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1. The existence of measure preserving transformations which are weakly but not strongly mixing has been discussed by Halmos [4], Kakutani-von Neumann [5] and Chacon [1], [2], [3]. Maruyama [6] has shown the existence of Gaussian flows of this type by some results in Gaussian processes. In this short paper we shall give a general method for constructing flows of the type, of which idea is obtained from Chacon [2], [3].
2. Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{L}, \mu)$ be a Lebesgue space, where $\Omega=[0,1) \times[0,1), \mathcal{L}$ is the product Lebesgue class and $\mu$ is the usual product Lebesgue measure defined on $\mathcal{L}$.

Definition 1. A flow $\left\{T_{t}\right\}$ on $(\Omega, \mathcal{L}, \mu)$ is said to be ergodic if there exists a positive number $t$ such that $\mu\left(T_{t} A \cap B\right)>0$ holds for every pair $A, B$ from $\mathcal{L}$ with positive measure.

Definition 2. If there exist a complex number $\lambda$ with the absolute value one and a function $f$ in $\mathrm{L}^{2}(\Omega)$ such that
$f\left(T_{t}(x, y)\right)=\lambda^{t} f(x, y) \quad$ for a.a. $(x, y) \in \Omega$ and all $t$,
we call $\lambda$ and $f$ an eigenvalue and an eigenfunction corresponding to $\lambda$, respectively.

Definition 3. A flow $\left\{T_{t}\right\}$ is weakly mixing if the flow cannot have simple eigenvalues other than one.

Definition 4. A flow $\left\{T_{t}\right\}$ is strongly mixing if

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \mu\left(T_{t} A \cap B\right)=\mu(A) \mu(B)
$$

holds for every pair $A, B$ from $\mathcal{L}$ with positive measure.
Definition 5. For a set $A$ of $\mathcal{L}$ with positive measure, a local flow $\varphi_{t}$ on $A$ is defined as follows:

$$
\varphi_{t}(x, y)= \begin{cases}(x, y+t) & \text { if }(x, y+t) \in A, \\ \text { undefined elsewhere }\end{cases}
$$

for each $(x, y) \in A$.
Our main result may be stated as follows:
Theorem. There exists a weakly mixing flow $\left\{T_{t}\right\}$ on $(\Omega, \mathcal{L}, u)$ which is not strongly mixing.

Proof. After the flow is constructed, we will prove that it is weakly but not strongly mixing using a direct argument. The first step
of the construction is the following. We divide the two dimensional torus $\Omega$ into three pairwise disjoint and consecutive rectangles represented by $R_{11}, R_{12}$ and $Q_{1}$, where $R_{11}=[0,1 / 3) \times[0,1), R_{12}=[1 / 3,2 / 3)$ $\times[0,1)$ and $Q_{1}=[2 / 3,1) \times[0,1)$. Put $R_{12}$ on $R_{11}$ identifying the points $(0,1)$ and $(1 / 3,1)$ with the points $(1 / 3,0)$ and $(2 / 3,0)$, respectively. We define the local flow $\varphi_{t}^{(1)}$ on the set $R_{1}$, where $R_{1}=[0,1 / 3) \times[0,2)$. For later convenience' sake, we divide $R_{1}$ into some squares, $A_{11}=[0,1 / 3)$ $\times[0,1 / 3), \cdots, A_{16}=[0,1 / 3) \times[5 / 3,2)$ and denote by $\mathfrak{R}_{1}$ the family $\left\{A_{11}\right.$, $\left.\cdots, A_{18}, Q_{1}\right\}$. Take

$$
p(1)=2 \quad \text { and } \quad \sigma(1)=6 .
$$

Next, we suppose that the $n$-1th step has been already constructed. In an analogous method to the above, we divide $R_{n-1}$ and $Q_{n-1}$ into two pairwise disjoint and consecutive rectangles $R_{n 1}, R_{n 2}: Q_{n 1}, Q_{n 2}$, respectively. Put $R_{n 2}$ on $R_{n 1}$ and $Q_{n 1}$ on $R_{n 2}$, and denote by $R_{n}$ the set, that is, $R_{n}=\left[0,1 / 3(1 / 2)^{n-1}\right) \times[0, p(n))$, and take $Q_{n}=Q_{n 2}$. We define the local flow $\varphi_{t}^{(n)}$ on the set $R_{n}$. Furthermore, divide $R_{n}$ into some pairwise disjoint and consecutive squares, $A_{n 1}, \cdots, A_{n \circ(n)}$ and put $\mathfrak{A}_{n}=\left\{A_{n 1}, \cdots\right.$, $\left.A_{n_{\sigma}(n)}, Q_{n}\right\}$. Here, the squares $A_{n k}, 1 \leqq k \leqq \sigma(n)$, have the same area. A simple calculation shows that for $n \geqq 2$,

$$
p(n)=2 \cdot p(n-1)+1 \quad \text { and } \quad \sigma(n)=4 \cdot \sigma(n-1)+2^{n-2} \cdot 6
$$

It is clear from the geometric interpretation that $\varphi_{t}^{(n+1)}=\varphi_{t}^{(n)}$ on the domain of the definition of $\varphi_{t}^{(n)}$, and that $\lim _{n} \varphi_{t}^{(n)}$ exists almost everywhere. Indeed, this limit, $\lim _{n} \varphi_{t}^{(n)}$, is the common extension of $\varphi_{t}^{(n)}$ for all $n$. Let

$$
T_{t}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi_{t}^{(n)}
$$

Obviously, the flow $\left\{T_{t}\right\}$ is ergodic. Noticing that $\mu\left(\varphi_{n}^{(n)} R_{11} \cap R_{11}\right)$ $=1 / 3(1 / 2)^{n-1}$ holds for all $n \geqq 2$, and putting $T=T_{1}$, it is easily verified that

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu\left(T^{n} R_{11} \cap R_{11}\right) \neq\left\{\mu\left(R_{11}\right)\right\}^{2}=\frac{1}{9}
$$

This shows that the flow $\left\{T_{t}\right\}$ is not strongly mixing.
In what follows we prepare the following lemmas which are essential for our purpose.

Lemma 1. For any positive number $\varepsilon$ and for any Borel set $B$ with positive measure, there exist an integer $n$ and a subset $\alpha$ of (1,2, $\cdots, \sigma(n))$ such that

$$
\mu\left(B \ominus \bigcup_{k \in \alpha} A_{n k}\right)<\varepsilon \quad \text { and } \quad \mu\left(B \cap A_{n k}\right) \geqq(1-\varepsilon) \mu\left(A_{n k}\right)
$$

for $k \in \alpha$, where $\mathfrak{n}_{n}=\left\{A_{n 1}, \cdots, A_{n_{\sigma}(n)}, Q_{n}\right\}$.
Proof. This is easily obtained from the strong density theorem of S. Saks (see Saks [7]).

Lemma 2. For any positive number $\varepsilon$ and for any Borel function $f$, there exist an integer $n$ and $a$ subset $\alpha$ of $(1,2, \cdots, \sigma(n))$ such that
$f$ is simple within $\varepsilon$ on $\mathfrak{X}_{\alpha}=\left\{A_{n k}, k \in \alpha\right\}$, where $\mu\left(\cup_{k \in \alpha} A_{n k}\right) \geqq(1-\varepsilon)$, that is, $f$ is constant within $\varepsilon$ on each $A_{n k}$ for $k \in \alpha .^{*}$

## Proof. It follows at once from Lemma 1.

It remains to prove that $\left\{T_{t}\right\}$ is weakly mixing. To this end, we suppose that the flow $\left\{T_{t}\right\}$ has an eigenfunction $f$ such that

$$
f\left(T_{t}(x, y)\right)=\lambda^{t} f(x, y) \quad \text { for a.a. }(x, y) \in \Omega \text { and all } t
$$

Moreover, we may assume without loss of generality that $|f| \geqq K$ a.e. for some positive number $K$. It follows from Lemma 2 that for any positive number $\varepsilon$, there exist an integer $n$ and a subset $\alpha$ of $(1,2, \cdots$, $\sigma(n)$ ) such that $f$ is simple within $\varepsilon$ on $\Re_{\alpha}=\left\{A_{n k}, k \in \alpha\right\}$. Now consider such a set $A_{n k}$ for a $k(1 \leqq k \leqq p(n)-1)$ fixed in $\alpha$. Then, by virtue of the manner of the construction of $\left\{T_{t}\right\}$, one can easily verify that

$$
\mu\left(T^{p(n)} A_{n k} \cap A_{n k}\right)=\frac{1}{2} \mu\left(A_{n k}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad \mu\left(T^{p(n)+1} A_{n k} \cap A_{n k}\right)=\frac{1}{4} \mu\left(A_{n k}\right)
$$

$\left(T=T_{1}\right)$. Let $c(\varepsilon)$ be the constant approximating $f$ on $A_{n k}$ with an error $\varepsilon$ :

$$
|f(x, y)-c(\varepsilon)| \leqq \varepsilon \quad \text { on } E_{n k}
$$

where $E_{n k}$ is a subset of $A_{n k}$ such that $\mu\left(E_{n k}\right) \geqq(1-\varepsilon) \mu\left(A_{n k}\right)$. Then, by Lemma 2, there exists a positive constant $\delta(f)$ which satisfies

$$
|c(\varepsilon)| \geqq \delta(f) .
$$

If we let $(x, y) \in T^{p(n)} A_{n k} \cap A_{n k}$, then we have

$$
f\left(T^{p(n)}(x, y)\right)=\lambda^{p(n)} f(x, y)
$$

If we let $(x, y) \in T^{p(n)+1} A_{n k} \cap A_{n k}$, then we have

$$
f\left(T^{p(n)+1}(x, y)\right)=\lambda^{p(n)+1} f(x, y) .
$$

From the above relations, one obtains that

$$
\left|\lambda^{p(n)} c(\varepsilon)-c(\varepsilon)\right| \leqq 2 \varepsilon \quad \text { and } \quad\left|\lambda^{p(n)+1} c(\varepsilon)-c(\varepsilon)\right| \leqq 2 \varepsilon
$$

from which it follows that $\lambda=1$. This completes the proof.
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[^0]:    *) Following Chacon [2], we say that for a positive number $\varepsilon$ and for a measurable set $A$, a function $f$ is constant within $\varepsilon$ on $A$ if there exist a constant $c$ and a measurable subset $E$ of $A$ such that $|f(z)-c| \leqq \varepsilon$ on $E$ and such that $\mu(E) \geqq(1-\varepsilon) \mu(A)$. Let $\mathfrak{U}=\left\{A_{1}, \cdots, A_{n}\right\}$ be a class of pairwise disjoint measurable sets. We say that a function $f$ is simple within $\varepsilon$ on $\mathfrak{N}$ if it is constant within $\varepsilon$ on each $A_{k}, 1 \leqq k \leqq n$ (the $n$ constants are not necessarily equal).

