

101. A Remark on Perturbation of m -accretive Operators in Banach Space

By Yoshio KONISHI

Department of Mathematics, University of Tokyo

(Comm. by Kunihiko KODAIRA, M. J. A., May 12, 1971)

1. Introduction. Let X be a real Banach space with the norm denoted by $\|\cdot\|$. By definition a (possibly) multiple-valued operator A in X is *accretive* if for each $\lambda > 0$ and $u, v \in D(A)$,

$$\|x - y\| \geq \|u - v\| \quad \text{whenever } x \in (I + \lambda A)u, y \in (I + \lambda A)v.$$

An accretive operator A in X is said to be *m-accretive* if $R(I + A) = X$. For the notion of "multiple-valued" operator, we refer to T. Kato [6], § 2.

The purpose of the present paper is to give a criterion for the m -accretiveness of the sum of two m -accretive operators in X and then apply it to a certain nonlinear partial differential equation. Our result may be considered to constitute an analogue of the result of H. Brezis, M. G. Crandall and A. Pazy [1] for perturbation of maximal monotone sets.

2. A perturbation lemma. Let A and B be m -accretive operators in X . As usual we define the *Yosida approximation* $B_\varepsilon (\varepsilon > 0)$ of B by

$$B_\varepsilon = \varepsilon^{-1}\{I - (I + \varepsilon B)^{-1}\},$$

which is a single-valued Lipschitz continuous operator defined on all of X . It is easily seen that $A + B_\varepsilon$ is again m -accretive and accordingly that for each $f \in X$ there exists a unique solution $u_\varepsilon \in D(A)$ of the equation

$$(2.1) \quad u_\varepsilon + y_\varepsilon + B_\varepsilon u_\varepsilon = f, \quad y_\varepsilon \in Au_\varepsilon.$$

Lemma 1. *Assume that X is a real Banach space with the uniformly convex dual space X^* and that A and B are m -accretive operators in X such that $D(A) \cap D(B) \ni 0$. If for each fixed $f \in X$ $\|B_\varepsilon u_\varepsilon\|$ in (2.1) is bounded as ε tends to zero, then $A + B$ is m -accretive.*

We notice that if X^* is uniformly convex, the duality map F defined as

$$Fu = \{u^* \in X^*; (u, u^*) = \|u\|^2 = \|u^*\|^2\}, \quad u \in X,$$

is single-valued and is uniformly continuous on any bounded set (T. Kato [5]).

Proof of Lemma 1. The argument of the proof is standard (see Y. Kōmura [7] and T. Kato [5,6]). Since $D(A + B) \ni 0$, there is no loss

of generality in assuming that $(A + B)0 \ni 0$ and we shall henceforth assume this. For each fixed $f \in X$, there exists a sequence $\{\varepsilon_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ such that $\varepsilon_n \downarrow 0$ and

$$(2.2) \quad w\text{-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} B_{\varepsilon_n} u_{\varepsilon_n} = z_0$$

exists in X . Since $\|u_{\varepsilon_n} - u_{\varepsilon_m}\| \leq 2\|f\|$ and

$$\|(u_{\varepsilon_n} - u_{\varepsilon_m}) - \{(I + \varepsilon_n B)^{-1} u_{\varepsilon_n} - (I + \varepsilon_m B)^{-1} u_{\varepsilon_m}\}\| \leq (\varepsilon_n + \varepsilon_m) \cdot \sup_{v \geq 1} \|B_{\varepsilon_v} u_{\varepsilon_v}\|$$

for $n, m = 1, 2, \dots$, we have

$$\lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} \|F(u_{\varepsilon_n} - u_{\varepsilon_m}) - F((I + \varepsilon_n B)^{-1} u_{\varepsilon_n} - (I + \varepsilon_m B)^{-1} u_{\varepsilon_m})\| = 0.$$

On the other hand, by the accretiveness of A and B , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_{\varepsilon_n} - u_{\varepsilon_m}\|^2 &= (u_{\varepsilon_n} - u_{\varepsilon_m}, F(u_{\varepsilon_n} - u_{\varepsilon_m})) \\ &\leq -(B_{\varepsilon_n} u_{\varepsilon_n} - B_{\varepsilon_m} u_{\varepsilon_m}, F(u_{\varepsilon_n} - u_{\varepsilon_m})) \\ &\leq -(B_{\varepsilon_n} u_{\varepsilon_n} - B_{\varepsilon_m} u_{\varepsilon_m}, F(u_{\varepsilon_n} - u_{\varepsilon_m}) \\ &\quad - F((I + \varepsilon_n B)^{-1} u_{\varepsilon_n} - (I + \varepsilon_m B)^{-1} u_{\varepsilon_m})) \end{aligned}$$

for $n, m = 1, 2, \dots$, which implies that $\lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} \|u_{\varepsilon_n} - u_{\varepsilon_m}\| = 0$. Hence we set

$$(2.3) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u_{\varepsilon_n} = u_0.$$

In view of (2.1), (2.2) and (2.3), we obtain by Lemma 3.7 (a) and Lemma 4.5 in T. Kato [6] that $f \in R(I + A + B)$. Q.E.D.

3. Example. We denote by Ω a bounded domain in R^n with smooth boundary $\partial\Omega$, by $\mathcal{D}(\Omega)$ the Schwartz space and by $W_0^{k,p}(\Omega)$, $W^{k,p}(\Omega)$ the Sobolev spaces. Let β be an m-accretive operator in R such that $D(\beta) \ni 0$. We introduce the following m-accretive operator $\bar{\beta}$ in $L^p(\Omega)$:

$$\begin{aligned} D(\bar{\beta}) &= \{u \in L^p(\Omega); \text{ for some } v \in L^p(\Omega), v(x) \in \beta(u(x)) \text{ a.e. in } \Omega\}, \\ \bar{\beta}(u) &= \{v \in L^p(\Omega); v(x) \in \beta(u(x)) \text{ a.e. in } \Omega\} \text{ for } u \in D(\bar{\beta}). \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 2. We assume that $1 < p < +\infty$ and define an operator A in $L^p(\Omega)$ by

$$\begin{aligned} D(A) &= W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \cap W^{2,p}(\Omega) \cap D(\bar{\beta}), \\ Au &= -\Delta u + \bar{\beta}(u) \text{ for } u \in (A). \end{aligned}$$

Then A is m-accretive.

It is well known that $-\Delta$ with the domain $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \cap W^{2,p}(\Omega)$ is m-accretive in $L^p(\Omega)$.

Proof of Theorem 2. As in the proof of Lemma 1 we can assume that $\beta(0) \ni 0$. Let f be an arbitrary element of $L^p(\Omega)$ and let u_ε ($\varepsilon > 0$) be the unique solution of the equation: $u_\varepsilon - \Delta u_\varepsilon + \bar{\beta}_\varepsilon(u_\varepsilon) = f$. Then

$$(3.1) \quad (u_\varepsilon, F(\bar{\beta}_\varepsilon(u_\varepsilon))) + (-\Delta u_\varepsilon, F(\bar{\beta}_\varepsilon(u_\varepsilon))) + \|\bar{\beta}_\varepsilon(u_\varepsilon)\|^2 \leq \|f\| \cdot \|\bar{\beta}_\varepsilon(u_\varepsilon)\|.$$

Since $F(v) = v|v|^{p-2}/\|v\|^{p-2}$ for $v \in L^p(\Omega) \setminus \{0\}$, we have

$$(3.2) \quad (u_\varepsilon, F(\bar{\beta}_\varepsilon(u_\varepsilon))) \geq 0.$$

Next we shall show that

$$(3.3) \quad (-\Delta u_\varepsilon, F(\bar{\beta}_\varepsilon(u_\varepsilon))) \geq 0.$$

Let $\{u_i^{(N)}\}_{N \geq 1} \subset \mathcal{D}(\Omega)$ be a sequence such that $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \|u_i^{(N)} - u_i\| = 0$ and define a sequence $\{\varphi_{(\nu)}\}_{\nu \geq 1}$ of monotone non-decreasing Lipschitz continuous functions on R by the following:

- (i) If $2 \leq p < +\infty$, $\varphi_{(\nu)}(s) = s|s|^{p-2} \quad (s \in R).$
- (ii) If $1 < p < 2$, $\varphi_{(\nu)}(s) = \begin{cases} s/|\nu|^{p-2} & (|s| \leq 1/\nu) \\ s|s|^{p-2} & (|s| > 1/\nu). \end{cases}$

Then we can easily obtain that

$$(-\Delta(I - \lambda\Delta)^{-1}u_i^{(N)}, \bar{\varphi}_{(\nu)}(\bar{\beta}_\varepsilon((I - \lambda\Delta)^{-1}u_i^{(N)}))) \geq 0 \quad \text{for each } \lambda > 0.$$

Letting ν tend to infinity, we have by Lebesgue's theorem that

$$(-\Delta(I - \lambda\Delta)^{-1}u_i^{(N)}, F(\bar{\beta}_\varepsilon((I - \lambda\Delta)^{-1}u_i^{(N)}))) \geq 0 \quad \text{for each } \lambda > 0.$$

Letting N tend to infinity and then λ to zero, we obtain (3.3) since F is continuous. In view of (3.1), (3.2) and (3.3), we have $\|\bar{\beta}_\varepsilon(u_i)\| \leq \|f\|$. Therefore by Lemma 1 A is m -accretive. Q.E.D.

Remark. (i) A is also "T-accretive" (B. Calvert [2]): $-A$ is "dispersive (s)" (Y. Konishi [8, 9]). (ii) Moreover $-A$ satisfies $D_3(e, 0, +\infty)$ for any non-negative constant function e (K. Sato [10]): If we set $\varphi_\varepsilon(f, g) = \lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \varepsilon^{-1}(\|(f - e + \varepsilon g)^+\| - \|(f - e)^+\|)$ for $f, g \in L^p(\Omega)$, then for every $u, v \in D(A)$ with $(u - v - e)^+ \neq 0$,

$$\varphi_\varepsilon(u - v, x - y) \leq 0 \quad \text{whenever } x \in -Au, y \in -Av.$$

(iii) If $\beta(0) \ni 0$, $-A|_{L^p(\Omega)^+} \in K_L(L^p(\Omega)^+)$ (G. Da Prato [4]); where $L^p(\Omega)^+$ is the cone of all non-negative elements of $L^p(\Omega)$.

Applying Theorem 7.1 in T. Kato [6] and Theorem B in Y. Konishi [8] to the operator A in Theorem 2, we have

Corollary 3. Assume that $1 < p < +\infty$ and $u_0 \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \cap W^{2,p}(\Omega) \cap D(\bar{\beta})$. Then the equation

$$(3.4) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 &\in \partial u / \partial t - \Delta u + \bar{\beta}(u) && \text{in } \Omega \times (0, +\infty) \\ u(x, t) &= 0 && \text{on } \partial\Omega \times (0, +\infty) \\ u(x, 0) &= u_0(x) && \text{in } \Omega \end{aligned}$$

has a unique solution $u(x, t) \in C(0, +\infty; L^p(\Omega))$ such that $u(x, t) \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \cap W^{2,p}(\Omega) \cap D(\bar{\beta})$ for every fixed $t \geq 0$ and $\partial u / \partial t \in L^\infty(0, +\infty; L^p(\Omega))$. Moreover if u_1 and u_2 are solutions of (3.4) such that $u_1(x, 0) \geq u_2(x, 0)$ a.e. in Ω , then $u_1(x, t) \geq u_2(x, t)$ a.e. in Ω for every fixed $t \geq 0$.

Acknowledgement. The author wishes to express his cordial thanks to Professor S. Itô and Professor H. Fujita for their kind advices.

References

- [1] H. Brezis, M. G. Crandall, and A. Pazy: Perturbations of nonlinear maximal monotone sets in Banach space. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, **23**, 123-144 (1970).
- [2] B. Calvert: Nonlinear evolution equations in Banach lattices. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **76**, 845-850 (1970).

- [3] M. G. Crandall and T. M. Liggett: Generation of semi-groups of nonlinear transformations on general Banach spaces (to appear in *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*).
- [4] G. Da Prato: Somme d'applications non linéaires dans des cônes et équations d'évolutions dans des espaces d'opérateurs. *J. Math. pures et appl.*, **49**, 289–348 (1970).
- [5] T. Kato: Nonlinear semigroups and evolution equations. *J. Math. Soc. Japan*, **19**, 508–520 (1967).
- [6] —: Accretive operators and nonlinear evolution equations in Banach spaces. *Proc. Symp. in Pure Math.*, **18**, 138–161 (1970).
- [7] Y. Kōmura: Nonlinear semi-groups in Hilbert space. *J. Math. Soc. Japan*, **19**, 493–507 (1967).
- [8] Y. Konishi: Nonlinear semi-groups in Banach lattices. *Proc. Japan Acad.*, **47**, 24–28 (1971).
- [9] —: Nonlinear dispersive operators in Banach lattices (in preparation).
- [10] K. Sato: A note on nonlinear dispersive operators (to appear).