## 12. A Characterization of Nonstandard Real Fields

By Shouro KASAHARA Kobe University

## (Comm. by Kinjirô KUNUGI, M. J. A., Jan. 12, 1974)

Throughout this note,  $(R, 0, 1, +, \cdot, \leq)$ , or simply R, denotes the ordered field of real numbers, and  $\hat{R}$  the union of all sets  $R_n$  defined inductively by  $R_0 = R$  and  $R_{n+1} = \mathcal{P}(\bigcup_{i=0}^n R_i)$   $(n=0,1,2,\cdots)$ , where  $\mathcal{P}(X)$  denotes the power set of X. Let  $\mathcal{U}$  be a  $\delta$ -incomplete ultrafilter on an infinite set I. A nonstandard real number is defined to be an individual of the ultrapower of  $\hat{R}$  with respect to  $\mathcal{U}$ , and the set \*R of all nonstandard real numbers to  $\mathcal{U}$ , and the set \*R of all nonstandard real numbers to  $\mathcal{R}^I$  of the mapping  $a \mapsto *a$  of  $\hat{R}$  into  $\hat{R}^I$  defined by \*a(t) = a for all  $t \in I$ , where = and  $\in$  in  $\hat{R}^I$  are defined for  $a, b \in \hat{R}^I$  as follows: a = b if and only if  $\{t \in I : a(t) = b(t)\} \in \mathcal{U}$ , and  $a \in b$  if and only if  $\{t \in I : a(t) \in b(t)\} \in \mathcal{U}$ . Then as is known\*',  $(*R, *0, *1, *+, *\cdot, *\leq)$  is a totally ordered field which will be referred in this note as the  $\mathcal{U}$ -nonstandard real field. Let I be a set. By nonstandard real field over I we mean a totally ordered field which is isomorphic to some  $\mathcal{U}$ -nonstandard real field for a  $\delta$ -incomplete ultrafilter  $\mathcal{U}$  on I.

The purpose of this note is to state a condition characterizing nonstandard real fields among totally ordered fields.

**Theorem 1.** A totally ordered field K is a nonstandard real field over a set I if and only if it is non-Archimedean and is a homomorphic image of  $R^{I}$ , the ring of all real valued functions on I with the pointwise addition and the pointwise multiplication.

This result offers of course an axiom system for a nonstandard real field: A nonstandard real field over a set I is defined to be any non-Archimedean totally ordered field K containing a complete Archimedean subfield  $R_0$  such that K is a homomorphic image of the ring  $R_0^{\ell}$ .

Let K be a totally ordered field. An element x of K is said to be infinitely large if a < x for every rational element  $a \in K$ . Let I be a set. For each real number a, let \*a denote the constant mapping on I defined by \*a(t)=a for all  $t \in I$ . The ordering  $\leq$  on the ring  $R^I$  is defined as follows:  $a \leq b$  if and only if  $a(t) \leq b(t)$  for all  $t \in I$ .

Proof of Theorem 1. It suffices to prove the "if" part. Let  $\varphi$  be the homomorphism of the ring  $R^I$  onto K, that is,  $\varphi$  is a mapping of  $R^I$  onto K such that  $\varphi(\mathbf{a}+\mathbf{b})=\varphi(\mathbf{a})+\varphi(\mathbf{b})$  and  $\varphi(\mathbf{a}\mathbf{b})=\varphi(\mathbf{a})\varphi(\mathbf{b})$  for all

<sup>\*)</sup> See for example, W. A. J. Luxemburg: What is nonstandard analysis. Amer. Math. Monthly, **80**, 38-67 (1973).

## S. KASAHARA

**a**,  $b \in R^{I}$ . Obviously  $\varphi(*0)=0$ ,  $\varphi(*1)=1$ , and  $\varphi(-a)=-\varphi(a)$  for every  $a \in R^{I}$ . Moreover, as can readily be seen,  $\varphi(a^{-1})=\varphi(a)^{-1}$  if  $a \in R^{I}$  is regular, i.e., if  $a(t)\neq 0$  for all  $t \in I$ . Hence  $\varphi(*a)\neq 0$  for every non-zero  $a \in R$ , and so the restriction of  $\varphi$  to the set  $R'=\{*a \in R^{I}: a \in R\}$  is an injection of R' onto  $\varphi(R')$ . It follows that  $\varphi(R')$  is a copy of the real number field R. On the other hand,  $a, b \in R^{I}$  and  $a \leq b$  imply  $\varphi(a) \leq \varphi(b)$ ; for, letting

$$\mathbf{c}(t) = \begin{cases} \sqrt{(\mathbf{b} - \mathbf{a})(t)} & \text{if } \mathbf{a}(t) < \mathbf{b}(t), \\ 0 & \text{if } \mathbf{a}(t) = \mathbf{b}(t), \end{cases}$$

we have  $\varphi(\boldsymbol{b}) - \varphi(\boldsymbol{a}) = \varphi(\boldsymbol{b} - \boldsymbol{a}) = \varphi(\boldsymbol{c})^2 > 0$  because  $\boldsymbol{b} - \boldsymbol{a} = \boldsymbol{c}^2$ .

Since K is non-Archimedean, there exists an infinitely large element  $x \in K$ . The surjectivity of  $\varphi$  ensures the existence of an  $x \in R^I$  with  $\varphi(x) = x$ . Now if  $a \in R$ , then we have  $\varphi(*a) < \varphi(x)$ , which implies, by what we have shown above, that  $x \le *a$  does not hold, or equivalently that a < x(t) for some  $t \in I$ . Thus I is an infinite set.

Let  $S^{\wedge}$  denote the characteristic function of  $S \subset I$ , that is  $S^{\wedge}(S) = \{1\}$  and  $S^{\wedge}(S^{\circ}) = \{0\}$ , where  $S^{\circ}$  is the complement of S in I. We shall prove that  $U = \{S \in \mathcal{P}(I) : \varphi(S^{\wedge}) = 1\}$  is a  $\delta$ -incomplete ultrafilter on I. Since  $\varphi(I^{\wedge}) = \varphi(*1) = 1$  and  $\varphi(\emptyset^{\wedge}) = \varphi(*0) = 0$ , we have  $U \neq \emptyset$  and  $\emptyset \notin U$ . If  $S, T \in U$ , then since  $(S \cap T)^{\wedge} = S^{\wedge} \cdot T^{\wedge}$ , we have  $\varphi((S \cap T)^{\wedge}) = \varphi(S^{\wedge} \cdot T^{\wedge}) = \varphi(S^{\wedge})\varphi(T^{\wedge}) = 1$ , and hence  $S \cap T \in U$ . If  $S \in U$  and  $S \subset T \subset I$ , then  $S^{\wedge} \leq T^{\wedge} \leq *1$ , and so we have  $1 = \varphi(S^{\wedge}) \leq \varphi(T^{\wedge}) \leq \varphi(*1) = 1$ , which shows that T is in U. Moreover let S be a subset of I. Then since

$$\varphi(S^{\wedge})\varphi(S^{c_{\wedge}}) = \varphi(S^{\wedge} \cdot S^{c_{\wedge}}) = \varphi(*0) = 0 \quad \text{and} \\ \varphi(S^{\wedge}) + \varphi(S^{c_{\wedge}}) = \varphi(S^{\wedge} + S^{c_{\wedge}}) = \varphi(*1) = 1,$$

it follows that one of  $\varphi(S^{\wedge})$ ,  $\varphi(S^{\circ\wedge})$  is 0 and the other is 1. Hence either  $S \in \mathcal{U}$  or  $S^{\circ} \in \mathcal{U}$ . Thus  $\mathcal{U}$  is an ultrafilter on *I*. To prove that  $\mathcal{U}$  is  $\delta$ -incomplete, let  $\mathbf{x}$  be an element of  $R^{I}$  such that  $\varphi(\mathbf{x})$  is infinitely large, and let  $S_{n} = \{t \in I : n \leq \mathbf{x}(t)\}$  for each positive integer n. Then since  $\mathbf{x} \cdot S_{n}^{\circ\wedge} \leq *n$ , we have

$$arphi(\mathbf{x}) = arphi(\mathbf{x})arphi(S_n^\wedge + S_n^{c_\wedge}) = arphi(\mathbf{x})arphi(S_n^\wedge) + arphi(\mathbf{x} \cdot S_n^{c_\wedge}) \ \leq arphi(\mathbf{x})arphi(S_n^\wedge) + arphi(*n) < arphi(\mathbf{x})arphi(S_n^\wedge) + arphi(\mathbf{x}),$$

and so we have  $0 < \varphi(\mathbf{x})\varphi(S_n^{\wedge})$ , which implies  $\varphi(S_n^{\wedge}) = 1$ . Hence  $S_n \in \mathcal{U}$  for every positive integer n. But then for each  $t \in I$ , there is a positive integer n such that  $\mathbf{x}(t) < n$ . This shows that the intersection of all  $S_n$ 's is empty. Thus  $\mathcal{U}$  is  $\delta$ -incomplete.

We shall now proceed to prove that the U-nonstandard real field  $(*R, *0, *1, *+*, *\leq)$  is isomorphic to K. Let  $x \in *R$ . Then there exists a unique  $f(x) \in K$  such that  $x_0 \in R^I$  and  $x_0 = x$  in  $\hat{R}^I$  imply  $\varphi(x_0) = f(x)$ . In fact, let

$$\mathbf{z}(t) = \begin{cases} \mathbf{x}(t) & \text{if } \mathbf{x}(t) \in R, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

and define  $f(\mathbf{x}) = \varphi(\mathbf{z})$ . If  $\mathbf{x}_0 \in \mathbb{R}^I$  and  $\mathbf{x}_0 = \mathbf{x}$  in  $\widehat{\mathbb{R}}^I$ , then the set  $S = \{t \in I : \mathbf{z}(t) = \mathbf{x}_0(t)\}$  contains the intersection of the sets  $\{t \in I : \mathbf{x}(t) \in \mathbb{R}\}$  and  $\{t \in I : \mathbf{x}_0(t) = \mathbf{x}(t)\}$  which are members of  $\mathcal{U}$ , and so  $S \in \mathcal{U}$ . Since  $\varphi(S^{\wedge}) = 1$  and  $(\mathbf{z} - \mathbf{x}_0) \cdot S^{\wedge} = *0$ , we have

$$\varphi(\mathbf{x}_0) = \varphi(\mathbf{x}_0) + \varphi(*0) = \varphi(\mathbf{x}_0) + \varphi((\mathbf{z} - \mathbf{x}_0) \cdot S^{\wedge})$$
  
=  $\varphi(\mathbf{x}_0) + \varphi(\mathbf{z} - \mathbf{x}_0) \cdot 1 = \varphi(\mathbf{z}) = f(\mathbf{x}).$ 

The uniqueness of such an  $f(\mathbf{x})$  follows from the existence of an  $\mathbf{x}_0 \in \mathbb{R}^I$ with  $\mathbf{x}_0 = \mathbf{x}$  in  $\hat{\mathbb{R}}^I$ , which is ensured by the fact that the set  $\{t \in I : \mathbf{x}(t) \in \mathbb{R}\}$ belongs to  $\mathcal{U}$ . Thus f is a mapping of  $*\mathbb{R}$  into K.

If  $x \in K$ , then  $\varphi(\mathbf{x}) = x$  for some  $\mathbf{x} \in R^I$ , and hence we have  $f(\mathbf{x}) = \varphi(\mathbf{x}) = x$ , which establishes the surjectivity of f.

We claim now that if  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}^I$ , then  $\varphi(a) = \varphi(b)$  if and only if  $\{t \in I : a(t) = b(t)\} \in \mathcal{U}$ . To prove this, it will suffice to show that  $\varphi(a) = 0$  if and only if  $S = \{t \in I : a(t) = 0\}$  does belong to  $\mathcal{U}$ . To prove the "only if" part of this statement, consider an element  $b \in \mathbb{R}^I$  defined by

$$\boldsymbol{b}(t) = \begin{cases} \boldsymbol{a}(t)^{-1} & \text{if } t \notin S, \\ 0 & \text{if } t \in S. \end{cases}$$

Then we have  $\varphi(S^{c\wedge}) = \varphi(ab) = \varphi(a)\varphi(b) = 0$ , which shows that S is in U. The "if" part of this statement follows immediately from the fact that  $a \cdot S^{\wedge} = *0$ ; i.e.  $\varphi(a) = \varphi(a)\varphi(S^{\wedge}) = \varphi(a \cdot S^{\wedge}) = \varphi(*0) = 0$ .

In order to prove that f is an injection, suppose that  $x, y \in {}^{*}R$  and f(x) = f(y). Then we can find  $x_0, y_0 \in R^I$  such that  $x_0 = x$  and  $y_0 = y$  in  $\hat{R}^I$ . Since  $\varphi(x_0) = f(x) = f(y) = \varphi(y_0)$ , the set  $S = \{t \in I : x_0(t) = y_0(t)\}$  belongs to U, and consequently we have  $x_0 = y_0$  in  $\hat{R}^I$ , which yields the desired conclusion x = y in  $\hat{R}^I$ .

Suppose that  $x, y, z \in R$  and  $x^* + y = z$ . Then there exist  $x_0, y_0, z_0 \in R^I$  such that  $x_0 = x, y_0 = y$  and  $z_0 = z$  in  $\hat{R}^I$ . Since the sets  $\{t \in I : x(t) + y(t) = z(t)\}$ ,  $\{t \in I : x_0(t) = x(t)\}$ ,  $\{t \in I : y_0(t) = y(t)\}$  and  $\{t \in I : z_0(t) = z(t)\}$  belong to U, so does their intersection S. But then the set  $T = \{t \in I : (x_0 + y_0)(t) = z_0(t)\}$  contains S, and hence T is a member of U. Therefore we have  $\varphi(x_0 + y_0) = \varphi(z_0)$  as is shown above. Consequently we obtain

$$f(\mathbf{x}^*+\mathbf{y}) = f(\mathbf{z}) = \varphi(\mathbf{z}_0) = \varphi(\mathbf{x}_0 + \mathbf{y}_0) = \varphi(\mathbf{x}_0) + \varphi(\mathbf{y}_0)$$
$$= f(\mathbf{x}) + f(\mathbf{y}).$$

A similar argument establishes  $f(\mathbf{x}^* \cdot \mathbf{y}) = f(\mathbf{x})f(\mathbf{y})$  for every  $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in {}^*R$ .

Now suppose that  $x, y \in R$  and  $x^* \leq y$ . Then there exists a  $z_0 \in R^I$  such that  $0^* \leq z_0 = y^* + (-x)$  in  $\hat{R}^I$ . Hence  $S = \{t \in I : 0 \leq z_0(t)\} \in U$  and  $0 \leq z_0 \cdot S^{\wedge}$  in  $R^I$ . Therefore we have

 $0 = \varphi(*0) \le \varphi(z_0 \cdot S^{\wedge}) = \varphi(z_0)\varphi(S^{\wedge}) = \varphi(z_0) = f(y^* + (-x)),$ which implies  $f(x) \le f(y)$  because f(-x) = -f(x). This completes the proof.

In the above theorem and definition, the condition that K is a homomorphic image of  $R^{I}$  cannot be eliminated. To establish this,

we need the following

**Lemma.** Let K be a non-Archimedean totally ordered field containing a complete Archimedean subfield  $R_0$ . If x is an infinitely large element of K, then  $\sum_{i=0}^{n} a_i x^i < x^{n+1}$  for every  $a_0, a_1, \dots, a_n \in R_0$ , where  $x^0$  denotes the unit element 1 of K.

**Proof.** If  $a \in R_0$  then we have  $ax^{n+1} < x^{n+2}$ , since a < x and  $0 < x^{n+1}$ . Now the assertion of the lemma is trivial if n=0. Suppose that it holds for a non-negative integer n, and let  $a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{n+1} \in R_0$ . Then we have

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n+1} a_i x^i = \sum_{i=0}^n a_i x^i + a_{n+1} x^{n+1} < x^{n+1} + a_{n+1} x^{n+1} = (1 + a_{n+1}) x^{n+1} < x^{n+2}.$$

Corollary. Let K be a non-Archimedean totally ordered field containing a complete Archimedean subfield  $R_0$ . Then each infinitely large element x of K is transcendential relative to  $R_0$ .

**Proof.** Assume that  $\sum_{i=0}^{n} a_i x^i = 0$   $(a_i \in R_0)$  implies  $a_i = 0$  for every  $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, n\}$ . If  $\sum_{i=0}^{n+1} a_i x^i = 0$   $(a_i \in R_0)$  and if  $a_{n+1} \neq 0$ , then we have  $x^{n+1} = -\sum_{i=0}^{n} a_i a_{n+1}^{-1} x^i$ , contrary to Lemma. Hence if  $\sum_{i=0}^{n+1} a_i x^i = 0$   $(a_i \in R_0)$ , then  $a_{n+1} = 0$ , and so  $a_0 = a_1 = \cdots = a_n = 0$ .

We shall now prove the following

**Theorem 2.** There exists a non-Archimedean totally ordered field K containing a complete Archimedean subfield  $R_0$  such that K is not a nonstandard real field over any set.

**Proof.** Let x be an infinitely large element of a nonstandard real field \*R over some set, and let  $R_0$  be the subfield of all standard numbers of \*R.  $R_0$  is a complete Archimedean subfield of \*R. Let us denote by K the smallest subfield of \*R containing  $R_0 \cup \{x\}$ , and suppose that K is a nonstandard real field over a set I. Then K is isomorphic to some U-nonstandard real field for a  $\delta$ -incomplete ultrafilter U on I. We identify K with this U-nonstandard real field. Let S be the set of all  $t \in I$  with  $x(t) \in R$ , and let

$$a(t) = \begin{cases} \sqrt{x(t)} & \text{if } t \in S, \\ 0 & \text{if } t \notin S. \end{cases}$$

Then since  $I, S \in U$  and  $S \subset \{t \in I : a^2(t) = x(t)\}$ , it follows that  $a \in K$  and  $a^2 = x$ . Consequently we can find  $a_0, \dots, a_m, b_0, \dots, b_n \in R_0$  with  $a_m \neq 0$  and  $b_n \neq 0$  such that

$$a = \left(\sum_{i=0}^{m} a_i x^i\right) \left(\sum_{i=0}^{n} b_i x^i\right)^{-1},$$

and hence

$$x\left(\sum_{i=0}^{n} b_{i}x^{i}\right)^{2} - \left(\sum_{i=0}^{m} a_{i}x^{i}\right)^{2} = 0,$$

where  $x^0$  denotes the unit element 1 of K. Thus if 2n+1>2m, then by the above Corollary, we have a contradiction  $b_n^2=0$ ; if  $2n+1\leq 2m$ , then since 2n+1<2m, the same Corollary yields a contradiction  $a_m^2=0$ . This completes the proof.