

135. A Note on Distributive Sublattices of a Lattice

By Saburo TAMURA
Yamaguchi University

(Comm. by Kenjiro SHODA, M. J. A., Sept. 13, 1971)

In [1], B. Jónsson gave a necessary and sufficient condition for a subset of a modular lattice to generate a distributive lattice. R. Balbes proved Jónsson's theorem without using Zorn's lemma in [2]. In [3], we gave a necessary and sufficient condition that the sublattice generated by a subset H of a lattice should be distributive. In this note we prove this theorem without using Zorn's lemma. And then the condition for the case of $H = \{x, y, z\}$ is expressed by seven lattice polynomial equations.

§1. The finite join of elements in H is called a \cup -element. The set of all \cup -elements is denoted by H_{\cup} and dually the set of all \cap -elements by H_{\cap} . The finite join of elements in H_{\cap} is called a $\cup\cap$ -element. The set of all $\cup\cap$ -elements is denoted by $H_{\cup\cap}$ and dually the set of all $\cap\cup$ -elements by $H_{\cap\cup}$.

Two modular laws will be expressed by

$$\mu: (a \cap c) \cup (b \cap c) = ((a \cap c) \cup b) \cap c, \text{ and}$$

$$\mu^*: (a \cup c) \cap (b \cup c) = ((a \cup c) \cap b) \cup c.$$

Four distributive laws will be expressed by

$$\delta: (a \cap c) \cup (b \cap c) = (a \cup b) \cap c,$$

$$\delta^*: (a \cup c) \cap (b \cup c) = (a \cap b) \cup c,$$

$$\Delta: \bigcup_{i=1}^m (x_i \cap y) = (\bigcup_{i=1}^m x_i) \cap y, \text{ and}$$

$$\Delta^*: \bigcap_{i=1}^m (x_i \cup y) = (\bigcap_{i=1}^m x_i) \cup y.$$

Theorem 1. *Let H be a nonempty subset of a lattice L . In order for the sublattice of L generated by H to be distributive, it is necessary and sufficient that*

Δ holds for any $x_1, \dots, x_m \in H$ and any $y \in H_{\cap}$,

μ holds for any $a \in H_{\cap}$ and any $b, c \in H_{\cup\cap}$, and

μ^* holds for any $b \in H_{\cap}$ and any $a, c \in H_{\cup\cap}$.

Proof. The modular laws used in the proof of [2] are only those laws mentioned above.

Corollary 2. *Let $\langle H \rangle$ be the sublattice generated by a nonempty subset H of a lattice. The following four statements are equivalent.*

(i) $\langle H \rangle$ is distributive.

(ii) δ holds for any $a, b, c \in H_{\cup\cap}$.

(iii) Δ holds for any $x_1, \dots, x_m \in H$ and any $y \in H_{\cap}$, and

μ^* holds for any $a, b, c \in H_{\cup\cap}$.

- (iv) Δ holds for any $x_1, \dots, x_m \in H$ and any $y \in H_\cap$,
 μ holds for any $a \in H_\cap$ and any $b, c \in H_{\cup\cap}$, and
 μ^* holds for any $b \in H_\cap$ and any $a, c \in H_{\cup\cap}$.

§ 2. Each of the following six nonselfdual distributive laws is called δ -law.

$$\delta(1): x \cap (y \cup z) = (x \cap y) \cup (x \cap z).$$

$$\delta(2): y \cap (z \cup x) = (y \cap z) \cup (y \cap x).$$

$$\delta(3): z \cap (x \cup y) = (z \cap x) \cup (z \cap y).$$

$$\delta^*(1): x \cup (y \cap z) = (x \cup y) \cap (x \cup z).$$

$$\delta^*(2): y \cup (z \cap x) = (y \cup z) \cap (y \cup x).$$

$$\delta^*(3): z \cup (x \cap y) = (z \cup x) \cap (z \cup y).$$

Each of the following twelve nonselfdual modular laws is called μ -law.

$$\mu(1): x \cap (y \cup (x \cap z)) = (x \cap y) \cup (x \cap z).$$

$$\mu(1'): x \cap (z \cup (x \cap y)) = (x \cap z) \cup (x \cap y).$$

$$\mu(2): y \cap (z \cup (y \cap x)) = (y \cap z) \cup (y \cap x).$$

$$\mu(2'): y \cap (x \cup (y \cap z)) = (y \cap x) \cup (y \cap z).$$

$$\mu(3): z \cap (x \cup (z \cap y)) = (z \cap x) \cup (z \cap y).$$

$$\mu(3'): z \cap (y \cup (z \cap x)) = (z \cap y) \cup (z \cap x).$$

$$\mu^*(1): x \cup (y \cap (x \cup z)) = (x \cup y) \cap (x \cup z).$$

$$\mu^*(1'): x \cup (z \cap (x \cup y)) = (x \cup z) \cap (x \cup y).$$

$$\mu^*(2): y \cup (z \cap (y \cup x)) = (y \cup z) \cap (y \cup x).$$

$$\mu^*(2'): y \cup (x \cap (y \cup z)) = (y \cup x) \cap (y \cup z).$$

$$\mu^*(3): z \cup (x \cap (z \cup y)) = (z \cup x) \cap (z \cup y).$$

$$\mu^*(3'): z \cup (y \cap (z \cup x)) = (z \cup y) \cap (z \cup x).$$

The following selfdual distributive law is called D -law.

$$D: (y \cap z) \cup (z \cap x) \cup (x \cap y) = (y \cup z) \cap (z \cup x) \cap (x \cup y).$$

Each of the following three selfdual modular laws is called M -law.

$$M(1): (y \cap z) \cup (x \cap (y \cup z)) = ((y \cap z) \cup x) \cap (y \cup z).$$

$$M(2): (z \cap x) \cup (y \cap (z \cup x)) = ((z \cap x) \cup y) \cap (z \cup x).$$

$$M(3): (x \cap y) \cup (z \cap (x \cup y)) = ((x \cap y) \cup z) \cap (x \cup y).$$

Lemma 3. *Let L be a lattice and $x, y, z \in L$. Assume seven lattice polynomial equations $\delta(1)$, $\mu^*(3)$, $\mu(2)$, $\mu^*(1)$, $\mu(3)$, $\mu^*(2)$, and $M(1)$. Then all δ -laws, μ -laws, D -law and M -laws are asserted.*

Proof. $\delta(1)$ and $\mu^*(3)$ imply $\delta^*(3)$.

$$(z \cup x) \cap (z \cup y)$$

$$= z \cup (x \cap (z \cup y)) \quad \dots \quad (\text{By } \mu^*(3))$$

$$= z \cup (x \cap z) \cup (x \cap y) \quad \dots \quad (\text{By } \delta(1))$$

$$= z \cup (x \cap y).$$

We shall denote this fact, as follows,

$$\delta(1) \xrightarrow{\mu^*(3)} \delta^*(3).$$

Using this notation, we shall have the following sequence of the proof.

$$\delta(1) \xrightarrow{\mu^*(3)} \delta^*(3) \xrightarrow{\mu(2)} \delta(2) \xrightarrow{\mu^*(1)} \delta^*(1) \xrightarrow{\mu(3)} \delta(3) \xrightarrow{\mu^*(2)} \delta^*(2).$$

Thus we have all δ -laws.

$\delta(1)$ and $\delta^*(2)$ imply $\mu(1)$.

$$\begin{aligned} x \cap (y \cup (x \cap z)) &= x \cap (y \cup x) \cap (y \cup z) \quad \dots\dots && \text{(By } \delta^*(2)) \\ &= x \cap (y \cup z) \\ &= (x \cap y) \cup (x \cap z) \quad \dots\dots && \text{(By } \delta(1)) \end{aligned}$$

Similarly all μ -laws are proved by using two δ -laws.

$\delta(1)$, $\delta^*(1)$ and M(1) imply D.

$$\begin{aligned} (y \cap z) \cup (z \cap x) \cup (x \cap y) &= (y \cap z) \cup (x \cap (y \cup z)) \quad \dots\dots && \text{(By } \delta(1)) \\ &= ((y \cap z) \cup x) \cap (x \cup z) \quad \dots\dots && \text{(By M(1))} \\ &= (y \cup z) \cap (z \cup x) \cap (x \cup y) \quad \dots\dots && \text{(By } \delta^*(1)) \end{aligned}$$

$\delta(2)$, $\delta^*(2)$ and D imply M(2).

$$\begin{aligned} (z \cap x) \cup (y \cap (z \cup x)) &= (z \cap x) \cup (y \cap z) \cup (y \cap x) \quad \dots\dots && \text{(By } \delta(2)) \\ &= (z \cup x) \cap (y \cup z) \cap (y \cup x) \quad \dots\dots && \text{(By D)} \\ &= ((z \cap x) \cup y) \cap (z \cup x) \quad \dots\dots && \text{(By } \delta^*(2)) \end{aligned}$$

Similarly M(3) is provable.

Theorem 4. *Suppose L is a lattice and $x, y, z \in L$. In order for the sublattice of L generated by the set $\{x, y, z\}$ to be distributive it is necessary and sufficient that*

$$\begin{aligned} x \cap (y \cup z) &= (x \cap y) \cup (x \cap z), \\ z \cup (x \cap (z \cup y)) &= (z \cup x) \cap (z \cup y), \\ y \cap (z \cup (y \cap x)) &= (y \cap z) \cup (y \cap x), \\ x \cup (y \cap (x \cup z)) &= (x \cup y) \cap (x \cup z), \\ z \cap (x \cup (z \cap y)) &= (z \cap x) \cup (z \cap y), \\ y \cup (z \cap (y \cup x)) &= (y \cup z) \cap (y \cup x), \text{ and} \\ (y \cap z) \cup (x \cap (y \cup z)) &= ((y \cap z) \cup x) \cap (y \cup z). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. We can prove it tediously but easily by Theorem 1 and Lemma 3.

References

[1] B. Jónsson: Distributive sublattices of a modular lattice. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **6**, 682-688 (1955).
 [2] R. Balbes: A note on distributive sublattices of a modular lattice. Fundamenta Mathematicae, **65**, 219-222 (1969).
 [3] S. Tamura: On distributive sublattices of a lattice. Proc. Japan Acad., **47**, 442-446 (1971).