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1. The neutron transport process has been studied by Harris ([1]) and Mullikin ([5]) as an application of the theory of discrete-time branching processes. The main problems are the asymptotic behavior of the number of neutrons, the extinction probability and the rate of convergence of the extinction probability at time $t$ to the extinction probability. In this paper we consider similar problems for a monoenergetic and isotropic neutron transport process on a bounded homogeneous domain. We will formulate the model as a continuous-time branching process and apply the general theory of such processes ([2]). Main results are the theorems $1 \sim 5$ below. It will be seen that the expected number of new-born neutrons plays an essential role in the above problems. This is a typical property of branching processes, which is well known for Galton-Watson processes.
2. Let $D$ be a bounded closed convex domain in the three-dimensional Euclidian space $\boldsymbol{R}^{3}$ with a smooth boundary and $\Omega$ be the unit sphere in $\boldsymbol{R}^{3}$. We denote by $G$ the product space $D \times \Omega$ and $\partial G$ the set $(x, \omega)$ where $x$ belongs to the boundary of $D$ and $\omega$ is a direction exiting the domain; i.e., $\left(\omega, n_{x}\right) \geqq 0$ where $n_{x}$ is the direction of the outernormal at $x$. We formulate our model of neutron transport process as a continuous-time branching process as follows; a particle at $x \in D$ starting with unit speed in the direction $\omega^{*)}$ will, at a random time $T$ which is exponentially distributed with mean $\sigma^{-1}$, be absorbed, scattered, or multiplied by fission. If it leaves the domain $D$ before $T$, then it is absorbed. The direction of new particles is supposed to be isotropically distributed. Each of new particles, independently each other, performs a similar motion as the original one. We can construct such a branching process on a suitable probability space ([2]) and every probabilistic argument below is based on this process.

Let $F[\xi]=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p_{n} \xi^{n}$ where $p_{n}$ is the probability that $n$ neutrons are produced when fission occurs. (In particular $p_{0}$ is the probability of absorption and $p_{1}$ the probability of scattering.) We will assume $F^{\prime}[1]<\infty$ and $p_{0}+p_{1}<1$. The first assumption guarantees that the

[^0]explosion does not occur in finite time. By the general theory, the extinction probability $q(t, x, \omega)$ at time $t$ starting at $(x, \omega)$ is the unique solution of

(1) $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\frac{\partial u(t, x, \omega)}{\partial t}=\omega \cdot \nabla u(t, x, \omega)-\sigma u(t, x, \omega)+\sigma F[\tilde{u}(t, x)](\equiv A u(t, x, \omega)) \\ u(t, x, \omega)=1,(x, \omega) \in \partial G \\ u(0+, x, \omega)=0 .\end{array}\right.$

Here, " $\sim$ " means the direction average; $\widetilde{u}(t, x)=\frac{1}{4 \pi} \int_{\Omega} u(t, x, \omega) d \omega$.
The extinction probability $q(x, \omega)$ starting at $(x, \omega)$, which is given as $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} q(t, x, \omega)$, is the smallest solution of
(2) $A u=0$ in $G, u(x, \omega)=1$ if $(x, \omega) \in \partial G, 0 \leqq u(x, \omega) \leqq 1$.

This equation has a trivial solution $u(x, \omega) \equiv 1$. Let $E(x, y ; \lambda)$ be the function $\sigma e^{-(\sigma+\lambda)|x-y|} / 4 \pi|x-y|^{2}$ on $D \times D$ for each complex $\lambda$, and $c^{*-1}$ be the largest positive eigenvalue of the operator induced by the kernel $E(x, y ; 0)$ on the Banach space $C(D)$ of all continuous functions on $D$ with sup-norm.

Lemma 1 (Pazy-Rabinowitz [6]). If $F^{\prime}[1] \leqq c^{*}$, then (2) has no non-trivial solution and hence $q(x, \omega) \equiv 1$. If $F^{\prime}[1]>c^{*}$, it has the unique non-trivial solution which is, therefore, equal to $q(x, \omega)$. Furthermore, $q(x, \omega)<1$, if $(x, \omega) \in G-\partial G$, and $\inf _{(x, \omega) \in G} q(x, \omega)>0$.
3. In this section we shall consider the following equation.
(3) $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\frac{\partial u(t, x, \omega)}{\partial t}=\omega \cdot \nabla u(t, x, \omega)-\sigma u(t, x, \omega)+k(x) \widetilde{u}(t, x)(\equiv B u(t, x, \omega)) \\ u(t, x, \omega)=0 \text { if }(x, \omega) \in \partial G \\ u(0+, x, \omega)=f(x, \omega)\end{array}\right.$
where $k(x)$ is continuous on $D$, bounded, and bounded away from 0 by a positive constant. Let $C_{0}(G)$ be the Banach space of all continuous functions on $G$ which vanish on $\partial G$ with sup-norm $\|\cdot\|$, and $\boldsymbol{H}(G)(\boldsymbol{H}(D))$ be the Hilbert space of all spuare integrable functions with $L^{2}$-norm $\|\cdot\|_{2}$ on $G(\operatorname{resp} . D)$. First we shall consider the following eigenvalue problem in the space $\boldsymbol{C}_{0}(G)$ or $\boldsymbol{H}(G)$.
(4) $\quad B \psi=\lambda \psi, \quad \psi(x, \omega)=0$ if $(x, \omega) \in \partial G$.

If $\psi$ is the solution of (4), then $\varphi(x)=\widetilde{\psi}(x)$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi(x)=\int_{D} E(x, y ; \lambda) \varphi(y) k(y) d y(\equiv E(\lambda) \varphi(x)) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Conversely, if $\varphi \in \boldsymbol{C}(D)$ is the solution of (5), then there exists a unique solution $\psi$ of (4) such that $\varphi=\widetilde{\psi}$. S. Ukai has proved that there exist infinitely many real eigenvalues of $B$, the largest one is simple, and the corresponding eigenfunction is everywhere positive ([7]).

Lemma 2. Let $\lambda_{0}$ be the real eigenvalue of $B$ with maximal real part. Then there exist no eigenvalues of the form $\lambda_{0}+i c, c \neq 0$.

Proof. Suppose that $\lambda=\lambda_{0}+i c$ is a eigenvalue of $B$ with corresponding eigenfunction $\psi_{c}(x, \omega)$. Since $\varphi_{c}=\tilde{\psi}_{c}$ satisfies $\varphi_{c}=E(\lambda) \varphi_{c}$, we have $\left|\varphi_{c}\right|(x) \leqq E\left(\lambda_{0}\right)\left|\varphi_{c}\right|(x)$.
Assume $\left|\varphi_{c}\right|(x) \not \equiv E\left(\lambda_{0}\right)\left|\varphi_{c}\right|(x)$, then

$$
\left(\left|\varphi_{c}\right|, \varphi\right)<\left(E\left(\lambda_{0}\right)\left|\varphi_{c}\right|, \varphi\right)=\left(\left|\varphi_{c}\right|, E\left(\lambda_{0}\right) * \varphi\right)=\left(\left|\varphi_{c}\right|, \varphi\right)
$$

Therefore $\left|\varphi_{c}\right| \equiv E\left(\lambda_{0}\right)\left|\varphi_{c}\right|$.
By virtue of the simplicity of $\varphi_{c}$,

$$
\varphi_{c}(x)=\varphi(x) e^{i f(x)} \text { and } f \text { is a continuous function on } D .
$$

From the definition

$$
\varphi(x)=\int_{D} E\left(x, y ; \lambda_{0}\right) \varphi(y) \exp \{i\{f(y)-f(x)-c|x-y|\}\} k(y) d y
$$

and observing that $\varphi$ is real, we have

$$
\varphi(x)=\int_{D} E\left(x, y ; \lambda_{0}\right) \varphi(y) \cos \{f(y)-f(x)-c|x-y|\} k(y) d y
$$

By the definition of $\varphi$, we must have $\cos \{f(y)-f(x)-c|x-y|\}=1$. Since $f$ is continuous, $f(y)-f(x)-c|x-y|=0$, but this is a contradiction if $c \neq 0$, and lemma is proved.

The largest eigenvalue $\mu(\beta)$ of $E(\beta)$ as a function of real $\beta$ is continuous, and strictly decreasing for $\beta>-\sigma$, as shown by S. Ukai ([7]). The strict decreasing property of $\mu(\beta)$ for real $\beta$ can be proved easily by using the next lemma.

Lemma 3 (Karlin [4]). Suppose that $E$ is completely continuous and strictly positive operator over a Banach space, then the largest eigenvalue $r$ of $E$ is given by

$$
r=\sup \left\{\left.\lambda\right|^{\boxminus} x \neq 0, x \geqq 0, E x \geqq \lambda x\right\}=\inf \left\{\lambda \mid{ }^{\exists} x \neq 0, x \geqq 0, E x \leqq \lambda x\right\} .
$$

Lemma 4. $\mu(B)$ is strictly decreasing.
Proof. Let $\varphi_{\beta}$ be the eigenfunction corresponding to $\mu(\beta)$. Since $\varphi_{\beta}$ is everywhere positive on $D, \varphi_{\beta}$ is bounded away from 0 by a positive constant, i.e. $\varphi_{\beta}$ is a strictly positive element. We may assume that $\left\|\varphi_{\beta}\right\|=1$. Then for every positive $\varepsilon$, there exists a positive constant $\eta>0$ such that $\{E(\beta-\varepsilon)-E(\beta)\} \varphi_{\beta} \geqq \eta \cdot$ Hence $E(\beta-\varepsilon) \varphi_{\beta} \geqq E(\beta) \varphi_{\beta}+\eta$ $\geqq(\mu(\beta)+\eta) \varphi_{\beta}$, therefore $\mu(\beta-\varepsilon) \geqq \mu(\beta)+\eta>\mu(\beta)$ by Lemma 3.

Keeping the Jörgens' results ([3]) in mind, we can obtain the following

Lemma 5. There exists a one-parameter semigroup $M_{t}$ on $C_{0}(G)$ or on $\boldsymbol{H}(G)$, such that $u(t, x, \omega)=M_{t} f(x, \omega)$ satisfies (3). Moreover there exist positive constants $T_{0}$ and $\rho$ such that for every $t \geqq T_{0}$, and for every $f \in \boldsymbol{C}_{0}(G)$

$$
\left\|M_{t} f(x, \omega)-e^{\lambda_{0} t}\left(f, \psi^{*}\right) \psi(x, \omega)\right\| \leqq e^{\lambda_{0} t} 0\left(e^{-\rho t}\|f\|\right)
$$

where $\lambda_{0}$ is the eigenvalue of $B$ with maximal real part, and $\psi\left(\psi^{*}\right)$ is the corresponding eigenfunction of $B$ (resp. $B^{*}$ ). When $f$ is in $\boldsymbol{H}(G)$, the same estimate holds if we replace only $\|f\|$ in the right-hand side by $\|f\|_{2}$.

If $k(x) \equiv \sigma F^{\prime}[1]$ the solution $u(t, x, \omega)$ of equation (3) represents the expected number of neutrons at time $t$ starting at $(x, \omega)$. Let $\alpha$ be the eigenvalue of $B$ with maximal real part in this case. Then from strict decreasing property of $\mu(\beta)$, we have

Lemma 6. $\alpha<0, \alpha=0$, or $\alpha>0$ according as $F^{\prime}[1]<c^{*}, F^{\prime}[1]=c^{*}$, or $F^{\prime}[1]>c^{*}$, respectively.
4. We shall study the asymptotic behavior of $r(t, x, \omega)=q(x, \omega)$ $-q(t, x, \omega)$. Let $\alpha$ be as above, and $\psi(x, \omega)\left(\psi^{*}(x, \omega)\right)$ be the corresponding eigenfunction of $B$ (resp. $B^{*}$ ).

Theorem 1. Suppose $F^{\prime}[1]<c^{*}$, and $F^{\prime \prime}[1]<\infty$, then there exist positive constants $C_{1}$ and $\delta$ such that

$$
r(t, x, \omega)=C_{1} e^{\alpha t} \psi(x, \omega)+e^{\alpha t} 0\left(e^{-\delta t}\right), t \rightarrow \infty .
$$

Theorem 2. Suppose $F^{\prime}[1]=c^{*}$, and $F^{\prime \prime \prime}[1]<\infty$, then there exists a positive constant $C_{2}$ such that

$$
r(t, x, \omega)=C_{2} \psi(x, \omega) / t+0(1 / t), t \rightarrow \infty
$$

Theorem 3. Suppose $F^{\prime}[1]>c^{*}$, and $F^{\prime \prime}[1]<\infty$, then $q(x, \omega) \neq 1$ and there exist positive constants $C_{3}$ and $\varepsilon$ such that

$$
r(t, x, \omega)=C_{3} e^{\tau t} \bar{\psi}(x, \omega)+e^{\tau t} 0\left(e^{-s t}\right), t \rightarrow \infty
$$

where $\gamma$ is the eigenvalue of $B$ in the case $k(x) \equiv \sigma F^{\prime}[\widetilde{q}(x)]$, and $\bar{\psi}(x, \omega)$ is the corresponding eigenfunction. In this case, $\mu(0)<1$, and $\gamma<0$ from the strict increasing property of $\mu(\beta)$.

Let $Z_{t}^{E}$ be the number of particles in $E \subset G$ at time $t$ starting at $(x, \omega)$.

Theorem 4. Suppose $F^{\prime}[1]>c^{*}$, and $F^{\prime \prime}[1]<\infty$. Then there exists a non-negative random variable $W$ such that

$$
\{W>0\}=\left\{Z_{t}^{G} \rightarrow \infty \text { as } t \rightarrow \infty\right\} \quad \text { a.s., }
$$

and for every $E \subset G$ such that $\left(I_{E}, 1\right)^{*)}>0$,

$$
\boldsymbol{E}\left[\left|Z_{t}^{E}\left\{e^{\alpha t}\left(I_{E}, \psi^{*}\right)\right\}^{-1}-W\right|^{2}\right]=0\left(e^{-s t}\right)
$$

where $\varepsilon$ is independent of $E$.
Theorem 5. Suppose $F^{\prime}[1]=c^{*}$, and $F^{\prime \prime}[1]<\infty$. Then for every $E_{1}, E_{2}, \cdots, E_{n} \subset G$ such that $\left(I_{E_{i}}, 1\right)>0(i=1,2, \cdots, n)$, the joint distribution of $\left\{t 2^{-1} \sigma F^{\prime \prime}[1]\left(\psi^{2}, \psi^{*}\right)\right\}^{-1}\left(Z_{t}^{E_{1}}, Z_{t}^{E_{2}}, \cdots, Z_{t}^{E_{n}}\right)$ under the condition $Z_{t}^{G} \neq 0$, converges to that of $\left(\left(I_{E_{1}}, \psi^{*}\right),\left(I_{E_{2}}, \psi^{*}\right), \cdots,\left(I_{E_{n}}, \psi^{*}\right)\right) \cdot W$, when $t \rightarrow \infty$, where $W$ is exponentially distributed with mean 1.
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[^0]:    *) This statement will be simplified below as "starting at $(x, \omega)$."

[^1]:    *) $I_{E}$ is the indicator function of the set $E$.

