

30. On Vector Measures. II

By Sachio OHBA

(Comm. by Kinjirô KUNUGI, M. J. A., Feb. 12, 1970)

In [4] we have proved the following theorem. *Let S be a set, R a semi-tribe (δ -ring) of subsets of S , X a normed space and $m; R \rightarrow X$ a vector measure. Then there exists a finite non-negative measure ν on R such that*

- (1) *for any $A \in R$ and any number $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a number $\delta = \delta(\varepsilon, A) > 0$ such that $B \in R, B \subset A$ and $\nu(B) < \delta \Rightarrow \|m(B)\| < \varepsilon$*
 (2) *$\nu(E) \leq \sup \{\|m(A)\|; A \subset E, A \in R\}$ for $E \in R$ ([4] Theorem 1).*

The purpose of this paper is to point out some properties of regular vector measures by using this theorem. These properties were proved earlier (Dinculeanu [1] § 16, Theorem 3, Corollaries 1–4) for vector measures with finite variation, but we shall drop this condition and we shall consider the necessary and sufficient condition for the extension of a regular, finitely additive set function from some clan to a wider class of subsets (cf. Theorem 3). And Corollary 1 is the extension of Dinculeanu's and Klivanek's result ([2] Theorem 5).

3. Regular vector measures. Suppose that S be a locally compact, Hausdorff space and X a Banach space.

Definition 3. Let R be a clan (ring) of subsets of S . A set function $m; R \rightarrow X$ is called regular if for every $A \in R$ and every number $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a compact set $K \subset A$ and an open set $G \supset A$ such that for every $A' \in R$ with $K \subset A' \subset G$ we have $\|m(A) - m(A')\| < \varepsilon$.

Definition 4. Let $m; R \rightarrow X$ be a set function and μ a non-negative measure on R . m is μ -absolutely continuous if for every $A \in R$ and every number $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a number $\delta = \delta(\varepsilon, A) > 0$ such that for every $B \in R$ with $B \subset A$ and $\mu(B) < \delta$ we have $\|m(B)\| < \varepsilon$.

Lemma 2. *Let R be semi-tribe of subsets of S which has the following conditions*

- for every compact set K and for every open set G such that $K \subset G$, there exists a $A \in R$ such that $K \subset A \subset G$.*
 (*) *If $m; R \rightarrow X$ is a regular vector measure, then there exists a finite non-negative measure ν on R such that*
 (1) *m is ν -absolutely continuous.*
 (2) *$\nu(E) \leq \sup \{\|m(A)\|; A \subset E, A \in R\}$ for $E \in R$.*
 (3) *ν is regular.*

Proof. It is easy by [4] Theorem 1.

Theorem 3. *Let R be a clan which has the following conditions*

(*) for every compact set K and for every open set G such that $G \supset K$ there exists a $A \in R$ such that $K \subset A \subset G$.

(**) for every $A \in R$ there exists a $A' \in R$ such that $A \subset \text{Int}A'$.

Then every regular and finitely additive set function $m; R \rightarrow X$ can be extended uniquely to a regular vector measure m_1 , on the semi-tribe φ generated by R if and only if there exists a finite, non-negative, regular measure ν on R such that m is ν -absolutely continuous. In this case, m becomes countably additive.

Proof. The necessity is immediate by Lemma 2.

Sufficiency. By Dinculeanu ([1] § 16, Theorem 2, Corollary 2) ν can be extended uniquely to a finite, non-negative regular measure ν_1 on φ . For any $A \in \varphi$ there exists a $E \in R$ with $E \supset A$ (Dinculeana [1] § 1, Proposition 10, corollary). By ν -absolute continuity of m , for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a number $\delta = \delta(\varepsilon \cdot A) > 0$ such that $B \in R, B \subset E$ and $\nu_1(B) = \nu(B) < \delta \Rightarrow \|m(B)\| < \varepsilon$. Hence $B, C \in R, B \subset E, C \subset E$ and $\nu_1(B \Delta C) = \nu(B \Delta C) < \delta \Rightarrow$

$$\begin{aligned} \|m(B) - m(C)\| &= \|m(B - C) - m(C - B)\| \\ &\leq \|m(B - C)\| + \|m(C - B)\| < 2\varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

Since ν_1 is regular, for above A and δ there exists a compact set $K \subset A$ and an open set $G \supset A$ such that $B \in R$ and $B \subset G - K$ implies $\nu_1(B) < \delta$.

By (*) there exists a $B \in R$ with $K \subset B \subset G$. Therefore $B \cap E \in R$ and $A \Delta (B \cap E) \subset G - K$ implies $\nu_1(A \Delta (B \cap E)) < \delta$. Now we take $B_1 \in R$ and $B_2 \in R$ such that $\nu_1(A \Delta (B_1 \cap E)) < \frac{1}{2} \delta_0$

and

$$\nu_1(A \Delta (B_2 \cap E)) < \frac{1}{2} \delta_0 \quad \text{for any } \delta_0 (0 < \delta_0 \leq \delta).$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \nu((B_1 \cap E) \Delta (B_2 \cap E)) &= \nu_1((B_1 \cap E) \Delta (B_2 \cap E)) \\ &\leq \nu_1(A \Delta (B_1 \cap E)) + \nu_1(A \Delta (B_2 \cap E)) < \delta_0 \leq \delta. \end{aligned}$$

Hence we have $\|m(B_1 \cap E) - m(B_2 \cap E)\| < 2\varepsilon$. Since X is complete space, we have $m_E(A) = \lim_{\nu_1(A \Delta (B \cap E)) \rightarrow 0} m(B \cap E)$. In particular, $m_E(A) = m(A)$ for $A \in R$. The uniqueness of m_E is clear.

Next we shall prove that m_E is independent on $E (\supset A)$. For any $F \in R$ with $A \subset F \subset E$ and every number $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta_1 = \delta(\varepsilon, E)$ and $\delta_2 = \delta(\varepsilon, F) > 0$. We set $\delta = \min(\delta_1, \delta_2)$. We take $B_1, B_2 \in R$ such that $\nu_1(A \Delta (B_1 \cap E)) < \frac{1}{2} \delta$ and $\nu_1(A \Delta (B_2 \cap E)) < \frac{1}{2} \delta$. Then $\nu_1((B_1 \cap E) \Delta (B_2 \cap F)) < \delta \leq \delta_1$ and $B_2 \cap F \subset E$.

It follows that $\|m(B_1 \cap E) - m(B_2 \cap F)\| < 2\varepsilon$. Therefore $\|m_E(A) - m_F(A)\| \leq 2\varepsilon$. Since ε is arbitrary, we have $m_E(A) = m_F(A)$. For every $F \in R$ with $F \supset A$, $m_F(A) = m_{E \cap F}(A) = m_E(A)$.

If we put $m_1(A) = m_E(A)$ ($A \subset E \in R$), we have

(i) m_1 is finitely additive.

(ii) m_1 is ν_1 -absolutely continuous.

(iii) m_1 is regular.

(iv) m_1 is countably additive.

Since (i) is clear (see Kluvanek [3] Theorem 1), (iii) is clear by (**) and (ii), and (iv) is clear by (ii), it only remains to prove (ii): For any $E \in \varphi$ there exists a $F \in R$ with $F \supset E$. From ν -absolute continuity of m for every number $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a number $\delta = \delta(\epsilon, F) > 0$ such that $A \subset F$ $A \in R$ and $\nu(A) < \delta$ implies $\|m(A)\| < \frac{1}{2}\epsilon$. Let $B \in \varphi$ be a set such

that $B \subset E$ and $\nu_1(B) < \delta$. We put $\delta_1 = \nu_1(B)$. Then from the definition of $m_1(B)$, there exists a number $\delta_2 = \delta(\epsilon, E) > 0$ such that $\nu_1(B \Delta (B_1 \cap F)) < \delta_2$ implies $\|m_1(B) - m(B_1 \cap F)\| < \frac{1}{2}\epsilon$. We put $\delta_0 = \min(\delta - \delta_1, \delta_2)$. Let

$B_1 \in R$ be a set with $\nu_1(B \Delta (B_1 \cap F)) < \delta_0$. Then

$$\|m_1(B) - m(B_1 \cap F)\| < \frac{1}{2}\epsilon.$$

$$\begin{aligned} |\nu_1(B) - \nu(B_1 \cap F)| &= |\nu_1(B - B_1 \cap F) - \nu_1(B_1 \cap F - B)| \\ &\leq \nu_1(B \Delta (B_1 \cap F)) < \delta_0 \leq \delta - \delta_1 \end{aligned}$$

so $\nu(B_1 \cap F) < \nu_1(B) + \delta - \delta_1 = \delta$. Therefore $\|m(B_1 \cap F)\| < \frac{1}{2}\epsilon$. Thus we

have $\|m_1(B)\| \leq \|m_1(B) - m(B_1 \cap F)\| + \|m(B_1 \cap F)\| < \epsilon$. The uniqueness of m_1 is clear by the uniqueness of m_E . Q.E.D.

Denote by \mathfrak{B}_0 the semi-tribe of the relatively compact Baire sets, by \mathfrak{B} the semi-tribe of the relatively compact Borel sets and by \mathfrak{R}_0 the clan generated by the compact sets with are G_s .

Corollary 1. *Let R be a clan such that $\mathfrak{R}_0 \subset R \subset \mathfrak{B}$. every regular and finitely additive set function $m : R \rightarrow X$ can be extended uniquely to a regular Borel measure $m ; \mathfrak{B} \rightarrow X$ if and only if there exists a finite, non-negative, regular measure ν on R such that m is ν -absolutely continuous. In this case m becomes countably additive.*

Proof. By Dinculeanu ([1] § 14, Propositions 11 and § 15, Lemma 1) R is satisfied the conditions (*), (**) of Theorem 3. Then we can prove in the same way as the proof of Theorem 3.

If we put $R = \mathfrak{B}_0$ Then we have the following result.

Corollary 2. *Every Baire measure $m ; \mathfrak{B}_0 \rightarrow X$ can be extended uniquely to a regular Borel measure $m_1 ; \mathfrak{B} \rightarrow X$ (Dinculeanu and Kluvanek [2] Theorem 5).*

References

[1] N. Dinculeanu: Vector Measures. Pergamon Press, Berlin (1967).

- [2] N. Dinculeanu and I. Kluvanek: On vector measures. *Proc. London Math. Soc.*, **17**, 505–512 (1967).
- [3] I. Kluvanek: On vector measures (in Russian). *Mat. Fys. Časopis.*, **8**, 186–192 (1957).
- [4] S. Ohba: On vector measures. I. *Proc. Japan Acad.*, **46**, 51–53 (1970).