

56. Normed Rings and Spectral Theorems, V.

By Kôzaku YOSIDA.

Mathematical Institute, Nagoya Imperial University.

(Comm. by T. TAKAGI, M.I.A., May 12, 1944.)

1. *Introduction.* Recently, M. Krein¹⁾ published a generalisation of the Plancherel's theorem to the case of locally compact (=bicomact) abelian group. The result is much important, since it reveals the hitherto hidden algebraic character of the classical Fourier analysis. However, Krein's proof of the positivity of the functional J is somewhat complicated and moreover it seems that his paper lacks the proof of 3° which is the key to the proof of the positivity of J . The purpose of the present note is to show that a complete proof may be obtained by making use of the preceding note²⁾. It is also to be remarked that the theorem below constitutes an extension of 3° and that, by virtue of this extension, Krein's arguments may be much simplified.

2. *A theorem of positivity.* Let G be a locally compact, separable abelian group and let X be the group (without topology for the moment) of continuous characters $\chi(g)$ of G . Then, by Haar's invariant measure dg , we may define the linear space $L_p(G)$ ($\infty > p \geq 1$) of complex-valued measurable functions $x(g)$ such that $|x(g)|^p$ is summable over G :

$$(1) \quad \|x\|_p = \sqrt[p]{\int |x(g)|^p dg} < \infty.$$

A multiplication $x * y$ is introduced in $L_1(G)$ by the convolution:

$$(2) \quad x * y(g) = \int x(g-h)y(h)dh.$$

By adjoining formally³⁾ a unit e to $L_1(G)$ we obtain a normed ring $R(G)$ by the norm $\|z\|$ and the multiplication $*$:

$$(3) \quad \begin{cases} z = \lambda e + x(g), & \|z\| = |\lambda| + \|x\| \quad (\lambda = \text{complex number}), \\ z_1 = \lambda_1 e + x_1(g), & z_2 = \lambda_2 e + x_2(g), \\ z_1 * z_2 = \lambda_1 \lambda_2 e + \lambda_1 x_2(g) + \lambda_2 x_1(g) + x_1 * x_2(g). \end{cases}$$

Such ring is considered by I. Gelfand and D. Raikov⁴⁾.

We next introduce a new normed ring to be denoted as $\bar{R}_{op}(G)$. For any $x \in L_1(G)$ and for any $y \in L_2(G)$ we have

$$(4) \quad x * y(g) \in L_2(G), \quad \|x * y\|_2 \leq \|x\|_1 \cdot \|y\|_2,$$

* The cost of this research has been defrayed from the Scientific Research Expenditure of the Department of Education.

1) C. R. URSS, **30** (1941), No. 6.

2) Proc. **19** (1943), p. 356. This note will be referred to as (I).

3) The trivial case of the discrete group G is excluded in the following lines.

4) C. R. URSS, **28** (1940), No. 3.

since, by the invariance of Haar's measure,

$$\begin{aligned} \int |x * y(g)|^2 dg &\leq \int \left| \int |x(g-h)|^{\frac{1}{2}} |x(g-h)|^{\frac{1}{2}} |y(h)| dh \right|^2 dg \\ &\leq \int \left\{ \int |x(g-h)| dh \cdot \int |x(g-h)| |y(h)|^2 dh \right\} dg \leq \|x\|_1^2 \cdot \|y\|_2^2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $x \in L_1(G)$ induces a linear operator T_x of the Hilbert space $L_2(G)$:

$$(5) \quad T_x \cdot y = x * y, \quad \|T_x\| = \sup_{\|y\|_2 \leq 1} \|T_x \cdot y\|_2 \leq \|x\|_1.$$

The set $\{T_x; x \in L_1(G)\}$ together with the identity operator E constitute a ring $R_{op}(G)$ isomorphic to the ring $R(G)$ by the correspondence $x \leftrightarrow T_x$:

$$(6) \quad \begin{cases} e \leftrightarrow E, & ax \leftrightarrow aT_x, & x+y \leftrightarrow T_x+T_y, & x*y \leftrightarrow T_xT_y, \\ x^* \leftrightarrow T_x^*, & \text{where } x^*(g) = \overline{x(-g)} \text{ and } T^* = \text{adjoint of } T. \end{cases}$$

The closure $\bar{R}_{op}(G)$ by the topology defined by the norm $\|T\|$ of the ring $R_{op}(G)$ surely constitutes a normed ring by the norm $\|T\|$.

It is proved in (I) that $\bar{R}_{op}(G)$ is representable isomorphically as a function ring $R(\bar{\mathfrak{M}})$ of complex-valued continuous functions $T(\bar{M})$ on the compact Hausdorff space $\bar{\mathfrak{M}}$ of all the maximal ideals \bar{M} of $\bar{R}_{op}(G)$:

$$(7) \quad T \leftrightarrow T(\bar{M}), \quad E \leftrightarrow E(\bar{M}) \equiv 1,$$

such that

$$(8) \quad \begin{cases} \|T\| = \sup_{\bar{M}} |T(\bar{M})|, \\ T(\bar{M}) \text{ is real-valued if and only if } T \text{ is symmetric } (T=T^*), \\ T(\bar{M}) \text{ is non-negative-valued if and only if } T \text{ is symmetric} \\ \text{and positive definite (as operator).} \end{cases}$$

Hence

$$(9) \quad \begin{cases} T_z(\bar{M}) \text{ is real-valued if and only if } z=z^* \text{ viz.} \\ \lambda = \bar{\lambda} \text{ and } x(g) = x^*(g) = \overline{x(-g)}, \\ T_z(\bar{M}) \text{ is non-negative-valued if and only if } z=z^* \text{ and} \\ (T_z \cdot y; y) = z * y * y^*(0) \geq 0 \text{ for all } y \in L_2(G). \end{cases}$$

Lemma 1. Let \bar{M} be a maximal ideal of $\bar{R}_{op}(G)$, then the image $\bar{M} \subseteq R(G)$ of $\bar{M} \cap R_{op}(G)$ by the correspondence $z \leftrightarrow T_z = \lambda E + T_x$ is a maximal ideal of $R(G)$.

Proof. Since $T_z \equiv (\lambda + T_x(\bar{M}))E \pmod{\bar{M}}$, we have $z \equiv (\lambda + T_x(\bar{M}))e \pmod{\bar{M}}$.
Q. E. D.

Now, by Gelfand-Raikov's results¹⁾, we have

$$(10) \quad \begin{cases} \lambda + T_x(\overline{M_\infty}) = \lambda & \text{if } M_\infty = L_1(G), \\ \lambda + T_x(\overline{M}) = \lambda + \int x(g)\chi_M(g)dg, & \chi_M \in X, \text{ if } M \neq L_1(G). \end{cases}$$

Therefore, by (9), (10) and the proof of the Lemma 1, we obtain the

Theorem. Let the Fourier transform $(P \cdot z)(\chi) = \varphi_z(\chi)$ of $z = \lambda e + x \in R(G)$ be defined by

$$(11) \quad (P \cdot z)(\chi) = \varphi_z(\chi) = \lambda + \int x(g)\chi(g)dg \quad (\chi \in X).$$

If $\varphi_z(\chi)$ is real-valued on X , then $z = z^*$. If $\varphi_z(\chi)$ is non-negative-valued on X , then $z = z^*$ and $z * y * y^*(0) \geq 0$ for all $y \in L_2(G)$.

Corollary. Let $x(g) \in L_1(G)$ be continuous on G , viz. let $x \in L_1(G)$

$C(G)$ and let $\varphi_x(\chi) = \int x(g)\chi(g)dg \geq 0$ on X , then $x(g)$ is positive definite in Bochner's sense:

$$x * y * y^*(0) \geq 0 \quad \text{for all } y \in L_1(G).$$

Thus a complete proof of 3° is obtained.

2. *Plancherel's theorem.* The Fourier transform P is defined by Krein only for $x \in L_1(G)$, $L_2(G)$. Our extension of P for all $z \in R(G)$ together with the theorem (instead of the corollary) will much simplify Krein's proof of the Plancherel's theorem.

Following Krein, we introduce the additive homogeneous functional $J(\varphi_z(\chi))$:

$$(12) \quad J(\varphi_z(\chi)) = \lambda + x(0) \quad \text{for } z = \lambda e + x, \quad x(g) \in L_1(G) \cap C(G).$$

Lemma 2. J is positive viz. $J(\varphi_z(\chi)) \geq 0$ if $\varphi_z(\chi) \geq 0$ on X .

Proof. Since, by the theorem,

$$z * y * y^*(0) = \lambda \int \int y(s)\overline{y(t)}dsdt + \int \int x(s-t)y(s)\overline{y(t)}dsdt \geq 0$$

for all $y \in L_2(G)$,

we have $\lambda + x(0) \geq 0$.

Q. E. D.

Therefore J is a linear (=continuous additive) functional on the space of the continuous functions $\varphi_z(\chi)$, where $z = \lambda e + x$, $x \in L_1(G) \cap C(G)$. Here the topology on X is defined by the weak topology induced by the neighbourhood:

$$(13) \quad U(\chi_0) = \{ \chi ; |\varphi_{z_i}(\chi) - \varphi_{z_i}(\chi_0)| < \varepsilon, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n \}.$$

Moreover we have

1) See 4).

$$(14) \quad J(\varphi_z(\chi + \chi_1)) = J(\varphi_z(\chi)) \quad \text{for any } \chi_1 \in X,$$

$$(15) \quad x(g) = J(\varphi_z(\chi) \cdot \chi(-g)), \quad x \in L_1(G) \cap C(G),$$

since

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_z(\chi + \chi_1) &= \lambda + \int x(g)(\chi\chi_1)(g)dg = \lambda + \int \{x(g)\chi_1(g)\}\chi(g)dg, \\ \int x(g+h)\chi(h)dh &= \int x(g+h)\chi(g+h)\chi(-g)dh = \chi(-g) \int x(k)\chi(k)dk \\ &\quad \text{(by the invariance of the Haar's measure).} \end{aligned}$$

Now define a formal character $\chi_\infty(g)$ by

$$(16) \quad \varphi_z(\chi_\infty) = \lambda, \quad z = \lambda e + x,$$

then the set $X \cup \chi_\infty$ is compact separable by the weak topology defined by the neighbourhood (13), since $X \cup \chi_\infty$ corresponds to the totality of the maximal ideals M of $R(G)$ in one to one manner¹⁾:

$$\chi_M \leftrightarrow M, \quad z \equiv \varphi_z(\chi_M)e \pmod{M}.$$

Thus X is a locally compact separable abelian group.

Since $x \in L_1(G) \cap C(G)$ is dense in $L_1(G)$, $\{\varphi_z(\chi); z = \lambda e + x, x \in L_1(G) \cap C(G)\}$ is dense²⁾ (by the topology of the uniform convergence on $X \cup \chi_\infty$) in the space of complex-valued continuous functions on $X \cup \chi_\infty$.

Thus the positive linear functional J is of the form

$$(17) \quad J(\varphi_z(\chi)) = \int_{X \cup \chi_\infty} \varphi_z(\chi) d\chi,$$

with a measure $d\chi$ countably additive on Borel sets $\subseteq X \cup \chi_\infty$. By (16), we have $\varphi_z(\chi_\infty) = 0$ and hence

$$(18) \quad x(0) = J(\varphi_x(\chi)) = \int_X \varphi_x(\chi) d\chi \quad \text{for } x \in L_1(G) \cap C(G).$$

Because of the invariance (14), the measure $d\chi$ in (18) must be the Haar measure on X . Thus we obtain, by (11) and (15), the duality relation for $x \in L_1(G) \cap C(G)$:

$$(19) \quad \begin{cases} \varphi_x(\chi) = \int_G x(g)\chi(g)dg, & x(g) = \int_X \varphi_x(\chi)\chi(-g)d\chi, \\ \text{where } dg, d\chi \text{ being respectively Haar measures on } G, X. \end{cases}$$

Let $y \in L_1(G) \cap L_2(G)$, then, since $x = y * y^* \in L_1(G) \cap C(G)$, we have by (18)

$$x(0) = \int |y(g)|^2 dg = \int \varphi_x(\chi) d\chi = \int \varphi_y(\chi)\varphi_{y^*}(\chi) d\chi = \int |\varphi_y(\chi)|^2 d\chi$$

1) See 4). The separability follows from the separability of the normed ring $R(G)$: Cf. I. Gelfand's paper in *Rec. Math.*, **9** (1941), No. 1.

2) See 4).

and thus $(P \cdot y)(\chi) \in L_2(X)$ and

$$(20) \quad \begin{cases} \|P \cdot y\|_2 = \int_X |\varphi_\nu(\chi)|^2 d\chi = \|y\|_2 = \int_G |y(g)|^2 dg, \\ Q(P \cdot y) = y, \quad \text{where} \quad (Q \cdot \varphi)(g) = \int_X \varphi(\chi)\chi(-g)d\chi, \end{cases}$$

by (19). Since $L_1(G) \cap L_2(G)$ is dense in $L_2(G)$, (20) is valid also for all $y \in L_2(G)$.

Thus we arrived at the generalised Plancherel's theorem.
