

47. Local Theory of Fuchsian Systems. I

By Masaaki YOSHIDA and Kyoichi TAKANO
Kobe University

(Comm. by Kunihiko KODAIRA, M. J. A., April 12, 1975)

1. Introduction. In this paper, we consider a completely integrable system

$$(1) \quad dX = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{P_i(x)}{x_i} dx_i \right) X,$$

where $P_i(x)$, $i=1, \dots, n$ is an $m \times m$ matrix holomorphic at $x=0$, say

$$(2) \quad P_i(x) = \sum_{k \geq 0} P_{i,k} x^k.$$

Here k denotes a multi-index (k_1, \dots, k_n) , k_i a nonnegative integer, $0 = (0, \dots, 0)$, and $x^k = x_1^{k_1} \dots x_n^{k_n}$. For two multi-indices k and l , " $k \geq l$ " means " $k_i \geq l_i$ for all i " and " $k > l$ " means " $k \geq l$ and $k_i > l_i$ for some i ". We propose to find out the dominant coefficients in $\{P_{i,k}\}$ which determine the local behavior of the solution of (1).

A change of variables $X = U(x)Y$ with $U(x)$ invertible holomorphic at $x=0$, transforms (1) into the system of the form

$$(3) \quad dY = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{Q_i(x)}{x_i} dx_i \right) Y$$

with

$$(4) \quad \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{Q_i(x)}{x_i} dx_i = U(x)^{-1} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{P_i(x)}{x_i} dx_i \right) U(x) - U(x)^{-1} dU(x).$$

First, we determine $U(x)$ in such a way that (3) has a 'reduced' form, of which the definition is given in Section 4. Next, we show that by a suitable substitution $Y = x_1^{L_1} \dots x_n^{L_n} Z$ with $L_i = \text{diag}(l_i^1, \dots, l_i^m)$, where l_i^a is a nonnegative integer, equation (3), which has a 'reduced' form, can be changed to the equation $dZ = (\sum_{i=1}^n (B_i/x_i) dx_i) Z$ with constant matrices B_1, \dots, B_n .

When preparing this note, we were communicated from T. Kimura, that R. Gérard was solving a problem analogous to ours.

2. Convergence theorem. We prepare a convergence theorem which will be used later.

Theorem 1. Let

$$(5) \quad du = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{F_i(x)}{x_i} dx_i \right) u$$

be a completely integrable system, where u is a vector and

$$F_i(x) = \sum_{k \geq 0} F_{i,k} x^k, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n$$

are matrices convergent and holomorphic for $|x| < \epsilon$. Then any formal

power series solution of (5) converges for $|x| < \varepsilon$ and represents a holomorphic solution of (5).

3. Integrability condition. The integrability condition of a system (1) is equivalent to

$$(6)_{i,j;k} \quad k_j P_{i,k} - k_i P_{j,k} + \sum_{k'+k''=k} [P_{i,k'}, P_{j,k''}] = 0$$

for any $i, j = 1, \dots, n$ and $k = (k_1, \dots, k_n)$. Here $[,]$ denotes the usual bracket of matrices.

4. Reduction to a reduced form. Definition. We say the equation $dX = (\sum_{i=1}^n (P_i(x)/x_i) dx_i) X$ is 'reduced' with respect to $(k, (\alpha, \beta))$ if $\alpha_i^\alpha - \alpha_i^\beta - k_i \neq 0$ for some i implies $p_{j,k}^{\alpha\beta} = 0$ for all $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$, where $k = (k_1, \dots, k_n)$, $P_i(x) = \sum_{k \geq 0} P_{i,k} x^k$, $P_{i,k} = (P_{i,k}^{\alpha\beta})$ and $P_{i,0} = (\alpha_i^{\alpha\beta})$. Furthermore we say the equation has a 'reduced' form if it is reduced with respect to all $(k, (\alpha, \beta))$.

First we shall determine the coefficient U_k of $U(x) = \sum_{k \geq 0} U_k x^k$ such that the transformed equation has a 'reduced' form.

4.1. Formal reduction. We decompose $U(x) = \sum_{k \geq 0} U_k x^k$ as follows;

$$U(x) = U_0 \cdot U_1(x) \cdot \dots \cdot U_N(x) \cdot \dots$$

where U_0 is a nonsingular constant matrix and

$$U_N(x) = U_N^{(1,m)}(x) \cdot U_N^{(2,m)}(x) \cdot \dots \cdot U_N^{(m,m)}(x) \cdot U_N^{(1,m-1)}(x) \\ \times U_N^{(2,m-1)}(x) \cdot \dots \cdot U_N^{(1,1)}(x) \cdot U_N^{(2,1)}(x) \cdot \dots \cdot U_N^{(m,1)}(x)$$

with

$$U_N^{(\alpha,\beta)} = I + \sum_{|k|=N} U_k^{(\alpha,\beta)} x^k.$$

Here $|k| = \sum_{i=1}^n k_i$ and $U_k^{(\alpha,\beta)}$ is a constant matrix, of which the (γ, δ) component is zero except for $(\gamma, \delta) = (\alpha, \beta)$: the (α, β) component will be denoted by $u_k^{\alpha\beta}$. We determine $U_0, U_1^{(1,m)}(x), U_1^{(2,m)}(x), \dots, U_N^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x), \dots$ successively.

Since $P_{i,0}$ of $P_i(x)$ in (2) is mutually commutative by the integrability condition $(6)_{i,j;0}$, we can choose a nonsingular matrix U_0 such that

(7) $\begin{cases} \text{(i)} & A_i = U_0^{-1} P_{i,0} U_0, i = 1, \dots, n \text{ is lower triangular,} \\ \text{(ii)} & \text{if } \alpha_i^\alpha - \alpha_i^\beta \neq 0 \text{ for some } i, \text{ then } \alpha_j^\beta = 0 \text{ for all } j = 1, \dots, n, \end{cases}$ where $A_i = (\alpha_i^{\alpha\beta})$. We note that the transformed equation by U_0 is 'reduced' with respect to $(0, (\alpha, \beta))$ for all α, β . Furthermore, using the notation $(\gamma, \delta) < (\alpha, \beta)$ for $\delta > \beta$ or $\delta = \beta, \gamma < \alpha$, we have

Proposition 1 (Induction process). Assume that the completely integral system $dX = (\sum_{i=1}^n (P_i^x(x)/x_i) dx_i) X$, $P_i(x) = \sum_{k \geq 0} P_{i,k} x^k$, is 'reduced' with respect to $(k, (\gamma, \delta))$ both for the cases when $k(|k| < N)$ and (γ, δ) is arbitrary and when $k(|k| = N)$, $(\gamma, \delta) < (\alpha, \beta)$. Assume further $P_{i,0} = A_i = (\alpha_i^{\alpha\beta})$ satisfies the condition (7). Then we can choose a transformation $= U_N^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x) Y$ such that a consequent equation $dY = (\sum_{i=1}^n (Q_i(x)/x_i) dx_i) Y$, $Q_i(x) = \sum_{k \geq 0} Q_{i,k} x^k$, is 'reduced' with respect to $(k, (\gamma, \delta))$ both for the

cases when $k(|k| < N)$ and (γ, δ) is arbitrary and when $k(|K| = N)$, $(\gamma, \delta) \leq (\alpha, \beta)$. Furthermore

$$(8) \quad Q_{i,k} = P_{i,k} \quad \text{for } k(|k| < N) \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n,$$

$$(9) \quad q_{i,k}^{\alpha\beta} = P_{i,k}^{\alpha\beta} \quad \text{for } k(|k| = N), (\gamma, \delta) < (\alpha, \beta).$$

If $\alpha_{i_0}^{\alpha\alpha} - \alpha_{i_0}^{\beta\beta} - k_{i_0} \neq 0$ for some i_0 , then the value of $u_k^{\alpha\beta}(|k| = N)$ is determined by

$$(10) \quad (\alpha_{i_0}^{\alpha\alpha} - \alpha_{i_0}^{\beta\beta} - k_{i_0})u_k^{\alpha\beta} + P_{i_0,k}^{\alpha\beta} = 0.$$

Apply the above proposition to determine $U_N^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x)$, by regarding the equation for X in the proposition as the equation transformed from (1) by $U_0 \cdot U_1^{(1,m)} \dots U_N^{(\alpha-1,\beta)}(x)$. Then

Theorem 2. *There exists a formal power series $\sum_{k \geq 0} U_k x^k$ with $\det U_0 \neq 0$, such that the formal substitution $X = (\sum_{k \geq 0} U_k x^k)Y$ changes the system (1) into the system which has a 'reduced' form.*

Remark 1. Although $\sum_{k \geq 0} U_k x^k$ in Theorem 2 is not uniquely determined, it contains only finite number of undetermined parameters.

Remark 2. In Theorem 2, if no two eigenvalues of $P_{i,0}$ differ by an integer for each i , we can choose $\sum_{k \geq 0} U_k x^k$ with $U_0 = I$ such that, by $X = (\sum_{k \geq 0} U_k x^k)Y$, (1) is changed to the equation of the form $dY = (\sum_{i=1}^n (P_{i,0}/x_i) dx_i)Y$. In this case, $U_k(k > 0)$ is uniquely determined.

4.2. Analytic reduction. By Theorem 1, we can prove the convergence of $U(x) = \sum_{k \geq 0} U_k x^k$ in Theorem 2. Thus

Theorem 3. *Given any completely integrable system (1), we have a convergent series $U(x) = \sum_{k \geq 0} U_k x^k$ with $\det U_0 \neq 0$ such that the transformation $X = U(x)Y$ takes (1) into*

$$(11) \quad dy = \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{Q_i(x)}{x_i} dx_i \right) Y,$$

where

- (i) $Q_i(x) = A_i + \sum_{k > 0} Q_{i,k} x^k$ (finite sum), A_i being lower triangular,
- (ii) the (α, β) component $q_i^{\alpha\beta}(x)$ of $Q_i(x)$ is a monomial of x ,

$$q_i^{\alpha\beta}(x) = q_i^{\alpha\beta} \cdot x_1^{k_1} \dots x_n^{k_n},$$

with $k_\mu = \alpha_\mu^{\alpha\alpha} - \alpha_\mu^{\beta\beta}$, $\mu = 1, \dots, n$. $q_i^{\alpha\beta}(x)$ can be nonzero only if $\alpha_\mu^{\alpha\alpha} - \alpha_\mu^{\beta\beta}$ is a nonnegative integer k_μ for all $\mu = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

5. Singular transformation. Consider the 'reduced' equation (11) in Theorem 3. Let L_i be a diagonal matrix (l_i^α). A singular transformation $Y = x_1^{L_1} \dots x_n^{L_n} Z$ changes (11) into $dZ = (\sum_{i=1}^n (B_i(x)/x_i) dx_i)Z$, where $b_i^{\alpha\beta}(x) = q_i^{\alpha\beta}(x) x_1^{l_1^\alpha - l_1^\beta} \dots x_n^{l_n^\beta - l_n^\alpha} - \delta_\beta^\alpha l_i^\alpha$. Here, $B_i(x) = (b_i^{\alpha\beta}(x))$, $Q_i(x) = (q_i^{\alpha\beta}(x))$ and δ_β^α denotes the Kronecker symbol.

We shall show that $b_i^{\alpha\beta}(x)$ becomes constant by choosing nonnegative integers l_i^α suitably. We classify $\{a_i^{\alpha\alpha}\}_{\alpha=1, \dots, m}$ so that $a_i^{\alpha\alpha}$ and $a_i^{\beta\beta}$ belong to the same class iff $\alpha_i^{\alpha\alpha} - \alpha_i^{\beta\beta}$ is an integer. We denote by $[a_i^{\alpha\alpha}]$ the class of $a_i^{\alpha\alpha}$. For every $a_i^{\alpha\alpha}$, we define $\alpha_i^{\alpha_0\alpha_0}$ as a member of $[a_i^{\alpha\alpha}]$ which has the minimum real part. Then by taking $l_i^\alpha = \alpha_i^{\alpha\alpha} - \alpha_i^{\alpha_0\alpha_0}$, $b_i^{\alpha\beta}(x)$ becomes

a constant $b_i^{\alpha\beta}$ by virtue of the properties (i), (ii) in Theorem 3. Thus we have

Theorem 4. *By a change of variables $Y = x_1^{L_1} \cdots x_n^{L_n} Z$ with $L_i = \text{diag}(l_i^1, \dots, l_i^n)$, l_i^k nonnegative integer, the 'reduced' equation in Theorem 3 can be transformed to*

$$dZ = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{B_i}{x_i} dx_i \right) Z,$$

where B_i is a constant matrix given by

$$B_i = A_i - L_i + \sum_{k>0} Q_{i,k} \quad (\text{finite sum})$$

and satisfies $[B_i, B_j] = 0$, $i, j = 1, \dots, n$.

Remark 3. L_i in Theorem 4 is not uniquely determined, but it is unique up to integers in the following sense: Let L_i and L'_i be two diagonal matrices stated in Theorem 4, then $l_i^\alpha - l_i'^\alpha = l_i^\beta - l_i'^\beta$ for any α, β with $[a_i^{\alpha\alpha}] = [a_i^{\beta\beta}]$.

6. Main Theorems. Combining Theorem 3 and Theorem 4, we have

Theorem 5. *Given any completely integrable system (1) where $P_i(x)$ is holomorphic at $x=0$, we have a nonsingular matrix $U(x)$ holomorphic at $x=0$ and a diagonal matrix L_i , $i=1, \dots, n$ of which the components are nonnegative integers such that the transformation $X = U(x)x_1^{L_1} \cdots x_n^{L_n} Z$ changes (1) into*

$$dZ = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{B_i}{x_i} dx_i \right) Z,$$

where B_i , $i=1, \dots, n$ is a constant matrix satisfying $[B_i, B_j] = 0$ for all $i, j=1, \dots, n$. The matrices B_i, L_i and the coefficients of the power series for $U(x)$ can be concretely calculated by algebraic operations. And the eigenvalues of $B_i + L_i$ coincide with those of $P_i(0)$.

By the same argument as in the proof of Theorem 5, we can obtain

Theorem 6. *Given a completely integrable system*

$$(12) \quad dX = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\nu} \frac{P_i(x)}{x_i} dx_i + \sum_{i=\nu+1}^n P_i(x) dx_i \right) X,$$

where $P_i(x)$, $i=1, \dots, n$ is an $m \times m$ matrix holomorphic at $x=0$, we have a transformation $X = U(x)x_1^{L_1} \cdots x_\nu^{L_\nu} Z$ which changes (12) to $dZ = (\sum_{i=1}^{\nu} (B_i/x_i) dx_i) Z$ where $U(x)$, L_i and B_i , $i=1, \dots, \nu$ satisfy the same condition as in Theorem 5. Furthermore,

$$U(x) = \left(I + \sum_{\substack{k_{\nu+1} + \dots + k_n \geq 1 \\ k \geq 0}} V_k x^k \right) \left(W_0 + \sum_{k_1 + \dots + k_\nu \geq 1} W_{k_1, \dots, k_\nu} x_1^{k_1} \cdots x_\nu^{k_\nu} \right)$$

The details will be published elsewhere.

References

[1] P. Deligne: Equations différentielles à points réguliers singuliers. Lec. Notes in Math., **163**. Springer (1970).

- [2] F. R. Gantmacher: *The Theory of Matrices*, Vol. I. Chelsea Publishing Company, New York (1964).
- [3] R. Gérard: *Théorie de Fuchs sur une variété analytique complexe*. *J. Math. pures et appl.*, **47**, 321-404 (1968).
- [4] W. Wasow: *Asymptotic Expansions for Ordinary Differential Equations*. Interscience Publishers (1965).