

## 52. Displacements in a Manifold of Matrices, II.

By Akitsugu KAWAGUCHI.

Mathematical Institute, Hokkaido Imperial University, Sapporo.

(Rec. April 12, 1935. Comm. by M. FUJIWARA, M.I.A., May 14, 1935.)

As a continuation of the previous paper<sup>1)</sup> we introduce in the present paper some parameter matrices of displacement, which are invariant under the weight variations of a matrix, to which the displacement is to be applied.

1. The weight variation of a matrix  $\bar{A} = \rho A$  transforms the parameter matrix  $\Gamma(A)$  into

$$(1) \quad \bar{\Gamma}(A) = A\varphi + \Gamma(A),$$

taking  $\varphi = d \log \rho$ . We consider the new parameter matrix  $\Lambda(A)$  which has the following form:

$$(2) \quad \Lambda(A) = \Gamma(A) + Af(\Gamma, A),$$

where  $f(\Gamma, A)$  is a quantity depending on the parameter matrix  $\Gamma$  and  $A$ . We assume for the sake of simplicity that  $f(\Gamma, A)$ , considered as a function of  $\Gamma$ , is regular analytic in the neighbourhood of  $\Gamma=0$ . As  $\Gamma$  is homogeneous of the first dimension with respect to  $A$ ,  $f(\Gamma(A), A)$  must be homogeneous of zero-th dimension with respect to  $A$ . In order that the parameter matrix  $\Lambda(A)$  may be invariant under transformation (1), it is necessary and sufficient that

$$\bar{\Gamma}(A) + Af(\bar{\Gamma}, A) = \Gamma(A) + Af(\Gamma, A),$$

that is

$$(3) \quad \varphi + f(\Gamma + A\varphi, A) = f(\Gamma, A),$$

for any value of  $\varphi$ . From (3) it follows that  $f(\Gamma + A\varphi, A)$  must be a linear function of  $\varphi$ , and that the function  $f(\Gamma, A)$  must satisfy the differential equation:

$$(4) \quad \frac{d}{d\varphi} f(\Gamma + A\varphi, A) \equiv \frac{\partial f(\Gamma, A)}{\partial \Gamma} \cdot A = -1.$$

Putting  $\Gamma=0$  we moreover get from (3)

$$f(A\varphi, A) = -\varphi + f(0, A),$$

where  $f(0, A)$  can be an arbitrary but homogeneous function of zero-th dimension with respect to  $A$  and is independent of  $\Gamma$ . For this reason we may now put  $f(0, A) = 0$  without loss of generality. Then the function  $f(\Gamma, A)$  should satisfy the functional equation:

$$(5) \quad \begin{aligned} f(A\varphi, A) &= \varphi f(A, A), \\ f(\Gamma + A\varphi, A) &= f(\Gamma, A) + f(A\varphi, A). \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\phi(\Gamma, A)$  be an arbitrary but linear homogeneous function with respect to  $\Gamma$ , and  $\phi(A, A) \neq 0$ , then the general solution of (5) has the form

$$(6) \quad f(\Gamma, A) = \phi(\Gamma, A) - \frac{\phi(\Gamma, A)}{\phi(A, A)},$$

where  $\phi(\Gamma, A)$  is an arbitrary function but to satisfy the relations

---

1) A. Kawaguchi: Displacements in a manifold of matrices, I, Proc. **11** (1935), 39-42.

$$\phi(0, A) = 0, \quad \phi(\rho^2 H, \rho A) = \phi(H, A),$$

and 
$$H = ((\Gamma_j^i A_l^k - \Gamma_l^k A_j^i)),$$
 being  $\Gamma = ((\Gamma_j^i)), A = ((A_l^k))$ .

2. The parameter matrix  $\wedge(A)$  determined by such  $f(\Gamma, A)$  not only satisfies the relation

$$(7) \quad f(\wedge, A) = 0,$$

but also is characterized by (7). For we have from (3)

$$f(\wedge, A) = f(\Gamma + A f, A) = f(\Gamma, A) - f.$$

It is to be noticed that there exist also some invariant parameter matrices for (1) not of the form (2). For example, the parameter matrix

$$(8) \quad L(A) = \Gamma(A) - A \Gamma(A) A^{-1 1)}$$

is invariant for (1) but not of the form (2). From (8) it follows

$$(9) \quad A^{-1} \cdot L = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad L \cdot A = 0.$$

The parameter matrices characterized by the relations equivalent to (9) are

$$(10) \quad \begin{aligned} \wedge_1(A) &= \Gamma(A) - (A^{-1} \cdot \Gamma) A, \\ \wedge_2(A) &= \Gamma(A) - (\Gamma \cdot A) A \end{aligned}$$

respectively. It has meaning therefore only in the family of parameter matrices having the form (2), that (7) is a characteristic property of the parameter matrix  $\wedge(A)$ .

3. Let us now restrict ourselves to the special case, where  $\phi(H, A) \equiv 0$ , then it must be

$$(11) \quad \phi(\Gamma, A) = R(A) \cdot \Gamma, \quad \text{whence}$$

$$(12) \quad f(\Gamma, A) = -\frac{R(A) \cdot \Gamma}{R(A) \cdot A}.$$

As  $f(\Gamma, A)$  must be homogeneous of zero-th dimension with respect to  $A$ ,  $R(A)$  is in general homogeneous of  $m$ -th dimension:

$$(13) \quad \begin{aligned} R_A \cdot A &= mR, & \phi_A(A, A) \cdot A &= (m+1)\phi(A, A), \\ \wedge_A \cdot A &= \wedge, & f_A(\Gamma(A), A) \cdot A &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

4. Let  $A$  denote a matrixor under the group of matrix transformations  $G$ :

$$(14) \quad VAW = \bar{A},$$

and let the covariant differential  $\nabla A$  be also a matrixor, then it will be shown that the parameter matrix  $\Gamma$  is transformed as follows:

$$(15) \quad \Gamma = V\Gamma W - dVAW - VAdW.^{2)}$$

From (15) we can get the transformation formula of the invariant parameter matrix  $\wedge(A)$ :

$$\bar{\wedge} = V\wedge W - dVAW - VAdW + (\bar{f} - f)VAW,$$

where 
$$\bar{f} = -\frac{R(VAW)}{R(VAW) \cdot (VAW)} \cdot (V\Gamma W - dVAW - VAdW)$$

$$= f - \frac{R(VAW)}{R(VAW) \cdot (VAW)} \cdot (V\wedge W - dVAW - VAdW),$$

as  $\Gamma = \wedge - fA$ . Hence

1) We assume here that  $A$  has an inverse matrix.

2) Loc. cit.

$$(16) \quad \bar{\Lambda} = (VAW - dVAW - VAdW) \\ - \frac{R(VAW)}{R(VAW) \cdot (VAW)} \cdot (V \wedge W - dVAW - VAdW)VAW.$$

Especially, when  $R(A) \cdot A$  is invariant for  $G$ , it follows from  $R(A) \cdot \Lambda = \phi(\Lambda) = 0$  that

$$(17) \quad \bar{\Lambda} = (VAW - dVAW - VAdW) \\ + \frac{1}{R(A) \cdot A} \{ (AR(A)) \cdot (V^{-1}dV) + (R(A)A) \cdot (dWW^{-1}) \} VAW.$$

5. In conclusion we add some examples.

$$\text{Ex. 1.} \quad \phi(\Gamma, A) = A^{-1} \cdot \Gamma, \quad f = -\frac{1}{n} A^{-1} \cdot \Gamma,$$

where  $n$  denotes the order of the matrix  $A$ . The parameter matrix

$$\Lambda = \Gamma - \frac{1}{n} (A^{-1} \cdot \Gamma)A$$

is characterized by  $A^{-1} \cdot \Lambda = 0$ . The transformation formula of the parameter matrix is given by

$$\bar{\Lambda} = (V \wedge W - dVAW - VAdW) + \frac{1}{n} (V^{-1} \cdot dV + dW \cdot W^{-1})VAW,$$

from which follows

$$\dot{\bar{\Lambda}} = \Lambda \cdot (WV) - A \cdot d(WV) + \frac{1}{n} (V^{-1} \cdot dV + dW \cdot W^{-1})A \cdot (WV),$$

whence the norm  $\dot{\bar{\Lambda}}$  of the parameter matrix is invariant for  $VW = E$ .

$$\text{Ex. 2.} \quad \phi(\Gamma, A) = A \cdot \Gamma, \quad f(\Gamma, A) = -\frac{1}{A \cdot A} A \cdot \Gamma,$$

$$\Lambda = \Gamma - \frac{1}{A \cdot A} (A \cdot \Gamma)A,$$

whose characteristic property is  $A \cdot \Lambda = 0$ . (16) reduces here to

$$\bar{\Lambda} = V \wedge W - dVAW - VAdW \\ - \frac{A \cdot WV}{(A \cdot WV) \cdot (A \cdot WV)} \cdot \{ \Lambda \cdot WV - A(WdV + dWV) \} VAW,$$

which is for  $WV = E$

$$\bar{\Lambda} = V \wedge W - dVAW - VAdW.$$

$$\text{Ex. 3.} \quad \phi(\Gamma, A) = \dot{\Gamma}, \quad f(\Gamma, A) = -\frac{\dot{\Gamma}}{\dot{A}},$$

$$\dot{\Lambda} = \Gamma - \frac{\dot{\Gamma}}{\dot{A}} A,$$

whose characteristic property is  $\dot{\Lambda} = 0$  and whose transformation formula is

$$\bar{\Lambda} = V \wedge W - dVAW - VAdW \\ - \frac{\Lambda \cdot (WV) - A \cdot d(WV)}{A \cdot (WV)} VAW.$$

For  $WV = E$  it becomes

$$\bar{\Lambda} = V \wedge W - dVAW - VAdW,$$

as  $\dot{\Lambda} = 0$ .