

# Ergodic characterizations of character amenability and contractibility of Banach algebras

Rasoul Nasr-Isfahani

Mehdi Nemati

## Abstract

For a nonzero character  $\phi$  on a Banach algebra  $\mathfrak{A}$ , we investigate some relations between  $\phi$ -amenability of  $\mathfrak{A}$  and ergodic theory. As the main result, we give a characterization for  $\phi$ -amenability of  $\mathfrak{A}$  in terms of antirepresentations of  $\mathfrak{A}$  on a Banach space.

## 1 Introduction

Throughout, let  $\mathfrak{A}$  denote a Banach algebra and let  $\phi \in \sigma(\mathfrak{A})$ , the set of all nonzero characters from  $\mathfrak{A}$  onto  $\mathbb{C}$ . The notion of  $\phi$ -amenability of  $\mathfrak{A}$  was introduced and studied by Kaniuth, Lau and Pym [4]; see also Hu, Monfared and Traynor [3] and Kaniuth, Lau and Pym [5].

This is a considerable generalization of the concept of left amenability for a Lau algebra; that is, a Banach algebra  $\mathfrak{L}$  which is the predual of a  $W^*$ -algebra  $\mathfrak{M}$  such that the identity  $u$  of  $\mathfrak{M}$  is a character on  $\mathfrak{L}$ ; the class of Lau algebras was introduced and studied by Lau [8] in 1983 which he called  $F$ -algebras. Later on, in his useful monograph, Pier [16] introduced the name “Lau algebra”. Several authors have studied and investigated the concept of left amenability of Lau algebras; see for example Lau [9], Lau and Wong [10], Mohammadzadeh and the first author [11] and the first author [13, 14].

---

Received by the editors August 2010 - In revised form in December 2010.

Communicated by F. Bastin.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification* : Primary 46H05. Secondary 43A07, 43A15.

*Key words and phrases* : Banach algebra, character amenable, character contractible, ergodic antirepresentation.

Moreover, the concept of  $\phi$ -contractibility was introduced and studied by Hu, Monfared and Traynor [3]; see also [1] and [15].

Our aim in this paper is to give some characterizations of  $\phi$ -amenability and  $\phi$ -contractibility in terms of  $\phi$ -ergodic antirepresentations of  $\mathfrak{A}$ . In order to establish these results, we introduce  $\phi$ -ergodic antirepresentations of  $\mathfrak{A}$  and give a version of Mean Ergodic Theorem for  $\mathfrak{A}$ .

## 2 Ergodic antirepresentations

For a nonzero character  $\phi$  on a Banach algebra  $\mathfrak{A}$ , consider the semigroup

$$S_1(\mathfrak{A}, \phi) = \{a \in \mathfrak{A} : \phi(a) = 1\}.$$

Let  $\mathfrak{X}$  be a Banach space. For the Banach space  $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{X})$  of all bounded operators on  $\mathfrak{X}$ , recall that the *strong operator topology* on  $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{X})$  is the locally convex topology determined by the family  $\{\mathcal{P}_\xi : \xi \in \mathfrak{X}\}$  of seminorms on  $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{X})$ , where

$$\mathcal{P}_\xi(A) = \|A(\xi)\|$$

for all  $\xi \in \mathfrak{X}$  and  $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{X})$ .

An *antirepresentation*  $T$  of  $\mathfrak{A}$  on  $\mathfrak{X}$  is a norm continuous, linear map  $T : a \mapsto T_a$  from  $\mathfrak{A}$  into  $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{X})$  such that

$$T_{ab} = T_b T_a$$

for all  $a, b \in \mathfrak{A}$ . In this case, we put

$$\begin{aligned} K(T, \phi) &= \cap \{ \text{kernel } (T_a - I) : a \in S_1(\mathfrak{A}, \phi) \}, \\ R(T, \phi) &= \text{The closure of the span of } \cup \{ \text{range } (T_a - I) : a \in S_1(\mathfrak{A}, \phi) \}, \\ \Sigma(T, \phi) &= K(T, \phi) + R(T, \phi), \text{ and} \\ C_\xi(T, \phi) &= \text{The closure of } \{T_a(\xi) : a \in S_1(\mathfrak{A}, \phi)\}, \end{aligned}$$

for all  $\xi \in \mathfrak{X}$ . Note that  $K(T, \phi)$  and  $R(T, \phi)$  are closed subspaces of  $\mathfrak{X}$ .

We say that an antirepresentation  $T$  of  $\mathfrak{A}$  on a Banach space  $\mathfrak{X}$  is  $\phi$ -ergodic if there is a bounded net  $(E_\gamma)_{\gamma \in \Gamma}$  in  $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{X})$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{E}_\ell) \quad & E_\gamma(T_a - I) \rightarrow 0 \text{ in the strong operator topology for all } a \in S_1(\mathfrak{A}, \phi), \\ (\mathcal{E}_c) \quad & E_\gamma(\xi) \in C_\xi(T, \phi) \text{ for all } \xi \in \mathfrak{X} \text{ and } \gamma \in \Gamma. \end{aligned}$$

In order to demonstrate broader interest on the subject, let us point out that the sets  $\ker(T - I)$  and  $\overline{(T - I)(\mathfrak{A})}$  are considered in when  $T$  is a power bounded operator on a commutative Banach algebra  $\mathfrak{A}$  in the interesting recent papers [6, 7] by Kaniuth, Lau and Ülger.

We commence with the following version of the Mean Ergodic Theorem for an arbitrary Banach algebras.

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let  $\mathfrak{A}$  be a Banach algebra with  $\phi \in \sigma(\mathfrak{A})$  and let  $T$  be a  $\phi$ -ergodic antirepresentation of  $\mathfrak{A}$  on a Banach space  $\mathfrak{X}$  with  $(E_\gamma)_{\gamma \in \Gamma}$  satisfying  $(\mathcal{E}_\ell)$  and  $(\mathcal{E}_c)$ . Then the following statements hold.*

(a)  $E_\gamma(\xi_K) = \xi_K$  for all  $\xi_K \in K(T, \phi)$  and  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  and  $E_\gamma(\xi_R) \rightarrow 0$  for all  $\xi_R \in R(T, \phi)$ . In particular, for each  $\xi \in \Sigma(T, \phi)$ , the net  $(E_\gamma(\xi))_{\gamma \in \Gamma}$  is norm convergent to an element of  $K(T, \phi) \cap C_{\bar{\xi}}(T, \phi)$ .

(b)  $\Sigma(T, \phi) = K(T, \phi) \oplus R(T, \phi)$ .

(c)  $T_a(\Sigma(T, \phi)) \subseteq \Sigma(T, \phi)$  for all  $a \in S_1(\mathfrak{A}, \phi)$ .

(d)  $C_{\bar{\xi}}(T, \phi) \subseteq \Sigma(T, \phi)$  for all  $\xi \in \Sigma(T, \phi)$ .

(e)  $E_\gamma(\Sigma(T, \phi)) \subseteq \Sigma(T, \phi)$  for all  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ .

(f) If  $P : \Sigma(T, \phi) \rightarrow K(T, \phi)$  is the projection associated with the direct sum

$$\Sigma(T, \phi) = K(T, \phi) \oplus R(T, \phi),$$

then  $E_\gamma(\xi) \rightarrow P(\xi)$  and  $K(T, \phi) \cap C_{\bar{\xi}}(T, \phi) = \{P(\xi)\}$  for all  $\xi \in \Sigma(T, \phi)$ .

*Proof.* (a). First, note that  $C_{\bar{\xi}_K}(T, \phi) = \{\xi_K\}$  for all  $\xi_K \in K(T, \phi)$  and so

$$E_\gamma(\xi_K) = \xi_K$$

for all  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  by  $(\mathcal{E}_c)$ . It follows from  $(\mathcal{E}_\ell)$  that  $E_\gamma(\eta) \rightarrow 0$  for all

$$\eta \in \cup \{ \text{range}(T_a - I) : a \in S_1(\mathfrak{A}, \phi) \}.$$

This together with the fact that  $(E_\gamma)$  is bounded imply that  $E_\gamma(\xi_R) \rightarrow 0$  for all  $\xi_R \in R(T, \phi)$ .

(b).  $K(T, \phi) \cap R(T, \phi) = \{0\}$  by (a), and hence

$$\Sigma(T, \phi) = K(T, \phi) \oplus R(T, \phi).$$

(c). If  $a, b \in S_1(\mathfrak{A}, \phi)$ , then  $ba \in S_1(\mathfrak{A}, \phi)$  and so for each  $\xi \in \mathfrak{X}$ ,

$$T_a(T_b - I)(\xi) = (T_{ba} - I)(\xi) - (T_a - I)(\xi) \in R(T, \phi).$$

This shows that  $T_a(R(T, \phi)) \subseteq R(T, \phi)$  whence

$$T_a(\Sigma(T, \phi)) \subseteq \Sigma(T, \phi).$$

(d). Fix  $\xi \in \Sigma(T, \phi)$ . To prove  $C_{\bar{\xi}}(T, \phi) \subseteq \Sigma(T, \phi)$ , let  $\eta \in C_{\bar{\xi}}(T, \phi)$ . Then there is a net  $(a_\delta)$  in  $S_1(\mathfrak{A}, \phi)$  such that  $T_{a_\delta}(\xi) \rightarrow \eta$ . Write

$$\xi = \xi_K + \xi_R,$$

where  $\xi_K \in K(T, \phi)$  and  $\xi_R \in R(T, \phi)$ . Since  $T_{a_\delta}(R(T, \phi)) \subseteq R(T, \phi)$  and  $R(T, \phi)$  is closed, it follows that

$$\eta - \xi_K = \lim_\delta T_{a_\delta}(\xi - \xi_K) = \lim_\delta T_{a_\delta}(\xi_R) \in R(T, \phi)$$

So, we have shown that  $C_{\bar{\xi}}(T, \phi) \subseteq \Sigma(T, \phi)$  for all  $\xi \in \Sigma(T, \phi)$ .

(e). The inclusion  $E_\gamma(\Sigma(T, \phi)) \subseteq \Sigma(T, \phi)$  follows from the part (d) and  $(\mathcal{E}_c)$ .

(f). The first assertion follows from (a). For the second, fix  $\xi \in \Sigma(T, \phi)$  and note that

$$P(\xi) \in K(T, \phi) \cap C_{\bar{\xi}}(T, \phi)$$

by (a). To prove the converse inclusion, let  $\eta \in K(T, \phi) \cap C_{\bar{\zeta}}(T, \phi)$ . Then

$$T_{a_\delta}(\bar{\zeta}) \rightarrow \eta$$

for some net  $(a_\delta)$  in  $S_1(\mathfrak{A}, \phi)$ , and therefore

$$\eta - \bar{\zeta} = \lim_{\delta} (T_{a_\delta} - I)(\bar{\zeta}) \in R(T, \phi).$$

Consequently,  $P(\eta - \bar{\zeta}) = 0$  and so  $\eta = P(\bar{\zeta})$  as required. ■

For  $\phi \in \sigma(\mathfrak{A})$ , we say that an antirepresentation  $T$  of  $\mathfrak{A}$  on a Banach space  $\mathfrak{X}$  is *two-sided  $\phi$ -ergodic* if there is a bounded net  $(E_\gamma)_{\gamma \in \Gamma}$  in  $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{X})$  satisfying  $(\mathcal{E}_\ell)$ ,  $(\mathcal{E}_c)$ , and

$$(\mathcal{E}_r) \quad (T_a - I)E_\gamma \rightarrow 0 \text{ in the strong operator topology for all } a \in S_1(\mathfrak{A}, \phi).$$

Theorem 2.1 does not give the closedness of  $\Sigma(T, \phi)$  in  $\mathfrak{X}$ . The following result shows that  $\Sigma(T, \phi)$  is closed in  $\mathfrak{X}$  if in addition  $(\mathcal{E}_r)$  holds.

**Proposition 2.2.** *Let  $\mathfrak{A}$  be a Banach algebra with  $\phi \in \sigma(\mathfrak{A})$  and let  $T$  be a two-sided  $\phi$ -ergodic antirepresentation of  $\mathfrak{A}$  on a Banach space  $\mathfrak{X}$  with  $(E_\gamma)_{\gamma \in \Gamma}$  satisfying  $(\mathcal{E}_\ell)$ ,  $(\mathcal{E}_c)$ , and  $(\mathcal{E}_r)$ . Then  $\Sigma(T, \phi)$  is the closed subspace of  $\mathfrak{X}$  consisting of all  $\bar{\zeta} \in \mathfrak{X}$  such that  $(E_\delta(\bar{\zeta}))$  is weakly convergent in  $\mathfrak{X}$  for some subnet  $(E_\delta)$  of  $(E_\gamma)$ .*

*Proof.* Using Theorem 2.1(a),  $(E_\gamma(\bar{\zeta}))$  is norm (and hence weakly) convergent to an element of  $K(T, \phi) \cap C_{\bar{\zeta}}(T, \phi)$  for all  $\bar{\zeta} \in \Sigma(T, \phi)$ .

Now, let  $\bar{\zeta} \in \mathfrak{X}$  and suppose that  $(E_\delta(\bar{\zeta}))$  is weakly convergent to  $\eta \in \mathfrak{X}$  for some subnet  $(E_\delta)$  of  $(E_\gamma)$ . We must show that

$$\bar{\zeta} \in \Sigma(T, \phi).$$

Note that  $\eta \in C_{\bar{\zeta}}(T, \phi)$  because  $C_{\bar{\zeta}}(T, \phi)$  is convex in  $\mathfrak{X}$  and  $E_\delta(\bar{\zeta}) \in C_{\bar{\zeta}}(T, \phi)$  for all  $\delta$ . Also, by  $(\mathcal{E}_r)$ , for each  $a \in S_1(\mathfrak{A}, \phi)$  and  $f \in \mathfrak{X}^*$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} f(T_a(\eta)) &= \lim_{\delta} (f \circ T_a)(E_\delta(\bar{\zeta})) \\ &= \lim_{\delta} [(f \circ (T_a - I))(E_\delta(\bar{\zeta})) + f(E_\delta(\bar{\zeta}))] \\ &= f(\eta), \end{aligned}$$

and so  $T_a(\eta) = \eta$  whence

$$\eta \in K(T, \phi) \cap C_{\bar{\zeta}}(T, \phi).$$

It follows that  $\bar{\zeta} = \eta + (\bar{\zeta} - \eta) \in K(T, \phi) + R(T, \phi) = \Sigma(T, \phi)$ . ■

As a consequence of the above Proposition, we have the following result.

**Corollary 2.3.** *Let  $T$ ,  $\mathfrak{X}$ , and  $(E_\gamma)$  be as in the above proposition. Then  $\Sigma(T, \phi) = \mathfrak{X}$  if  $C_{\bar{\zeta}}(T, \phi)$  is weakly compact for all  $\bar{\zeta} \in \mathfrak{X}$ .*

### 3 Ergodic characterization of $\phi$ -amenability

Let  $\mathfrak{A}$  be a Banach algebra and let  $\phi \in \sigma(\mathfrak{A})$ . The Banach algebra  $\mathfrak{A}$  is called  $\phi$ -amenable if there exists a bounded linear functional  $F$  on  $\mathfrak{A}^*$  satisfying

$$F(\phi) = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad F(f \cdot a) = \phi(a)F(f)$$

for all  $a \in \mathfrak{A}$  and  $f \in \mathfrak{A}^*$ ; here  $f \cdot a \in \mathfrak{A}^*$  is defined by  $(f \cdot a)(b) = f(ab)$  for all  $b \in \mathfrak{A}$ . Any such  $F$  is called a  $\phi$ -mean; see also [12].

Recall that a  $\phi$ -approximate diagonal for  $\mathfrak{A}$  is a net  $(\mathbf{m}_\gamma)$  in  $\mathfrak{A} \widehat{\otimes} \mathfrak{A}$  such that

$$\phi(\pi(\mathbf{m}_\gamma)) = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \|a \cdot \mathbf{m}_\gamma - \phi(a)\mathbf{m}_\gamma\| \rightarrow 0$$

for all  $a \in \mathfrak{A}$ , where  $\pi$  denotes the product morphism from  $\mathfrak{A} \widehat{\otimes} \mathfrak{A}$  into  $\mathfrak{A}$  given by

$$\pi(a \otimes b) = ab$$

for all  $a, b \in \mathfrak{A}$ . The notion of  $\phi$ -approximate diagonal was introduced and studied by Hu, Monfared and Traynor [3]. They show that  $\mathfrak{A}$  has a  $\phi$ -mean if and only if it has a bounded  $\phi$ -approximate diagonal.

For a Banach algebra  $\mathfrak{A}$ , let  $\Lambda$  denote the antirepresentation of  $\mathfrak{A}$  on  $\mathfrak{A}^*$  defined by

$$\Lambda_a(f) = f \cdot a$$

for all  $a \in \mathfrak{A}$  and  $f \in \mathfrak{A}^*$ . We now are ready to give a characterization of  $\phi$ -amenability of  $\mathfrak{A}$ .

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $\mathfrak{A}$  be a Banach algebra and let  $\phi \in \sigma(\mathfrak{A})$ . Then the following assertions are equivalent.*

- (a)  $\mathfrak{A}$  is  $\phi$ -amenable.
- (b) Each antirepresentation of  $\mathfrak{A}$  is  $\phi$ -ergodic.
- (c) The antirepresentation  $\Lambda$  is  $\phi$ -ergodic.

*Proof.* (a) $\Rightarrow$ (b). Let  $T$  be an antirepresentation of  $\mathfrak{A}$  on a Banach space  $\mathfrak{X}$ . Since  $\mathfrak{A}$  is  $\phi$ -amenable, it has a bounded  $\phi$ -approximate diagonal  $(\mathbf{m}_\gamma)_{\gamma \in \Gamma}$ . Thus

$$\pi(\mathbf{m}_\gamma) \in S_1(\mathfrak{A}, \phi) \quad \text{and} \quad \|a\pi(\mathbf{m}_\gamma) - \pi(\mathbf{m}_\gamma)\| \rightarrow 0$$

for all  $a \in S_1(\mathfrak{A}, \phi)$ . So, if we put

$$E_\gamma = T_{\pi(\mathbf{m}_\gamma)}$$

for all  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ , then  $(E_\gamma)$  is bounded in  $B(\mathfrak{X})$  and

$$\begin{aligned} \|E_\gamma(T_a - I)\| &= \|T_{a\pi(\mathbf{m}_\gamma) - \pi(\mathbf{m}_\gamma)}\| \\ &\leq \|T\| \|a\pi(\mathbf{m}_\gamma) - \pi(\mathbf{m}_\gamma)\| \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

for all  $a \in S_1(\mathfrak{A}, \phi)$ ; that is,  $(E_\gamma)_{\gamma \in \Gamma}$  satisfies  $(\mathcal{E}_\ell)$ . The condition  $(\mathcal{E}_c)$  is also satisfied because  $E_\gamma(\xi) = T_{\pi(\mathbf{m}_\gamma)}(\xi) \in C_\xi(T, \phi)$  for all  $\xi \in \mathfrak{X}$  and  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ .

(b) $\Rightarrow$ (c). Clear.

(c) $\Rightarrow$ (a). Let  $\Lambda$  be as in (c). Then

$$\Lambda_a \phi = \phi \cdot a = \phi$$

for all  $a \in S_1(\mathfrak{A}, \phi)$ . That is  $\phi \in K(\Lambda, \phi)$ , and hence  $\phi \notin R(\Lambda, \phi)$  by Theorem 2.1(b). Using the Hahn-Banach Theorem, we may find a nonzero element  $F$  of  $\mathfrak{A}^{**}$  such that  $F(\phi) = 1$  and  $F|_{R(\Lambda, \phi)} = 0$ . Thus

$$F(f \cdot a) = F(f)$$

for all  $a \in S_1(\mathfrak{A}, \phi)$  and  $f \in \mathfrak{A}^*$  and hence  $\mathfrak{A}$  is  $\phi$ -amenable.  $\blacksquare$

Recall that the Banach algebra  $\mathfrak{A}$  is called two-sided  $\phi$ -amenable if it has a two-sided  $\phi$ -mean; i.e., an element  $F \in \mathfrak{A}^{**}$  with  $F(\phi) = 1$  and

$$F(f \cdot a) = F(a \cdot f) = F(f)$$

for all  $a \in S_1(\mathfrak{A}, \phi)$  and  $f \in \mathfrak{A}^*$ . Suppose that  $\mathfrak{A}$  is two-sided  $\phi$ -amenable. Then there is a bounded net  $(a_\gamma)_{\gamma \in \Gamma}$  in  $S_1(\mathfrak{A}, \phi)$  such that

$$\|aa_\gamma - a_\gamma\| + \|a_\gamma a - a_\gamma\| \rightarrow 0$$

for all  $a \in S_1(\mathfrak{A}, \phi)$ ; see [5], Proposition 3.3. Thus

$$\mathbf{m}_\mathfrak{A} := a_\gamma \otimes a_\gamma$$

is a two-sided  $\phi$ -approximate diagonal in  $\mathfrak{A} \widehat{\otimes} \mathfrak{A}$ ; i.e.,  $\phi(\pi(\mathbf{m}_\mathfrak{A})) = 1$  and

$$\|a \cdot \mathbf{m}_\gamma - \mathbf{m}_\gamma\| + \|\mathbf{m}_\gamma \cdot a - \mathbf{m}_\gamma\| \rightarrow 0$$

for all  $a \in S_1(\mathfrak{A}, \phi)$ . So, if we put  $E_\gamma = T_{\pi(\mathbf{m}_\gamma)}$  for all  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ , then as in the above proof  $(E_\gamma)_{\gamma \in \Gamma}$  satisfies the conditions  $(\mathcal{E}_\ell)$ ,  $(\mathcal{E}_c)$ , and  $(\mathcal{E}_r)$ .

Notice that if  $\mathfrak{A}$  is commutative and  $\phi$ -amenable, then  $\mathfrak{A}$  is automatically two-sided  $\phi$ -amenable. Therefore, two-sided  $\phi$ -amenable Banach algebras form a large class of Banach algebras such that for any antirepresentation  $T$  of such algebras on a Banach space  $\mathfrak{X}$ , there is a net  $(E_\gamma)_{\gamma \in \Gamma}$  satisfying  $(\mathcal{E}_\ell)$ ,  $(\mathcal{E}_c)$ , and  $(\mathcal{E}_r)$ .

Let  $\mathfrak{A}$  be a Banach algebra and let  $\phi \in \sigma(\mathfrak{A})$ . For an antirepresentation  $T$  of  $\mathfrak{A}$  on a Banach space  $\mathfrak{X}$ , let  $N(T, \phi)$  denote the set of all  $\xi \in \mathfrak{X}$  for which there exists a net  $(a_\gamma)_{\gamma \in \Gamma}$  in  $S_1(\mathfrak{A}, \phi)$  such that

$$\|T_{a_\gamma}(\xi)\| \rightarrow 0.$$

**Theorem 3.2.** *Let  $\mathfrak{A}$  be a Banach algebra and let  $\phi \in \sigma(\mathfrak{A})$ . Then the following assertions are equivalent.*

- (a)  $\mathfrak{A}$  is  $\phi$ -amenable.
- (b)  $N(T, \phi) = R(T, \phi)$  for all antirepresentations  $T$  of  $\mathfrak{A}$ .
- (c)  $N(\Lambda, \phi) = R(\Lambda, \phi)$ .

*Proof.* (a) $\Rightarrow$ (b). Since  $\mathfrak{A}$  is  $\phi$ -amenable, it follows that  $\mathfrak{A}$  has a bounded  $\phi$ -approximate diagonal  $(\mathbf{m}_\gamma)_{\gamma \in \Gamma}$ . Thus  $\pi(\mathbf{m}_\gamma) \in S_1(\mathfrak{A}, \phi)$  and  $\|a\pi(\mathbf{m}_\gamma) - \pi(\mathbf{m}_\gamma)\| \rightarrow 0$  for all  $a \in S_1(\mathfrak{A}, \phi)$ . Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_{\pi(\mathbf{m}_\gamma)}(T_a - I)\| &= \|T_{a\pi(\mathbf{m}_\gamma) - \pi(\mathbf{m}_\gamma)}\| \\ &\leq \|T\| \|a\pi(\mathbf{m}_\gamma) - \pi(\mathbf{m}_\gamma)\|. \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $(T_a - I)(\xi) \in N(T, \phi)$  for all  $\xi \in \mathfrak{X}$  and  $a \in S_1(\mathfrak{A}, \phi)$ , and consequently

$$R(T, \phi) \subseteq N(T, \phi).$$

Now, let  $\xi \in N(T, \phi)$ . Then there exists a net  $(a_\gamma)_{\gamma \in \Gamma}$  in  $S_1(\mathfrak{A}, \phi)$  such that

$$\|T_{a_\gamma}(\xi)\| \rightarrow 0.$$

But  $(T_{a_\gamma} - I)(\xi) \in R(T, \phi)$  for all  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  and

$$\lim_\gamma (T_{a_\gamma} - I)(\xi) = -\xi.$$

Since  $R(T, \phi)$  is a closed subspace of  $\mathfrak{X}$ , it follows that  $\xi \in R(T, \phi)$

(b) $\Rightarrow$ (c). Clear.

(c) $\Rightarrow$ (a). It is clear that  $\phi \notin N(\Lambda, \phi)$ . Thus the result follows by the same argument of proof of Theorem 3.1(c) with the fact that  $R(\Lambda, \phi)$  is a closed subspace of  $\mathfrak{X}$ . ■

#### 4 Ergodic characterization of $\phi$ -contractibility

A Banach algebra  $\mathfrak{A}$  is called  $\phi$ -contractible if there exists a  $\phi$ -diagonal; i.e., an element  $\mathbf{m}$  in the projective tensor product  $\mathfrak{A} \widehat{\otimes} \mathfrak{A}$  such that  $\phi(\pi(\mathbf{m})) = 1$  and  $a \cdot \mathbf{m} = \phi(a)\mathbf{m}$  for all  $a \in \mathfrak{A}$ . Before, we give a characterization of  $\phi$ -contractibility, we need the following Lemma.

**Lemma 4.1.** *Let  $\mathfrak{A}$  be a Banach algebra with  $\phi \in \sigma(\mathfrak{A})$  and let  $a \in S_1(\mathfrak{A}, \phi)$ . Consider the following conditions.*

(a)  *$a$  is a  $\phi$ -mean in  $\mathfrak{A}$ .*

(b)  $R(T, \phi) = \{\xi \in \mathfrak{X} : T_a(\xi) = 0\}$  *for all antirepresentations  $T$  of  $\mathfrak{A}$ .*

(c)  $R(\Lambda, \phi) = \{f \in \mathfrak{A}^* : f \cdot a = 0\}$ .

*Then (a) $\Rightarrow$ (b) $\Rightarrow$ (c) and if  $\mathfrak{A}$  has a right approximate identity, then (c) $\Rightarrow$ (a).*

*Proof.* Suppose that (a) holds. Then  $\phi(a) = 1$  and  $ba = a$  for all  $b \in S_1(\mathfrak{A}, \phi)$  and hence

$$T_a(T_b - I)(\xi) = (T_{ba-a})(\xi) = 0$$

for all  $\xi \in \mathfrak{X}$ . Thus  $T_a(\eta) = 0$  for all  $\eta \in R(T, \phi)$ ; that is,

$$R(T, \phi) \subseteq \{\xi \in \mathfrak{X} : T_a(\xi) = 0\}.$$

The reverse inclusion is clear.

That (b) implies (c) is trivial. Now, suppose that (c) holds and that there is a right approximate identity  $(e_\gamma)_{\gamma \in \Gamma}$  for  $\mathfrak{A}$ , and let  $b \in S_1(\mathfrak{A}, \phi)$ . Then

$$f \cdot b - f \in R(T, \phi)$$

and so

$$f \cdot (ba - a) = (f \cdot b - f) \cdot a = 0$$

for all  $f \in \mathfrak{A}^*$ . Thus

$$\begin{aligned} f(ba - a) &= \lim_{\gamma} f((ba - a)e_\gamma) \\ &= \lim_{\gamma} [f \cdot (ba - a)](e_\gamma) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\mathfrak{A}^*$  separates the elements of  $\mathfrak{A}$ , it follows that  $ba - a = 0$  for all  $b \in S_1(\mathfrak{A}, \phi)$ ; hence  $ba = \phi(b)a$  for all  $b \in \mathfrak{A}$ . Thus  $a$  is a  $\phi$ -mean.  $\square$

**Proposition 4.2.** *Let  $\mathfrak{A}$  be a Banach algebra with a right approximate identity and let  $\phi \in \sigma(\mathfrak{A})$ . Then the following assertions are equivalent.*

- (a)  $\mathfrak{A}$  is  $\phi$ -contractible.
- (b) There exists  $a \in S_1(\mathfrak{A}, \phi)$  such that  $R(T, \phi) = \{\xi \in \mathfrak{X} : T_a(\xi) = 0\}$  for all antirepresentations  $T$  of  $\mathfrak{A}$ .
- (c) There exists  $a \in S_1(\mathfrak{A}, \phi)$  such that  $R(\Lambda, \phi) = \{f \in \mathfrak{A}^* : f \cdot a = 0\}$ .

*Proof.* Suppose that  $\mathfrak{A}$  is  $\phi$ -contractible. Then there is a  $\phi$ -diagonal  $\mathbf{m} \in \mathfrak{A} \widehat{\otimes} \mathfrak{A}$  for  $\mathfrak{A}$ . It is easy to check that  $\pi(\mathbf{m})$  is a  $\phi$ -mean in  $\mathfrak{A}$ . Conversely, if  $a$  is a  $\phi$ -mean in  $\mathfrak{A}$ , then it is clear that  $\mathbf{m} = a \otimes a$  is a  $\phi$ -diagonal for  $\mathfrak{A}$ . Thus the result follows immediately from Lemma 4.1.  $\blacksquare$

Before we give our last result, note that if  $a$  is a  $\phi$ -mean in  $\mathfrak{A}$ , then  $\langle a \rangle$ , the Banach space generated by  $\{a\}$  is a Banach algebra.

**Corollary 4.3.** *Let  $\mathfrak{A}$  be a Banach algebra and let  $\phi \in \sigma(\mathfrak{A})$ . If  $a$  is a two-sided  $\phi$ -mean in  $\mathfrak{A}$ , then the following assertions hold.*

- (a)  $R(\Lambda, \phi) = \{f \in \mathfrak{A}^* : f(a) = 0\}$ .
- (b)  $\mathfrak{A}^* = \Sigma(\Lambda, \phi)$  if and only if  $K(\Lambda, \phi) = \langle a \rangle^*$ .

*Proof.* (a). By Lemma 4.1, it suffices to show that  $f \cdot a = 0$  if and only if  $f(a) = 0$ . Since  $a$  is a two-sided  $\phi$ -mean, it follows that for each  $b \in S_1(\mathfrak{A}, \phi)$ ,

$$f(a) = f(ba) = f(ab) = f \cdot a(b)$$

and this completes the proof.

- (b). Suppose that  $\mathfrak{A}^* = \Sigma(\Lambda, \phi) = K(\Lambda, \phi) \oplus R(\Lambda, \phi)$ . It is clear that

$$R(\Lambda, \phi) = \langle a \rangle^\perp,$$

where

$$\langle a \rangle^\perp = \{f \in \mathfrak{A}^* : f(b) = 0 \text{ for all } b \in \langle a \rangle\}.$$

Thus  $\mathfrak{A}^* / \langle a \rangle^\perp \cong K(\Lambda, \phi)$ . On the other hand we have

$$\mathfrak{A}^* / \langle a \rangle^\perp \cong \langle a \rangle^*$$

and so  $K(\Lambda, \phi) \cong \langle a \rangle^*$ .

Conversely, if  $K(\Lambda, \phi) = \langle a \rangle^*$ , then since

$$\mathfrak{A}^* / \langle a \rangle^\perp \cong \langle a \rangle^*,$$

it follows that  $\mathfrak{A}^* = K(\Lambda, \phi) \oplus R(\Lambda, \phi) = \Sigma(\Lambda, \phi)$ . ■

**Example 4.4.** Let  $G$  be a compact group with normalized Haar measure  $dx$  and consider the convolution algebra  $L^p(G)$  as in [2], where  $1 \leq p < \infty$ . Let  $\widehat{G}$  denote the set of all continuous homomorphisms from  $G$  into the circle group  $\mathbb{T}$ , equipped with the topology of uniform convergence. For  $\rho \in \widehat{G}$ , define  $\phi_\rho \in \sigma(L^p(G))$  to be the character induced by  $\rho$  on  $L^p(G)$ ; that is,

$$\phi_\rho(g) = \int_G \overline{\rho(x)}g(x)dx \quad (g \in L^p(G)).$$

Fix  $\rho \in \widehat{G}$ , it is clear that  $\rho \in L^p(G)$  and for each  $g \in L^p(G)$ , we have

$$g * \rho = \rho * g = \phi_\rho(g)\rho.$$

Thus  $\rho$  is a two-sided  $\phi_\rho$ -mean in  $L^p(G)$ . Consider the antirepresentation  $\Lambda$  of  $L^p(G)$  on  $L^p(G)^* = L^q(G)$  defined by

$$\Lambda_g(f) = f \cdot g$$

for all  $g \in L^p(G)$  and  $f \in L^q(G)$ , and note that  $\Lambda$  is  $\phi_\rho$ -ergodic by Theorem 3.1, where  $q = p/(p - 1)$ . Now, we show that

$$K(\Lambda, \phi_\rho) = \{f \in L^q(G) : \widehat{f}(\eta) = 0 \text{ for all } \eta \neq \rho\},$$

where

$$\widehat{f}(\eta) = \int_G \overline{\eta(x)}f(x)dx$$

for all  $\eta \in \widehat{G}$ . Suppose that  $f \in K(\Lambda, \phi_\rho)$ . Then  $f \cdot g - f = 0$  for all  $g \in S_1(L^p(G), \phi_\rho)$ . In particular  $f \cdot \rho - f = 0$ ; thus

$$\widehat{f \cdot \rho} = \widehat{f}.$$

Now, let  $\eta \in \widehat{G}$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\widehat{f \cdot \rho})(\eta) &= (\widehat{f * \rho})(\eta) \\ &= \int_G \eta(x) \left( \int_G f(xy)\rho(y)dy \right) dx \\ &= \int_G \int_G f(x)\eta(x)\rho(y)\overline{\eta(y)}dx dy \\ &= \widehat{f}(\eta) \int_G \rho(y)\overline{\eta(y)}dy. \end{aligned}$$

The orthogonality relations now imply that

$$(\widehat{f \cdot \rho})(\eta) = 0$$

whenever  $\eta \neq \rho$ . Thus  $\widehat{f}(\overline{\eta}) = 0$  for all  $\eta \neq \rho$ , and this shows that

$$K(\Lambda, \phi_\rho) \subseteq \{f \in L^q(G) : \widehat{f}(\overline{\eta}) = 0 \text{ for all } \eta \neq \rho\}.$$

For the reverse inclusion, let  $f \in L^q(G)$  with  $\widehat{f}(\overline{\eta}) = 0$  for all  $\eta \neq \rho$ . Then for each  $\eta \in \widehat{G}$  and  $g \in S_1(L^p(G), \phi_\rho)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\widehat{f \cdot g - f})(\overline{\eta}) &= (\widehat{f \cdot g})(\overline{\eta}) - \widehat{f}(\overline{\eta}) \\ &= (\widehat{f * \check{g}})(\overline{\eta}) - \widehat{f}(\overline{\eta}) \\ &= \int_G \int_G f(x)g(y)\overline{\eta(y)}\eta(x)dydx - \widehat{f}(\overline{\eta}) \\ &= \widehat{f}(\overline{\eta})\phi_\eta(g) - \widehat{f}(\overline{\eta}), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\check{g}(x) = g(x^{-1})$  for all  $x \in G$ . Thus

$$(\widehat{f \cdot g - f})(\overline{\eta}) = 0$$

whenever  $\widehat{f}(\overline{\eta}) = 0$  or  $\phi_\eta(g) = 1$ . It follows that

$$\widehat{f \cdot g - f} = 0$$

for all  $g \in S_1(L^p(G), \phi_\rho)$ . Thus  $f \cdot g - f = 0$  and hence

$$\{f \in L^q(G) : \widehat{f}(\overline{\eta}) = 0 \text{ for all } \eta \neq \rho\} \subseteq K(\Lambda, \phi_\rho)$$

as required. By Corollary 4.3(a), it is clear that

$$R(\Lambda, \phi_\rho) = \{f \in L^q(G) : \widehat{f}(\overline{\rho}) = 0\}.$$

Consequently  $\Sigma(\Lambda, \phi_\rho) = L^q(G)$ .

**Acknowledgments.** The authors thank the Center of Excellence for Mathematics at the Isfahan University of Technology.

## References

- [1] M. ALAGHMANDAN, R. NASR-ISFAHANI AND M. NEMATI, Character amenability and contractibility of abstract Segal algebras, *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.* **82** (2010), 274-281.
- [2] E. HEWITT AND K. A. ROSS, Abstract harmonic analysis I, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1970.
- [3] Z. HU, M. S. MONFARED AND T. TRAYNOR, On character amenable Banach algebras, *Studia Math.* **193** (2009) 53-78.
- [4] E. KANIUTH, A.T. LAU AND J. PYM, On  $\phi$ -amenability of Banach algebras, *Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.* **144** (2008), 85-96.

- [5] E. KANIUTH, A.T. LAU AND J. PYM, On character amenability of Banach algebras, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **344** (2008), 942-955.
- [6] E. KANIUTH, A.T. LAU AND A. ÜLGER, Multipliers of commutative Banach algebras, power boundedness and Fourier-Stieltjes algebras, *J. London Math. Soc.* **81** (2010), 255-275.
- [7] E. KANIUTH, A.T. LAU AND A. ÜLGER, Power boundedness in Fourier and Fourier-Stieltjes algebras and other commutative Banach algebras, *J. Funct. Anal.*, to appear.
- [8] A. T. LAU, Analysis on a class of Banach algebras with application to harmonic analysis on locally compact groups and semigroups, *Fund. Math.* **118** (1983), 161-175.
- [9] A. T. LAU, Uniformly continuous functionals on Banach algebras, *Colloq. Math.* **51** (1987), 195-205.
- [10] A. T. LAU AND J. C. S. WONG, Invariant subspaces for algebras of linear operators and amenable locally compact groups, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **102** (1988), 581-586.
- [11] B. MOHAMMADZADEH AND R. NASR-ISFAHANI, Positive elements of left amenable Lau algebras, *Bull. Belg. Math. Soc.* **13** (2006), 319-324.
- [12] M. S. MONFARED, Character amenability of Banach algebras, *Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.* **144** (2008), 697-706.
- [13] R. NASR-ISFAHANI, Factorization in some ideals of Lau algebras with applications to semigroup algebras, *Bull. Belg. Math. Soc.* **7** (2000), 429-433.
- [14] R. NASR-ISFAHANI, Ergodic theoretic characterization of left amenable Lau algebras, *Bull. Iranian Math. Soc.* **28** (2002), 29-35.
- [15] R. NASR-ISFAHANI AND S. SOLTANI, Character contractibility of Banach algebras and homological properties of Banach modules, *Studia Math.*, to appear.
- [16] J. P. PIER, Amenable Banach algebras, *Pitman research notes in mathematics series*, Vol. 172, Longman scientific and technical, Harlow, 1988.