

### 32. Mappings and $M$ -Spaces

By Jun-iti NAGATA

University of Pittsburgh and University of Florida

(Comm. by Kinjirō KUNUGI, M. J. A., March 12, 1969)

Let us recall some of the interesting theorems on metric spaces and compact spaces in relation with maps (= mappings). (As for the references and proofs of these theorems as well as terminologies and symbols, see J. Nagata [4] and [5]. All spaces are at least Hausdorff, and all maps are continuous in the present paper unless the contrary is explicitly mentioned.)

1. A  $T_1$ -space, not necessarily Hausdorff, is the image of a metric space by an open continuous map iff (=if and only if) it is 1-st countable (V. Ponomarev-S. Hanai).

2. Every metric space with weight  $|A|$  (=the cardinality of the set  $A$ ) is the image of a subset of Baire's 0-dimensional space  $N(A)$  (=the product of countably many copies of the discrete space  $A$ ) by a perfect map. (K. Morita)

3. Every compact (Hausdorff) space with weight  $|A|$  is the continuous image of a closed set of the cantor discontinuum  $D(A)$ . (P. S. Alexandroff)

4. Every metric space with weight  $|A|$  is homeomorphic to a subset of generalized Hilbert space  $H(A)$ . (C. H. Dowker)

5. Every compact space with weight  $|A|$  is homeomorphic to a closed subset of the product of the copies  $I_\alpha$ ,  $\alpha \in A$ , of the unit interval  $[0, 1]$ . (A. Tychonoff-P. Urysohn)

As well known, the concept of  $M$ -space (paracompact  $M$ -space) is an important generalization of that of metric space as well as countably compact space (compact space). Therefore it is natural to try to extend the above theorems to  $M$ -spaces and paracompact  $M$ -spaces. The purpose of the present paper is to continue our study along this line which started in our previous paper [5].

**Theorem 1.** *A regular space  $Y$  is a  $q$ -space in the sense of E. Michael [1] iff there are an  $M$ -space  $X$  and a continuous open map  $f$  from  $X$  onto  $Y$ .*

**Proof.** Sufficiency directly follows from the condition satisfied by  $X$  and  $Y$  by use of Lemma 1 of [5]. To prove necessity we should note that a regular space is a  $q$ -space iff each point has a sequence  $U_1, U_2, \dots$  of open nbds (=neighborhoods) such that  $U_1 \supset \bar{U}_2 \supset U_2 \supset \bar{U}_3 \supset \dots$  and such that if  $x_i \in U_i$ ,  $i=1, 2, \dots$ , then  $\{x_i | i=1, 2, \dots\}$

has a cluster point. Such a sequence of nbds was called a  $q$ -sequence of nbds in [5].

Now, let  $Y$  be a regular  $q$ -space,  $\{U_\alpha | \alpha \in A\}$  the collection of all open sets of  $Y$ , and  $\{V_\lambda | \lambda \in A\}$  the collection of all binary open covers of  $Y$ . To each open cover  $V_\lambda = \{V, V'\}$  we associate a cover  $V'_\lambda$  consisting of  $V - V'$ ,  $V' - V$  and  $V \cap V'$  which we denote by  $V'_1, V'_2$  and  $V'_3$  respectively. Let us denote by  $\mathfrak{Z}^A$  the product space of the spaces  $T_\lambda$ ,  $\lambda \in A$ , consisting of three points  $a^1_\lambda, a^2_\lambda$  and  $a^3_\lambda$ , whose topology (=the set of all open sets) consists of  $\emptyset, \{a^1_\lambda\}, \{a^1_\lambda, a^2_\lambda\}, \{a^2_\lambda, a^3_\lambda\}$  and  $\{a^1_\lambda, a^2_\lambda, a^3_\lambda\}$ . Note that each point of  $N(A) \times \mathfrak{Z}^A$ , where  $N(A)$  denotes Baire's 0-dimensional space, can be represented as  $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots) \times (a^i_{\lambda(\alpha)} | \lambda \in A)$ , which we may abridge to  $\alpha \times (a^i_{\lambda(\alpha)})$  or  $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots) \times a$ . Let us define a subset  $X$  of  $N(A) \times \mathfrak{Z}^A$  by

$$X = \left\{ (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots) \times (a^i_{\lambda(\alpha)} | \lambda \in A) \mid U_{\alpha_1}, U_{\alpha_2}, \dots \right. \\ \left. \text{form a } q\text{-sequence of nbds in } Y \text{ such that} \right. \\ \left. \left[ \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} U_{\alpha_i} \right] \cap \left[ \bigcap_{\lambda \in A} V_{\lambda(\alpha)} \right] \neq \emptyset \right\}.$$

We define a map  $f | X \rightarrow Y$  by

$$f((\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots) \times (a^i_{\lambda(\alpha)} | \lambda \in A)) = \left[ \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} U_{\alpha_i} \right] \cap \left[ \bigcap_{\lambda \in A} V_{\lambda(\alpha)} \right].$$

**Theorem 2.** *Every paracompact  $M$ -space  $Y$  with weight  $|A|$  is the image of a 0-dimensional, paracompact  $M$ -space  $X$  by a perfect map, where  $X$  is a closed subset of the product of  $D(A)$  and a subset of  $N(A)$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $\{V_\alpha | \alpha \in A\}$  be an open basis of  $Y$ . Put  $\mathcal{C}_\alpha = \{\bar{V}_\alpha, X - V_\alpha\}$ . We denote this closed cover by  $V_\alpha = \{G_1^\alpha, G_2^\alpha\}$ . On the other hand,  $Y$  has a sequence  $\mathcal{U}_1, \mathcal{U}_2, \dots$  of open covers such that  $\mathcal{U}_1 > \mathcal{U}_2^* > \mathcal{U}_2 > \mathcal{U}_3^* > \dots$  and such that  $\{S(y_0, \mathcal{U}_i) | i=1, 2, \dots\}$  is a  $q$ -sequence of nbds of  $y_0$  for each  $y_0 \in Y$ . Now put  $\mathcal{F}_i = \{\bar{U} | U \in \mathcal{U}_i\}$ . Then  $\{S(y_0, \mathcal{F}_i) | i=1, 2, \dots\}$  is a  $q$ -sequence; i.e., if  $y_i \in S(y_0, \mathcal{F}_i)$ ,  $i=1, 2, \dots$ , then  $\{y_i\}$  has a cluster point in  $\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} S(y_0, \mathcal{F}_i)$ . Observe that each  $\mathcal{F}_i$  has at most  $|A|$  elements because it is locally finite. We may assume that each element of  $\mathcal{F}_i$  is a union of elements of  $\mathcal{F}_{i+1}$ , because otherwise we can replace  $\mathcal{F}_{i+1}$  with  $\mathcal{F}_i \wedge \mathcal{F}_{i+1}$ . Thus we may put

$$\mathcal{F}_i = \{F(\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_i) | \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i \in A\}$$

assuming that  $F(\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_i) = \cup \{F(\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_i \alpha_{i+1}) | \alpha_{i+1} \in A\}$ , where some  $F(\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_i)$  may be empty, and  $F(\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_i) = F(\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_i)$  may happen for  $(\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_i) \neq (\alpha'_1 \dots \alpha'_i)$ . However, note that for a fixed  $i$ , any point of  $Y$  has a nbd which intersects  $F(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i)$  only for finitely many different  $(\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_i)$ 's. When we talk of the local finiteness of  $\mathcal{F}_i$  in

the following discussion, we mean the one in such a strong sense. Also remember the following observation (0) which will be used later.

(0) If  $\bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} F(\alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_k) = C \neq \emptyset$ , and if  $C \cap H = \emptyset$  for a closed set  $H$ , then  $F(\alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_k) \cap H = \emptyset$  for some  $k$ .  $C$  is a compact set. Because  $\{F(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k) \mid k=1, 2, \dots\}$  is a  $q$ -sequence and  $Y$  is paracompact.

Now, we define a subset  $S$  of  $N(A)$  by

$$S = \left\{ (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots) \mid (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots) \in N(A) \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} F(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k) \neq \emptyset \right\}$$

and a subset  $X$  of  $S \times D(A)$  by

$$X = \left\{ (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots) \times (b_{i(\lambda)}^i \mid \lambda \in A) \in S \times D(A) \mid \left[ \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} F(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k) \right] \cap \left[ \bigcap_{\lambda \in A} G_{i(\lambda)}^i \right] \neq \emptyset \right\}.$$

(Note that every point of  $N(A) \times D(A)$  can be represented as  $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots) \times (b_{i(\lambda)}^i \mid \lambda \in A)$  with  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots \in A$  and a function  $i \mid A \rightarrow \{1, 2\}$  assuming that  $D(A)$  is the product of the two point discrete spaces  $D_\lambda = \{b_1^i, b_2^i\}$ ,  $\lambda \in A$ .)

Then we naturally define a map  $f \mid X \rightarrow Y$  by

$$f((\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots) \times (b_{i(\lambda)}^i \mid \lambda \in A)) = \left[ \bigcap_{k=1}^m F(\alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_k) \right] \cap \left[ \bigcap_{\lambda \in A} G_{i(\lambda)}^i \right].$$

It is easy to see that  $f$  is a continuous, onto map, so it is left to the reader.

Let us first prove that  $X$  is a closed set of  $S \times D(A)$ . Let

$$p = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots) \times (b_{i(\lambda)}^i \mid \lambda \in A) \in S \times D(A) - X.$$

Then

$$C = \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} F(\alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_k) \neq \emptyset, \quad \text{and} \quad C \cap \left[ \bigcap_{\lambda \in A} G_{i(\lambda)}^i \right] = \emptyset.$$

Since  $C$  is compact,

$$C \cap \left[ \bigcap_{l=1}^m G_{i(\lambda_l)}^{i_l} \right] = \emptyset \quad \text{for some } \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m.$$

Therefore, by (0)

$$F(\alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_k) \cap \left[ \bigcap_{l=1}^m G_{i(\lambda_l)}^{i_l} \right] = \emptyset \quad \text{for some } k.$$

Thus

$$\left\{ (\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots) \times (b_{j(\lambda)}^j \mid \lambda \in A) \in S \times D(A) \mid \beta_1 = \alpha_1, \dots, \beta_k = \alpha_k; \right. \\ \left. j(\lambda_1) = i(\lambda_1), \dots, j(\lambda_m) = i(\lambda_m) \right\}$$

is a nbd of  $p$  which is disjoint from  $X$ . This proves that  $X$  is closed in  $S \times D(A)$ .

Since  $S$  is metric,  $D(A)$  is compact and both are 0-dimensional,  $S \times D(A)$  is a 0-dimensional paracompact  $M$ -space (See K. Morita, [2] and [3]) and hence  $X$  is also a 0-dimensional paracompact  $M$ -space.

Let  $y \in Y$ ; then  $f^{-1}(y)$  can be proved to be countably compact, and therefore compact. But we are going to prove a stronger assertion

as follows. Choose  $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots)$  such that  $y \in \text{Int } F(\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_j), j=1, 2, \dots$ . Note that  $\{F(\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_j) | j=1, 2, \dots\}$  is a  $q$ -sequence. Suppose  $\gamma^j \in f^{-1}(F(\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_j)), j=1, 2, \dots$ . Then we can prove that  $\{\gamma^j | j=1, 2, \dots\}$  has a cluster point in  $X$ . This assertion implies that  $\bigcap_{j=1}^{\infty} f^{-1}(F(\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_j))$  and accordingly  $f^{-1}(y)$ , too, is compact.

Now, let  $\gamma^j = (\alpha_1^j, \alpha_2^j, \dots) \times (b_{i(j,n)}^j | \lambda \in A)$ ; then  $f(\gamma^j) \in F(\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_j)$ , which implies that

$$F(\alpha_1^j, \dots, \alpha_i^j) \cap F(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_j) \neq \phi, \quad j=1, 2, \dots$$

Choose  $y'_j \in F(\alpha_1^j, \dots, \alpha_j^j) \cap F(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_j)$ . Then  $\{y'_j | j=1, 2, \dots\}$  has a cluster point  $y'$  in  $\bigcap_{j=1}^{\infty} F(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_j)$ .

Since  $\mathcal{F}_1$  is locally finite,  $y'$  has a nbd  $N$  which intersects  $F(\alpha_i^j)$  for only finitely many different  $\alpha_i^j$ . On the other hand  $y'_j \in N$  for infinitely many different  $j$ . Therefore  $N$  intersects  $F(\alpha_i^j)$  for infinitely many  $j$ . Hence we can choose a subsequence  $j(11), j(12), j(13), \dots$  of  $\{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$  such that

$$\alpha_1^{j(11)} = \alpha_1^{j(12)} = \alpha_1^{j(13)} = \dots$$

Denote these same elements of  $A$  by  $B_1$ .

Similarly, we can choose a subsequence  $j(21), j(22), j(23), \dots$  of  $\{j(1i) | i=1, 2, \dots\}$  such that

$$(\alpha_1^{j(21)}, \alpha_2^{j(21)}) = (\alpha_1^{j(22)}, \alpha_2^{j(22)}) = (\alpha_1^{j(23)}, \alpha_2^{j(23)}) = \dots$$

The first elements of these pairs are equal to  $\beta_1$ .

Denote the second elements by  $\beta_2$ .

Repeating the same process, we obtain a sequence  $\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3, \dots$  of elements of  $A$  satisfying

$$(B) \quad (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_k) = (\alpha_1^{j(kk)}, \dots, \alpha_k^{j(kk)}), \quad k=1, 2, \dots$$

Then

$$F(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_k) = F(\alpha_1^{j(kk)}, \dots, \alpha_k^{j(kk)}) \supset F(\alpha_1^{j(kk)}, \dots, \alpha_j^{j(kk)}).$$

Therefore  $F(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_k) \cap F(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{j(kk)}) \neq \emptyset$ . Since  $\{F(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_j) | j=1, 2, \dots\}$  is a  $q$ -sequence,

$$\left[ \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} F(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_k) \right] \cap \left[ \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} F(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{j(kk)}) \right] \neq \emptyset.$$

This implies that  $(\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots) \in S$ . Let  $C = \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} F(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_k)$ ; then  $C$  is a non-empty compact subset of  $Y$ .

Now, put  $b_k = (b_{i(j(kk), 2)}^k | \lambda \in A), k=1, 2, \dots$ ; then  $\{\beta_k | k=1, 2, \dots\}$  is a point sequence in the compact space  $D(A)$ , and hence it has a cluster point  $b = (b_{i(x)}^i | \lambda \in A)$  in  $D(A)$ . Put  $\gamma = (\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots) \times b$ ; then  $\gamma \in S \times D(A)$ . Let  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_l$  be arbitrary members of  $A$  and  $k_0$  a natural number. Then there is  $k \geq k_0$  such that  $b$  and  $b_k$  have the same coordinates for  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_l$ , i.e.,  $i(j(kk), \lambda_m) = i(\lambda_m)$  for  $m=1, \dots, l$ . Thus from (B) it follows that  $\gamma$  is a cluster point of  $\{\gamma^{j(kk)} | k=1, 2, \dots\}$

and accordingly of  $\{\gamma^j \mid j=1, 2, \dots\}$  in  $S \times D(A)$ . Now observe that

$$f(\gamma^{j(kk)}) \in F(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_k) \cap \left[ \bigcap_{m=1}^l G_{i(\lambda m)}^{\lambda m} \right]$$

for  $k$  chosen in the above discussion. This proves that  $\{F(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_k) \mid k=1, 2, \dots\} \cup \{G_{i(\lambda)}^{\lambda} \mid \lambda \in A\}$  forms a closed collection in  $Y$  with f.i.p. (finite intersection property). Thus the f.i.p. of  $\{C, C_{i(\lambda)}^{\lambda} \mid \lambda \in A\}$  follows from (Q). Since  $C$  is compact, we obtain  $C \cap [\bigcap \{G_{i(\lambda)}^{\lambda} \mid \lambda \in A\}] \neq \emptyset$ . Therefore,  $\gamma \in X$ , proving our assertion, which implies the compactness of  $f^{-1}(y)$  as a corollary.

Finally, let us prove that  $f$  is a closed map. Let  $G$  be a closed set in  $X$  and let  $y \notin f(G)$  in  $Y$ . Choose  $\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots\}$  such that  $y \in \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} \text{Int } F(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k)$ . Put  $C = \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} F(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k)$ . As proved before,  $f^{-1}(C)$  is a compact set. Therefore,  $C \cap f(G) = f(f^{-1}(C) \cap G)$  is compact, and hence  $y$  has a nbd  $V$  satisfying  $\bar{V} \cap C \cap f(G) = \emptyset$ . Now, assume  $F(\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_k) \cap \bar{V} \cap f(G) \neq \emptyset$ ,  $k=1, 2, \dots$ . Then we can choose  $y_k \in F(\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_k) \cap \bar{V} \cap f(G)$  and  $x_k \in f^{-1}(y_k) \cap G$ . Then  $x_k \in f^{-1}(F(\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_k))$ , and hence, as we proved before,  $\{x_k \mid k=1, 2, \dots\}$  has a cluster point  $x \in G$ . Thus,  $f(x) \in f(G)$  is a cluster point of  $\{y_k \mid k=1, 2, \dots\}$  in  $Y$ . Hence  $f(x) \in C \cap \bar{V} \cap f(G)$ , which is a contradiction. Therefore  $f(\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_k) \cap \bar{V} \cap f(G) = \emptyset$  for some  $k$ . Namely,  $\text{Int } F(\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_k) \cap V$  is a nbd of  $y$  which is disjoint from  $f(G)$  proving that  $f(G)$  is a closed set. This completes our proof that  $f$  is a perfect map.

By a somewhat similar discussion we can prove the following theorem.

**Theorem 3.** *A space  $X$  with weight  $|A|$  is a paracompact  $M$ -space iff it is homeomorphic to a closed subset of  $S \times P(A)$ , where  $S$  is a subspace of generalized Hilbert space  $H(A)$ , and  $P(A)$  is the product of the copies  $I_{\alpha}$ ,  $\alpha \in A$ , of the unit interval  $[0, 1]$ .*

**Problem.** Is every  $T_1$ ,  $M$ -space homeomorphic to a closed subset of the product of a metric space and a countably compact space?

## References

- [1] E. Michael: A note on closed maps and compact sets. *Israel J. Math.*, **2**, 173-176 (1964).
- [2] K. Morita: Products of normal spaces with metric spaces. *Math. Ann.*, **154**, 365-382 (1964).
- [3] —: On the dimension of product spaces. *Amer. J. Math.*, **75**, 205-223 (1953).
- [4] J. Nagata: *Modern General Topology*. Amsterdam-Groningen (1968).
- [5] —: Quotient and bi-quotient spaces of  $M$ -spaces. *Proc. Japan Acad.*, **45**, 25-29 (1969).