_{0}$ and contains all $z_{n}$, so that on $\Gamma_{1} \underline{\lim }|b(z) f(z)| \leqq N$.
Next we find an $n_{2}$ such that if $n>n_{2}$, any curve $l_{f}(n, 2 ; j)$ which intersects with $\Gamma_{1}$ is contained in $E(n, 2)$. Hence any portions of $\Gamma_{1}$ in $E(n, 2)$, on which $|f(z)|<\mu_{2}$, may be replaced by Jordan subarcs of $l_{f}(n, 2 ; j)$ and we obtain a path $\Gamma_{2}$ which tends to $\zeta_{0}$ and contains all $z_{n}$. Similarly, we can construct $\Gamma_{3}, \Gamma_{4}, \cdots$.

Continuing this procedure indefinitely, we obtain a path $\Gamma\left(\zeta_{0}\right)$ which obviously has the required property (3.5).
4. Because of (3.1), we have $\bigcup_{N} E_{N}=\gamma^{\prime}$. Since $E_{N}, N=1,2, \ldots$ are closed, some $E_{N}$, say $E_{N_{1}}$, must contain an arc $\gamma^{*}$ by the theorem of Baire. For every $\zeta \in \gamma^{*}$ there is a sequence $z_{n}=z_{n}(\zeta), n=1,2, \ldots$ such that $z_{n} \rightarrow \zeta$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|f\left(z_{n}\right)\right| \geqq \mu_{n}, \quad\left|b\left(z_{n}\right) f\left(z_{n}\right)\right| \leqq N_{1}+\frac{1}{n} \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\left\{\zeta_{l}\right\}$ be a countable set, dense on $\gamma^{*}$. Write $z_{n}\left(\zeta_{l}\right)=z_{n, l}$. Then, from (4.1) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|b\left(z_{n, l}\right)\right| \leqq \frac{2 N_{1}}{\mu_{n}}, \quad \text { whatever } l \text { may vary. } \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$



From the double sequence $\left\{z_{n, l}, n \geqq l\right\}$ we form a sequence $\left\{Z_{n}\right\}$ as shown in the above figure, i.e.,

$$
Z_{1}=z_{1,1}, \quad Z_{2}=z_{2,1}, \quad Z_{3}=z_{2,2}, \quad Z_{4}=z_{3,1}, \quad Z_{5}=z_{3,2}, \cdots
$$

By (4.2), $\left\{Z_{n}\right\}$ has the following properties:
(4.3) For any subsequence $\left\{\boldsymbol{Z}_{n_{k}}\right\}$ of $\left\{\boldsymbol{Z}_{n}\right\}, b\left(\boldsymbol{Z}_{n_{k}}\right) \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$;
(4.4) For any point $\zeta \in \gamma^{*}$ and any $\varepsilon>0$, there is a $Z_{n}$ such that

$$
\left|\zeta-Z_{n}\right|<\varepsilon .
$$

5. Let $V(\varphi, \zeta)$ be a Stolz domain with vertex at $\zeta=e^{i \theta}$ and with opening $2 \varphi$ :

$$
V(\varphi, \zeta)=\left\{z ;|z|<1,\left|\arg \left(1-z e^{-i \vartheta}\right)\right|<\varphi\right\} .
$$

We will show that the set
$F=\left\{\zeta \in \gamma^{*}\right.$; for any $\varphi, V(\varphi, \zeta)$ contains only finitely many points of $Z_{n}$ 's\} is of the first Baire category on $\gamma^{*}$.

Let $K$ be an integer and put
$F(\varphi, K)=\left\{\zeta \in \gamma^{*} ; V(\varphi, \zeta)\right.$ contains exactly $K$ points of $Z_{n}$ 's $\}$.
Since $F=\bigcap_{0<\varphi<\frac{\pi}{2}}\left\{\bigcup_{K \geq 0} F(\varphi, K)\right\}$, it suffices to show that $F(\varphi, K)$ is nowhere dense on $\gamma^{*}$ for fixed $\varphi$ and $K$.

Take a subarc $\hat{\gamma} \subset \gamma^{*}$. If $\hat{\gamma} \cap F(\varphi, K) \ni \hat{\zeta}=e^{i \varphi}, V(\varphi, \hat{\zeta})$ contains $Z_{n i}$, $i=1,2, \cdots, K$. Let $L_{1}=\left\{z ; \arg \left(1-z e^{-i \varphi}\right)=-\varphi\right\}$ and $L_{2}=\{z ; \arg (1$ $\left.\left.-z e^{-i \varphi}\right)=\varphi\right\}$ be the sides of $V(\varphi, \hat{\zeta})$, and let $Z_{n_{1}}, Z_{n_{2}}$ be the points nearest to $L_{1}, L_{2}$ respectively. Let $\hat{\zeta}_{1}=e^{i \varphi_{1}}$ and $\hat{\zeta}_{2}=e^{i \varphi_{2}}$ be the points such that $\arg \left(1-Z_{n_{1}} e^{-i \varphi_{1}}\right)=-\varphi, \arg \left(1-Z_{n_{2}} e^{-i \varphi_{2}}\right)=\varphi$. By (4.4) there is a point $Z_{m}$ such that $\varphi_{1}<\arg Z_{m}<\varphi_{2}$ and $Z_{m} \notin V(\varphi, \hat{\zeta})$. Let $\zeta_{1}=e^{i \theta_{1}}$ and $\zeta_{2}=e^{i \theta_{2}}$ be the points such that $\arg \left(1-Z_{m} e^{-i \theta_{1}}\right)=-\varphi$, $\arg (1$ $\left.-Z_{m} e^{-i \theta_{2}}\right)=\varphi$. If $\left|Z_{m}\right|$ is sufficiently near to 1 , the arc $\hat{\gamma}_{1}=\left\{e^{i \theta} ; \theta_{1} \leqq \theta\right.$ $\left.\leqq \theta_{2}\right\}$ is contained in the arc $\left\{e^{i \theta} ; \varphi_{1} \leqq \theta \leqq \varphi_{2}\right\}$. Hence for any $\zeta \in \hat{\gamma}_{1}$, $V(\varphi, \zeta)$ contains $(K+1)$ points $Z_{n_{1}}, Z_{n_{2}}, \cdots, Z_{n_{K}}$ and $Z_{m}$, so that $\zeta \notin F$ $(\varphi, K)$ and $\hat{\gamma}_{1} \cap F(\varphi, K)=\phi$. This shows that $F(\varphi, K)$ is nowhere dense and $F$ is of the first category.

Hence the set $H=\gamma^{*} \backslash F$ is of the second category. If $\zeta \in H, V$ $(\varphi, \zeta)$ contains infinitely many points of $Z_{n}$ 's for some $\varphi$. Thus if we put

$$
\begin{aligned}
& H_{1}=\{\zeta \in H ; b(z) \text { has the Fatou limit } 0 \text { at } \zeta\}, \\
& H_{2}=\{\zeta \in H ; b(z) \text { has no Fatou limit at } \zeta\},
\end{aligned}
$$

then $H=H_{1} \cup H_{2}$. But by our assumption, $H_{1}$ and $H_{2}$ must be of the
first category. This contradiction proves our theorem.
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