# BIHARMONIC AND QUASIHARMONIC DEGENERACY 
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Among the vast complex of problems on inclusion relations between biharmonic and quasiharmonic null classes of Riemannian manifolds, we consider in the present paper perhaps the most intriguing case: Are there inclusion relations between $O_{H^{2} C}^{N}$ and $O_{Q L p}^{N}$ ? Here $H^{2}, C, Q, L^{p}$ are the classes of functions which are nonharmonic biharmonic, bounded Dirichlet finite, quasiharmonic, or of finite $L^{p}$ norm, respectively; a function $u$ is biharmonic or quasiharmonic according as $\Delta^{2} u=0$ or $\Delta u=1$, with $\Delta$ the Laplace-Beltrami operator $d \delta+\delta d$; for any two classes $X, Y$ of functions, $X Y$ stands for $X \cap Y$, and $O_{X Y}^{N}$ for the class of Riemannian $N$-manifolds on which $X Y=\phi$. The classes $H^{2}, Q$, and $L^{p}$ are not meaningful on Riemann surfaces, but are of great interest on Riemannian manifolds.

It is known that both $O_{H^{2} C}^{N}$ and $O_{Q L p}^{N}$ are strictly contained in $O_{Q C}^{N}$, but whether or not there is an inclusion relation between $O_{H^{2} C}^{N}$ and $O_{Q L p}^{N}$ has been an open question. The purpose of the present paper is to show that the answer is in the negative. In particular, for any $N \geqq 2$ and any $p \geqq 1$, there exist Riemannian $N$-manifolds which carry $Q L^{p}$ functions but nevertheless fail to carry $H^{2} C$ functions.

For any null class $O^{N}$ of Riemannian $N$-manifolds, denote by $\tilde{O}^{N}$ the complementary class. In Nos. 1 and 2, it is readily verified that the classes $\tilde{O}_{H^{2} C}^{N}$ $\cap \tilde{O}_{Q L p}^{N}, O_{H^{2} C}^{N} \cap O_{Q L p}^{N}$, and $\tilde{O}_{H^{2} C}^{N} \cap O_{Q L p}^{N}$ are all nonvoid. The interesting relation is $O_{H^{2} C}^{N} \cap \tilde{O}_{Q L}^{N} \neq \phi$, for which we use two approaches, one in Nos. 3-6, the other in Nos. 7-10.

## 1. Decomposition. We state our goal:

Theorem. For any $N \geqq 2$ and any $1 \leqq p<\infty$, the totality of Riemannian $N$ manifolds decomposes into the disjoint, nonvord classes

[^0]$$
O_{H^{2} C}^{N} \cap O_{Q L p}^{N}, \quad O_{H^{2} C}^{N} \cap \tilde{O}_{Q L p}^{N}, \quad \tilde{O}_{H^{2} C}^{N} \cap O_{Q L p}^{N}, \quad \tilde{O}_{H^{2} C}^{N} \cap \tilde{O}_{Q L p}^{N} .
$$

The proof will be given in Nos. 1-10.
In view of the Euclidean $N$-ball, we have trivially

$$
\tilde{O}_{H^{2} C}^{N} \cap \tilde{O}_{Q L p}^{N} \neq \phi .
$$

Regarding $O_{H^{2} C}^{V} \cap O_{Q L}^{N} p$, it is known that the Euclidean $N$-space $E^{N}$ belongs to $O_{Q L p}^{N}$. Suppose there exists a $u$ in the class $H^{2} B$ of bounded functions in $H^{2}$ on $E^{N}$. Then

$$
u=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{m_{n}}\left(a_{n m} r^{n}+b_{n m} r^{n+2}\right) S_{n m},
$$

with the $S_{n m}$ spherical harmonics. Let $\rho \in C_{0}^{\infty}[0, \infty), \rho \geqq 0$, supp $\rho \subset(0,1)$, and set $\rho_{t}(r)=\rho(r-t)$ for $t>0$. It some $b_{n m} \neq 0$, then for $\varphi_{t}=\rho_{t} S_{n m}$,

$$
\left(u, \varphi_{t}\right)=c \int_{t}^{t+1}\left(a_{n m} r^{n}+b_{n m} r^{n+2}\right) \rho_{t} r^{N-1} d r \sim c t^{n+N+1}
$$

as $t \rightarrow \infty$, whereas

$$
\left(1,\left|\varphi_{t}\right|\right)=c \int_{t}^{t+1} \rho_{t} r^{N-1} d r \sim c t^{N-1}
$$

We have a violation of $\left|\left(u, \varphi_{t}\right)\right| \leqq c\left(1,\left|\varphi_{t}\right|\right)$ for $n+N+1>N-1$, that is, all $n \geqq 0$. Therefore, all $b_{n m}=0$, and $u \in H B$, contrary to $u \in H^{2} B$. Hence $E^{N} \in O_{H^{2} B}^{N} \subset O_{H 2}^{N} C$, and we have verified that

$$
O_{H^{2} C}^{N} \cap O_{Q L p}^{N} \neq \phi .
$$

In No. 2, we shall show that $\tilde{O}_{H^{2} C}^{N} \cap O_{Q L}^{N} p \neq \phi$, and in Nos. 3-10, that $O_{H^{2} C}^{N} \cap$ $\tilde{O}_{Q L}^{N} \neq \phi$.
2. $H^{2} C$ functions but no $Q L^{p}$ for $1 \leqq p<\infty$. Consider the exterior $R$ of the unit ball in $N$-space,

$$
R=\left\{\left(r, \theta^{1}, \cdots, \theta^{N-1}\right) \mid 1<r<\infty\right\},
$$

with the metric

$$
d s^{2}=r^{-2} d r^{2}+r^{2}\left(d \theta^{1}\right)^{2}+\sum_{i=2}^{N-1} d \theta^{i 2}
$$

Lemma. For $N \geqq 2,1 \leqq p<\infty$,

$$
R \in \tilde{O}_{H^{2} C}^{N} \cap O_{Q L P}^{N} .
$$

Proof. The function $h=a r^{-1}+b$ satisfies the harmonic equation $\Delta h(r)=$ $-\left(r^{2} h^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}=0$, and the function $u=\int_{r}^{\infty} r^{-2} \log r d r$ is biharmonic with $\Delta u=r^{-1}$. Since $u \in B$ and

$$
D(u)=c \int_{1}^{\infty} r^{2} u^{\prime 2} d r<\infty,
$$

we have $R \in \tilde{O}_{H^{2} C}^{N}$.
To show that $R \in O_{Q L p}^{N}$, note that $-\log r \in Q$, and every $q_{0}(r) \in Q$ can be written $q_{0}(r)=-\log r+a r^{-1}+b$. Clearly, $q_{0}(r) \notin L^{p}$. An arbitrary $q(r, \theta) \in Q, \theta=$ ( $\theta^{1}, \cdots, \theta^{N-1}$ ), is of the form

$$
q(r, \theta)=q_{0}(r)+\sum_{n \neq \nu} f_{n}(r) S_{n}(\theta),
$$

with the $f_{n} S_{n}$ harmonic. Since $q_{0} \oplus L^{p}$, there exists a $\varphi(r) \in L^{p^{\prime}}$ with $1 / p+1 / p^{\prime}$ $=1$ such that $\left(q_{0}, \varphi\right)=\int_{R} q_{0} * \varphi=\infty$. By virtue of $\left(f_{n} S_{n}, \varphi\right)=0$, we have $(q, \varphi)=$ $\left(q_{0}, \varphi\right)=\infty$, hence $q \notin L^{p}$. The Lemma follows.
3. $Q L^{p}$ functions, $1 \leqq p<2$, but no $H^{2} C$. The relation $O_{H^{2} C}^{N} \cap \tilde{O}_{Q L p}^{N} \neq \phi$ is the most interesting part of our Theorem. We shall use two different approaches. The first one only applies to the case $1 \leqq p<2$, but offers methodological interest. It is based on theorems of Haupt [2], Hille [3], and Bellman [1] on the asymptotic behavior of solutions of ordinary differential equations, and will be presented in Nos. 3-6. The second approach applies to all $1 \leqq p<\infty$. For $N=2$, it will be given in No. 7; for $N>2$, in Nos. 8-10.

Consider the product of the 2 -space and the ( $N-2$ )-torus,

$$
R=R^{2} \times T^{N-2}=\left\{\left(r, \theta^{1}, \cdots, \theta^{N-1}\right) \mid 0 \leqq r<\infty, 0 \leqq \theta^{2} \leqq 2 \pi, \imath=1, \cdots, N-1\right\}
$$

with the metric

$$
d s^{2}=\varphi(r) d r^{2}+\sum_{i=1}^{N-1} \psi_{i}(r) d \theta^{i 2}
$$

where $\varphi$ and the $\psi_{i}$ are $C^{\infty}[0, \infty)$. On $\{r<1 / 2\}$, the metric is to be Euclidean, and on $\{r>1\}$, for a given $0<\delta<1$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \varphi(r)=\psi_{1}(r)=r^{-2-\delta}, \\
& \psi_{i}(r)=1, \quad \imath>1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma. For $1 \leqq p<1+\delta$ and $N \geqq 2$,

$$
R \in O_{H^{2} C}^{N} \cap \tilde{O}_{Q L P}^{N} .
$$

The proof will be given in Nos. 3-6.
The relation

$$
R \in \tilde{O}_{Q L p}^{N}
$$

is immediate. In fact, the quasiharmonic equation $\Delta q(r)=-g^{-1 / 2}\left(g^{1 / 2} \varphi^{-1} q^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}=1$ is satisfied by

$$
q(r)=-\int_{0}^{r} g(t)^{-1 / 2} \varphi(t) \int_{0}^{t} g^{1 / 2}(s) d s d t
$$

For $r>1, g^{1 / 2}=\varphi=r^{-2-\bar{o}}$, and therefore,

$$
q(r) \approx-\int_{0}^{r} \int_{0}^{t} s^{-2-\delta} d s d t \sim c r
$$

as $r \rightarrow \infty$. The integrand in $\|q\|_{p}^{p}$ is asymptotically $r^{p-2-\delta}$, and we have $q \in Q L^{p}$ for $1 \leqq p<1+\delta$.
4. Rate of growth of harmonic functions. For the proof of $R \in O_{H^{2} C}^{N}$, we first consider nonconstant harmonic functions $f(r) G(\theta)$, where $\theta=\left(\theta^{1}, \cdots, \theta^{N-1}\right)$, and $G(\theta)$ is a product of functions $G_{i}\left(\theta^{i}\right)$ of the form $\cos n_{i} \theta^{2}$ or $\sin n_{i} \theta^{2}$. We denote by $R^{1}$ the class of constant functions and show:

If $f(r) G_{1}\left(\theta^{1}\right) \in H-R^{1}$, then for $r>1$,

$$
f(r)=a e^{n_{1} r}+b e^{-n_{1} r},
$$

with $a \neq 0$.
If $f(r) \prod_{i=2}^{N-1} G_{i}\left(\theta^{2}\right) \in H-R^{1}$, then as $r \rightarrow \infty$,

$$
f(r) \sim a r,
$$

with $a \neq 0$.
If $f(r) \prod_{i=1}^{N-1} G_{i}\left(\theta^{i}\right) \in H$ with $G_{\imath}\left(\theta^{i}\right) \neq$ const for $\imath=1$ and some $\imath>1$, then as $r \rightarrow \infty$,

$$
f(r) \sim a e^{n_{1} r},
$$

with $a \neq 0$.
In the first case, we have for $r>1$,

$$
\Delta\left(f G_{1}\right)=-r^{2+\dot{o}}\left(f^{\prime \prime} G_{1}+f G_{1}^{\prime \prime}\right)=0,
$$

which gives $f^{\prime \prime}-n_{1}^{2} f=0$, as claimed. By the maximum principle, $a \neq 0$.
In the second case, we similarly obtain

$$
f^{\prime \prime}=\sum_{i=2}^{N-1} n_{i}^{3} r^{-2-\delta} f
$$

We now make use of the following theorem of Haupt [2] and Hille [3]: A sufficient condition for the differential equation

$$
f^{\prime \prime}(x)=p(x) f(x)
$$

on $(0, \infty)$ to have solutions

$$
\begin{gathered}
f_{1}(x)=x(1+o(1)), \\
f_{2}(x)=1+o(1)
\end{gathered}
$$

as $x \rightarrow \infty$ is that

$$
x p(x) \in L^{1}(0, \infty)
$$

In the present case, this condition reads

$$
r^{-1-\bar{o}} \in L^{1} .
$$

Since it is satisfied, we have the asserted asymptotic behavior of $f(r)$. The maximum principle gives $a \neq 0$.

In the third case, we have for $r>1$,

$$
f^{\prime \prime}=\left(n_{1}^{2}+\sum_{2=2}^{N-1} n_{2}^{2} r^{-2-\delta}\right) f .
$$

By a preliminary transformation $r \rightarrow c r$, this can be written $f^{\prime \prime}=(1+p(r)) f$. We now make use of the following theorem of Bellman [1]: If $p(x) \rightarrow 0$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$ and $\int_{0}^{\infty} p^{2} d x<\infty$, then the equation $f^{\prime \prime}=(1+p(x)) f$ on $(0, \infty)$ has solutions

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{1}(x)=\exp \left[+x \frac{1}{2} \int_{x_{0}}^{x} p(x) d x+o(1)\right] \\
& f_{2}(x)=\exp \left[-\left(x+\frac{1}{2} \int_{x_{0}}^{x} p(x) d x+o(1)\right)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

In the present case, Bellman's conditions take the form $r^{-2-\delta} \rightarrow 0$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$, and $r^{-2-\delta} \in L^{2}(c, \infty)$. Both are satisfied, and the statement follows.
5. Rate of growth of biharmonic functions. We continue the proof of $R \in O_{H^{2} C}^{N}$ and use the above results to estimate biharmonic functions.

If $g(r) \prod_{i=1}^{N-1} G_{i}\left(\theta^{i}\right) \in H^{2}$, with $G_{1} \neq$ const, then $g G \oplus B$.
If $g(r) \prod_{i=1}^{N-1} G_{i}\left(\theta^{i}\right) \in H^{2}$, then $g G \notin C$.
In the first case, we know from No. 3 that a quasiharmonic $q(r) \sim c r$, hence, $q(r) \notin B$. It therefore suffices to consider the case $\Delta(g G)=f G \in H-R^{1}$. We have $f \sim a e^{n_{1} r}$ and, for $r>1$,

$$
\Delta(g G)=-r^{2+\grave{o}}\left(g^{\prime \prime}-n_{1}^{2} g-\sum_{i=2}^{N-1} n_{i}^{2} r^{-2-\grave{o}} g\right) G=f G,
$$

hence

$$
g^{\prime \prime}=\left(n_{1}^{2}+\sum_{\imath=2}^{N-1} n_{i}^{2} r^{-2-\delta}\right) g-r^{-2-\delta} f .
$$

If $g \in B$, then $g^{\prime \prime} \sim c r^{-2-\delta} e^{n_{1} r}$ and therefore $g \notin B$, a contradiction.
In the second case, $f \sim a r$, and for $r>1$,

$$
g^{\prime \prime}=\left(\sum_{i=2}^{N-1} n_{i}^{\imath} g-f\right) r^{-2-\bar{o}} .
$$

Suppose $g G \in C$, hence $g \in B$. For $\eta^{2}=\sum_{2}^{N-1} n_{i}^{2}$,

$$
g^{\prime}(r)=\int_{r}^{\infty}\left(f(s)-\eta^{2} g(s)\right) s^{-2-\delta} d s+c .
$$

Here $c=0$. In fact,

$$
D(g G)=\int_{R}\left(-\frac{\partial}{\partial r}(g G)\right)^{2} g^{r r} * 1+\int_{R} \sum_{i=2}^{N-1}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta^{i}}(g G)\right)^{2} g^{\theta i \theta z} * 1>c_{1} \int_{1}^{\infty} g^{\prime 2} d r .
$$

If $c \neq 0$, then $D(g G)=\infty$, a contradiction.
By $f \sim a r$ and $g \in B$, the integrand in the expression of $g^{\prime}$ is $\sim s^{-1-\delta}$, hence $g^{\prime} \sim c_{2} r^{-\delta}$ and $g \sim c_{3} r^{1-\delta}$. In view of $\delta<1$, we have $g \notin B$, a contradiction.
6. No $H^{2} C$ functions. We are ready to draw the conclusion :

$$
R \in O_{H^{2} C}^{N} .
$$

For the proof, suppose there exists a $u \in H^{2} C$. Expand it: $u=\Sigma_{n} g_{n}(r) G_{n}(\theta)$, $g_{n} G_{n} \in H^{2}, g_{0} G_{0}=c g$. The only radial biharmonic functions are constants and constant multiples of radial quasiharmonic functions $q(r)$. By No. 3, $q(r) \sim c r \notin B$. Thus $g_{0} G_{0} \notin C$ or else $g_{0} G_{0}=$ const, and we already know that $g_{n} G_{n} \notin C$ for $n \neq 0$.

To deduce a contradiction from $u \in C$, we first observe that

$$
\left(T_{n} u\right)(r)=\int_{\theta} u G_{n} d \theta \in B
$$

for every $n$. Suppose first that $g_{n} G_{n} \oplus B$ for some $n$. Then

$$
\int_{\theta} u G_{n} d \theta=c g_{n} \notin B,
$$

a contradiction. If $g_{n} G_{n} \in B$ for all $n$, then by No. $5, G_{n}(\theta)$ depends on $\theta^{2}, \cdots, \theta^{N-1}$ only, and therefore $g_{n} G_{n} \oplus D$. In view of the Dirichlet orthogonality of the $G_{n}$, $\Sigma_{n} g_{n} G_{n} \in D$ as well. This contradiction proves that $R \in O_{H^{2} C}^{N}$, and we have established the Lemma in No. 3, hence also our Theorem for $1 \leqq p<2$.
7. $Q L^{p}$ functions, any $p$, but no $H^{2} C$, for $N=2$. We proceed to our second approach in the proof of our Theorem, valid for all $1 \leqq p<\infty$. In No. 7, we discuss the case $N=2$; in Nos. $8-10, N>2$.

Consider the 2 -space $R$ with the metric

$$
d s^{2}=\varphi(r) d r^{2}+\psi(r) d \theta^{2}
$$

with $\varphi, \psi \in C^{\infty}$ such that, for $r<1 / 2$, the metric is Euclidean, and for $r>1$,

$$
\varphi(r)=\psi(r)=e^{-r / 2} .
$$

Lemma. For $1 \leqq p<\infty$,

$$
R \in O_{H^{2} C}^{2} \cap \tilde{O}_{Q_{L} L^{p}}^{2}
$$

Proof. The relation

$$
R \in \tilde{O}_{Q L^{2}}^{2}
$$

is immediate. In fact, $\Delta q(r)=1$ is satisfied by

$$
q(r)=-\int_{0}^{r} \varphi(t) g(t)^{-1 / 2} \int_{0}^{t} g(s)^{1 / 2} d s d t
$$

and $q(r) \sim c r$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$. Thus the integrand in $\|q\|_{p}^{p}$ is $\sim c r^{p} e^{-r / 2}$, and $q \in L^{p}$ for
all $p$.
To show that

$$
R \in O_{H^{2} C}^{2},
$$

let $G(\theta)$ be either $\sin n \theta$ or $\cos n \theta$ for some integer $n \geqq 0$.
If $f(r) G(\theta) \in H$, with $G(\theta) \neq$ const, then $f(r) \sim a e^{n r}, a \neq 0$.
If $g(r) G(\theta) \in H^{2}$, then $g G \notin B$.
Indeed, the harmonic equation $\Delta(f G)=0$ gives

$$
\left(g^{1 / 2} \varphi^{-1} f^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}=n^{2} g^{1 / 2} \psi^{-1} f,
$$

which for $r>1$ reads $f^{\prime \prime}=n^{2} f$, and $f=a e^{n r}+b e^{-n r}$. By the maximum principle, $a \neq 0$.

The equation $\Delta(g G)=f G$ takes, for $r>1$, the form

$$
g^{\prime \prime}=n^{2} g-e^{-r / 2} f .
$$

If $g \in B$ and $G \neq$ const, then $g^{\prime \prime} \sim-a e^{(n-1 / 2) r}$, and $g \sim a e^{(n-1 / 2) r}$ contradicts $g \in B$ if $G \neq$ const.

If $G=$ const, then $g G=c g$ is radial quasiharmonic, hence by $g^{\prime \prime}=-e^{r / 2} f$, we again have $g \notin B$.

Now suppose there exists a $u \in H^{2} B$. Since in the expansion $u=\Sigma_{n} g_{n}(r) G_{n}(\theta)$, $g_{n} \not \equiv 0$ for some $n$, the corresponding transform

$$
\left(T_{n} u\right)(\theta)=\int_{\theta} u G_{n}(\theta) d \theta=c g_{n} \in B,
$$

a contradiction. We have shown that $R \in O_{H{ }^{2}{ }_{B} \subset O_{H}^{2}{ }^{2} C}$.
8. $Q L^{p}$ functions, any $p$, but no $H^{2} C$, for $N>2$. We now come to the main part of our Theorem: the relation $O_{H^{2} C}^{N} \cap \tilde{O}_{Q L}^{N} p \neq \phi$ for all $1 \leqq p<\infty$ and $N>2$.

For the base manifold we take the same product of $R^{2}$ and the ( $N-2$ )torus as in No. 3,

$$
R=\left\{(r, \theta) \mid 0 \leqq r<\infty, 0 \leqq \theta^{2} \leqq 2 \pi, \imath=1, \cdots, N-1\right\},
$$

but endowed with the metric

$$
d s^{2}=\varphi(r) d r^{2}+\sum_{i=1}^{N-1} \psi_{i}(r) d \theta^{22}
$$

where $\varphi, \psi_{i} \in C^{\infty}[0, \infty)$ for $i=1, \cdots, N-1$, the metric is Euclidean on $\{r<1 / 2\}$, and

$$
\varphi(r)=e^{-(N-1) r} \quad \text { on } \quad\{r>1\} .
$$

The choice of $\psi_{i}$ will depend on a partition $\left\{I_{\imath}\right\}$ of the interval $(1, \infty)$ and on an auxiliary function $\psi(r)$ to be presently specified.

The partition $\left\{I_{\imath j}\right\}$ with $\imath, \jmath=1, \cdots, N-1$, and $\imath \neq \jmath$ consists in dividing each semiopen unit interval $I^{n}=(n, n+1], n=1,2, \cdots$, into $(N-1)(N-2)$ equal semiopen intervals $I_{i j}^{n}$, and by setting $I_{\imath j}=\cup_{n} I_{i j}^{n}$.

The function $\psi$ is defined on each $I_{i j}^{n}$ as follows. Subdivide $I_{i j}^{n}$ into five equal semiopen subintervals, $I_{1}, I_{2}, I_{3}, I_{4}, I_{5}$, in this order, and let $\psi \in C^{\infty}$ with

$$
\psi(r)=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
1 & \text { for } & r \in I_{1} \cup I_{5} \\
e^{(N-2) r} e^{e r} & \text { for } & r \in I_{3} \\
\geqq 1 & \text { for } & r \in I_{2} \cup I_{4}
\end{array}\right.
$$

Thus $\psi$ is well defined on ( $1, \infty$ ), and we set

$$
\psi_{i}(r)= \begin{cases}e^{-r} \psi(r) & \text { for } r \in I_{\imath j}, \\ e^{-r} \psi(r)^{-1} & \text { for } r \in I_{j i}, \\ e^{-r} & \text { for } r \notin I_{\imath j} \cup I_{j i}\end{cases}
$$

The Riemannian $N$-manifold $R$ is thus well defined.
Note that the determinant of the metric tensor is $g(r)=\varphi \Pi \psi_{i}$. For $r>1$, $g(r)^{1 / 2}=e^{-(N-1) r}$.

We claim:
Lemma. For $1 \leqq p<\infty$ and $N>2$,

$$
R \in O_{H^{2} C}^{N} \cap \tilde{O}_{Q L}^{N}
$$

The proof will be given in Nos. 8-10.
The relation

$$
R \in \tilde{O}_{Q L^{N}}^{N}
$$

is immediate. Indeed, the quasiharmonic equation $\Delta q(r)=1$ has a solution

$$
q(r)=-\int_{1}^{r} g^{-1 / 2} \varphi(s) \int_{1}^{s} g^{1 / 2} d t d s
$$

For $r>1, g^{1 / 2}=e^{-(N-1) r}$, and $g^{-1 / 2} \varphi(r)=1$. Thus $q(r) \sim a r$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$, and

$$
\|q\|_{p}^{p}=\int_{R}|q|^{p} * 1 \sim c_{1}+c_{2} \int_{1}^{\infty} r^{p} e^{-(N-1) r} d r<\infty
$$

9. Rate of growth. To prove that

$$
R \in O_{H^{2} C}^{N},
$$

we first observe that if $u(r) \in H^{2}$, then $u \notin B$. In fact, $u(r)=a q(r)+b \sim a_{1} r+b \notin B$.
Next consider harmonic functions $f(r) G(\theta)$, with the notation as in No. 7.
If $f(r) G(\theta) \in H, f G \neq$ const, then

$$
|f(r)|>c e^{2 r} e^{e r}
$$

for all sufficiently large $r$.
For the proof, note that by the maximum principle, $|f|$ is strictly increasing and $f$ is of constant sign. The sign of $G$ suitably chosen, we have $f>0$. In the relation $\Delta(f G)=\Delta f \cdot G+f \Delta G=0$, we obtain for $r>1$,

$$
\Delta f=-e^{(N-1) r} f^{\prime \prime}, \quad \Delta G=\sum_{i=1}^{N-1} n_{i}^{2} \varphi_{i}^{-1} G
$$

so that

$$
e^{(N-1) r} f^{\prime \prime}=\sum_{i=1}^{N-1} n_{i}^{2} \psi_{i}^{-1} f \geqq c \psi_{i_{0}}^{-1}>0,
$$

where $c \psi_{i_{0}}^{-1}$ comes from a nonvanishing term with $n_{\imath_{0}}>0$. Integrating $f^{\prime \prime} \geqq$ $c e^{-(N-1) r} \psi_{i_{0}}^{-1}$ twice we obtain

$$
f(r) \geqq c \int_{1}^{r} \int_{1}^{t} e^{-(N-1) s} \psi_{i_{0}}^{-1}(s) d s d t+f^{\prime}(1)(r-1)+f(1)
$$

In view of $f(1)>0$ and $f^{\prime}(1)>0$, we have

$$
f(r)>c \int_{1}^{r} \int_{1}^{t} e^{-(N-1) s} \psi_{i_{0}}^{-1}(s) d s d t>0
$$

We estimate the growth of $\int_{1}^{t} e^{-(N-1) s} \psi_{i_{0}}^{-1}(s) d s$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$. Let $n=[t]-1$, and denote by $n+\delta$ the left end point of $I_{j i_{0} 3}^{n}$. The right end point of $I_{j i_{0} 3}^{n}$ is $n+\delta+$ $[5(N-1)(N-2)]^{-1}$, and, for $t>r_{0}$, say,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{1}^{t} e^{-(N-1) s} \phi_{i_{0}}^{-1}(s) d s & >\int_{I_{j i_{0}}^{n}} e^{-(N-1) s} \psi_{i_{0}}^{-1}(s) d s=\int_{I_{j i_{0} 3}^{n}} e^{e^{s}} d s \\
& =\left.e^{-s} e^{e s}\right|_{n+\delta} ^{n+\delta+1 /[5(N-1)(N-2)]}+\int_{I_{j i_{0} 3}^{n}} e^{-s} e^{e s} d s \\
& \geqq\left. e^{-s} e^{e s}\right|_{n+\delta} ^{n+\delta+1 /[5(N-1)(N-2)]} \\
& \geqq e^{-n-\bar{\delta}-1 /[5(N-1)(N-2)]} e^{e n+\bar{\delta}+1 /[5(N-1)(N-2)]}-e^{-n-\delta} e^{e n+\delta} \\
& =e^{-(n+\delta)} e^{e n+\delta}\left[\left(e^{e n+\delta}\right)^{1 / /[5(N-1)(N-2))]-1} e^{-1 /[5(N-1)(N-2)]}-1\right] \\
& \geqq e^{-(n+\delta)} e^{e n+\delta}\left[\left(e^{e n+\delta}\right)^{e-1} e^{-1 /[[5(N-1)(N-2)]}-1\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

For $r_{0}$ sufficiently large, this dominates

$$
e^{-(n+\grave{\delta})} e^{e n+\delta} \geqq c e^{-t} e^{e t-1},
$$

with $c$ an appropriate constant. Integration by parts gives

$$
f(r)>c \int_{r_{0}}^{r} e^{-t} e^{e t-1} d t \geqq c e^{-2 r} e^{e r-1}
$$

It follows that

$$
e^{(N-1) r} f^{\prime \prime}=\sum_{i=1}^{N-1} n_{i}^{2} \phi_{i}^{-1} f \geqq c \psi_{i_{0}}^{-1} e^{-2 r} e^{e r-1},
$$

hence

$$
f^{\prime \prime} \geqq c e^{-(N+1) r} \psi_{i_{0}}^{-1} e^{e r-1},
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
f^{\prime}(r)-f^{\prime}(1) & \geqq c \int_{1}^{r} e^{-(N+1) r} \varphi_{i_{0}}^{-1} e^{e r-1} d r \\
& \geqq c \int_{I_{j i_{0}}} e^{-2 r} e^{e r} e^{e r-1} d r
\end{aligned}
$$

A fortiori,

$$
f^{\prime}(r) \geqq c e^{3 r} e^{e r}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(r)-f(1) & \geqq c \int_{1}^{r} e^{3 r} e^{e r} d r \\
& \geqq c_{2} e^{2 r} e^{e r}
\end{aligned}
$$

for sufficiently large $r$.
10. No $H^{2} C$ functions. To continue the proof of $R \in O_{H^{2} C}^{N}$, we consider nonharmonic biharmonic functions $g(r) G(\theta)$, with the notation as in No. 7.

If $g(r) G(\theta) \in H^{2}$, then $g G \oplus B$.
For the proof, suppose $g G$ is bounded. For sufficiently large $r$,

$$
\Delta(g G)=\left(-e^{(N-1) r} g^{\prime \prime}+\sum_{i} n_{i}^{2} \phi_{i}^{-1} g\right) G=f G,
$$

hence

$$
g^{\prime \prime}=\sum_{i} n_{i}^{?} \psi_{i}^{-1} e^{-(N-1) r} g-e^{-(N-1) r} f .
$$

Since $f(r)>c e^{2 r} e^{e r}$ for all sufficiently large $r$, and $\psi_{i}^{-1} e^{-(N-1) r} g$ does not grow faster than $c e^{e r}$, the right-hand side is unbounded as $r \rightarrow \infty$, and of constant sign for large $r$. Integrating twice, we see that $g \oplus B$, hence $g G \oplus B$.

We are ready to draw the conclusion:

$$
R \in O_{H}^{N}{ }_{2} \subset O_{H}^{N}{ }^{2} C .
$$

To see this, let $u(r, \theta) \in H^{2}$. Write $u(r, \theta)=\sum_{n} g_{n}(r) G_{n}(\theta)$, with $G_{0}(\theta)$ standing for a constant. Here some $g_{n} G_{n} \in H^{2}$, say $g_{1} G_{1}$. If $u \in B$, then

$$
\left(T_{1} u\right)(r)=\int_{\theta} u G_{1} d \theta \in B
$$

in violation of $\int_{\theta} u G_{1} d \theta=c g_{1} \notin B$.
The proof of the Lemma in No. 8 and of the Theorem is herewith complete.
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