

PAPERS COMMUNICATED

51. *A Theorem Concerning the Non-vanishing of Functions.*

By Tatsuo KAWATA.

Mathematical Institute, Tohoku Imperial University, Sendai.

(Comm. by M. FUJIWARA, M.I.A., June 13, 1938.)

1. As a typical result concerning the non-vanishing of functions, Mr. N. Levinson¹⁾ has proved the following theorem:

If $f(x)$ is the Fourier transform of a function $G(u) \in L_1(-\infty, \infty)$, and for large u , $G(u) = O(e^{-\theta(u)})$, where $\theta(u)$ is non-decreasing function for $u > 0$ such that

$$\int_1^\infty \frac{\theta(u)}{u^2} du = \infty,$$

then $f(x)$ can not be zero in any interval unless it is identically zero in $(-\infty, \infty)$.

We will in the present paper prove a theorem of similar type not assuming the condition concerning the rapidity of tending to zero for $G(u)$, but supposing that $G(u)$ vanishes except in a sequence of intervals for $u > A$.

If $G(u)$ vanishes for positive u , then $f(x)$ is the boundary function of a function analytic in the upper half-plane which can be represented as Cauchy or Poisson integral. This is the important result due to Professors Hille and Tamarkin.²⁾ From this theorem it results immediately that $f(x)$ can not vanish in a certain interval unless it vanishes identically. The theorem we prove is the generalization of this fact to the case where $G(u)$ does not necessarily vanish for all $u > 0$.

Our theorem can be also considered as the Fourier transform analogue of a gap theorem for Fourier series due to Paley and Wiener.³⁾ We follow the line of argument used by them.

2. Our theorem runs as follows.

Theorem. *Suppose that $f(x)$ is the Fourier transform of a func-*

1) N. Levinson, On a class of non-vanishing functions, Proc. London Math. Soc., (2) **41** (1936).

2) E. Hille and J. D. Tamarkin, On a theorem of Paley and Wiener, Annals of Math., (2) **34** (1933).

” ” ” ” ” ” , A remark on Fourier transforms and functions analytic in a half-plane, Compositio Math., **1** (1934).

” ” ” ” ” ” , On the absolute integrability of Fourier transforms, Fund. Math., **25** (1935).

3) Paley and Wiener, Fourier transforms in the complex domain, Amer. Colloq. XIX, p. 123. Theorem 42.

N. Wiener, A class of gap theorems, Annali di Pisa, (2) **3** (1934).

See also T. Kawata, A gap theorem for the Fourier series of an almost periodic function, Tôhoku Math. Journ., **43** (1937).

tion $G(u)$ which belongs to $L_1(-\infty, \infty)$ and $L_2(-\infty, \infty)$ and $G(u)$ vanishes for $u > 0$ except in a sequence of non-overlapping intervals $I_n = (\mu_n - 1, \mu_n + 1)$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots$), where

$$(1) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\mu_{n+1} - \mu_n) = \infty,$$

and further that

$$(2) \quad 0 < A < \frac{G(u)}{G(v)} < B,$$

for $\mu_n - 1 < u, v < \mu_n + 1$, where A and B are constants independent of n . Then $f(x)$ can not vanish in any interval unless it vanishes identically in $(-\infty, \infty)$.

If besides the conditions of the theorem, $G(u)$ is zero for $-\infty < u < \infty$ except in the non-overlapping intervals $(\mu_n - 1, \mu_n + 1)$ ($n = \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots$), then we say that $f(x)$ satisfies the condition \mathfrak{A} .

We can prove this theorem easily from following two lemmas.

Lemma 1. If $f(x)$ satisfies the condition \mathfrak{A} , and $|\mu_n - \mu_{n+1}| > L > 4$, then we have

$$(3) \quad \int_{-\frac{C_1}{L}}^{\frac{C_1}{L}} |f(x)|^2 dx \geq C_2 \int_{-\frac{\pi}{L} + y}^{\frac{\pi}{L} + y} |f(x)|^2 dx$$

for every y , where $C_1 = 8A + \pi$, $C_2 = 1/A(8A + \pi)$.

$$\text{Since } \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{iux} e^{-i\lambda x} \frac{\sin \frac{Lx}{2}}{x} dx = \begin{cases} \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}}, & \text{for } |\lambda - u| < \frac{L}{2}, \\ 0, & \text{for } |\lambda - u| > \frac{L}{2}, \end{cases}$$

it results that

$$(4) \quad \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{iux} G(\mu_n + t) e^{-i(\mu_n + t)x} \frac{\sin \frac{Lx}{2}}{x} dx \\ = \begin{cases} \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}} G(\mu_n + t), & \text{for } |u - (\mu_n + t)| < \frac{L}{2}, \\ 0, & \text{for } |u - (\mu_n + t)| > \frac{L}{2}. \end{cases}$$

Application of Plancherel's theorem shows that

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left| \sum_{n=-N}^N G(\mu_n + t) e^{-i(\mu_n + t)x} \right|^2 \frac{\sin^2 \frac{Lx}{2}}{x^2} dx \\ = \pi L \sum_{n=-N}^N G^2(\mu_n + t).$$

Integrating both sides with respect to t and applying the Schwarz's inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\pi L}{2} \int_{-1}^1 \sum_{-N}^N G^2(\mu_n + t) dt \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\sin^2 \frac{Lx}{2}}{x^2} dx \int_{-1}^1 \sum_{-N}^N G(\mu_n + t) e^{-i(\mu_n + t)x} dt \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\sin^2 \frac{Lx}{2}}{x^2} dx \left| \int_{-1}^1 \sum_{-N}^N G(\mu_n + t) e^{-i(\mu_n + t)x} dt \right|^2. \end{aligned}$$

That is

$$\frac{\pi L}{2} \sum_{-N}^N \int_{\mu_n - 1}^{\mu_n + 1} G^2(u) du \geq \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\sin^2 \frac{Lx}{2}}{x^2} \left| \sum_{-N}^N \int_{\mu_n - 1}^{\mu_n + 1} G(u) e^{-iux} du \right|^2 dx.$$

Letting $N \rightarrow \infty$ we have

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} G^2(u) du \geq \pi^{-1} L^{-1} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\sin^2 \frac{Lx}{2}}{x^2} \left| \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} G(u) e^{-iux} du \right|^2 dx,$$

that is:

$$(5) \quad \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} G^2(u) du \geq \pi^{-1} L^{-1} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f(x)|^2 \frac{\sin^2 \frac{Lx}{2}}{x^2} dx.$$

Integrating both sides of (4) with respect to t from -1 to 1 , we get

$$\begin{aligned} & (2\pi)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{iux} \frac{\sin \frac{Lx}{2}}{x} dx \int_{-1}^1 G(\mu_n + t) e^{-i(\mu_n + t)x} dt \\ &= \begin{cases} \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}} \int_{-1}^1 G(\mu_n + t) dt, & \text{for } |u - \mu_n| < \frac{L}{2} - 1, \\ \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}} \int_1^{u - \mu_n + \frac{L}{2}} G(\mu_n + t) dt, & \text{for } \mu_n - \frac{L}{2} - 1 < u < \mu_n - \frac{L}{2} + 1, \\ \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}} \int_{u - \mu_n - \frac{L}{2}}^1 G(\mu_n + t) dt, & \text{for } \mu_n + \frac{L}{2} - 1 < u < \mu_n + \frac{L}{2} + 1, \\ 0 & \text{, otherwise,} \end{cases} \\ & \equiv \varphi(u), \quad \text{say.} \end{aligned}$$

$\varphi(u)$ vanishes except in $\mu_n - \frac{L}{2} - 1 < u < \mu_n + \frac{L}{2} + 1$ ($n=0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots$)

and by the condition (2) $G(u)$ takes the same sign in every interval $\mu_n - \frac{L}{2} < u < \mu_n + \frac{L}{2}$. From Plancherel's theorem we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (6) \quad & \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\sin^2 \frac{Lx}{2}}{x^2} dx \left| \sum_{-N}^N \int_{-1}^1 G(\mu_n + t) e^{-i(\mu_n + t)x} dt \right|^2 \\
 &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left| \sum_{-N}^N \varphi_n(u) \right|^2 du \\
 &\geq \frac{\pi}{2} (L-2) \sum_{-N}^N \left| \int_{-1}^1 G(\mu_n + t) dt \right|^2.
 \end{aligned}$$

Now by the condition (2), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \left| \int_{-1}^1 G(\mu_n + t) dt \right| &= \int_{-1}^1 |G(\mu_n + t)| dt = \int_{\mu_n - 1}^{\mu_n + 1} |G(u)| du, \\
 \int_{\mu_n - 1}^{\mu_n + 1} G^2(u) du &\leq |G(v)| \int_{\mu_n - 1}^{\mu_n + 1} |G(u)| du,
 \end{aligned}$$

where $|G(v)| = \text{Max}_{\mu_n - 1 \leq u \leq \mu_n + 1} |G(u)|$, which does not exceed $A|G(u)|$ and thus

$$|G(v)| \leq \frac{A}{2} \int_{\mu_n - 1}^{\mu_n + 1} |G(u)| du,$$

from which it results that

$$\int_{\mu_n - 1}^{\mu_n + 1} G^2(u) du \leq \frac{A}{2} \left(\int_{\mu_n - 1}^{\mu_n + 1} |G(u)| du \right)^2.$$

By (6) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 (7) \quad & \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\sin^2 \frac{Lx}{2}}{x^2} \left| \sum_{-N}^N \int_{-1}^1 G(\mu_n + t) e^{-i(\mu_n + t)x} dt \right|^2 dx \\
 &\geq \frac{\pi}{2} (L-2) \frac{2}{A} \sum_{-N}^N \left(\int_{\mu_n - 1}^{\mu_n + 1} G^2(u) du \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

Letting $N \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$(8) \quad \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f(x)|^2 \frac{\sin^2 \frac{Lx}{2}}{x^2} dx \geq \frac{\pi L}{2A} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} G^2(u) du \quad (L > 4).$$

Now by (5)

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} G^2(u) du \geq 2^{-1} \pi^{-2} L \int_{-\frac{\pi}{L}}^{\frac{\pi}{L}} |f(x)|^2 dx$$

and in general

$$(9) \quad \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} G^2(u) du \geq 2^{-1}\pi^{-2}L \int_{-\frac{\pi}{L}+y}^{\frac{\pi}{L}+y} |f(x)|^2 dx$$

for all y .

If $C > \frac{\pi}{L}$ and $C > 8A/L$, then by (9)

$$\int_{-C}^C |f(x)|^2 dx \leq 2\pi C \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} G^2(u) du.$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \int_C^{\infty} + \int_{-\infty}^C \frac{|f(x)|^2}{x^2} dx &= \left| -\frac{1}{C^2} \int_{-C}^C |f(x)|^2 dx + 2 \int_C^{\infty} \frac{dx}{x^3} \int_{-x}^x |f(\xi)|^2 d\xi \right| \\ &\leq \frac{2\pi}{C} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} G^2(u) du + \frac{2\pi}{C} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f(x)|^2 dx \\ &= \frac{2\pi}{C} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} G^2(u) du + \frac{2\pi}{C} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} G^2(u) du \\ &= \frac{4\pi}{C} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} G^2(u) du. \end{aligned}$$

Hence from (8)

$$\int_{-C}^C |f(x)|^2 \frac{\sin^2 \frac{Lx}{2}}{x^2} dx \geq \left[\frac{\pi L}{2A} - \frac{4\pi}{C} \right] \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} G^2(u) du.$$

Now let $C_1 = 8A + \pi$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-\frac{C_1}{L}}^{\frac{C_1}{L}} |f(x)|^2 dx &\geq \frac{4}{L^2} \int_{-\frac{C_1}{L}}^{\frac{C_1}{L}} |f(x)|^2 \frac{\sin^2 \frac{Lx}{2}}{x^2} dx \\ &\geq \frac{2\pi^2}{A(8A + \pi)} \frac{1}{L} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} G^2(u) du \\ &\geq \frac{1}{A(8A + \pi)} \int_{-\frac{\pi}{L}+y}^{\frac{\pi}{L}+y} |f(x)|^2 dx \end{aligned}$$

by (9), which proves the lemma.

Lemma 2. If $f(x)$ satisfies the condition \mathfrak{A} and $|\mu_n - \mu_{n+1}| > L$ and $G(u) = 0$ for $u < 0$, then $f(x)$ can not be analytic in (a, b) unless it is analytic in $(-\infty, \infty)$, where $a - b \geq 2C_1/L$, C_1 being the constant in Lemma 1.

This can be proved quite similarly as Paley and Wiener did, using Lemma 1.¹⁾ So that the proof will be left to the reader.

3. Proof of the theorem. Let $f(x)$ vanish in (a, b) . Take n_0 such that

$$\mu_{n+1} - \mu_n \geq \frac{2C_1}{b-a} + 2, \quad \text{for all } n \geq n_0,$$

where C_1 is the constant in Lemma 1. $\varphi(x) = f(x) e^{i(\mu_0+1)x}$ is also zero in (a, b) and it is the Fourier transform of the function $G(u + \mu_0 + 1) = G_1(u)$. The distances of centers of intervals on the positive real axis in which $G_1(u)$ does not identically vanish are not smaller than $2C_1/(b-a) + 2$.

$$\text{Let} \quad H_1(u) = \begin{cases} G_1(u), & \text{for } u > 0, \\ 0, & \text{for } u \leq 0, \end{cases}$$

$$H_2(u) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{for } u > 0, \\ G_1(u), & \text{for } u \leq 0. \end{cases}$$

Then plainly $G_1(u) = H_1(u) + H_2(u)$. Further let the Fourier transforms of $H_1(u)$ and $H_2(u)$ be $h_1(x)$ and $h_2(x)$ respectively. Then $\varphi(x) = h_1(x) + h_2(x)$. Since $H_1(u)$ and $H_2(u)$ are of $L_1(-\infty, \infty)$, $h_1(x)$ and $h_2(x)$ are continuous. And $h_1(x)$ is the boundary function of a function analytic in the lower half-plane by the Hille and Tamarkin's result and satisfies the condition in Lemma 2. $h_2(x)$ and hence $-h_2(x)$ is also the boundary of a function analytic in the upper half-plane. And on (a, b) , $h_1(x) = -h_2(x)$. Thus $h_1(x)$ and $h_2(x)$ are analytic on (a, b) . By Lemma 2 $h_1(x)$ is everywhere analytic. Hence $h_1(x) = -h_2(x)$ everywhere. Thus $h_1(x) + h_2(x) = \varphi(x)$ vanish identically in $(-\infty, \infty)$, or $f(x)$ vanishes identically which proves the theorem.

1) See Paley and Wiener, Fourier transforms in the complex domain, pp. 125-127.