

23. The Fundamental Solution for a Parabolic Pseudo-Differential Operator and Parametrixes for Degenerate Operators

By Chisato TSUTSUMI

Department of Mathematics, Osaka University

(Comm. by Kôzaku YOSIDA, M. J. A., Feb. 12, 1975)

Introduction. In the present paper we shall construct the fundamental solution $E(t, s)$ for a parabolic pseudo-differential equation

$$(0.1) \quad \begin{cases} Lu = \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + p(t; x, D_x)u = 0 & \text{in } (0, \infty) \times R^n \\ u|_{t=0} = u_0 \end{cases}$$

where $p(t; x, D_x)$ is a pseudo-differential operator of class $\mathcal{E}_t^0(S_{\lambda, \rho, \delta}^m)$ ($0 \leq \rho \leq 1$, $-\infty < \delta < 1$, $\delta < \rho$) which satisfies the following condition:

There exist positive constants C_0 and R such that

$$(0.2) \quad \operatorname{Re} p(t; x, \xi) \geq C_0 \lambda(x, \xi)^m \quad \text{for } 0 \leq t < \infty \text{ and } |x| + |\xi| \geq R,$$

where $\lambda = \lambda(x, \xi)$ is a basic weight function defined in § 1. We note that $\lambda(x, \xi)$ varies even in x and may increase in polynomial order, and that it is important to take $\delta < 0$ in § 4.

The fundamental solution $E(t, s)$ will be constructed as a pseudo-differential operator of class $S_{\lambda, \rho, \delta}^0$ with parameter t and s . The method of construction of $E(t, s)$ is similar to that given in Tsutsumi [10]. Then the solution of the Cauchy problem (0.1) is given by $u(t) = E(t, 0)u_0$.

In § 3 we show that if $P(t)$ is a positive operator, then $\exp \{c(t - s_0)E(t, s_0)\}$ are bounded in $S_{\lambda, \rho, \delta}^{-N}$ for $t \geq t_0 > s_0 \geq 0$, where c is a positive constant and N is any number.

As an application of the above theorems, in § 4 we construct the fundamental solution $E_0(t)$ for a degenerate parabolic operator

$$(0.3) \quad L_0 = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + D_x^{2l} + x^{2k} D_y^{2m} = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + P_0$$

and apply $E_0(t)$ to construct the parametrix for P_0 near $x=0$ in some class of pseudo-differential operator. We note that in case $l=k=m=1$ the precise symbol of the fundamental solution $E_0(t)$ is found in Hoel [4] and that the operator P_0 has been studied by Beals [1], Hörmander [3], Grushin [2], Kumano-go and Taniguchi [6] and Sjöstrand [9].

§ 1. Notations and basic calculus of pseudo-differential operators of class $S_{\lambda, \rho, \delta}^m$. We say that a C^∞ -function $\lambda(x, \xi)$ in $R_x^n \times R_\xi^n$ is a basic weight function when $\lambda(x, \xi)$ satisfies conditions (cf. [6]):

- (i) $A^{-1}(1+|x|+|\xi|)^a \leq \lambda(x, \xi) \leq A(1+|x|^{\tau_0}+|\xi|)$ ($a \geq 0, \tau_0 \geq 0, A > 0$).
- (ii) $|\lambda_{(\beta)}^{(\alpha)}(x, \xi)| \leq A_{\alpha, \beta} \lambda(x, \xi)^{1-|\alpha|+\delta|\beta|}$
 $(0 \leq \rho \leq 1, -\infty < \delta < 1, \delta < \rho, A_{\alpha, \beta} > 0)$ for any α, β .
- (iii) $\lambda(x+y, \xi) \leq A_1(1+|y|)^{\tau_1} \lambda(x, \xi)$ ($\tau_1 \geq 0, A_1 > 0$),

where

$$\lambda_{(\beta)}^{(\alpha)}(x, \xi) = (\partial/\partial \xi_1)^{\alpha_1} \cdots (\partial/\partial \xi_n)^{\alpha_n} (-i\partial/\partial x_1)^{\beta_1} \cdots (-i\partial/\partial x_n)^{\beta_n} \lambda(x, \xi),$$

$$|\alpha| = \alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_n, |\beta| = \beta_1 + \cdots + \beta_n$$

for any multi index $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n), \beta = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n)$.

We denote by $S_{\lambda, \rho, \delta}^m$ ($-\infty < m < \infty, 0 \leq \rho \leq 1, -\infty < \delta < 1, \delta < \rho$) the set of all C^∞ -symbols $p(x, \xi)$ defined in $R_x^n \times R_\xi^n$ which satisfies for any α, β

$$|p_{(\beta)}^{(\alpha)}(x, \xi)| \leq C_{\alpha, \beta} \lambda(x, \xi)^{m-\rho|\alpha|+\delta|\beta|}$$

for some constant $C_{\alpha, \beta}$. For a symbol $p(x, \xi) \in S_{\lambda, \rho, \delta}^m$ we define a pseudo-differential operator by

$$Pu(x) = p(x, D_x)u(x) = \int e^{ix \cdot \xi} p(x, \xi) \hat{u}(\xi) d\xi,$$

where $d\xi = (2\pi)^{-n} d\xi$ and $\hat{u}(\xi)$ denote the Fourier transform of $u(x)$ in \mathcal{S} defined by

$$\hat{u}(\xi) = \int e^{-ix \cdot \xi} u(x) dx.$$

For $p(x, \xi) \in S_{\lambda, \rho, \delta}^m$ we define semi-norms $|p|_l^{(m)}, l = 0, 1, \dots$ by

$$|p|_l^{(m)} = \text{Max}_{|\alpha|+|\beta| \leq l} \left\{ \sup_{(x, \xi)} |p_{(\beta)}^{(\alpha)}(x, \xi)| \lambda(x, \xi)^{-m+\rho|\alpha|-\delta|\beta|} \right\}.$$

Then $S_{\lambda, \rho, \delta}^m$ makes a Fréchet space. Set $S_{\lambda}^{-\infty} = \bigcap_{-\infty < m < \infty} S_{\lambda, \rho, \delta}^m$.

Theorem 1.1. Let $P_j = p_j(x, D_x) \in S_{\lambda, \rho, \delta}^{m_j}$ ($j = 1, 2, \dots, \nu$). Then $P = P_1 P_2 \cdots P_\nu$ belongs to $S_{\lambda, \rho, \delta}^m$, where $m = \sum_{j=1}^{\nu} m_j$. Moreover for any positive integer l , there exist C_1 and \tilde{l} such that

$$|\sigma(P)|_l^{(m)} \leq C_1 \prod_{j=1}^{\nu} |p_j|_{\tilde{l}}^{(m_j)}$$

where \tilde{l} depends on $M = \sum_{j=1}^{\nu} |m_j| < \infty$ and l but is independent of ν .

From the above theorem the following theorem is proved by the same method in Kumano-go [5].

Theorem 1.2. Let $P \in S_{\lambda, \rho, \delta}^0$. Then there exists l such that

$$\|Pu\| \leq C_2 |p|_l^{(0)} \|u\| \quad \text{for any } u \in L^2,$$

where $\|\cdot\|$ is the $L^2(R^n)$ norm.

For any $s > 0$ we define $H_{\lambda, s}$ by $H_{\lambda, s} = \{u \in L^2; \lambda^s(x, D_x)u \in L^2\}$ with the norm $\|u\|_{\lambda, s}^2 = \{\|\lambda^s(x, D_x)u\|^2 + \|u\|^2\}$.

If the basic weight function $\lambda(x, \xi)$ satisfies (i) for $a > 0$, then we get by Theorem 1.2.

Proposition. Let $0 \leq s_1 < s_2$. Then for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there is a positive constant C_ε such that

$$\|u\|_{\lambda, s_1} \leq \varepsilon \|u\|_{\lambda, s_2} + C_\varepsilon \|u\|.$$

We get the expansion formula as follows.

Theorem 1.3 (cf. [6]). Let $P_j \in S_{\lambda, \rho, \delta}^{m_j}$ ($j = 1, 2$). Then we have the expansion for any N

$$\sigma(P_1 P_2)(x, \xi) = \sum_{|\alpha| < N} \frac{1}{\alpha!} p_1^{(\alpha)}(x, \xi) p_{2(\alpha)}(x, \xi) + r_N(x, \xi),$$

where $r_N(x, \xi) \in S_{\lambda, \rho, \delta}^{m_1 + m_2 - (\rho - \delta)N}$.

§ 2. Construction of fundamental solution. Definition 2.1 (cf. [10]). $\mathcal{E}_t^0(S_{\lambda, \rho, \delta}^m)(\mathcal{E}_t^\infty(S_{\lambda, \rho, \delta}^m))$ is the set of all functions $p(t; x, \xi)$ of class $S_{\lambda, \rho, \delta}^m$ which are continuous (infinitely differentiable) with respect to parameter t for $t \geq 0$.

Definition 2.2 (cf. [10]). We say $\{p_j(x, \xi)\}_{j=0}^\infty$ of $S_{\lambda, \rho, \delta}^m$ converges to $p(x, \xi) \in S_{\lambda, \rho, \delta}^m$ weakly, if $\{p_j(x, \xi)\}_{j=0}^\infty$ make a bounded set of $S_{\lambda, \rho, \delta}^m$ and $p_{j(\beta)}^{(\alpha)}(x, \xi)$ converges to $p_{(\beta)}^{(\alpha)}(x, \xi)$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$ uniformly on K for any α, β , where K is any compact set in $R_x^n \times R_\xi^n$. We denote by $w - \mathcal{E}_{t,s}^0(S_{\lambda, \rho, \delta}^m)$ the set of all functions $p(t, s; x, \xi)$ of class $S_{\lambda, \rho, \delta}^m (0 \leq s \leq t)$ which are continuous with respect to parameters t and s with weak topology of $S_{\lambda, \rho, \delta}^m$.

Theorem 2.1. Under the assumption (0.2) we can construct $E(t, s) = e(t, s; x, D_x) \in w - \mathcal{E}_{t,s}^0(S_{\lambda, \rho, \delta}^0) (0 \leq s \leq t)$ which satisfies the following properties:

- (i) $LE(t, s) = 0$ in $t > s$.
- (ii) $E(s, s) = I$.
- (iii) For any N such that $-N(\rho - \delta) + m \leq 0$ we can write

$$e(t, s; x, \xi) = \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} e_j(t, s; x, \xi) + r_N(t, s; x, \xi),$$

where

$$e_0(t, s; x, \xi) = \exp \left[- \int_s^t p(\sigma; x, \xi) d\sigma \right], \quad e_j(t, s; x, \xi) \in w - \mathcal{E}_{t,s}^0(S_{\lambda, \rho, \delta}^{-(\rho - \delta)j})$$

and $r_N(t, s; x, \xi) \in w - \mathcal{E}_{t,s}^0(S_{\lambda, \rho, \delta}^{-(\rho - \delta)N + m})$. Moreover we get

$$e_{j(\beta)}^{(\alpha)}(t, s; x, \xi) = a_{j, \alpha, \beta}(t, s; x, \xi) e_0(t, s; x, \xi) \quad (j \geq 1),$$

where

$$|a_{j, \alpha, \beta}(t, s; x, \xi)| \leq C'_{\alpha, \beta} \lambda(x, \xi)^{-\rho|\alpha| + \delta|\beta| - (\rho - \delta)j} \sum_{k=2}^{|\alpha| + |\beta| + 2j} \left\{ \int_s^t \text{Rep}(\sigma; x, \xi) d\sigma \right\}^k.$$

Also, $E(t, s)$ is unique in class $w - \mathcal{E}_{t,s}^0(S_{\lambda, \rho, \delta}^k)$ satisfying (i) and (ii) for any k .

We can construct $E(t, s)$ by the same method with the proof of Theorem in [10], using Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.3. The uniqueness is proved applying the energy inequality.

Example 1. $L_1 = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + D_x^{2l} + x^{2k}$ in $(0, \infty) \times R_x^1$.

Example 2. $L_2 = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + (D_x + ix^k)(D_x - ix^k)$ in $(0, \infty) \times R_x^1$.

We can take $\lambda(x, \xi) = (1 + \xi^{2l} + x^{2k})^{1/2l}$, $\rho = 1$, $\delta = -l/k$, $m = 2l$ in Example 1 and $\lambda(x, \xi) = (1 + \xi^2 + x^{2k})^{1/2}$, $\rho = 1$, $\delta = -1/k$, $m = 2$ in Example 2.

Theorem 2.2. Under the same condition with Theorem 2.1 the adjoint operator $E^*(t, s) (\in w - \mathcal{E}_{t,s}^0(S_{\lambda, \rho, \delta}^0))$ satisfies

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} E^*(t, s) + E^*(t, s)P^*(t) = 0 & \text{in } t > s, \\ E^*(s, s) = I \end{cases}$$

and

$$\begin{cases} -\frac{\partial}{\partial s} E^*(t, s) + P^*(s)E^*(t, s) = 0 & \text{in } t > s, \\ E^*(t, t) = I. \end{cases}$$

Corollary. *If $P(t)$ is independent of t , then the fundamental solution $E(t, s) = E(t - s)$ satisfies also*

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} E(t) + E(t)P = 0 \quad \text{in } t > 0.$$

If $P = P^$, then $E(t) = E^*(t)$.*

Remark. We can prove the similar theorems in this section for $p(t; x, \xi) \in \mathcal{E}_t^0(S_{\lambda, \rho, \delta}^m)$ under the conditions

$$\begin{cases} \operatorname{Re} p(t; x, \xi) \geq c_0 \lambda(x, \xi)^{m'} & 0 \leq m' \leq m, \\ |p_{(\beta)}^{(\alpha)}(t; x, \xi) / \operatorname{Re} p(t; x, \xi)| \leq C_{\alpha, \beta} \lambda(x, \xi)^{-\rho|\alpha| + \delta|\beta|} & \text{for any } \alpha, \beta \end{cases}$$

by using complex powers $\{P_\pm(x, D_x)\}$ for $P(x, D_x)$ (cf. [7], [10]).

§ 3. Behavior of $E(t, s)$ at $(t - s) \rightarrow \infty$. In this section let $p(t; x, \xi) \in \mathcal{E}_t^\infty(S_{\lambda, \rho, \delta}^m)$ ($m > 0$) satisfy (0.2) and

$$(3.1) \quad \operatorname{Re}(P(t)u, u) \geq c_1 \|u\|^2, \quad 0 \leq t < \infty \quad \text{for any } u \in \mathcal{S},$$

with a positive constant c_1 . Moreover let the basic weight function $\lambda(x, \xi)$ satisfy (i) for $a > 0$.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $t_0 > s_0 \geq 0$. Then for any integers l_j ($j = 1, 2, 3$) there exists a positive constant $C(l_j, t_0, s_0)$ such that*

$$|\partial_t^{l_1} e(t, s_0)|_{\xi}^{(-l_2)} \leq C(l_j, t_0, s_0) \exp\{-c_2(t - t_0)\} \quad \text{for } t \geq t_0$$

where c_2 is any number $c_2 < c_1$.

Note that $e(t, s; x, \xi) \in w - \mathcal{E}_{t,s}^\infty(S_{\lambda}^{-\infty})(t > s)$ according to Theorem 2.1, and that $f(t, s; x, \xi) = e^{ix \cdot \xi} e(t, s; x, \xi)$ satisfies

$$Lf(t, s; x, \xi) = 0 \quad \text{in } t > s.$$

Then Theorem 3.1 is proved by the following lemmas.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $u(t) \in \mathcal{E}_t^\infty(\mathcal{S})$ satisfy $Lu(t) = g(t)$ in $t > t_0$. Then for any $b \geq 0$ and any $c_2 < c_1$ there exists $B > 0$ such that*

$$\|u(t)\|_{\lambda, b} \leq B \left[\exp\{-c_2(t - t_0)\} \|u(t_0)\|_{\lambda, b} + \int_{t_0}^t \exp\{-c_2(t - \sigma)\} \|g(\sigma)\|_{\lambda, b} d\sigma \right].$$

Lemma 3.2. *For any $u \in \mathcal{S}$*

$$C_b^{-1} |u|_{[ab - (n+1)/2], \mathcal{S}} \leq C_b |u|_{[\bar{\tau}_0(b+1) + (n+1)/2], \mathcal{S}},$$

where $|u|_{b, \mathcal{S}} = \sup_{|\alpha| + |\beta| \leq b} |(1 + |x|)^a \partial_x^\alpha u(x)|$ and $\bar{\tau}_0 = \max(1, \tau_0)$.

§ 4. Application to operators of degenerate type. At first we apply the above theorems for the construction of fundamental solution for L_0 . If we construct the fundamental solution $f(t; x, D_x, \eta)$ for $(\partial/\partial t) + D_x^{2l} + x^{2k} \eta^{2m}$, then $f(t; x, D_x, D_y)$ is the fundamental solution for L_0 . $f(t; x, \xi, \eta)$ is given by

$$(4.1) \quad \begin{aligned} f(t; x, \xi, \eta) &= e(t|\eta|^\sigma; x|\eta|^{\sigma/2l}, \xi|\eta|^{-\sigma/2l}) & (\eta \neq 0), \\ &= \exp(-\xi^{2l}t) & (\eta = 0), \end{aligned}$$

where $\sigma=2lm/(k+l)$ and $e(t; x, \xi)$ is the symbol of the fundamental solution of L_1 of Example 1. With respect to $f(t; x, \xi, \eta)$, we get by Theorem 2.1, Theorem 3.1 and (4.1)

$$|\partial_x^\alpha \partial_\xi^\beta \partial_\eta^\gamma f(t; x, \xi, \eta)| \leq C_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma} \mu(x, \xi, \eta)^{-\beta - (l/k)\alpha} |\eta|^{(m/k)\alpha - \gamma} \quad \eta \neq 0$$

and $f(t; x, \xi, \eta) \in S_{\lambda}^{-\infty}(t > 0)$, where $\mu(x, \xi, \eta) = |\xi| + |x|^{k/l} |\eta|^{m/l} + |\eta|^{m/(k+l)}$.
Set

$$\int_0^\infty f(t; x, \xi, \eta) dt = k(x, \xi, \eta).$$

Then from Theorem 2.1 and Theorem 3.1 we have

$$|\partial_x^\alpha \partial_\xi^\beta \partial_\eta^\gamma k(x, \xi, \eta)| \leq C_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma} \mu(x, \xi, \eta)^{-2l - \beta - (l/k)\alpha} |\eta|^{(m/k)\alpha - \gamma} \quad \eta \neq 0.$$

A left and right parametrix Q for P_0 is constructed by using $k(x, \xi, \eta)$ for $|\xi| \leq c|\eta|^{m/l}$ and the usual method of construction of the parametrix for $|\xi| \geq c|\eta|^{m/l}$. $\sigma(Q) = q(x, \xi, \eta)$ satisfies

$$(4.2) \quad |\partial_x^\alpha \partial_\xi^\beta \partial_\eta^\gamma q(x, \xi, \eta)| \leq C_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma} \tilde{\mu}(x, \xi, \eta)^{-2l - \beta - (l/k)\alpha} \nu(\xi, \eta)^{(m/k)\alpha - \gamma}$$

for any ξ, η ,

where $\nu(\xi, \eta) = 1 + |\xi|^{l/m} + |\eta|$ and $\tilde{\mu}(x, \xi, \eta) = 1 + \mu(x, \xi, \eta)$.

We note that $q(x, \xi, \eta)$ belongs to $S_{\Phi, \varphi}^{-2l \log \tilde{\mu}}$ treated in Beals [1], if we choose weight vector $\Phi_1 = \tilde{\mu}^{l/k} \nu^{m(k-l)/k(k+l)}$, $\Phi_2 = \nu$, $\varphi_1 = \tilde{\mu}^{l/k} \nu^{-m/k}$, $\varphi_2 = 1$ in case $k \geq l$ and $\Phi_1 = \tilde{\mu}$, $\Phi_2 = \nu$, $\varphi_1 = \tilde{\mu} \nu^{-2m/(k+l)}$, $\varphi_2 = 1$ in case $k < l$ by (4.2).

Let $P = D_x - ix^k D_y^m$ (cf. [6], [8]). We consider $(\partial/\partial t) + P^*P$ and $(\partial/\partial t) + PP^*$ applying the similar argument. Then we get that P has a left parametrix if $k = \text{even}$ and a right parametrix if $k = \text{even}$ or $k = \text{odd}$ and $m = \text{even}$.

References

- [1] Beals, R.: A general calculus of pseudodifferential operators (to appear).
- [2] Grushin, V. V.: Hypoelliptic differential equations and pseudo-differential operators with operator-valued symbols. *Mat. Sb.*, **88**, 504-521 (1972).
- [3] Hörmander, L.: Hypoelliptic second order differential equations. *Acta Math.*, **119**, 147-174 (1967).
- [4] Hoel, C.: Fundamental solutions of some degenerate operators. *J. Differential Equations*, **15**, 379-417 (1974).
- [5] Kumano-go, H.: Pseudo-differential operators of multiple symbol and the Calderón-Vaillancourt theorem. *J. Math. Soc. Japan*, **27**, 113-120 (1975).
- [6] Kumano-go, H., and Taniguchi, K.: Oscillatory integrals of symbols of operators on R^n and operators of Fredholm type. *Proc. Japan Acad.*, **49**, 397-402 (1973).
- [7] Kumano-go, H., and Tsutsumi, C.: Complex powers of hypoelliptic pseudo-differential operators with applications. *Osaka J. Math.*, **10**, 147-174 (1973).
- [8] Mizohata, S.: Solutions nulles et solutions non analytiques. *J. Math. Kyoto Univ.*, **1**, 271-302 (1962).

- [9] Sjöstrand, J.: Parametrixes for pseudodifferential operators with multiple-characteristics. *Ark. Mat.*, **12**, 85–130 (1974).
- [10] Tsutsumi, C.: The fundamental solution for a degenerate parabolic pseudodifferential operator. *Proc. Japan Acad.*, **50**, 11–15 (1974).