

On maximal t -orthogonal sequences in c_0

Albert Kubzdela

Abstract

Let K be a non-Archimedean, complete, densely valued field. For a given $t \in (0, 1)$ we study a maximality of t -orthogonal sequences in c_0 over K . In particular we prove that for every $t \in (0, 1)$ there exists a maximal t -orthogonal sequence in c_0 which is not a base.

1 Introduction

Throughout this paper K denotes a non-Archimedean valued field which is complete with respect to the metric induced by the non-trivial dense valuation $|\cdot| : K \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ (recall that a valuation $|\cdot|$ is *dense* if the set of its values is dense in $[0, \infty)$). Let E be a normed space over K ; we assume that the norm defined on E is *non-Archimedean* (i.e. it satisfies 'the strong triangle inequality': $\|x + y\| \leq \max\{\|x\|, \|y\|\}$ for all $x, y \in E$). By E' we mean the topological dual of E which is a normed space with the norm $\|f\| = \sup_{x \in E, x \neq 0} \frac{|f(x)|}{\|x\|}$.

For the basic notions and properties concerning normed spaces over K we refer the reader to [1]. However we recall the following. We say that for a closed linear subspace D of E and for $x \in E \setminus D$ the distance $\text{dist}(x, D) := \inf_{d \in D} \|x - d\|$ is *not attained* if $\|x - d\| > \text{dist}(x, D)$ for all $d \in D$. If there exists $d_0 \in D$ such that $\|x - d_0\| = \text{dist}(x, D)$ we say that $\text{dist}(x, D)$ is *attained*. Two linear subspaces $D, G \subset E$ are called *orthocomplemented* if $\|x + y\| = \max\{\|x\|, \|y\|\}$ for all $x \in D$ and $y \in G$.

Let $t \in (0, 1]$ and let $M \subseteq N$. We say that a sequence (finite or infinite) $(x_i)_{i \in M}$ of nonzero elements of E is called *t -orthogonal* (*orthogonal* if $t = 1$) if for every finite subset $J \subset M$ and all scalars $\{\lambda_j\}_{j \in J}$ we have $\left\| \sum_{j \in J} \lambda_j x_j \right\| \geq t \cdot \max_{j \in J} \{ \|\lambda_j x_j\| \}$. If, additionally $\overline{(x_i)_{i \in M}} = E$, the sequence $(x_i)_{i \in M}$ is called a *base* of E . By Theorem 3.16 of [1], every infinite-dimensional E contains an infinite *t -orthogonal* sequence

if $t < 1$ and if K is spherically complete (i.e. every centered sequence of closed balls in K has a non-empty intersection), then such E contains an infinite orthogonal sequence. Clearly, every infinite t -orthogonal sequence is a basic sequence in E . We say that a t -orthogonal sequence $(x_i)_{i \in M}$ of E is *maximal* if $\{z\} \cup \{x_i : i \in M\}$ is not t -orthogonal for any nonzero $z \in E$. It is easy to observe that every t -orthogonal sequence in E can be extended to a maximal one. Obviously, every t -orthogonal sequence which is a base of E is maximal in E . But, it was noted (see Remark after Theorem 3.16 of [1]) that c_0 contains a maximal orthogonal sequence which is not a base. Hence, it is natural to formulate the following question.

problem Is for a given $t \in (0, 1)$ every maximal t -orthogonal sequence in c_0 a base of c_0 ?

This paper contains the answer to this question. In Theorem 1, for every $t \in (0, 1)$ we construct a maximal t -orthogonal sequence in c_0 which is not a base.

2 Results

We start with simple observations.

Lemma 1. *Let $D \subset E$ be a closed, proper, infinite-dimensional linear subspace of E . If there exists $a_0 \in E \setminus D$ such that $\text{dist}(a_0, D)$ is not attained, then $\text{dist}(a_0, F) > \text{dist}(a_0, D)$ for every F , a finite-dimensional linear subspace of D .*

proof: Assume that there exists $F \subset D$ with $\text{dist}(a_0, F) = \text{dist}(a_0, D)$. Then, by Theorem 5.7 and Theorem 5.13 of [1], F is orthocomplemented in $F + [a_0]$; hence, there exists $x \in F$ with $\|a_0 - x\| = \text{dist}(a_0, F) = \text{dist}(a_0, D)$, a contradiction.

Recall that a linear subspace $D \subset E$ is called a hyperplane of E if $\dim(E/D) = 1$.

Lemma 2. *Let D be a closed hyperplane of E . Let $x_0 \in E \setminus D$. If $\text{dist}(x_0, D)$ is attained (not attained), then $\text{dist}(x, D)$ is attained (not attained) for all $x \in E \setminus D$.*

Proof. Taking $x \in E \setminus D$, we can write $x = \lambda x_0 + d_x$ for some $\lambda \in K$ ($\lambda \neq 0$) and some $d_x \in D$. Suppose that $\text{dist}(x_0, D)$ is not attained and assume that there exists $d_0 \in D$ such that $\text{dist}(x, D) = \|x - d_0\|$. Then

$$\|x - d_0\| = |\lambda| \cdot \left\| x_0 + \frac{d_x - d_0}{\lambda} \right\|.$$

By assumption, there exists $d \in D$ such that

$$\|x_0 + d\| < \left\| x_0 + \frac{d_x - d_0}{\lambda} \right\|.$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \|x + (\lambda d - d_x)\| &= \|(\lambda x_0 + d_x) + (\lambda d - d_x)\| = |\lambda| \cdot \|x_0 + d\| \\ &< |\lambda| \cdot \left\| x_0 + \frac{d_x - d_0}{\lambda} \right\| = \|\lambda x_0 + d_x - d_0\| = \|x - d_0\|, \end{aligned}$$

a contradiction. Assuming that $\text{dist}(x_0, D)$ is attained, we conclude from the above that $\text{dist}(x, D)$ is attained for all $x \in E \setminus D$. ■

Proposition 1. *Let $t \in (0, 1]$ and $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a t -orthogonal sequence in E . Let $D = \overline{\{(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}\}}$. If there exists $a \in E \setminus D$ such that $\text{dist}(a, D)$ is attained then $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is not maximal t -orthogonal sequence in E .*

Proof. Let $a \in E \setminus D$ and assume that there exists $x \in D$ such that $\|a - x\| = \text{dist}(a, D)$. Denoting $a_0 = a - x$, we get $\|a_0 - d\| \geq \|a_0\|$ for all $d \in D$. Thus, for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and for all $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_m \in K$ we obtain

$$\left\| a_0 + \sum_{j=1}^m \mu_j x_j \right\| \geq \max \left\{ \left\| \sum_{j=1}^m \mu_j x_j \right\|, \|a_0\| \right\} \geq t \cdot \max \left\{ \max_{j=1, \dots, m} \|\mu_j x_j\|, \|a_0\| \right\},$$

since, by assumption $\left\| \sum_{j=1}^m \mu_j x_j \right\| \geq t \cdot \max_{j=1, \dots, m} \|\mu_j x_j\|$. Hence, $\{a_0, x_1, x_2, \dots\}$ is a t -orthogonal sequence in E . ■

From now on in this paper we assume that $E = c_0$. By $\{e_1, e_2, \dots\}$ we will denote a standard base of E .

Remark 1. *Taking $x_n := e_{n+1}$ ($n \in \mathbb{N}$), $a := e_1$ we get a simple example of an orthogonal sequence in E which satisfies conditions of Proposition 1.*

Note that linear subspaces of E which do not satisfy assumptions of Proposition 1 exist. Examples can be constructed using the next proposition. Recall that by Exercise 3.Q of [1], $E' = l^\infty$ and every $f \in E'$ is given by the formula

$$f(x) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} u_n x_n,$$

for some $u = (u_1, u_2, u_3, \dots) \in l^\infty$, where $x = (x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots) \in E$.

Proposition 2. *Let $u = (u_1, u_2, u_3, \dots) \in l^\infty$ and $f \in E'$ be defined by $f(z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} u_n z_n$, where $z = (z_1, z_2, z_3, \dots) \in E$. Denote by $D = \ker(f)$. Then, $\text{dist}(x, D)$ is attained for every $x \in E \setminus D$ if and only if $\max_{n \in \mathbb{N}} |u_n|$ exists.*

Proof. Let $z = (z_1, z_2, z_3, \dots) \in E$. Since

$$\frac{|f(z)|}{\|z\|} = \frac{|\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} u_n z_n|}{\|\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} z_n e_n\|} \leq \frac{\max_{n \in \mathbb{N}} |u_n z_n|}{\max_{n \in \mathbb{N}} |z_n|} \leq \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} |u_n|$$

and

$$\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{|f(e_n)|}{\|e_n\|} = \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{|u_n|}{\|e_n\|} = \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} |u_n|,$$

we note that the norm of f is reached on $\{e_1, e_2, \dots\}$; *i.e.*

$$\|f\| = \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{|f(e_n)|}{\|e_n\|} = \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} |u_n|.$$

Assume that $\max_{n \in N} |u_n|$ exists, then $\|f\| = |u_m|$ for some $m \in N$ and $f(e_m) \notin D$. More, $\text{dist}(e_m, D) = \|e_m\|$. If not, then there exists $d \in D$ with $\|e_m - d\| < \|e_m\|$. But then

$$\frac{|f(e_m - d)|}{\|e_m - d\|} = \frac{|f(e_m)|}{\|e_m - d\|} > \frac{|f(e_m)|}{\|e_m\|} = \|u_m\| = \|f\|,$$

a contradiction. It follows from Lemma 2 that $\text{dist}(x, D)$ is attained for every $x \in E \setminus D$.

Suppose now that $\text{dist}(x, D)$ is attained for every $x \in E \setminus D$ and assume that $\max_{n \in N} |u_n|$ does not exist (thus, $\|f\| > \frac{|f(z)|}{\|z\|}$ for all $z \in E$). Then, we can choose a strictly increasing sequence $(n_k)_k \subset N$ with $\|f\| = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} |u_{n_k}|$. Taking $p > 1$, we see that $f(e_{n_p}) \neq 0$, $x_r = e_{n_p} - \frac{u_{n_p}}{u_{n_r}} e_{n_r} \in D$ for all $r > p$ and $\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \|e_{n_p} - x_r\| = \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \left| \frac{u_{n_p}}{u_{n_r}} \right|$; thus, $\text{dist}(e_{n_p}, D) \leq \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \left| \frac{u_{n_p}}{u_{n_r}} \right|$ and by assumption we can choose $d \in D$ such that $\|e_{n_p} - d\| \leq \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \left| \frac{u_{n_p}}{u_{n_r}} \right|$. But then, we get

$$\frac{|f(e_{n_p} - d)|}{\|e_{n_p} - d\|} = \frac{|f(e_{n_p})|}{\|e_{n_p} - d\|} \geq \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} |u_{n_r}|,$$

a contradiction. ■

Now, we prove the main theorem.

Theorem 1. *For every $t \in (0, 1)$ there exists a maximal t -orthogonal sequence in E which is not a base.*

Proof. Let $0 < t < 1$. Choose a sequence $(a_n)_{n \in N} \subset K$ (recall that by assumption K is densely valued) such that

$$1 = |a_1| < \dots < |a_n| < |a_{n+1}| < \dots < \frac{1}{t}.$$

Now, define elements of E as follows

$$\begin{aligned} b_{3n-2} &= e_{3n-2} + a_{k_n} e_{k_n} - \frac{a_{3n-2}}{a_{3(n+1)-2}} e_{3(n+1)-2} \\ b_{3n-1} &= e_{k_n} + \frac{a_{l_n}}{a_{k_n}} e_{l_n} \\ b_{3n} &= e_{3n} \quad (n \in N), \end{aligned}$$

selecting $k_n, l_n \in N$ such that $k_n = 3i_n, l_n = 3j_n$ for some $i_n, j_n \in N$, $k_n \geq 3n$, $l_n > k_n$,

$$|a_{3n-2}| < t \cdot |a_{3(n+1)-2}| \cdot |a_{l_n}| \tag{1}$$

and $l_n < k_{n+1}$ for all $n \in N$. Let $N_k = \{k_n : n \in N\}$, $N_l = \{l_n : n \in N\}$ (observe that $N_k \cap N_l = \emptyset$) and let $N_0 = N \setminus N_k$.

Now, we prove that $X_0 = \{b_k : k \in N_0\}$ is a t -orthogonal sequence in E . To this end take a finite subset $J \subset N_0$, $\{\lambda_i\}_{i \in J} \subset K$ and assume that $\max_{i \in J} \|\lambda_i b_i\| = \|\lambda_{i_0} b_{i_0}\| > 0$ for some $i_0 \in J$.

First, we note that applying properties of the sequence $(a_n)_{n \in N}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| b_{l_n} - \frac{a_{k_n}}{a_{l_n}} b_{3n-1} + \frac{1}{a_{l_n}} b_{3n-2} \right\| \\ &= \left\| e_{l_n} - \left(\frac{a_{k_n}}{a_{l_n}} e_{k_n} + e_{l_n} \right) + \left(\frac{1}{a_{l_n}} e_{3n-2} + \frac{a_{k_n}}{a_{l_n}} e_{k_n} - \frac{a_{3n-2}}{a_{l_n} a_{3(n+1)-2}} e_{3(n+1)-2} \right) \right\| \\ &= \left\| \frac{1}{a_{l_n}} e_{3n-2} - \frac{a_{3n-2}}{a_{l_n} a_{3(n+1)-2}} e_{3(n+1)-2} \right\| = \left| \frac{1}{a_{l_n}} \right| \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

for every $n \in N$ and

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| b_{l_n} - \frac{a_{k_n}}{a_{l_n}} b_{3n-1} + \frac{1}{a_{l_n}} b_{3n-2} \right. \\ & \left. + \frac{1}{a_{l_n}} \frac{a_{3n-2}}{a_{3(n-1)-2}} b_{3(n-1)-2} - \frac{a_{k_{n-1}}}{a_{l_n}} \frac{a_{3n-2}}{a_{3(n-1)-2}} b_{3(n-1)-1} + \frac{a_{l_{n-1}}}{a_{l_n}} \frac{a_{3n-2}}{a_{3(n-1)-2}} b_{l_{n-1}} \right\| \\ &= \left\| e_{l_n} - \left(\frac{a_{k_n}}{a_{l_n}} e_{k_n} + e_{l_n} \right) + \left(\frac{1}{a_{l_n}} e_{3n-2} + \frac{a_{k_n}}{a_{l_n}} e_{k_n} - \frac{a_{3n-2}}{a_{l_n} a_{3(n+1)-2}} e_{3(n+1)-2} \right) \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \left(\frac{1}{a_{l_n}} \frac{a_{3n-2}}{a_{3(n-1)-2}} e_{3(n-1)-2} + \frac{a_{k_{n-1}}}{a_{l_n}} \frac{a_{3n-2}}{a_{3(n-1)-2}} e_{k_{n-1}} - \frac{1}{a_{l_n}} e_{3n-2} \right) \right. \\ & \quad \left. - \left(\frac{a_{k_{n-1}}}{a_{l_n}} \frac{a_{3n-2}}{a_{3(n-1)-2}} e_{k_{n-1}} + \frac{a_{l_{n-1}}}{a_{l_n}} \frac{a_{3n-2}}{a_{3(n-1)-2}} e_{l_{n-1}} \right) + \frac{a_{l_{n-1}}}{a_{l_n}} \frac{a_{3n-2}}{a_{3(n-1)-2}} e_{l_{n-1}} \right\| \\ &= \left\| - \frac{a_{3n-2}}{a_{l_n} a_{3(n+1)-2}} e_{3(n+1)-2} + \frac{1}{a_{l_n}} \frac{a_{3n-2}}{a_{3(n-1)-2}} e_{3(n-1)-2} \right\| \\ &= \left| \frac{1}{a_{l_n}} \frac{a_{3n-2}}{a_{3(n-1)-2}} \right| > \left| \frac{1}{a_{l_n}} \right| \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

for $n = 2, 3, \dots$

Now, consider the following cases:

- $i_0 = 3n$ for some $n \in N$. If $i_0 \notin N_l$ then $\|\sum_{i \in J} \lambda_i b_i\| = \max_{i \in J} \|\lambda_i b_i\| = \|\lambda_{i_0} b_{i_0}\|$. Suppose that $i_0 \in N_l$, then $i_0 = l_n$ for some $n \in N$. We get $\|\lambda_{l_n} b_{l_n}\| = \|\lambda_{l_n} e_{l_n}\| = |\lambda_{l_n}|$ and applying (2) and (3) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \sum_{i \in J} \lambda_i b_i \right\| \geq \left\| \lambda_{l_n} b_{l_n} - \lambda_{l_n} \frac{a_{k_n}}{a_{l_n}} b_{3n-1} + \lambda_{l_n} \frac{1}{a_{l_n}} b_{3n-2} \right\| \\ &= |\lambda_{l_n}| \cdot \left\| b_{l_n} - \frac{a_{k_n}}{a_{l_n}} b_{3n-1} + \frac{1}{a_{l_n}} b_{3n-2} \right\| = \left| \frac{\lambda_{l_n}}{a_{l_n}} \right| > t \cdot |\lambda_{l_n}| = t \cdot \max_{i \in J} \|\lambda_i b_i\| \end{aligned}$$

(note that $\|\lambda_{l_n} b_{l_n} + \lambda_j b_j\| < \|\lambda_{l_n} b_{l_n}\|$ only if $j = 3n - 1$ and $\|\lambda_{l_n} b_{l_n} + \lambda_j b_j + \lambda_l b_l\| < \|\lambda_{l_n} b_{l_n} + \lambda_j b_j\|$ only if $l = 3n - 2$).

- If $i_0 = 3n - 1$ for some $n \in N$, then we obtain

$$\|\lambda_{3n-1} b_{3n-1}\| = \left\| \lambda_{3n-1} e_{k_n} + \lambda_{3n-1} \frac{a_{l_n}}{a_{k_n}} e_{l_n} \right\| = \left| \lambda_{3n-1} \frac{a_{l_n}}{a_{k_n}} \right|$$

and using (2) and (3) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \sum_{i \in J} \lambda_i b_i \right\| &\geq \left\| \lambda_{3n-1} b_{3n-1} - \lambda_{3n-1} \frac{a_{l_n}}{a_{k_n}} b_{l_n} - \lambda_{3n-1} \frac{1}{a_{k_n}} b_{3n-2} \right\| \\ &= \left| \lambda_{3n-1} \frac{a_{l_n}}{a_{k_n}} \right| \cdot \left\| \frac{a_{k_n}}{a_{l_n}} b_{3n-1} - b_{l_n} - \frac{1}{a_{l_n}} b_{3n-2} \right\| \\ &= \left| \lambda_{3n-1} \frac{1}{a_{k_n}} \right| > t \cdot \left| \lambda_{3n-1} \frac{a_{l_n}}{a_{k_n}} \right| = t \cdot \max_{i \in J} \|\lambda_i b_i\|, \end{aligned}$$

since $\|\lambda_{3n-1} b_{3n-1} + \lambda_j b_j\| < \|\lambda_{3n-1} b_{3n-1}\|$ only if $j = l_n$ and $\|\lambda_{3n-1} b_{3n-1} + \lambda_{l_n} b_{l_n} + \lambda_l b_l\| < \|\lambda_{3n-1} b_{3n-1} + \lambda_{l_n} b_{l_n}\|$ only if $l = 3n - 2$.

- Assuming that $i_0 = 3n - 2$ for some $n \in N$, we have

$$\|\lambda_{3n-2} b_{3n-2}\| = |\lambda_{3n-2} a_{k_n}|,$$

observing that $\|\lambda_{3n-2} b_{3n-2} + \lambda_j b_j + \lambda_l b_l\| < \|\lambda_{3n-2} b_{3n-2}\|$ only if $j = l_n$ and $l = 3n - 1$ and applying (2) and (3) again, we calculate

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \sum_{i \in J} \lambda_i b_i \right\| &\geq \|\lambda_{3n-2} b_{3n-2} - \lambda_{3n-2} a_{k_n} b_{3n-1} + \lambda_{3n-2} a_{l_n} b_{l_n}\| \\ &= |\lambda_{3n-2} a_{l_n}| \cdot \left\| \frac{1}{a_{l_n}} b_{3n-2} - \frac{a_{k_n}}{a_{l_n}} b_{3n-1} + b_{l_n} \right\| \\ &= |\lambda_{3n-2}| > t \cdot |\lambda_{3n-2} a_{k_n}| = t \cdot \max_{i \in J} \|\lambda_i b_i\|. \end{aligned}$$

In this way we prove that X_0 is t -orthogonal.

Note that, doing simple calculations, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\| e_1 - \sum_{n=1}^m \frac{a_1}{a_{3n-2}} (b_{3n-2} - a_{k_n} b_{3n-1} + a_{l_n} b_{l_n}) \right\| \\ &= \left\| e_1 - (e_1 + a_{k_1} e_{k_1} - \frac{a_1}{a_4} e_4 - a_{k_1} e_{k_1} - a_{l_1} e_{l_1} + a_{l_1} e_{l_1}) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{a_1}{a_4} (e_4 + a_{k_2} e_{k_2} - \frac{a_4}{a_7} e_7 - a_{k_2} e_{k_2} - a_{l_2} e_{l_2} + a_{l_2} e_{l_2}) - \dots \right. \\ &\quad \left. \dots - \frac{a_1}{a_{3m-2}} (e_{3m-2} + a_{k_m} e_{k_m} - \frac{a_{3m-2}}{a_{3(m+1)-2}} e_{3(m+1)-2} - a_{k_m} e_{k_m} - a_{l_m} e_{l_m} + a_{l_m} e_{l_m}) \right\| \\ &= \left| \frac{a_1}{a_{3(m+1)-2}} \right| < 1 \quad (4) \end{aligned}$$

and easily observe that

$$\begin{aligned} dist(e_1, [X_0]) &= \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \left\| e_1 - \sum_{n=1}^m \frac{a_1}{a_{3n-2}} (b_{3n-2} - a_{k_n} b_{3n-1} + a_{l_n} b_{l_n}) \right\| \\ &= \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \left| \frac{a_1}{a_{3(m+1)-2}} \right| = t \cdot |a_1| = t. \quad (5) \end{aligned}$$

Clearly, $\text{dist}(e_1, [X_0])$ is not attained.

Now, we prove that X_0 is a maximal t -orthogonal sequence in $\overline{[\{e_1\} \cup X_0]}$. Taking $w \in [X_0]$, (we can write $w = \sum_{i=1}^{m_0} \lambda_i b_i$ for some $m_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{m_0} \in K$) we show that $\{e_1 + w\} \cup X_0$ is not t -orthogonal sequence in $\overline{[\{e_1\} \cup X_0]}$. Since $\text{dist}(e_1, [X_0])$ is not attained, using (4) and (5), we can select $m > m_0 + 3$ such that

$$\left\| e_1 - \sum_{n=1}^m \frac{a_1}{a_{3n-2}} (b_{3n-2} - a_{k_n} b_{3n-1} + a_{l_n} b_{l_n}) \right\| < \|e_1 + w\|.$$

Let

$$z = w + \sum_{n=1}^m \frac{a_1}{a_{3n-2}} (b_{3n-2} - a_{k_n} b_{3n-1} + a_{l_n} b_{l_n}).$$

Since $z \in [X_0]$, we can write, choosing proper scalars $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_{l_m} \in K$, $z = \sum_{i=1}^{l_m} \beta_i b_i$. In particular we have

$$\beta_{l_m} = \frac{a_1}{a_{3m-2}} a_{l_m}, \beta_{3m-1} = \frac{a_1}{a_{3m-2}} a_{k_m}, \beta_{3m-2} = \frac{a_1}{a_{3m-2}},$$

thus, we get

$$\max_{i=1, \dots, l_m} \{ \|\beta_i b_i\| \} \geq \left| \frac{a_1}{a_{3m-2}} a_{l_m} \right|.$$

On the other hand, using (4) and (1) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|e_1 + w - z\| &= \left\| e_1 - \sum_{n=1}^m \frac{a_1}{a_{3n-2}} (b_{3n-2} - a_{k_n} b_{3n-1} + a_{l_n} b_{l_n}) \right\| \\ &= \left| \frac{a_1}{a_{3(m+1)-2}} \right| < t \cdot \left| \frac{a_1}{a_{3m-2}} a_{l_m} \right| \leq t \cdot \max_{i=1, \dots, l_m} \{ \|\beta_i b_i\| \} \end{aligned}$$

and conclude that X_0 is maximal in $\overline{[\{e_1\} \cup X_0]}$.

It is easy to check that $E = \overline{[\{e_1\} \cup X_0 \cup \{e_{3n-1} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}]}$ and that $\overline{[\{e_1\} \cup X_0]}$ is orthocomplemented to $\overline{[\{e_{3n-1} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}]}$. Hence, taking $X_m = X_0 \cup \{e_{3n-1} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ we get a maximal t -orthogonal sequence in E which is not a base of E and complete the proof. ■

Remark 2. Note, that the closed hyperplane $D = \overline{[X_m]}$ of E , where X_m is the t -orthogonal sequence constructed in the proof of Theorem 1, can be obtained as a $\ker(f)$, for $f \in E'$, induced by $(a_1, 0, 0, a_4, 0, 0, a_7, \dots) \in l^\infty$ (where a_1, a_4, a_7, \dots are defined in the proof of Theorem 1). Observe that $f(e_{3n-2}) = a_{3n-2}$, $f(e_{3n-1}) = f(e_{3n}) = 0$ ($n \in \mathbb{N}$) and $f(b_k) = 0$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Since $\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} |a_{3n-2}|$ is not attained, it follows from Lemma 2 and Proposition 2 that $\text{dist}(x, D)$ is not attained for every $x \in E \setminus D$.

References

- [1] Rooij, A.C.M. van - Non-Archimedean Functional Analysis. Marcel Dekker, New York (1978).