51. A Note on the Dilation Theorems

By Hiroshi TAKAI and Hiroaki YAMADA Department of Mathematics, Osaka Kyoiku University

(Comm. by Kinjirô KUNUGI, M. J. A., April 12, 1972)

1. Introduction. In the recent decade, the so-called harmonic analysis of operators grew rapidly by the works mainly due to Sz. Nagy's school, cf. [5]. The main tool in their investigations is the following strong dilation theorem due to Sz. Nagy:

Theorem A. If T is a contraction acting on a Hilbert space S, then there is a unitary U acting on a Hilbert space R including S as a subspace such that

(1) $T^n = PU^n | \mathfrak{H}$ $(n=0, 1, 2, \cdots),$ where P is the projection of \mathfrak{R} onto \mathfrak{H} .

By the importance of the theorem, several proofs are given, cf. [5; Chapter I]. Some of them are based on the following general dilation theorems due to Naimark, cf. [3], [5].

Theorem B. If F(A) is a positive operator-valued measure defined on a σ -field \mathfrak{B} of sets and F(A) acts on \mathfrak{H} , then there is a spectral measure E(A) of \mathfrak{B} acting on \mathfrak{K} including \mathfrak{H} such that

(2)
$$F(A) = PE(A) | \mathfrak{H} \quad (A \in \mathfrak{B}).$$

Theorem C. If V(g) is an operator-valued positive definite function defined on a group G and V(g) acts on \mathfrak{H} , then there is a unitary representation U(g) of G on \mathfrak{R} including \mathfrak{H} such that

$$(3) V(g) = PU(g) | \mathfrak{H} (g \in G).$$

However, there is an another general dilation theorem due to Stinespring [4] and Umegaki [6] which receives less attentions:

Theorem D. If V(a) is a completely positive (or positive definite in the sense of [6]) linear mapping of a *-algebra \mathcal{A} into $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{H})$, the algebra of all (bounded linear) operators acting on \mathfrak{H} , then there is a *-homomorphism $\Phi(a)$ of \mathcal{A} into $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{R})$ where \mathfrak{R} includes \mathfrak{H} and Φ satisfies

(4)
$$V(a) = P\Phi(a) \mid \mathfrak{H} \quad (a \in \mathcal{A}).$$

It seems to the authors that there is no literature which gives a proof that Theorem D implies Theorem A. In §2, we shall give some theorems proofs.

Umegaki [6] pointed out that Theorem C implies Theorem D if \mathcal{A} is the group algebra of a locally compact group G. The converse of this implication obviously follows from

Dilation Theorems

(5)
$$V(a) = \int_G a(g)V(g)dg \qquad (a \in L^1(G)).$$

Hence, Theorems C and D are equivalent if G is locally compact.

In §3, we shall show that Theorems B and D are equivalent if \mathcal{A} is abelian by the help of the following theorem due to Stinespring [4]:

Theorem E. If \mathcal{A} is abelian, then the complete positivity of V coincides with the usual positivity.

2. Implication. Here we shall show

Theorem 1. Theorem D implies Theorem A.

Let \mathcal{A} be the algebra of all (complex valued) functions on $[0, 2\pi]$ with absolutely summable Fourier coefficients; the multiplication of \mathcal{A} is the convolution, *-operation is given by

$$f^*(\theta) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \alpha_{-n}^* e^{in\theta}$$

and the norm is given by

$$||f|| = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} |\alpha_n|,$$

for

$$f(\theta) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \alpha_n e^{in\theta}.$$

Obviously \mathcal{A} is isometrically isomorphic to the group algebra $l^{l}(Z)$ of the group Z of all integers.

Let T be a contraction on §. Then we can define a linear map V of \mathcal{A} into $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{H})$ by

(6)
$$V(f) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \alpha_n T^{(n)}$$
 for $f = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \alpha_n e^{in\theta} \in \mathcal{A}$,

where

(7)
$$T^{(n)} = \begin{cases} T^n & (n>0) \\ I & (n=0) \\ T^{*|n|}(n<0) \end{cases}$$

For any positive element $f \in \mathcal{A}$ and $x \in \mathfrak{H}$, we have

$$(V(f)x | x) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \alpha_n (T^{(n)}x | x) = \alpha_0 ||x||^2 + 2 \operatorname{Re} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n (T^n x | x).$$

By the theorem of Herglotz-Bochner, we have

$$\alpha_n = \int_0^{2\pi} e^{in\theta} d\mu(\theta) \qquad (n \ge 1),$$

so that we have

(8)
$$(V(f)x|x) = ||x||^2 + 2 \operatorname{Re} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (T^n x|x) \int_0^{2\pi} e^{in\theta} d\mu(\theta).$$

Now, we shall employ at technique due to Foias [2]: For every complex number z with $0 \le |z| < 1$, we have

$$\operatorname{Re} \left[I + 2\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (zT)^n\right] = \operatorname{Re} (I + zT)(I - zT)^{-1} \ge 0,$$

so that we have

$$\|x\|^{2} + 2 \operatorname{Re} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (T^{n}x | x) r^{n} e^{in\theta} \ge 0,$$

for $0 \leq r < 1$ and $0 \leq \theta \leq 2\pi$. Hence, integrating, we have

$$||x||^2 + 2 \operatorname{Re} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (T^n x | x) r^n \int_0^{2\pi} e^{in\theta} d\mu(\theta) \ge 0,$$

for every $0 \leq r < 1$. Tending r to 1, we have $V(f) \geq 0$ by (8).

We shall now utilize Theorem E. Although Theorem E is proved for C^* -algebras, we can modify the proof of Stinespring in our present setting. Hence we can conclude that V is positive definite.

Applying Theorem D, we have a *-homomorphism Φ of \mathcal{A} into $\mathcal{B}(\Re)$ such that $V(f) = P\Phi(f) | \mathfrak{H}$ for any $f \in \mathcal{A}$. Let us put $U = \Phi(e^{i\theta})$.

U is clearly a unitary operator acting on \Re , and we have (1).

Our second proof is similar to that of Sz. Nagy-Foiaş [5; p. 27f]. Let \mathcal{A} and V be as in the above. Let \mathcal{A}_0 be the set of all functions in \mathcal{A} whose coefficients vanish up to finite numbers. \mathcal{A}_0 is a *-subalgebra of \mathcal{A} . We shall try to prove directly the complete positiveness of V. For x_1, \dots, x_n and f_1, \dots, f_n , where

$$f_k(\theta) = \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \alpha_j^{(k)} e^{ij\theta} \in \mathcal{A}_0,$$

we have

$$egin{aligned} D &= \sum_{k,m=1}^n (V(f_m^* * f_k) x_k \,|\, x_m) \ &= \sum_{k,m=1}^n \sum_{s,t=-\infty}^\infty lpha_s^{(m)*} lpha_t^{(k)}(T^{(t-s)} x_k \,|\, x_m) \ &= \sum_{s,t=-\infty}^\infty (T^{(t-s)} y_t \,|\, y_s) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$y_t = \sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_t^{(k)} x_k.$$

(Replacing y_t by y_{t+c} if necessary, we may assume that $y_t=0$ for t<0). If we put

$$z_t \!=\! \sum_{t \leq s} T^{s-t} y_s,$$

then we have

$$D = \sum_{\substack{s_t, t \ge 0 \\ s_t, t \ge 0}} (T^{(t-s)}(z_t - Tz_{t+1}) | (z_s - Tz_{s+1}))$$

=
$$\sum_{\substack{s_t, t \ge 0 \\ s_t, t \ge 0}} (D(t, s)z_t | z_s),$$

where

$$D(t,s) = \begin{cases} I - T^*T & (t = s \ge 1) \\ I & (t = s = 0) \\ 0 & (\text{otherwise}). \end{cases}$$

Therefore we have

$$D = \|z_0\|^2 + \sum_{t \ge 1} ((I - T^*T)z_t | z_t) \ge 0$$

which proves that V is positive definite. The remainder of the proof as same as that of the first proof.

Our third proof is a variant of the second and essentially due to Foiaş [2]. In the second proof, we can change into the following:

$$D = \sum_{k,m=1}^{n} (V(f_m^* * f_k) x_k | x_m)$$

= $\sum_{s,t=0}^{\infty} (T^{(t-s)} y_t | y_s)$
= $\lim_{0 < r \uparrow 1} \sum_{s,t=0}^{\infty} r^{|t-s|} (T^{(t-s)} y_t | y_s)$
= $\lim_{0 < r \uparrow 1} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} p(r; \theta) d\theta \ge 0,$

where

$$p(r;\theta) = \operatorname{Re}\left((I + re^{i\theta})(I - re^{i\theta})^{-1} \sum_{t=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-it\theta} y_t \left| \sum_{s=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{is\theta} y_s \right| \right).$$

3. Equivalence. In this section, we shall show

Theorem 2. Theorems B and D are equivalent for abelian C^* -algebras.

Let us assume Theorem B. If \mathcal{A} is an abelian C^* -algebra and Vis a positive definite mapping of \mathcal{A} into $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{H})$. Then, by the Gelfand representation theorem, \mathcal{A} is *-isomorphic to the algebra C(X) of all continuous functions on a compact Hausdorff space X. Let \mathfrak{B} be the σ -field of Borel subsets of X. Then if we put $F_{\xi,\eta} = (V(f)\xi|\eta)$ we obtain a semi-spectral measure F(A) of \mathfrak{B} on \mathfrak{H} such that

$$F_{\xi,\eta}(f) = \int_{\mathcal{X}} f(x) d(F(x)\xi | \eta)$$

for $f \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\xi, \eta \in \mathfrak{H}$. Therefore by the hypothesis there exists a spectral measure E(A) of \mathfrak{B} on $\mathfrak{R} \supset \mathfrak{H}$ such that they satisfy (2).

Let us now define a linear map Φ of \mathcal{A} into $\mathcal{B}(\Re)$ by

(9)
$$\Phi(f) = \int_{\mathcal{X}} f(x) dE(x) \qquad (f \in \mathcal{A}),$$

then Φ is a *-homomorphism of \mathcal{A} on \Re since E(A) is a spectral measure, and we have (3) as desired.

Conversely, let \mathfrak{B} be a σ -field of sets and F(A) be a semi-spectral measure of \mathfrak{B} on \mathfrak{H} . Let \mathcal{A} be an abelian C^* -algebra generated by the characteristic functions of sets of \mathfrak{B} with the sup-norm. If we define a linear map V of \mathcal{A} into $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{H})$ by

(10)
$$V(f) = \int_{X} f(x) dF(x) \qquad (f \in \mathcal{A}),$$

then V is positive definite since F(A) is a semi-spectral measure. Therefore, by Theorem D, there is a *-homomorphism Φ of \mathcal{A} into $\mathcal{B}(\Re)$ where $\Re \supset \mathfrak{H}$ such that they satisfy (4).

By the spectral theorem, there is a spectral measure E(A) which

No. 4]

satisfies (9). Putting $f = \chi_A$, (4) implies (2), where χ_A is the characteristic function of $A \in \mathfrak{B}$.

4. Remark. In the final stage of the preparation of the present note, the authors are awared by Prof. H. Choda that a similar task for §2 is announced in a paper of Arveson [1]. We suppose that our proof is somewhat different by the use of $l^{l}(Z)$ which is a *-algebra but not a C^{*} -algebra.

References

- [1] W. B. Arveson: Subalgebras of C*-algebras. Acta Math., 123, 141-224 (1969).
- [2] C. Foiaş: La mesure Harmonique-spectrale et la théorie spectrale des opérateurs généraux d'un espace de Hilbert. Bull. Soc. Math. France, 85, 263-282 (1957).
- [3] M. A. Naimark: Normed Rings. Noordhoff, Groningen (1959).
- W. F. Stinespring: Positive functions on C*-algebras. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 6, 211-216 (1955).
- [5] B. Sz. Nagy and C. Foiaş: Harmonic Analysis of Operators on Hilbert Space. Akadémiai Kiadó, Budapest (1970).
- [6] H. Umegaki: Positive definite functions and direct product of Hilbert spaces. Tohoku Math. J., 7, 206-211 (1955).