

103 *On a Problem Proposed by Hardy and Littlewood.*

(*The Fourth Report on the Order of Linear Form.*)

By Seigo MORIMOTO.

(Rec. July 12, 1928. Comm. by M. FUJIWARA, M.I.A., July 12, 1928.)

1. We consider the function $\varphi_{\alpha,\beta}(t)$, which is the minimum absolute value of $t(\alpha x - y - \beta)$ for the integral values of x and y , where $|x| < t$. In the former reports I treated mainly the problem of finding the inferior limit of this function, which may be considered as an extension of a problem solved by Minkowski. On the other hand, Hardy and Littlewood have proposed in the paper "On some Problem of diophantine Approximation"¹⁾ to determine the superior limit of this function, and Khintchine has proved that, if

$$\limsup \varphi_{\alpha,\beta}(t) = \infty \quad (1)$$

then the denominators (a_n) of the simple continued fraction for α can not be limited, and conversely, if they are not limited, then we can choose β , such that (1) subsists.²⁾ I wish to apply the same idea as in my former reports to this problem.

2. Let us consider on the xy -plane a system of lattice points, corresponding to the integral values of x and y , and the line $L: \alpha x - y - \beta = 0$ and $Y: x = 0$, whose intersection is supposed to be M . First we construct a parallelogram, whose sides are parallel to L and Y and whose center is M and which contains no lattice point in it. We translate the upper and the lower sides (which are parallel to L) away from L till a lattice point $P_{n(k)}$ comes on one of these sides and again translate the left and the right sides (which are parallel to Y) away from Y till a lattice point $P_{n(k+1)}$ comes on one of these sides. Next we draw a parallel line to L through $P_{n(k+1)}$ and taking this line as the upper or lower side we construct the parallelogram in a similar manner as above, which contains no lattice point in it, but the lattice point $P_{n(k+2)}$ on one of the right or left side, and so on. Thus we have a series of parallelograms

$$S_{n(k)}, S_{n(k+1)}, S_{n(k+2)}, \dots,$$

and of the points

$$P_{n(k)}, P_{n(k+1)}, P_{n(k+2)}, \dots$$

1) Acta Mathematica **37** (1914), pp. 155-191.

2) Über die angenäherte Auflösung linearer Gleichungen in ganzen Zahlen, Recueil de Mathématiques de Moscou, **32** (1924), pp. 203-219.

We can suppose $0 < \alpha < 1$, $0 < \beta \leq 1/2$. In this case we take as the first element $P_{n(1)} : (0,0)$ and $S_{n(1)}$ the parallelogram with the sides $x = \pm 1$, $y = \alpha x - \beta \pm \beta$. We call the points the principal approximate points and these parallelograms the approximate parallelograms. We see that, these principal approximate points and only these give us the best approximation of $\alpha x - y - \beta = 0$ and that $\limsup \varphi_{\alpha,\beta}(t) = \limsup I_{n(k)}$, where $4I_{n(k)}$ denotes the area of $S_{n(k)}$. If however, we, consider only the points for which $\alpha x - y - \beta > 0$ or $\alpha x - y - \beta < 0$, then we have other points to give the best approximation, which we call the intermediary approximate points. We arrange the principal and the intermediary approximate points in the order of $|x|$ and call them P_1, P_2, P_3, \dots . Then, to P_i corresponds the parallelogram S_i whose area is $4I_i$, so that

$$S_n \equiv S_{n(k)} \text{ for } n = n(k)$$

and

$$I_n < I_{n(k+1)} \text{ for } n(k) < n < n(k+1).$$

Thus we have

$$\limsup \varphi_{\alpha,\beta}(t) = \limsup I_n.$$

3. Let $n(k) = i < n(k+1)$, then $P_{i+1}, P_{i+2}, \dots, P_{n(k+1)-1}$ lies on the side opposite to P_i with respect to L . Let P_j be the last point, which lies on this side and for which $j < i$, and let P_m be one of the points $P_j, P_{i+1}, P_{i+2}, \dots, P_{n(k+1)-1}$ and n the least number greater than i and m . As in the former reports we transform (by an affine transformation) P_i, P_m, P_n in $(0,0), (0,-1), (1,0)$, (in particular, if P_n is the $P_{n(k+1)}$ and lies in the same side of L with P_i , then we transform these points in $(-1,0), (0,0), (1,0)$), and let the new position of L and Y be $L_n : a_n x - y - \beta_n = 0$ and $Y_n : a_n' x + y + \beta_n' = 0$. By the similar method as in the former reports we can find the sequence (q_n) and (μ_n) where $\mu_n = \pm 1$, which satisfy the following relations :

$$\left. \begin{aligned} a &= \frac{1}{q_1 - q_2 - q_3 - \dots}, \\ a_n &= \frac{1}{q_{n+1} - q_{n+2} - q_{n+3} - \dots}, \\ \beta &= \nu_1 a - \mu_1 \nu_2 a a_1 + \mu_1 \mu_2 \nu_3 a a_1 a_2 - \dots, \\ \beta_n &= \nu_{n+1} a_n - \mu_{n+1} \nu_{n+2} a_n a_{n+1} + \mu_{n+1} \mu_{n+2} \nu_{n+3} a_n a_{n+1} a_{n+2} - \dots, \end{aligned} \right\} (3),$$

where

$$\nu_n = \frac{\mu_n + 1}{2},$$

$$\left. \begin{aligned} a_n' &= -\mu_n \left(a_n - \frac{\mu_{n-1}}{a_{n-1}} - \dots - \frac{\mu_1}{a_1} \right), \\ \beta_n' &= \mu_n \nu_n + \frac{\mu_n \mu_{n-1} \nu_{n-1}}{a_{n-1}} + \frac{\mu_n \mu_{n-1} \mu_{n-2} \nu_{n-2}}{a_{n-1} a_{n-2}} + \dots \end{aligned} \right\}$$

and

$$\left. \begin{aligned} I_n &= \left| \frac{\beta_n (a_n' + \beta_n')}{a_n + a_n'} \right| \text{ if } \beta_n \leq 1/2 \\ &= \left| \frac{(1-\beta_n) (a_n' + \beta_n')}{a_n + a_n'} \right| \text{ if } \beta_n > 1/2 \end{aligned} \right\} (4).$$

For the a_n' and β_n' we have the inequalities :

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \text{if } a_n' > 0 & \text{ then } 1 - a_n' < 2\beta_n' < 1, \\ \text{if } a_n' < 0 & \text{ then } a_n' < -1 \text{ and } -a_n' > 2\beta_n' > 1. \end{aligned} \right\} (5).$$

4. The continued fraction for a in (3), in which all a_n are smaller than 1, will be called half simple. Let p_n/q_n be the n -th. convergent of this continued fraction and $\left| a - \frac{p_n}{q_n} \right| = \frac{1}{\lambda_n q_n^2}$, then we have

$$\lambda_n = |a_n + a_n'|. \tag{6}$$

We see that p_n/q_n is a principal or intermediary convergent of the simple continued fraction for a and that I_n can not be very large unless $a_n + a_n'$ be not very small, because of (5). λ_n can be very small, when and only when p_n/q_n is an intermediary convergent, whose representative in Klein's interpretation lies in the middle of a long side of the approximate polygon. Therefore we see that I_n can not be very large unless (a_n) be not limited. We can also prove the converse theorem by the same method.

5. This method shows us a precise relation between (a_n) and $\lim \varphi_{\alpha, \beta}(t)$, which can apply to many other problems. For example we can prove the following theorem :

“The necessary and sufficient condition, that β can be so chosen that $\limsup \varphi_{\alpha, \beta}(t) = 0$, is that (a_n) are not limited.”

