

11. On Generalized Integrals. VI

Restrictions of (E.R.) Integral. I

By Shizu NAKANISHI and Kumiko FUJITA
University of Osaka Prefecture

(Comm. by Kinjirô KUNUGI, M. J. A., Jan. 12, 1970)

As it is already known, the set of all (E.R.) integrable functions is very large. For example, it is proved, in studies of the A -integral (which coincides with the special (E.R.) integral), that every continuous function whose product with any A -integrable function is A -integrable, is constant [1], and that in the set of all those A -integrable functions f for which the indefinite integral $A(x) = (A) \int_a^x f(x) dx$ is defined,¹⁾ $A(x)$ cannot be the indefinite integral of only one function, to within a set of measure zero, i.e. there is no one-to-one correspondence between a function and its indefinite A -integral [8]. For this reason, there arose the problem of specialization of the A -integral and the (E.R.) integral (see [5], [2], [7], [10], [4], [9]). On the other hand, in connection with the Denjoy integral defined as an extension of the Lebesgue integral, we have seen that for a function $f(x)$ Denjoy-integrable in the general sense, there exists some φ for which $f(x)$ is (E.R. φ) integrable and both integrals are given as limit of the same approximating sums (see [3], V²⁾, Theorem 10). We now define, in this paper, the integrals, called (E.R. φ)₂ (resp. (E.R. φ)₃) integral, which are considered as specializations of Denjoy integral-type in the general (resp. special) sense of the (E.R. φ) integral, and prove that a function $f(x)$ Denjoy-integrable in the general (resp. special) sense is also (E.R. φ)₂ (resp. (E.R. φ)₃) integrable for $\varphi(=\varphi(f))$ reasonably chosen, and both integrals coincide (Theorem 11).

We conserve the terminologies and the notation of the preceding papers I-V [6].

9. Restrictions of (E.R.) integrals (1). Let $\varphi(x)$ be a positive, Lebesgue-integrable function in a finite or infinite interval $[a, b]$. Denote the set of all measurable functions in $[a, b]$, by \mathcal{M} , or, for the purpose of calling special attention to the interval $[a, b]$, by $\mathcal{M}(a, b)$. Before defining integrals of the new sense, we first consider the following conditions instead of $[\gamma(\varphi)]$, where $[\gamma(\varphi)]$ is one of the

1) In general, the existence of the A -integral of $f(x)$ on $[a, b]$ does not imply its existence on $[c, d] \subset [a, b]$.

2) The reference number indicates the number of the Note.

conditions introduced, in IV, to define the (*E.R.* φ) integral, precisely, the system of neighbourhoods $\{V_\varphi(A, \varepsilon; f)\}$ in the ranked space $\{\mathcal{M}, \varphi\}$:

$[\gamma_1(\varphi)]$ For every interval $[c, d] \subseteq [a, b]$, holds

$$\left| \int_c^d [r(x)]^{k\varphi(x)} dx \right| < \varepsilon \quad \text{for each } k > 0.$$

$[\gamma_2(\varphi)]$ (resp. $[\gamma_2^*(\varphi)]$) For every sequence $\{[a_j, b_j]\}$ of non-overlapping intervals such that $a_j \in A$ and $b_j \in A$ (resp. at least one of a_j, b_j belongs to A) for each j , holds

$$\left| \sum_j \int_{a_j}^{b_j} [r(x)]^{k\varphi(x)} dx \right| < \varepsilon \quad \text{for each } k > 0.$$

Definition 5. For $i=1, 2, 3$, the neighbourhood $V_\varphi^{(i)}(A, \varepsilon; f)$ of $f \in \mathcal{M}(a, b)$ in $\mathcal{M}(a, b)$, where A is a closed subset of $[a, b]$ with $\text{mes } A > 0$ and ε is a positive number, is the set of all $g \in \mathcal{M}(a, b)$ such that $g = f + r$, where r satisfies the following conditions respectively:

$[\alpha(\varphi)], [\beta(\varphi)]$ and $[\gamma_1(\varphi)]$, when $i=1$,

$[\alpha(\varphi)], [\beta(\varphi)], [\gamma_1(\varphi)]$ and $[\gamma_2(\varphi)]$, when $i=2$,

$[\alpha(\varphi)], [\beta(\varphi)]$ and $[\gamma_2^*(\varphi)]$, when $i=3$.

If there is no ambiguity about φ , we simply write $V^{(i)}(A, \varepsilon; f)$ for $V_\varphi^{(i)}(A, \varepsilon; f)$. We denote the space endowed with the neighbourhoods $V_\varphi^{(i)}(A, \varepsilon; f)$ by $\{\mathcal{M}, \varphi, i\}$ or $\{\mathcal{M}(a, b), \varphi, i\}$ and introduce the ranks on the spaces as in $\{\mathcal{M}(a, b), \varphi\}$ [IV]. Then, the spaces become ranked spaces. When $\varphi(x)=1$, we write $V^{(i)}(A, \varepsilon; f)$, $\{\mathcal{M}, i\}$ etc. for $V_\varphi^{(i)}(A, \varepsilon; f)$, $\{\mathcal{M}, \varphi, i\}$ etc. respectively.

In the ranked space $\{\mathcal{M}, \varphi, i\}$, we have first of all that:

Lemma 27. For $i=1, 2, 3$, if $V_\varphi^{(i)}(A_n, \varepsilon_n; f)$ is a fundamental sequence, then $\{V_\varphi^{(i)}(A_n^*, \varepsilon_n; f)\}$, where $A_n^* = \bigcap_{m=n}^\infty A_m$, is also a fundamental sequence such that $V_\varphi^{(i)}(A_n^*, \varepsilon_n; f) \supseteq V_\varphi^{(i)}(A_n, \varepsilon_n; f)$ for each n .

Lemma 28. For $i=1, 2, 3$, if $\{\lim_n f_n\} \ni f$ in $\{\mathcal{M}(a, b), \varphi, i\}$, then $\{\lim_n f_n\} \ni f$ in $\{\mathcal{M}(c, d), \varphi, i\}$ for every $[c, d] \subseteq [a, b]$.

Given two ranked spaces $\mathcal{R}_1, \mathcal{R}_2$ defined on the same set R , we say that \mathcal{R}_1 is finer than \mathcal{R}_2 if $\{\lim_n p_n\} \ni p$ in \mathcal{R}_1 implies $\{\lim_n p_n\} \ni p$ in \mathcal{R}_2 .

Lemma 29. For $i=1, 2, 3$, $\{\mathcal{M}, \varphi, i\}$ is finer than $\{\mathcal{M}, \varphi\}$.

Proof. If $\{\lim_n f_n\} \ni f$ in $\{\mathcal{M}, \varphi, i\}$, then according to Lemma 27 there is a fundamental sequence $\{V_\varphi^{(i)}(A_n, \varepsilon_n; f)\}$ such that $V_\varphi^{(i)}(A_n, \varepsilon_n; f) \ni f_n$ and $A_n \subseteq A_{n+1}$. Since $A_n \subseteq A_{n+1}$ and $\varepsilon_n \downarrow 0$, $\{V_\varphi(A_n, \varepsilon_n; f)\}$ is also fundamental in $\{\mathcal{M}, \varphi\}$, and so, by reason of $V_\varphi(A_n, \varepsilon_n; f) \supseteq V_\varphi^{(i)}(A_n, \varepsilon_n; f)$, it follows that $\{\lim_n f_n\} \ni f$ in $\{\mathcal{M}, \varphi\}$.

Therefore, it follows from IV, Lemma 21 that

Lemma 30. For $i=1, 2, 3$, if $\{f_n\}$ is an r -converging sequence in $\{\mathcal{M}, \varphi, i\}$, then the limit $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(x) = f(x)$ exists and $\{\lim_n f_n\}$ is the set consisting of f alone.

Lemma 31. For $i=1, 2$, $\{\mathcal{M}, \varphi, i+1\}$ is finer than $\{\mathcal{M}, \varphi, i\}$.

The proof is similar to that of Lemma 29.

In the same way as III, Lemma 13, IV, Lemma 23, we get the following two lemmas respectively:

Lemma 32. For $i=1, 2, 3$, if $f \in \{\lim_n f_n\}$ and $g \in \{\lim_n g_n\}$ in $\{\mathcal{M}, \varphi, i\}$, then we have $\alpha f + \beta g \in \{\lim_n (\alpha f_n + \beta g_n)\}$ in $\{\mathcal{M}, \varphi, i\}$ for any pair, α and β , of real numbers.

Lemma 33. For $i=1, 2, 3$, $Cl_r(Cl_r S) = Cl_r S$ holds in $\{\mathcal{M}, \varphi, i\}$ for every subset S of \mathcal{M} .

As in the preceding papers, let us denote by \mathcal{E} or $\mathcal{E}(a, b)$ the set of all step functions on $[a, b]$. We consider the set of such functions which are defined as r -limits of the sequences $\{f_n\}$ of points of \mathcal{E} in $\{\mathcal{M}(a, b), \varphi, i\}$, that is, $Cl_r \mathcal{E}$ in $\{\mathcal{M}(a, b), \varphi, i\}$, and denote the set by $K(\varphi, i)$ or $K((a, b), \varphi, i)$. Then, a function $f \in K((a, b), \varphi, i)$ is said to be $(E.R. \varphi)_i$ integrable in $[a, b]$. When $\varphi(x)=1$, we write $K(i)$, $K((a, b), i)$ for $K(\varphi, i)$, $K((a, b), \varphi, i)$ respectively, and call the $(E.R. \varphi)_i$ integrable function, the $(E.R.)_i$ integrable function.

We obtain, from Lemma 32 and Lemma 28, Proposition 19 and Proposition 20 respectively.

Proposition 19. For $i=1, 2, 3$, $K(\varphi, i)$ is a vector space.

Proposition 20. For $i=1, 2, 3$, if $f(x)$ is $(E.R. \varphi)_i$ integrable on $[a, b]$, $f(x)$ is also $(E.R. \varphi)_i$ integrable on $[c, d]$ for all $[c, d] \subseteq [a, b]$.

Let us consider, as in IV, the mapping Tf of $\mathcal{M}(a, b)$ onto $\mathcal{M}(\alpha, \beta)$ defined in such a way that

$$Tf(y) = f(\Phi^{-1}(y))(\Phi^{-1}(y))' \quad (y \in [\alpha, \beta]),$$

where $y = \Phi(x)$, $x \in [a, b]$, is the indefinite integral of $\varphi(x)$ such that $\Phi(a) = \alpha$ and $\Phi(b) = \beta$, and Φ^{-1} is the inverse of Φ . Then, we have:

Lemma 34. For $i=1, 2, 3$, if $V_\varphi^{(i)}(A, \varepsilon; f)$ is a neighbourhood in $\{\mathcal{M}(a, b), \varphi, i\}$ then $T(V_\varphi^{(i)}(A, \varepsilon; f))$ is a neighbourhood in $\{\mathcal{M}(\alpha, \beta), i\}$, and

$$T(V_\varphi^{(i)}(A, \varepsilon; f)) = V^{(i)}(\Phi(A), \varepsilon; Tf).$$

The same is true of T^{-1} .

Lemma 35. For $i=1, 2, 3$, $V_\varphi^{(i)}(A, \varepsilon; f)$ is a neighbourhood of f of rank n in $\{\mathcal{M}(a, b), \varphi, i\}$ if and only if $T(V_\varphi^{(i)}(A, \varepsilon; f))$ is a neighbourhood of Tf of rank n in $\{\mathcal{M}(\alpha, \beta), i\}$.

Consequently, on account of IV, Lemma 16, we get the following proposition.

Proposition 21. For $i=1, 2, 3$, the mapping T is an r -isomorphism of $\{\mathcal{M}(a, b), \varphi, i\}$ onto $\{\mathcal{M}(\alpha, \beta), i\}$.

From this, it follows that:

Proposition 22. For $i=1, 2, 3$, $T(K((a, b), \varphi, i)) = K((\alpha, \beta), i)$.

Proposition 23. $\mathcal{L} \subseteq K(\varphi, 3) \subseteq K(\varphi, 2) \subseteq K(\varphi, 1) \subseteq K(\varphi)$.

Proof. It is easy, by Lemma 29 and Lemma 31, to see that $K(\varphi, 3) \subseteq K(\varphi, 2) \subseteq K(\varphi, 1) \subseteq K(\varphi)$. $\mathcal{L} \subseteq K(\varphi, 3)$ results from that $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_a^b |[f(x)]^k - f(x)| dx = 0$ and for $f \in \mathcal{L}$, there exists a sequence of step functions which converges in measure to f .

Proposition 24. For $i=1, 2, 3$, $Cl_r(\mathcal{L})$ in $\{\mathcal{M}, \varphi, i\}$ coincides with $K(\varphi, i)$.

This results from Proposition 23 and Lemma 32. Furthermore, we have:

Proposition 25. For $i=1, 2, 3$, if $f(x)$ is a (E.R. φ) _{i} integrable function on $[a, c]$ and $[c, b]$, then $f(x)$ is also (E.R. φ) _{i} integrable on $[a, b]$, and we have

$$(E.R. \varphi) \int_a^b f(x) dx = (E.R. \varphi) \int_a^c f(x) dx + (E.R. \varphi) \int_c^b f(x) dx.$$

An important set of (E.R. φ) _{i} ($i=2, 3$) integrable functions is shown in the following theorem, which is more precise than Theorem 10.

Theorem 11. If $f(x)$ is a general (resp. special) Denjoy-integrable function in a finite interval $[a, b]$, then there is a positive Lebesgue-integrable function $\varphi(x)$ in $[a, b]$ for which $f(x)$ is (E.R. φ)₂ (resp. (E.R. φ)₃) integrable in $[a, b]$ and we have

$$(D) \int_a^b f(x) dx \text{ (resp. } (D_*) \int_a^b f(x) dx) = (E.R. \varphi) \int_a^b f(x) dx.$$

Proof. According to V, Theorem 9, for the function $f(x)$, there exists a monotone increasing sequence $\{F_n\}$, with union $[a, b]$, of closed sets such that: (i) $f(x)$ is Lebesgue-integrable on each F_n ,

(ii) $\{F_n\}$ possess the properties [C] and [D] (resp. $[D_*]$)³⁾ for f ,

(iii) $(D) \int_a^b f(x) dx = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{F_n} f(x) dx$ holds.

We define, for $\{F_n\}$, a monotone increasing sequence $\{A_n\}$ of closed sets so as to satisfy the following conditions: $A_n \subseteq F_n$, $A_{n+1} \setminus A_n$ is a closed set, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{mes } A_n = b - a$, $\int_{F_n \setminus A_n} |f(x)| dx < 2^{-(n+1)}$ and $f(x)$ is bounded on every A_n . Let $\tau(x)$ be the mapping of $\cup A_n$ onto $[0, b-a)$ defined by the method of V, Lemma 26 for $\{A_n\}$. Then, $\tau(x)$ is a one-to-one mapping except for a set N of measure zero with $\text{mes } N^* = 0$, where $N^* = \tau(N)$. Put $f^*(y) = f(\tau^{-1}(y))$ on $[0, b-a) \setminus N^*$ and zero elsewhere. Then, for $f^*(y)$, there exists, according to V, Lemma 24, a function $u(y)$ in $[0, b-a)$ with the properties 1) and 2) of V, Lemma 24. Put $\psi(y) = e^{u(y) - w(y)}$, where $w(y) = e^{u(y)}$. Moreover, put $f_I^*(y) = f(\tau^{-1}(y))$ on $\tau(\cup A_n \cap I) \setminus N^*$ and zero elsewhere, and put $\alpha_n = \text{mes } A_n$. Then, in a similar manner as in the proof of V, Proposition 17, we may choose a

3) For the definition, see V [6].

subsequence $\{\alpha_{n_i}\}$ of $\{\alpha_n\}$ such that:

$$(i) \quad u(\alpha_{n_i})e^{-u(\alpha_{n_i})} < 2^{-(i+4)} \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{\alpha_{n_i}}^{b-a} \psi(y)dy < 2^{-(i+4)},$$

$$(ii) \quad \left| \int_{\alpha_{n_i}}^y f_I^*(y)dy \right| < 2^{-(i+4)}, \quad \alpha_{n_i} < y < b-a,$$

holds for every interval I in $[a, b]$,

$$(iii) \quad \left| \sum_j \int_{\alpha_{n_i}}^y f_{I_j}^*(y)dy \right| < 2^{-(i+4)}, \quad \alpha_{n_i} < y < b-a,$$

holds for every sequence $\{I_j\}$ of non-overlapping intervals such that for each j , the endpoints (resp. at least one of endpoints) belong to F_{n_i} . Hence, as in the proof of V, Lemma 25, if we put $g_{I_j, n_i}^*(y) = f(\tau^{-1}(y))$ on $\tau(A_{n_i} \cap I) \setminus N^*$ and zero elsewhere, where I is an interval in $[a, b]$, we see that:

$$[\alpha(\psi)] \quad |g_{I_j, n_i}^*(y) - f_I^*(y)| = 0 \quad \text{for every } y \in [0, \alpha_{n_i}],$$

$$[\beta(\psi)] \quad k \int_{\{y; |g_{I_j, n_i}^*(y) - f_I^*(y)| > k\phi(y)\}} \psi(y)dy < 2^{-(i+2)} \quad \text{for each } k > 0,$$

$$[\gamma_1(\psi)] \quad \left| \int_0^{b-a} [g_{I_j, n_i}^*(y) - f_I^*(y)]^{k\phi(y)} dy \right| < 2^{-(i+2)} \quad \text{for each } k > 0,$$

$[\gamma_2(\psi)]$ (resp. $[\gamma_2^*(\psi)]$) for every sequence $\{I_j\}$ of non-overlapping intervals such that for each j , the endpoints (resp. at least one of endpoints) belong to F_{n_i} , we have

$$\left| \sum_j \int_0^{b-a} [g_{I_j, n_i}^*(y) - f_{I_j}^*(y)]^{k\phi(y)} dy \right| < 2^{-(i+2)}$$

for each $k > 0$.

From this, it follows, in the same way as in the proof of V, Proposition 17, that for a positive Lebesgue-integrable function $\varphi(x) = \psi(\tau(x))$ defined in $[a, b]$, $\{V_\varphi^{(2)}(F_{n_i}, \varepsilon_i; f)\}$ (resp. $\{V_\varphi^{(3)}(F_{n_i}, \varepsilon_i; f)\}$), $\varepsilon_i = 2^{-i}$, is a fundamental sequence in $\{\mathcal{M}(a, b), \varphi, 2\}$ (resp. $\{\mathcal{M}(a, b), \varphi, 3\}$) such that $V_\varphi^{(2)}(F_{n_i}, \varepsilon_i; f)$ (resp. $V_\varphi^{(3)}(F_{n_i}, \varepsilon_i; f)$) $\ni f_{n_i}$ for each i , where f_{n_i} is a function defined as follows: $f_{n_i}(x) = f(x)$ on F_{n_i} and zero elsewhere. This indicates that $\{\lim_i f_{n_i}\} \ni f$ in $\{\mathcal{M}(a, b), \varphi, 2\}$ (resp. $\{\mathcal{M}(a, b), \varphi, 3\}$). Thus, in virtue of Proposition 23, our assertion follows.

Remark 2. For the fundamental sequences $\{V_\varphi^{(2)}(F_{n_i}, \varepsilon_i; f)\}$ and $\{V_\varphi^{(3)}(F_{n_i}, \varepsilon_i; f)\}$ defined in the proof of Theorem 11, holds $\bigcup_i F_{n_i} = [a, b]$.

References

- [1] I. L. Bondi: On the property of A -integrable function. *Uspehi Mat. Nauk*, **18** (109), 145-150 (1963).
- [2] —: A -integrability in the narrow sense. *Mat. Sb.*, **61** (103), 121-146 (1963).
- [3] K. Fujita: On indefinite ($E.R.$)-integrals. I, II. *Proc. Japan Acad.*, **41**, 686-695 (1965).
- [4] —: On the so-called fundamental theorem of integration. *Proc. Japan Acad.*, **42**, 339-343 (1966).

- [5] S. Nakanishi: Sur la dérivation de l'intégrale ($E.R.$) indéfinie. I. Proc. Japan Acad., **34**, 199-204 (1958).
- [6] —: On generalized integrals. I-V. Proc. Japan Acad., **44**, 133-138, 225-230, 904-909 (1968), **45**, 86-91, 374-379 (1969).
- [7] H. Okano: Sur une généralisation de l'intégrale ($E.R.$) et un théorème général de l'intégration par parties. Jour. Math. Soc. Japan, **14**, 430-442 (1962).
- [8] I. A. Vinogradova: On the representation of a measurable function by an indefinite A -integral. Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat., **26**, 581-604 (1962).
- [9] —: A restriction of the A -integral. Math. Sb., **72** (114), 365-387 (1967).
- [10] F. S. Vaher: The general form of a linear functional on the Banach space of analytic functions and the A -integral. Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR, **166**, 518-521 (1966).