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#### Abstract

In this paper three new classes of extended generalized quadrangles of order $(q+1, q-1)$ are constructed.


## 1 Introduction

An extended generalized quadrangle of order $(s, t)$ ( $\mathrm{EGQ}(s, t)$ for short) is a connected geometry with three types of elements, say points, lines and blocks (or planes) belonging to the following diagram:


This means that point-residues are generalized quadrangles of order $(s, t)$, that blockresidues are isomorphic to the complete graph $K_{s+2}$ on $s+2$ vertices, and that line-residues are generalized digons. The parameters are assumed to be finite. An $\mathrm{EGQ}(s, t)$ is said to satisfy property (LL) if any two distinct points are incident with at most one common line.

The residue of an element $x$ of an extended generalized quadrangle $\Gamma$ will be denoted by $\operatorname{Res}(x)_{\Gamma}$, or $\operatorname{Res}(x)$ for short. We say that $\Gamma$ is an extension of the generalized quadrangle $\mathcal{S}$ if $\operatorname{Res}(x) \cong \mathcal{S}$ for every point $x$ of $\Gamma$. Given two extended generalized quadrangles $\widetilde{\Gamma}$ and $\Gamma$, a covering from $\widetilde{\Gamma}$ to $\Gamma$ is an incidence-preserving

[^0]mapping $\sigma$ from $\widetilde{\Gamma}$ to $\Gamma$ inducing an isomorphism from $\operatorname{Res}(x)_{\widetilde{\Gamma}}$ to $\operatorname{Res}\left(x^{\sigma}\right)_{\Gamma}$, for every element $x$ of $\widetilde{\Gamma}$. We say that $\widetilde{\Gamma}$ is a cover of $\Gamma$ if there are coverings from $\widetilde{\Gamma}$ to $\Gamma$. The extended generalized quadrangle $\Gamma$ is said to be simply connected if it does not admit a proper cover, that is, a cover for which $\sigma$ is not an isomorphism. The extended generalized quadrangle $\widetilde{\Gamma}$ is called an $m$-fold cover of $\Gamma$ (conversely, $\Gamma$ is an $m$-fold quotient of $\widetilde{\Gamma}$ ) if all fibers of $\sigma$ have size $m$.

Many infinite families and sporadic examples of extended generalized quadrangles are known, in particular there are many examples in which the point-residues are grids or dual grids. Examples with thick classical point-residues are quite rare. For a recent survey on extended generalized quadrangles of order $(q-1, q+1)$ and $(q+1, q-1)$ we refer to Del Fra and Pasini [8].

Here we construct some new classes of extended generalized quadrangles of order $(q+1, q-1)$.

## 2 The known extended generalized quadrangles of order $(q+1, q-1)$

### 2.1 Small examples

Three simply connected $\mathrm{EGQ}(3,1)$ s, with 70,40 and 40 points respectively are due to Blokhuis and Brouwer [2]. The $\operatorname{EGQ}(3,1)$ with 70 points admits a 2 -fold quotient; one of the two $\operatorname{EGQ}(3,1)$ s with 40 points is a double cover of an $\operatorname{EGQ}(3,1)$ due to Cameron and Fisher [5].

An $\operatorname{EGQ}(4,2)$ with 126 points is due to Buekenhout and Hubaut [4]. It admits a 3 -fold cover. Further, an $\operatorname{EGQ}(4,2)$ with 162 points has been discovered by Pasechnik [12]; it is simply connected.

Two simply connected EGQ $(5,3)$ s have been discovered by Yoshiara [15]; one of these geometries admits a 2 -fold quotient.

### 2.2 An infinite family by Del Fra and Pasini

An infinite family of extended generalized quadrangles of order $(q+1, q-1)$, with $q$ odd, has been discovered by Del Fra and Pasini [8]. The point-residues of such an $\operatorname{EGQ}(q+1, q-1)$ are isomorphic to the dual of the Ahrens-Szekeres generalized quadrangle $\operatorname{AS}(q)$ (for a description of $\operatorname{AS}(q)$ see Payne and Thas [13]). Also, these $\operatorname{EGQ}(q+1, q-1)$ s are flat, that is, every point is incident with every block.

We remark that the (uniquely defined) EGQ (4,2) of Del Fra and Pasini is not isomorphic to any one of the three EGQ $(4,2)$ s of 2.1.

### 2.3 A construction by Yoshiara

In $\operatorname{PG}(5, q), q$ even, let $S$ be a set of $q+3$ planes $\pi_{1}, \pi_{2}, \ldots, \pi_{q+3}$, such that
(a) $\pi_{i} \cap \pi_{j}$ is a point for all $i, j=1,2, \ldots, q+3$ with $i \neq j$,
(b) the set $O_{i}=\left\{\pi_{i} \cap \pi_{j} \| j \in\{1,2, \ldots, q+3\} \backslash\{i\}\right\}$ is a hyperoval of $\pi_{i}$, for all $i=1,2, \ldots, q+3$,
(c) $S$ generates $\mathrm{PG}(5, q)$.

Then Yoshiara [14] constructs as follows an $\operatorname{EGQ}(q+1, q-1)$, which we will denote by $\mathcal{Y}_{q}(S)$. Embed $\operatorname{PG}(5, q)$ as a hyperplane in a projective space $\operatorname{PG}(6, q)$. Points of $\mathcal{Y}_{q}(S)$ are the 3 -dimensional subspaces of $\mathrm{PG}(6, q)$ which contain an element of $S$, but are not contained in $\operatorname{PG}(5, q)$. Lines of $\mathcal{Y}_{q}(S)$ are the lines of $\mathrm{PG}(6, q)$ which contain one of the points $\pi_{i} \cap \pi_{j}, i \neq j$, but are not contained in $\operatorname{PG}(5, q)$. Blocks of $\mathcal{Y}_{q}(S)$ are the points of $\mathrm{PG}(6, q) \backslash \mathrm{PG}(5, q)$. If we take symmetrized inclusion as the incidence relation, then we obtain an $\operatorname{EGQ}(q+1, q-1)$, with $q^{3}(q+3)$ points and diameter 3. Yoshiara shows that $\mathcal{Y}_{q}(S)$ satisfies (LL) and that for any three distinct mutually collinear points of $\mathcal{Y}_{q}(S)$ there is a unique block incident with all of them.

Yoshiara [14] constructs as follows a set $S$ of planes in $\operatorname{PG}(5, q), q$ even, satisfying (a), (b) and (c). Let $O^{*}$ be a set of $q+2$ lines of $\mathrm{PG}(2, q), q$ even, no three of which are concurrent, that is, $O^{*}$ is a dual hyperoval of $\mathrm{PG}(2, q)$. Further, let

$$
\zeta: \mathrm{PG}(2, q) \rightarrow \mathrm{PG}(5, q) ;\left(x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \mapsto\left(x_{0}^{2}, x_{1}^{2}, x_{2}^{2}, x_{0} x_{1}, x_{0} x_{2}, x_{1} x_{2}\right)
$$

Then $\zeta$ is a bijection from $\operatorname{PG}(2, q)$ onto the Veronese surface $\mathcal{V}_{2}^{4}$; see Hirschfeld and Thas [11] for a detailed description of $\mathcal{V}_{2}^{4}$. The $q+2$ lines of $O^{*}$ are mapped by $\zeta$ onto $q+2$ conics $C_{1}, C_{2}, \ldots, C_{q+2}$ of $\mathcal{V}_{2}^{4}$. The set of the nuclei of these conics is a hyperoval $O$ in the nucleus of $\mathcal{V}_{2}^{4}$. Let $\pi_{i}$ be the plane of $C_{i}, i=1,2, \ldots, q+2$, and let $\pi_{q+3}$ be the plane of $O\left(\pi_{q+3}\right.$ is the nucleus of $\left.\mathcal{V}_{2}^{4}\right)$. Then the set $S=$ $\left\{\pi_{1}, \pi_{2}, \cdots, \pi_{q+3}\right\}$ satisfies conditions (a),(b) and (c). Let $n_{i}$ be the nucleus of the conic $C_{i}, i=1,2, \ldots, q+2$. If $\Gamma$ is the $\operatorname{EGQ}(q+1, q-1)$ corresponding to $S$, then the point-residue in $\Gamma$ of a point $\Sigma$, with $\Sigma$ a 3 -dimensional space containing $\pi_{i}$, is isomorphic to the dual of the generalized quadrangle $T_{2}^{*}\left(C_{i} \cup\left\{n_{i}\right\}\right)$ defined by the hyperoval $C_{i} \cup\left\{n_{i}\right\}, i=1,2, \ldots, q+2$; the point-residue in $\Gamma$ of a point $\Sigma$, with $\Sigma$ a 3 -dimensional space containing the nucleus $\pi_{q+3}$ of $\mathcal{V}_{2}^{4}$, is isomorphic to the dual of $T_{2}^{*}(O)$. Remark that the $q+2$ hyperovals $C_{i} \cup\left\{n_{i}\right\}, i=1,2, \ldots, q+2$, are regular (that is, contain a conic). If the dual hyperoval $O^{*}$ is regular, then also $O$ is regular, and in such a case $\Gamma$ is an extension of the dual of $T_{2}^{*}(O)$.

For these examples of Yoshiara we will also use the notation $\mathcal{Y}_{q}\left(O^{*}\right)$. For $q=2$ Yoshiara [14] shows that $\mathcal{Y}_{2}\left(O^{*}\right)$ is the double cover of the $\operatorname{EGQ}(3,1)$ of Cameron and Fisher mentioned in 2.1; moreover, $\mathcal{Y}_{4}\left(O^{*}\right)=\operatorname{EGQ}(5,3)$ is the 2 -fold quotient mentioned in 2.1.

## 3 New sets of planes satisfying the conditions of Yoshiara

Let $K$ be a $(q+1)$-arc of $\operatorname{PG}(3, q), q$ even and $q>2$, that is, let $K$ be a set of $q+1$ points no 4 of which are in a plane. In Casse and Glynn [6] it is proved that $K$ is projectively equivalent to $\left\{\left(1, t, t^{2^{m}}, t^{2^{m}+1}\right) \| t \in \operatorname{GF}(q)\right\} \cup\{(0,0,0,1)\}$, with $q=2^{h}, 1 \leq m \leq h-1$, and $m$ coprime to $h$. The $(q+1)$-arc $K$ is a twisted cubic if and only if $m=1$ or $h-1$.

Let $K=\left\{p_{1}, p_{2}, \ldots, p_{q+1}\right\}$. Through each point $p_{i}$ of $K$ there pass exactly two lines $L_{i}, M_{i}$ such that for each $j \neq i$ the plane $L_{i} p_{j}$ respectively $M_{i} p_{j}$ has
just $p_{i}$ and $p_{j}$ in common with $K, i=1,2, \ldots, q+1$ (see Hirschfeld [10]). The lines $L_{i}, M_{i}$ are called the special unisecants of $K$ at $p_{i}, i=1,2, \ldots, q+1$. Also, the special unisecants $L_{1}, L_{2}, \ldots, L_{q+1}, M_{1}, M_{2}, \ldots, M_{q+1}$ are the generators of a hyperbolic quadric $\mathcal{H}$ (see Hirschfeld [10]). Notations will be chosen in such a way that $\left\{L_{1}, L_{2}, \cdots, L_{q+1}\right\},\left\{M_{1}, M_{2}, \ldots, M_{q+1}\right\}$ are the systems of generators of $\mathcal{H}$.

Let $\theta$ be the Klein mapping, that is, $\theta$ maps the set $\mathcal{L}$ of lines of $\operatorname{PG}(3, q)$ bijectively onto the Klein quadric $\mathcal{K}$ (see Hirschfeld [10]); the quadric $\mathcal{K}$ is a nonsingular hyperbolic quadric of $\operatorname{PG}(5, q)$. Now we put $\left\langle p_{i}, p_{j}\right\rangle^{\theta}=x_{i j}, L_{i}^{\theta}=l_{i}$ and $M_{i}^{\theta}=m_{i}, i, j=1,2, \ldots, q+1$ and $i \neq j$. Then for any $i \in\{1,2, \ldots, q+1\}$ the points $x_{i 1}, x_{i 2}, \ldots, x_{i, i-1}, x_{i, i+1}, \ldots, x_{i, q+1}, l_{i}, m_{i}$ form a hyperoval $O_{i}$ of a plane $\pi_{i}$ of $\mathcal{K}$. As the subgroup of $\operatorname{PGL}(4, q)$ fixing $K$ acts sharply 3 -transitive on $K$, the hyperovals $O_{1}, O_{2}, \ldots, O_{q+1}$ are projectively equivalent, and so the hyperoval $O_{i}$ is projectively equivalent to $\widetilde{O}=\left\{\left(1, t, t^{2^{m}}\right) \| t \in \operatorname{GF}(q)\right\} \cup\{(0,0,1),(0,1,0)\}$. Hence $O_{i}$ is a translation hyperoval of $\pi_{i}$ (see Hirschfeld [9]). Further, $\left\{l_{1}, l_{2}, \ldots, l_{q+1}\right\}$ is a conic $C_{1}$ of some plane $\pi_{q+2}$ and $\left\{m_{1}, m_{2}, \ldots, m_{q+1}\right\}$ is a conic $C_{2}$ of some plane $\pi_{q+3}$. The planes $\pi_{q+2}, \pi_{q+3}$ are polar with respect to the symplectic polarity defined by $\mathcal{K}$, and $\pi_{q+2} \cap \pi_{q+3}=\{n\}$, with $n$ the common nucleus of $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$.

It is an easy exercise to check that the set $S=\left\{\pi_{1}, \pi_{2}, \ldots, \pi_{q+3}\right\}$ satisfies conditions (a), (b) and (c). Hence there arises an $\operatorname{EGQ}(q+1, q-1)$. The point-residue in $\Gamma$ of a point $\Sigma$, with $\Sigma$ a 3 -dimensional space containing $\pi_{i}, i \in\{1,2, \ldots, q+1\}$ is isomorphic to the dual of the generalized quadrangle $T_{2}^{*}(\widetilde{O})$; the point-residue in $\Gamma$ of a point $\Sigma$, with $\Sigma$ a 3 -dimensional space containing $C_{i}, i \in\{1,2\}$, is isomorphic to the dual of the generalized quadrangle $T_{2}^{*}\left(O^{\prime}\right)$, with $O^{\prime}$ a regular hyperoval. If $K$ is a twisted cubic, then $\Gamma$ is an extension of the dual of $T_{2}^{*}\left(O^{\prime}\right)$, with $O^{\prime}$ a regular hyperoval.

For the $\operatorname{EGQ}(q+1, q-1)$ arising from the $(q+1)$-arc $K$ we will also use the notation $\mathcal{Y}_{q}(K)$.

Theorem 1 The extended generalized quadrangle $\mathcal{Y}_{q}\left(O^{*}\right)$ is isomorphic to the extended generalized quadrangle $\mathcal{Y}_{q}(K), q \neq 2$, if and only if $O^{*}$ is regular and $K$ is a twisted cubic.

Proof. Assume that $\mathcal{Y}_{q}\left(O^{*}\right) \cong \mathcal{Y}_{q}(K), q \neq 2$. The geometry $\mathcal{Y}_{q}\left(O^{*}\right)$ has at least $q^{3}(q+2)$ point-residues isomorphic to the dual of $T_{2}^{*}\left(O^{\prime}\right)$, with $O^{\prime}$ a regular hyperoval, and $\mathcal{Y}_{q}(K)$ has at least $q^{3}(q+1)$ point-residues isomorphic to the dual of $T_{2}^{*}(\widetilde{O})$, with $\widetilde{O}=\left\{\left(1, t, t^{2^{m}}\right) \| t \in \operatorname{GF}(q)\right\} \cup\{(0,0,1),(0,1,0)\}$. It follows that $T_{2}^{*}(\widetilde{O}) \cong T_{2}^{*}\left(O^{\prime}\right)$, and so, by Bichara, Mazzocca and Somma [1], $\widetilde{O}$ and $O^{\prime}$ are projectively equivalent. Hence $\widetilde{O}$ is regular, that is, $m \in\{1, h-1\}$. So $K$ is a twisted cubic. In such a case $\mathcal{Y}_{q}(K)$ is an extension of the dual of $T_{2}^{*}(\widetilde{O})$, with $\widetilde{O}$ regular. It follows that $T_{2}^{*}(\widetilde{O}) \cong T_{2}^{*}(O)$, with $O$ the dual of $O^{*}$. So the hyperovals $\widetilde{O}$ and $O$ are projectively equivalent, that is, $O$ is regular. Hence also $O^{*}$ is regular.

Conversely, assume that $K$ is a twisted cubic and that $O^{*}$ is regular. By Cossidente, Hirschfeld and Storme [7] and with the notations of Section 3, the $(q+1) q / 2$ points $x_{i j}$ are points of a Veronese surface $\mathcal{V}_{2}^{4} \subset \mathcal{K}$; also the tangent lines of (the algebraic curve) $K$ are mapped by the Klein mapping onto points of $\mathcal{V}_{2}^{4}$. As the tangent lines are special unisecants of $K$, see Hirschfeld [10], one of the conics $C_{i}, i=1,2$, is contained in $\mathcal{V}_{2}^{4}$; say $C_{1} \subset \mathcal{V}_{2}^{4}$. It is clear that $C_{2} \cup\{n\}$ consists of the nuclei of the
conics $C_{1}, O_{1} \backslash\left\{m_{1}\right\}, \ldots, O_{q+1} \backslash\left\{m_{q+1}\right\}$. Further, $C_{1}^{\zeta^{-1}},\left(O_{1} \backslash\left\{m_{1}\right\}\right)^{\zeta^{-1}}, \ldots,\left(O_{q+1} \backslash\right.$ $\left.\left\{m_{q+1}\right\}\right)^{\zeta^{-1}}$, with $\zeta$ the Veronese mapping of $\operatorname{PG}(2, q)$ onto $\mathcal{V}_{2}^{4}$, are lines of $\operatorname{PG}(2, q)$. As no three of the conics $C_{1}, O_{1} \backslash\left\{m_{1}\right\}, \cdots, O_{q+1} \backslash\left\{m_{q+1}\right\}$ have a point in common, no three of the corresponding lines are concurrent, so these lines form a dual hyperoval $\widetilde{O}^{*}$; as the nuclei of the $q+2$ conics $C_{1}, O_{1} \backslash\left\{m_{1}\right\}, \ldots, O_{q+1} \backslash\left\{m_{q+1}\right\}$ form a regular hyperoval $C_{2} \cup\{n\}$, also $\widetilde{O}^{*}$ is regular. Now it is clear that $\mathcal{Y}_{q}(K)=\mathcal{Y}_{q}\left(\widetilde{O}^{*}\right) \cong \mathcal{Y}_{q}\left(O^{*}\right)$.

## 4 A new construction of extended generalized quadrangles of order $(q+1, q-1)$

In $\operatorname{PG}(4, q), q$ even, let $R$ be a set of $q+3$ planes $\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \ldots, \alpha_{q+3}$, such that
(i) $\alpha_{i} \cap \alpha_{j}$ is a point for all $i, j=1,2, \ldots, q+3$ with $i \neq j$,
(ii) the set $H_{i}=\left\{\alpha_{i} \cap \alpha_{j} \| j \in\{1,2, \ldots, q+3\} \backslash\{i\}\right\}$, is a hyperoval of $\alpha_{i}$, for all $i=1,2, \cdots, q+3$.

Then an $\operatorname{EGQ}(q+1, q-1)$, denoted $\mathcal{T}_{q}(R)$, can be constructed as follows. Embed $\operatorname{PG}(4, q)$ as a hyperplane in a projective space $\operatorname{PG}(5, q)$. Points of $\mathcal{T}_{q}(R)$ are the 3-dimensional subspaces of $\operatorname{PG}(5, q)$ which contain an element of $R$, but are not contained in $\operatorname{PG}(4, q)$. Lines of $\mathcal{T}_{q}(R)$ are the lines of $\operatorname{PG}(5, q)$ which contain one of the points $\alpha_{i} \cap \alpha_{j}, i \neq j$, but are not contained in $\operatorname{PG}(4, q)$. Blocks of $\mathcal{T}_{q}(R)$ are the points of $\mathrm{PG}(5, q) \backslash \mathrm{PG}(4, q)$. If we take symmetrized inclusion as the incidence relation, then we obtain an $\operatorname{EGQ}(q+1, q-1)$, with $q^{2}(q+3)$ points and diameter 2. Clearly $\mathcal{T}_{q}(R)$ satisfies (LL). However there are triples mutually collinear points in $\mathcal{T}_{q}(R)$ which are not incident with a common block.

Let $S=\left\{\pi_{1}, \pi_{2}, \ldots, \pi_{q+3}\right\}$ be a set of planes in some $\operatorname{PG}(5, q), q$ even, satisfying conditions (a), (b) and (c) of Yoshiara. Assume that $y$ is a point of $\operatorname{PG}(5, q)$ not contained in any of the hyperplanes $\left\langle\pi_{i}, \pi_{j}\right\rangle, i \neq j$. Further, let $\mathrm{PG}(4, q)$ be a hyperplane of $\operatorname{PG}(5, q)$ not containing $y$. If $\alpha_{i}$ is the projection of $\pi_{i}$ from $y$ onto $\operatorname{PG}(4, q), i=1,2, \ldots, q+3$, then $R=\left\{\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \ldots, \alpha_{q+3}\right\}$ satisfies conditions (i) and (ii) in $\operatorname{PG}(4, q)$. Consider a $\operatorname{PG}(6, q)$ containing $\operatorname{PG}(5, q)$, construct $\mathcal{Y}_{q}(S)$, consider a hyperplane $\overline{\mathrm{PG}(5, q)}$ of $\mathrm{PG}(6, q)$ containing $\mathrm{PG}(4, q)$ but not passing through $y$, and project $\mathcal{Y}_{q}(S)$ from $y$ onto $\overline{\mathrm{PG}(5, q)}$. Then this projection of $\mathcal{Y}_{q}(S)$ is the extended generalized quadrangle $\mathcal{T}_{q}(R)$. Also, $\mathcal{Y}_{q}(S)$ is a $q$-fold cover of $\mathcal{T}_{q}(R)$.

Theorem 2 The extended generalized quadrangle $\mathcal{Y}_{q}\left(O^{*}\right)$ of Yoshiara admits a $q$ fold quotient.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{Y}_{q}\left(O^{*}\right)=\mathcal{Y}_{q}(S)$ and let $y$ be one of the $\left(q^{2}-q\right) / 2$ points of the Veronese surface $\mathcal{V}_{2}^{4}$ not contained in a plane of $S$. Let $S=\left\{\pi_{1}, \pi_{2}, \ldots, \pi_{q+3}\right\}$, with $\pi_{q+3}$ the nucleus of $\mathcal{V}_{2}^{4}$. The hyperplane $\beta$ of $\operatorname{PG}(5, q)$ generated by $\pi_{i}$ and $\pi_{j}$, with $i, j \in\{1,2, \ldots, q+2\}$ and $i \neq j$, intersects $\mathcal{V}_{2}^{4}$ in the conics $\pi_{i} \cap \mathcal{V}_{2}^{4}$ and $\pi_{j} \cap \mathcal{V}_{2}^{4}$. Hence $y \notin \beta$. The hyperplane $\gamma$ of $\operatorname{PG}(5, q)$ generated by $\pi_{q+3}$ and $\pi_{i}, i \in\{1,2, \ldots, q+2\}$, intersects $\mathcal{V}_{2}^{4}$ in the conic $\pi_{i} \cap \mathcal{V}_{2}^{4}$. Hence $y \notin \gamma$. It follows that projection from $y$ yields a $q$-fold quotient $\mathcal{T}_{q}(R)$ of $\mathcal{Y}_{q}\left(O^{*}\right)$.

## Corollary

The unique $\mathcal{Y}_{4}\left(O^{*}\right)$, which is an $\operatorname{EGQ}(5,3)$, admits a 4 -fold quotient.
Theorem 3 The extended generalized quadrangle $\mathcal{Y}_{q}(K), q \neq 2$, admits a $q$-fold quotient.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{Y}_{q}(K)=\mathcal{Y}_{q}(S)$ and let $L$ be an imaginary chord of the $(q+1)$-arc $K$; for the definition of imaginary chord, see Bruen and Hirschfeld [3]. Further, let $y=L^{\theta}$, with $\theta$ the Klein mapping. We will use the notations of Section 3. Let $\delta$ be the 4 -dimensional space generated by $\pi_{i}$ and $\pi_{j}$, with $i, j \in\{1,2, \ldots, q+1\}$ and $i \neq j$. Then $\delta \cap \mathcal{K}$ is singular, and so $(\delta \cap \mathcal{K})^{\theta^{-1}}$ consists of all lines of $\operatorname{PG}(3, q)$ concurrent with the line $x_{i} x_{j}$. By Bruen and Hirschfeld [3] $x_{i} x_{j} \cap L=\emptyset$ and so $y \notin \delta \cap \mathcal{K}$, in particular $y \notin \delta$. The set of tangent lines of $K$ is either $\left\{L_{1}, L_{2}, \cdots, L_{q+1}\right\}$ or $\left\{M_{1}, M_{2}, \ldots, M_{q+1}\right\}$, say $\left\{L_{1}, L_{2}, \ldots, L_{q+1}\right\}$. Next, let $\delta$ be the 4 -dimensional space generated by $\pi_{i}$ and $\pi_{q+2}$, with $i \in\{1,2, \ldots, q+1\}$. Then $\delta \cap \mathcal{K}$ is singular, and $(\delta \cap \mathcal{K})^{\theta^{-1}}$ consists of all lines of $\operatorname{PG}(3, q)$ concurrent with some line $W$ through $x_{i}$. The nucleus $m_{i}$ of the oval $O_{i} \backslash\left\{m_{i}\right\}$ is collinear on $\mathcal{K}$ with all points of $C_{1}$, and so $m_{i}$ is the vertex of the cone $\delta \cap \mathcal{K}$, that is, $M_{i}=W$. By Bruen and Hirschfeld [3] $L \cap L_{i}=$ $\emptyset$ for all $i=1,2, \ldots, q+1$, and as $L_{1} \cup L_{2} \cup \ldots \cup L_{q+1}=M_{1} \cup M_{2} \cup \ldots \cup M_{q+1}=\mathcal{H}$, we also have $L \cap M_{i}=\emptyset$ for all $i=1,2, \ldots, q+1$. So $y \notin \delta \cap \mathcal{K}$, in particular $y \notin \delta$. Next, let $\delta$ be the space generated by $\pi_{i}$ and $\pi_{q+3}$, with $i \in\{1,2, \ldots, q+1\}$. Then $\delta \cap \mathcal{K}$ is singular, and $(\delta \cap \mathcal{K})^{\theta^{-1}}$ consists of all lines of $\operatorname{PG}(3, q)$ concurrent with some line through $x_{i}$. The nucleus $l_{i}$ of the oval $O_{i} \backslash\left\{l_{i}\right\}$ is collinear on $\mathcal{K}$ with all points of $C_{2}$, and so $l_{i}$ is the vertex of the cone $\delta \cap \mathcal{K}$, that is, $M=L_{i}$. By Bruen and Hirschfeld [3] $L \cap L_{i}=\emptyset$ for all $i=1,2, \ldots, q+1$, and so $y \notin \delta \cap \mathcal{K}$, in particular $y \notin \delta$. Finally, let $\delta$ be the space generated by $\pi_{q+2}$ and $\pi_{q+3}$. Then $\delta \cap \mathcal{K}$ is non-singular and $(\delta \cap \mathcal{K})^{\theta^{-1}}$ consists of all lines of $\mathrm{PG}(3, q)$ tangent to the hyperbolic quadric $\mathcal{H}$ with generators $L_{1}, \ldots, L_{q+1}, M_{1}, \ldots, M_{q+1}$. As $L \cap \mathcal{H}=\emptyset$, we have $y \notin \delta \cap \mathcal{K}$, and so $y \notin \delta$. Now it follows that projection from $y$ yields a $q$-fold quotient $\mathcal{T}_{q}(R)$ of $\mathcal{Y}_{q}(K)$.
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