

## 18. Notes on Divergent Series and Integrals.

By Shizuo KAKUTANI.

Mathematical Institute, Osaka Imperial University.

(Comm. by T. TAKAGI, M.I.A., Feb. 12, 1944.)

§1. The purpose of this paper is to prove the following two theorems:

*Theorem 1.* Let  $x(\omega)$  and  $y(\omega)$  be two real-valued non-negative measurable functions defined on the interval  $\Omega = \{\omega \mid 0 \leq \omega \leq 1\}$  of real numbers which are not necessarily integrable on  $\Omega$ . If

$$(1) \quad \int_E y(\omega) d\omega < \infty \quad \text{implies} \quad \int_E x(\omega) d\omega < \infty$$

for any measurable subset  $E$  of  $\Omega$ , then there exist a constant  $K$  and a real-valued non-negative measurable function  $z(\omega)$  defined and integrable on  $\Omega$  such that

$$(2) \quad x(\omega) \leq Ky(\omega) + z(\omega) \quad \text{for any} \quad \omega \in \Omega.$$

*Theorem 2.* Let  $\{a_n \mid n=1, 2, \dots\}$  and  $\{b_n \mid n=1, 2, \dots\}$  be two sequences of real non-negative numbers not greater than 1, for which the series  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$  and  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n$  are not necessarily convergent. If

$$(3) \quad \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_{n_k} < \infty \quad \text{implies} \quad \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{n_k} < \infty$$

for any subsequence  $\{n_k \mid k=1, 2, \dots\}$  of the sequence  $\{n \mid n=1, 2, \dots\}$  of all integers, then there exist a constant  $K$  and a sequence  $\{c_n \mid n=1, 2, \dots\}$  of real non-negative numbers, for which the series  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n$  is convergent, such that

$$(4) \quad a_n \leq Kb_n + c_n \quad \text{for} \quad n=1, 2, \dots$$

The proof of these theorems will be given in §3.

§2. Let  $\Omega$  be an arbitrary set and let  $\mathfrak{B} = \{E\}$  be a Borel field of subsets  $E$  of  $\Omega$ . Let further  $\varphi(E)$  be a countably additive measure defined on  $\mathfrak{B}$ . We admit the value  $+\infty$  for  $\varphi(E)$ ; but in case  $\varphi(\Omega) = \infty$ , it is assumed that there exists a sequence  $\{E_n \mid n=1, 2, \dots\}$  of sets  $E_n \in \mathfrak{B}$  such that  $\varphi(E_n) < \infty$ ,  $n=1, 2, \dots$  and  $\Omega = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n$ .

A countably additive measure  $\varphi(E)$  defined on  $\mathfrak{B}$  is *regular* if, for any  $E \in \mathfrak{B}$  with  $1 \leq \varphi(E) \leq \infty$ , there exists an  $E' \in \mathfrak{B}$  with  $E' \subseteq E$  and  $0 < \varphi(E') \leq 1$ . It is easy to see that, if  $\varphi(E)$  is a regular countably additive measure defined on  $\mathfrak{B}$ , then for any positive number  $M$  and for any  $E \in \mathfrak{B}$  with  $M \leq \varphi(E) \leq \infty$ , there exists an  $E' \in \mathfrak{B}$  with  $E' \subseteq E$  and  $M \leq \varphi(E') \leq M+1$ .

*Theorem 3.* Let  $\varphi(E)$  and  $\psi(E)$  be two regular countably additive measures defined on a Borel field  $\mathfrak{B} = \{E\}$  of subsets  $E$  of a set  $\Omega$ .

If

$$(5) \quad \psi(E) < \infty \quad \text{implies} \quad \varphi(E) < \infty,$$

then there exists a constant  $K$  such that

$$(6) \quad \varphi(E) \leq K\psi(E) + K \quad \text{for any } E \in \mathfrak{B}.$$

*Remark.* It is not difficult to see that from (6) follows the existence of a regular countably additive measure  $\chi(E)$  defined on  $\mathfrak{B}$  with  $\chi(\Omega) < \infty$  such that

$$(7) \quad \varphi(E) \leq K\psi(E) + \chi(E) \quad \text{for any } E \in \mathfrak{B}.$$

*Proof of Theorem 3.* We shall first show that there exists a constant  $K$  such that

$$(8) \quad \psi(E) \leq 2 \text{ implies } \varphi(E) \leq K \text{ for any } E \in \mathfrak{B}.$$

In fact, otherwise there would exist a sequence  $\{E_n \mid n=1, 2, \dots\}$  of subsets  $E_n$  of  $\Omega$  such that  $E_n \in \mathfrak{B}$ ,  $\psi(E_n) \leq 2$  and  $\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \varphi(E_k) + 2^{n+1} \leq \varphi(E_n) < \infty$ ,  $n=1, 2, \dots$ . Let us put  $E'_n = E_n - E_n \cap \bigcup_{k=1}^{n-1} E_k$ ,  $n=1, 2, \dots$ . Then it is clear that  $\{E'_n \mid n=1, 2, \dots\}$  are mutually disjoint and  $\psi(E'_n) \leq 2$ ,  $2^{n+1} \leq \varphi(E'_n) < \infty$ ,  $n=1, 2, \dots$ . Let us decompose each  $E'_n$  into  $2^n$  disjoint parts:  $E'_n = \bigcup_{p=1}^{2^n} E''_{n,p}$  in such a way that  $E''_{n,p} \in \mathfrak{B}$ ,  $p=1, \dots, 2^n$ ,  $1 \leq \varphi(E''_{n,p}) \leq 2$ ,  $p=1, \dots, 2^n-1$  and  $1 \leq \varphi(E''_{n,2^n})$ . This is possible since  $\varphi(E)$  is regular. Then it is clear that, for each  $n$ , there exists an integer  $p_n$  ( $1 \leq p_n \leq 2^n$ ) such that  $\psi(E''_{n,p_n}) \leq 2^{1-n}$ . Let us now put  $E^* = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E''_{n,p_n}$ . Then it is easy to see that  $\varphi(E^*) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \varphi(E''_{n,p_n}) \geq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 1 = \infty$  while  $\psi(E^*) \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \psi(E''_{n,p_n}) \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{1-n} = 2$  contrary to the assumption (5). Thus we see that there exists a constant  $K$  which satisfies (8).

Now, for any  $E \in \mathfrak{B}$  with  $\psi(E) < \infty$ , let  $n$  be a positive integer such that  $n-1 \leq \psi(E) < n$ . Let us then decompose  $E$  into  $m$  disjoint parts:  $E = \bigcup_{p=1}^m E_p$ , where  $m$  is an integer satisfying  $1 \leq m \leq n$ , in such a way that  $E_p \in \mathfrak{B}$ ,  $p=1, \dots, m$ ,  $1 \leq \psi(E_p) \leq 2$ ,  $p=1, \dots, m-1$ ,  $0 \leq \psi(E_m) \leq 2$ . This is possible since  $\psi(E)$  is regular by assumption. From this follows easily, because of (7), that  $\varphi(E) = \sum_{p=1}^m \varphi(E_p) \leq Km \leq Kn \leq K(\psi(E) + 1)$  as we wanted to prove.

§ 3. It is now easy to see that Theorem 3 implies Theorem 1.

We have only to put  $\varphi(E) = \int_E x(\omega) d\omega$  and  $\psi(E) = \int_E y(\omega) d\omega$ . Since  $\varphi(E)$  and  $\psi(E)$  are clearly regular, so we see that there exists a constant  $K$  which satisfies (6) or equivalently

$$(9) \quad \int_E x(\omega) d\omega \leq K \int_E y(\omega) d\omega + K \quad \text{for any measurable set } E.$$

If we now put

$$(10) \quad z(\omega) = \max(x(\omega) - Ky(\omega), 0),$$

then it is clear that (2) is satisfied. Further, by putting  $E_0 = \{\omega \mid z(\omega) \geq 0\}$  and  $E_n = \{\omega \mid y(\omega) \leq n\}$ ,  $n=1, 2, \dots$ , we see from (9) and (10) that

$$\int_{E_n} z(\omega) d\omega = \int_{E_n \cap E_0} z(\omega) d\omega = \int_{E_n \cap E_0} x(\omega) d\omega - K \int_{E_n \cap E_0} y(\omega) d\omega \leq K < \infty, \quad n=1, 2, \dots,$$

from which follows immediately that  $\int_{\Omega} z(\omega) d\omega \leq K < \infty$ .

In order to show that Theorem 3 implies Theorem 2, let us put  $\varphi(E) = \sum_{n \in E} a_n$  and  $\psi(E) = \sum_{n \in E} b_n$  where  $E = \{n_k | k=1, 2, \dots\}$  is an arbitrary (finite or infinite) subsequence of the sequence  $\Omega = \{n | n=1, 2, \dots\}$  of all positive integers. Since  $\varphi(E)$  and  $\psi(E)$  are clearly regular, so we see that there exists a constant  $K$  such that

$$(11) \quad \sum_{n \in E} a_n \leq K \sum_{n \in E} b_n + K$$

for any (finite or infinite) subsequence  $E$  of  $\Omega$ . If we now put

$$(12) \quad c_n = \max(a_n - Kb_n, 0), \quad n=1, 2, \dots,$$

then it is clear that (4) is satisfied. Further, by putting  $E_0 = \{n | c_n \geq 0\}$  we see from (11) and (12) that  $\sum_{n=1}^N c_n = \sum_{n \in E_0, n \leq N} c_n = \sum_{n \in E_0, n \leq N} a_n - K \sum_{n \in E_0, n \leq N} b_n \leq K < \infty$ ,  $N=1, 2, \dots$ , from which follows that  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n \leq K < \infty$ .

---