

41. On Axiom Systems of Ontology. II

By Shôtarô TANAKA

(Comm. by Kinjirô KUNUGI, M. J. A., Feb. 12, 1971)

It is well known that Leśniewski's original system of Ontology has the form of the following single axiom [1], [2].

T. $a \in b = [\exists c] \{c \in a\} \wedge [c] \{c \in a \supset c \in b\} \wedge [cd] \{c \in a \wedge d \in a \supset c \in d\}$.

It is mentioned that the following four theses are inferentially equivalent to {A1, A2, A3, A4} by C. Lejewski [1].

A 1. $a \in b \supset [\exists c] \{c \in a\}$

A 2. $(a \in b \wedge c \in a) \supset c \in b$

A 3. $a \in b \wedge c \in a \wedge d \in a \supset c \in d$

A 4. $c \in a \wedge [d] \{d \in a \supset d \in b\} \wedge [de] \{d \in a \wedge e \in a \supset d \in e\} \supset a \in b$

In this paper, we shall prove that T and {A1, A2, A3, A4} are equivalent. Furthermore, we shall prove that A1 and A2 alone can serve as axiom system of Ontology.

Lemma 1. T implies A1, A2, A3 and A4.

The proof will be given in the form of suppositional proofs [1], [2].

T 1=A 1. $a \in b \supset [\exists c] \{c \in a\}$ (T)

T 2=A 2. $a \in b \wedge c \in a \supset c \in b$

Proof. 1 $a \in b$ } (premise)
 2 $c \in a \supset$
 3 $[c] \{c \in a \supset c \in b\}$ (T, 1)
 4 $c \in a \supset c \in b$ (OΠ : 3)
 $c \in b$ (4, 2)

T 3=A 4. $c \in a \wedge [d] \{d \in a \supset d \in b\} \wedge [de] \{d \in a \wedge e \in a \supset d \in e\} \supset a \in b$

Proof. 1 $c \in a$ } (premise)
 2 $[d] \{d \in a \supset d \in b\}$
 3 $[de] \{d \in a \wedge e \in a \supset d \in e\} \supset$
 4 $[\exists c] \{c \in a\}$ (DΣ : 1)
 $a \in b$ (T, 4, 2, 3)

D 1. $x \in a * b \equiv x \in a \wedge b \in x$ (rule of adding definition)

T 4=A 3. $a \in b \wedge c \in a \wedge d \in a \supset c \in d$

Proof. 1 $a \in b$ } (premise)
 2 $c \in a$
 3 $d \in a \supset$
 4 $a \in b * c$ (1, 2, D1)
 5 $d \in b * c$ (3, 4, T2)
 $c \in d$ (D1, 5)

Lemma 2. A1, A2, A3 and A4 imply T.

$$\text{AT 1. } a \in b \supset [\exists c] \{c \in a\}$$

Proof. 1 $a \in b \supset$ (premise)
 $[\exists c] \{c \in a\}$ (A1, 1)

$$\text{AT 2. } a \in b \supset [c] \{c \in a \supset c \in b\}$$

Proof. 1 $a \in b$ (premise)
2 $a \in b \wedge c \in a \supset c \in b$ (A2)
3 $a \in b \supset (c \in a \supset c \in b)$ (2)
4 $c \in a \supset c \in b$ (3, 1)
 $[c] \{c \in a \supset c \in b\}$ (DII : 4)

$$\text{AT 3. } a \in b \supset [cd] \{c \in a \wedge d \in a \supset c \in d\}$$

Proof. 1 $a \in b \supset$ (premise)
2 $a \in b \wedge c \in a \wedge d \in a \supset c \in d$ (A3)
3 $c \in a \wedge d \in a \supset c \in d$ (2, 1)
 $[cd] \{c \in a \wedge d \in a \supset c \in d\}$ (DII : 3)

$$\text{AT 4. } a \in b \supset [\exists c] \{c \in a\} \wedge [c] \{c \in a \supset c \in b\}$$

$\wedge [cd] \{c \in a \wedge d \in a \supset c \in d\}$ (AT1, AT2, AT3)

$$\text{AT 5. } [\exists c] \{c \in a\} \wedge [c] \{c \in a \supset c \in b\} \wedge [cd] \{c \in a \wedge d \in a \supset c \in d\} \supset a \in b$$

Proof. 1 $[\exists c] \{c \in a\}$
2 $[c] \{c \in a \supset c \in b\}$
3 $[cd] \{c \in a \wedge d \in a \supset c \in d\} \supset$ } (premise)
4 $c_1 \in a$ (OΣ : 1)
5 $c_1 \in a \wedge [c] \{c \in a \supset c \in b\}$
 $\wedge [cd] \{c \in a \wedge d \in a \supset c \in d\} \supset a \in b$ (A4)
 $a \in b$ (5, 4, 2, 3)

$$\text{AT 6=T. } a \in b \equiv [\exists c] \{c \in a\} \wedge [c] \{c \in a \supset c \in b\}$$

$\wedge [cd] \{c \in a \wedge d \in a \supset c \in d\}$ (AT4, AT5)

Theorem 1. T is equivalent to {A1, A2, A3, A4}.

The proof is clear from Lemma 1 and Lemma 2.

Lemma 3. A2 implies A3.

The lemma has been showed by Tarski in 1912, see Slupecki [2].

Lemma 4. A1 and A2 imply A4.

We shall use the extensionality rule:

$$\text{ER1. } [x] \{x \in X \equiv x \in Y\} \supset [\varphi] \{\varphi(X) \equiv \varphi(Y)\}.$$

Let D2 be the definition:

$$\text{D 2. } \psi \langle X \rangle(x) \equiv x \in X$$

Proof. 1 $c \in a$
2 $[d] \{d \in a \supset d \in b\}$
3 $[de] \{d \in a \wedge e \in a \supset d \in e\}$ } (premise)
4 $[\exists f] \{f \in c\}$ (A1, 1)
5 $f_1 \in c$ (OΣ : 4)
6 $f_1 \in a$ (A2, 1, 5)

7	$f_1 \in b$	(2, 6)
8	$x \in f_1 \supset x \in a$	(A2, 6)
9	$x \in a \supset x \in f_1$	(3, 6)
10	$x \in f_1 \equiv x \in a$	(8, 9)
11	$[x]\{x \in f_1 \equiv x \in a\}$	(DII : 10)
12	$[\varphi]\{\varphi(f_1) \equiv \varphi(a)\}$	(ER1, 11)
13	$\psi \langle b \rangle(f_1) \equiv \psi \langle b \rangle(a)$	(OII : 12)
14	$\psi \langle b \rangle(f_1)$	(D2, 7)
15	$\psi \langle b \rangle(a)$	(13, 14)
	$a \in b$	(D2, 15)

Therefore A4 is proved.

Theorem 2. *A1 and A2 alone can serve as an axiom system of Ontology.*

It is seen from Lemma 3 and Lemma 4 that A1 and A2 imply A3 and A4. T is equivalent to {A1, A2, A3, A4} from Theorem 1. Hence the proof is complete.

References

- [1] C. Lejewski: On Leśniewski's ontology. *Ratio*, **1**, 150–176 (1958).
- [2] J. Słupecki: S. Leśniewski's calculus of names. *Studia Logica (Warszawa)*, **3**, 7–65 (1955).