

## A class of almost $C_0(\mathcal{K})$ - $C^*$ -algebras

By Junko INOUE, Ying-Fen LIN and Jean LUDWIG

(Received Jan. 7, 2014)

**Abstract.** We consider in this paper the family of exponential Lie groups  $G_{n,\mu}$ , whose Lie algebra is an extension of the Heisenberg Lie algebra by the reals and whose quotient group by the centre of the Heisenberg group is an  $ax + b$ -like group. The  $C^*$ -algebras of the groups  $G_{n,\mu}$  give new examples of almost  $C_0(\mathcal{K})$ - $C^*$ -algebras.

### 1. Introduction and notations.

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a  $C^*$ -algebra and  $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}$  be its unitary spectrum. The  $C^*$ -algebra  $l^\infty(\widehat{\mathcal{A}})$  of all bounded operator fields defined over  $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}$  is given by

$$l^\infty(\widehat{\mathcal{A}}) := \left\{ A = (A(\pi) \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_\pi))_{\pi \in \widehat{\mathcal{A}}}; \|A\|_\infty := \sup_\pi \|A(\pi)\|_{\text{op}} < \infty \right\},$$

where  $\mathcal{H}_\pi$  is the Hilbert space on which  $\pi$  acts. Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be the Fourier transform of  $\mathcal{A}$ , i.e.,

$$\mathcal{F}(a) := \widehat{a} := (\pi(a))_{\pi \in \widehat{\mathcal{A}}} \quad \text{for } a \in \mathcal{A}.$$

It is an injective, hence isometric, homomorphism from  $\mathcal{A}$  into  $l^\infty(\widehat{\mathcal{A}})$ . Hence one can analyze the  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  by recognizing the elements of  $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{A})$  inside the (big)  $C^*$ -algebra  $l^\infty(\widehat{\mathcal{A}})$ .

We know that the unitary spectrum  $\widehat{C^*(G)}$  of the  $C^*$ -algebra  $C^*(G)$  of a locally compact group  $G$  can be identified with the unitary dual  $\widehat{G}$  of  $G$ . If  $G$  is an *exponential* Lie group, i.e., if the exponential mapping  $\exp : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow G$  from the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  to its Lie group  $G$  is a diffeomorphism, then the Kirillov-Bernat-Vergne-Pukanszky-Ludwig-Leptin theory shows that there is a canonical homeomorphism  $K : \mathfrak{g}^*/G \rightarrow \widehat{G}$  from the space of coadjoint orbits of  $G$  in the linear dual space  $\mathfrak{g}^*$  onto the unitary dual space  $\widehat{G}$  of  $G$  (see [LepLud] for details and references). In this case, one can therefore identify the unitary spectrum  $\widehat{C^*(G)}$  of the  $C^*$ -algebra of an exponential Lie group with the space  $\mathfrak{g}^*/G$  of coadjoint orbits of the group  $G$ .

The  $C^*$ -algebra of an  $ax + b$ -like group was characterised in [LinLud] and the  $C^*$ -algebras of the Heisenberg group and of the threadlike groups were described in [LuTu] as algebras of operator fields defined on the dual spaces of the groups. The method of

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 22D25; Secondary 22E25, 22E27.

*Key Words and Phrases.*  $C^*$ -algebra, exponential Lie group, algebra of operator fields.

This work was partially supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Numbers 21540180, 25400115.

describing group C\*-algebras as algebras of operator fields defined on the dual spaces was first used in [Fell] and [Lee].

In this paper, we consider the exponential solvable Lie group  $G_{n,\mu}$ , whose Lie algebra is an extension of the Heisenberg Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{h}_n$  by the reals, which means that  $\mathbb{R}$  acts on  $\mathfrak{h}_n$  by a diagonal matrix with real eigenvalues. The quotient group of  $G_{n,\mu}$  by the centre of the Heisenberg group is then an  $ax+b$ -like group, whose C\*-algebra has been determined in [LinLud]. Since the orbit structure of exponential groups is well understood (see for instance [ArLuSc]), we can write down the spectrum of the group  $G_{n,\mu}$  explicitly and determine its topology.

In [ILL] the example of the group  $N_{6,28}$  motivated the introduction of a special class of C\*-algebras which we called *almost  $C_0(\mathcal{K})$ -C\*-algebra*, where  $\mathcal{K}$  is the algebra of all compact operators on some Hilbert space. In Section 2, we recall the definition and the properties of almost  $C_0(\mathcal{K})$ -C\*-algebras. In Section 3 we introduce the family of the  $G_{n,\mu}$  groups and describe the space of coadjoint orbits  $\mathfrak{g}_{n,\mu}^*/G_{n,\mu}$ . We show that the spectrum  $\widehat{G_{n,\mu}}$  of  $G_{n,\mu}$  is a disjoint union of the sets  $\Gamma_0, \Gamma_1, \Gamma_2, \Gamma_3$ , where  $\Gamma_0$  is the set of the characters of  $G_{n,\mu}$ ,  $\Gamma_1$  and  $\Gamma_2$  are the sets of the representations corresponding to the two-dimensional coadjoint orbits of  $G_{n,\mu}$ , and  $\Gamma_3$  is the union of the two generic irreducible representations  $\pi_+, \pi_-$  which correspond to the two open orbits. Note that each of the sets  $\Gamma_i$  needs a special treatment. The sets  $\Gamma_1$  and  $\Gamma_2$  have been treated in the paper [LinLud]. In Subsection 4.2, we discover the almost  $C_0(\mathcal{K})$  conditions for  $\Gamma_3$ . This is the most intricate part of the paper and the treatment is inspired by the study of the boundary condition for a class of 4-dimensional orbits in [ILL, Subsection 6.3]. At the end (Subsection 4.4), we describe the actual C\*-algebra of  $G_{n,\mu}$  as an algebra of operator fields and we see that this C\*-algebra has the structure of an almost  $C_0(\mathcal{K})$ -C\*-algebra.

## 2. Almost $C_0(\mathcal{K})$ -C\*-algebras.

The following definitions were given in [ILL]; for completeness, we recall them here.

DEFINITION 2.1. Let  $A$  be a C\*-algebra and  $\widehat{A}$  be the spectrum of  $A$ .

- (1) Suppose there exists a finite increasing family  $S_0 \subset S_1 \subset \dots \subset S_d = \widehat{A}$  of subsets of  $\widehat{A}$  such that for  $i = 1, \dots, d$ , the subsets  $\Gamma_0 = S_0$  and  $\Gamma_i := S_i \setminus S_{i-1}$  are Hausdorff in their relative topologies. Furthermore we assume that for every  $i \in \{0, \dots, d\}$  there exists a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}_i$  and a concrete realization  $(\pi_\gamma, \mathcal{H}_i)$  of  $\gamma$  on the Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}_i$  for every  $\gamma \in \Gamma_i$ . Note that the set  $S_0$  is the collection  $\mathfrak{X}$  of all characters of  $A$ .
- (2) For a subset  $S \subset \widehat{A}$ , denote by  $CB(S)$  the \*-algebra of all uniformly bounded operator fields  $(\psi(\gamma) \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_i))_{\gamma \in S \cap \Gamma_i, i=1, \dots, d}$ , which are operator norm continuous on the subsets  $\Gamma_i \cap S$  for every  $i \in \{1, \dots, d\}$  for which  $\Gamma_i \cap S \neq \emptyset$ . We provide the \*-algebra  $CB(S)$  with the infinity-norm:

$$\|\psi\|_S := \sup_{\gamma \in S} \|\psi(\gamma)\|_{\text{op}}.$$

DEFINITION 2.2. Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be a Hilbert space and  $\mathcal{K} := \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H})$  be the algebra of all compact operators defined on  $\mathcal{H}$ . A C\*-algebra  $A$  is said to be *almost  $C_0(\mathcal{K})$*  if for every

$a \in A$ :

- (1) The mappings  $\gamma \mapsto \mathcal{F}(a)(\gamma)$  are norm continuous on the different sets  $\Gamma_i$ , where  $\mathcal{F} : A \rightarrow l^\infty(\widehat{A})$  is the Fourier transform given by

$$\mathcal{F}(a)(\gamma) = \widehat{a}(\gamma) := \pi_\gamma(a) \quad \text{for } \gamma \in \widehat{A} \text{ and } a \in A.$$

- (2) For each  $i = 1, \dots, d$ , we have a sequence  $(\sigma_{i,k} : CB(S_{i-1}) \rightarrow CB(S_i))_k$  of linear mappings which are uniformly bounded in  $k$  (and independent of  $a$ ) such that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \text{dis}((\sigma_{i,k}(\mathcal{F}(a)|_{S_{i-1}}) - \mathcal{F}(a)|_{\Gamma_i}), C_0(\Gamma_i, \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H}_i))) = 0,$$

and

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \text{dis}((\sigma_{i,k}(\mathcal{F}(a)|_{S_{i-1}})^* - \mathcal{F}(a^*)|_{\Gamma_i}), C_0(\Gamma_i, \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H}_i))) = 0,$$

where  $C_0(\Gamma_i, \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H}_i))$  is the space of all continuous mappings  $\varphi : \Gamma_i \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H}_i)$  vanishing at infinity.

**DEFINITION 2.3.** Let  $D^*(A)$  be the set of all operator fields  $\varphi$  defined over  $\widehat{A}$  such that

- (1) The field  $\varphi$  is uniformly bounded, i.e., we have that  $\|\varphi\| := \sup_{\gamma \in \widehat{A}} \|\varphi(\gamma)\|_{\text{op}} < \infty$ .
- (2)  $\varphi|_{\Gamma_i} \in CB(\Gamma_i)$  for every  $i = 0, 1, \dots, d$ .
- (3) For every sequence  $(\gamma_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  going to infinity in  $\widehat{A}$ , we have that  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|\varphi(\gamma_k)\|_{\text{op}} = 0$ .
- (4) For each  $i = 1, 2, \dots, d$ ,

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \text{dis}((\sigma_{i,k}(\varphi|_{S_{i-1}}) - \varphi|_{\Gamma_i}), C_0(\Gamma_i, \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H}_i))) = 0$$

and

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \text{dis}((\sigma_{i,k}(\varphi|_{S_{i-1}})^* - (\varphi|_{\Gamma_i})^*), C_0(\Gamma_i, \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H}_i))) = 0.$$

We see immediately that if  $A$  is almost  $C_0(\mathcal{K})$ , then for every  $a \in A$ , the operator field  $\mathcal{F}(a)$  is contained in the set  $D^*(A)$ . In fact it turns out that  $D^*(A)$  is a  $C^*$ -subalgebra of  $l^\infty(\widehat{A})$  and that  $A$  is isomorphic to  $D^*(A)$ .

**THEOREM 2.4** ([ILL, Theorem 2.6]). *Let  $A$  be a separable  $C^*$ -algebra which is almost  $C_0(\mathcal{K})$ . Then the subset  $D^*(A)$  of the  $C^*$ -algebra  $l^\infty(\widehat{A})$  is a  $C^*$ -subalgebra which is isomorphic to  $A$  under the Fourier transform.*

### 3. The groups $G_{n,\mu}$ .

Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}^* = \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$ ,  $V_n = \mathbb{R}^{2n}$  and denote by  $\omega_n$  the canonical non-degenerate skew-symmetric bilinear form on  $V_n$ . Let

$$\mathfrak{h}_n := V_n \oplus \mathbb{R}.$$

Choose a symplectic basis  $\mathcal{B} := \{X_1, \dots, X_n, Y_1, \dots, Y_n\}$  of  $V_n$ . Let

$$\mathfrak{g}_{n,\mu} := \mathbb{R} \times \mathfrak{h}_n \text{ and } A = (1, 0_{V_n}, 0), Z = (0, 0_{V_n}, 1) \in \mathfrak{g}_{n,\mu}.$$

Then  $\{A, X_1, \dots, X_n, Y_1, \dots, Y_n, Z\}$  is a basis of  $\mathfrak{g}_{n,\mu}$ . For

$$\mu := \{\lambda_1, \lambda'_1, \dots, \lambda_n, \lambda'_n\} \subset \mathbb{R}$$

with  $\lambda_i + \lambda'_i = 2$  for all  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , we define the brackets

$$[A, X_i] = \lambda_i X_i, [A, Y_i] = \lambda'_i Y_i, [A, Z] = 2Z \text{ for all } i = 1, \dots, n,$$

and

$$[X_i, Y_j] = \delta_{i,j} Z \text{ for } i, j = 1, \dots, n.$$

Eventually by exchanging  $X_j$  and  $Y_j$  and replacing  $X_j$  by  $-X_j$  we can assume that  $\lambda'_j \geq 0$  for all  $j$ . We then obtain a structure of an exponential solvable Lie algebra on  $\mathfrak{g}_{n,\mu}$ , and its subalgebra  $\mathfrak{h}_n$  is the Heisenberg Lie algebra.

Define the diagonal operator  $l_\mu : V_n \rightarrow V_n$  by

$$l_\mu(v) := \sum_i \lambda_i v_i X_i + \lambda'_i v'_i Y_i \text{ for } v = \sum_{i=1}^n v_i X_i + \sum_{i=1}^n v'_i Y_i \in V_n.$$

For  $v = \sum_{i=1}^n v_i X_i + v'_i Y_i \in V_n$  and  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ , we write

$$a \cdot v := \sum_{i=1}^n e^{a\lambda_i} v_i X_i + e^{a\lambda'_i} v'_i Y_i.$$

The corresponding simply connected Lie group  $G_{n,\mu}$ , which is exponential solvable, can be identified with the space  $\mathbb{R} \times V_n \times \mathbb{R}$  equipped with the multiplication

$$(a, v, c) \cdot (a', v', c') := (a + a', (-a') \cdot v + v', e^{-2a'} c + c' + \frac{1}{2} \omega_n((-a') \cdot v, v')). \quad (3.0.1)$$

The inner automorphism  $\text{Ad}(a, u)$  on  $\mathfrak{h}_n$  is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ad}(a, u)(0, v, z) &= (a, u, 0)(0, v, z)(-a, -(a \cdot u), 0) \\ &= (a, 0, 0)(0, u, 0)(0, v, z)(0, -u, 0)(-a, 0, 0) \\ &= (a, 0, 0)(0, v, z + \omega_n(u, v))(-a, 0, 0) \\ &= (0, a \cdot v, e^{2a} z + e^{2a} \omega_n(u, v)) \text{ for } (v, z) \in \mathfrak{h}_n. \end{aligned}$$

The centre  $\mathcal{Z}$  of the normal subgroup  $H_n := \{0\} \times V_n \times \mathbb{R}$  of  $G_{n,\mu}$  is the subset  $\mathcal{Z} = \exp(\mathbb{R}\mathcal{Z}) = \{0\} \times \{0_{V_n}\} \times \mathbb{R}$ . Denote by  $G_{V_n}$  the quotient group  $G_{n,\mu}/\mathcal{Z}$  which can be identified with  $\mathbb{R} \times V_n$  equipped with the multiplication

$$(s, v) \cdot (t, w) := (s + t, (-t) \cdot v + w).$$

We write  $V_n = V_0 \oplus V_+ \oplus V_- = V_0 \oplus V_1$ , where

$$V_+ := \text{span}\{X_j, Y_k; \lambda_j > 0, \lambda'_k > 0\},$$

$$V_- := \text{span}\{X_j; \lambda_j < 0\},$$

$$V_0 := \text{span}\{X_j, Y_k; \lambda_j = 0, \lambda'_k = 0\},$$

and  $V_1 := V_+ \oplus V_-$ . Let

$$\mu_+ := \mu \cap \mathbb{R}_+^*, \quad \mu_- := \mu \cap \mathbb{R}_-^*, \quad \mu_0 := \mu \cap \{0\},$$

then we can write

$$V_+ = \sum_{\lambda \in \mu_+} V_{+,\lambda} \quad \text{and} \quad V_- = \sum_{\lambda \in \mu_-} V_{-,\lambda},$$

where  $V_{+,\lambda}$  and  $V_{-,\lambda}$  are the respective eigenspaces of the operator  $l_\mu$ .

We can also identify  $\mathfrak{g}_{n,\mu}^*$  with  $\mathbb{R}A^* \oplus V_n^* \oplus \mathbb{R}Z^* \simeq \mathbb{R} \times V_n \times \mathbb{R}$ , and then

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \text{Ad}^*(a, u)(a^*, v^*, \lambda^*), (0, v, z) \rangle &= \langle (a^*, v^*, \lambda^*), \text{Ad}((a, u)^{-1})(0, v, z) \rangle \\ &= \langle (a^*, v^*, \lambda^*), (0, (-a) \cdot v, e^{-2a}z + e^{-2a}\omega_n(-(a \cdot u), v)) \rangle \\ &= \langle 0, v^*, (-a) \cdot v \rangle + \lambda^* e^{-2a}z + \lambda^* e^{-2a}\omega_n(-(a \cdot u), v). \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\text{Ad}^*(a, u)(a^*, v^*, \lambda^*)|_{\mathfrak{h}_n} = (a^*, (-a) \cdot v^* - \lambda^* e^{-2a}(a \cdot u) \times \omega_n, \lambda^* e^{-2a}).$$

Here we denote by  $u \times \omega_n$  the linear functional on  $V_n$  as

$$u \times \omega_n(v) := \omega_n(u, v) \quad \text{for all } v \in V_n.$$

The coadjoint orbit  $\Omega_\ell$  of an element  $\ell = (a^*, v^*, \lambda^*) \in \mathfrak{g}_{n,\mu}^*$  is given by

$$\Omega_\ell = \{(a^* + v^*([A, u]) + 2z\lambda^*, (-a) \cdot v^* - \lambda^* e^{-2a}(a \cdot u) \times \omega_n, \lambda^* e^{-2a}) : a, z \in \mathbb{R}, u \in V_n\}.$$

Hence if  $\lambda^* \neq 0$  then the corresponding coadjoint orbit is the subset

$$\Omega_{\lambda^*} = \mathbb{R} \times V_n^* \times \mathbb{R}_+^* \lambda^*,$$

where  $V_n^*$  is the linear dual space of  $V_n$ . Therefore we have two open coadjoint orbits

$$\Omega_\varepsilon := \text{Ad}^*(G_{n,\mu})\ell_\varepsilon = \mathbb{R} \times V_n^* \times \mathbb{R}_\varepsilon^* \quad \text{for } \varepsilon \in \{+, -\}, \quad (3.0.2)$$

where  $\ell_\varepsilon = \varepsilon Z^*$ . The other orbits are contained in  $Z^\perp$  with the form

$$\Omega_{v^*} = \mathbb{R}A^* + \mathbb{R} \cdot v^* \quad \text{for } v^* \in V_n^* \setminus V_0^*,$$

or the one point orbits

$$\{a^*A^* + v^*\} \quad \text{for } a^* \in \mathbb{R}, v^* \in V_0^*.$$

We can decompose the linear dual space  $V_n^*$  of  $V_n$  into

$$\begin{aligned} V_+^* &:= \{f \in V_n^* : f(V_- \cup V_0) = \{0\}\}, \\ V_-^* &:= \{f \in V_n^* : f(V_+ \cup V_0) = \{0\}\}, \\ V_0^* &:= \{f \in V_n^* : f(V_+ \cup V_-) = \{0\}\}. \end{aligned}$$

The following definition was given in **[LinLud2]**.

**DEFINITION 3.1.** Denote by  $\|\cdot\|$  the norm on  $V_n^*$  coming from the scalar product defined by the basis  $\{X_1, \dots, X_n, Y_1, \dots, Y_n\}$ . For  $f_+ = \sum_{\lambda \in \mu_+} f_\lambda \in V_+^*$  and  $f_- = \sum_{\lambda \in \mu_-} f_\lambda \in V_-^*$ , let

$$|f_+|_\mu = |f_+| := \max_{\lambda_j \in \mu_+} \|f_{\lambda_j}\|^{1/\lambda_j} \quad \text{and} \quad |f_-|_\mu = |f_-| := \max_{\lambda_j \in \mu_-} \|f_{\lambda_j}\|^{-1/\lambda_j}.$$

Then for  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ , we have the relation

$$|t \cdot f_+| = e^t |f_+| \quad \text{and} \quad |t \cdot f_-| = e^{-t} |f_-| \quad \text{for } f_+ \in V_+^*, f_- \in V_-^*. \quad (3.0.3)$$

On  $V_0^*$  we shall use the norm coming from the scalar product. This gives us a global gauge on  $V_n^*$ :

$$|(f_0, f_+, f_-)| := \max\{\|f_0\|, |f_+|, |f_-|\}.$$

We denote by  $V_{gen}^*$  the open subset of  $V_n^*$  consisting of all the  $f = (f_0, f_+, f_-) \in V_0^* \times V_+^* \times V_-^*$  for which  $f_+ \neq 0$  and  $f_- \neq 0$ . The subset  $V_{sin}^*$  consists of all the  $f = (f_0, f_+, f_-)$  for which either  $f_+ \neq 0, f_- = 0$  or  $f_+ = 0, f_- \neq 0$ . We see that for every  $f = (f_0, f_+, f_-) \in V_{gen}^*$  there exists exactly one element  $f' = (f_0, f'_+, f'_-)$  in its  $G_{n,\mu}$ -orbit such that  $|f'_+| = |f'_-|$ . In the same way, for  $f = (f_0, f_+, 0)$  (resp.  $f = (f_0, 0, f_-)$ )  $\in V_{sin}^*$ , there exists exactly one element  $f' = (f_0, f'_+, 0)$  (resp.  $f' = (f_0, 0, f'_-)$ ) in its  $G_{n,\mu}$ -orbit for which  $|f'_+| = 1$  (resp.  $|f'_-| = 1$ ).

For  $f_+ \in V_+^* \setminus \{0\}$ , let us denote by  $r(f_+)$  the unique real number for which the vector  $r(f_+) \cdot f_+$  in  $V_+^*$  has gauge 1. This means that

$$r(f_+) := -\ln(|f_+|).$$

Similarly, for  $f_- \in V_-^* \setminus \{0\}$  we define the number  $q(f_-)$  by

$$q(f_-) := \ln(|f_-|)$$

such that  $|q(f_-) \cdot f_-| = 1$ . Let

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D} &= \{(f_0, f_+, f_-) : |f_+| = |f_-| \neq 0\}, \\ \mathcal{S}_+ &= \{(f_0, f_+, 0) : |f_+| = 1\}, \quad \mathcal{S}_- = \{(f_0, 0, f_-) : |f_-| = 1\}, \text{ and} \\ \mathcal{S} &= \mathcal{S}_+ \cup \mathcal{S}_-. \end{aligned}$$

The orbit space  $\mathfrak{g}_{n,\mu}^*/G_{n,\mu}$  can then be written as the disjoint union  $\Gamma$  of the sets

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_0 &= \mathbb{R} \times V_0^*, \text{ corresponding to the unitary characters of } G_{n,\mu}, \\ \Gamma_1 &= \mathcal{S} \simeq V_{sin}^*/G_{n,\mu}, \\ \Gamma_2 &= \mathcal{D} \simeq V_{gen}^*/G_{n,\mu}, \\ \Gamma_3 &= \{+, -\} \simeq \{\Omega_+, \Omega_-\}/G_{n,\mu}, \end{aligned}$$

in the case where  $V_{gen}^* \neq \emptyset$ , i.e.,  $\mu_+ \neq \emptyset$  and  $\mu_- \neq \emptyset$ . In case  $V_{gen}^* = \emptyset$ , we have  $\Gamma$  as the union of

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_0 &= \mathbb{R} \times V_0^*, \text{ corresponding to the unitary characters of } G_{n,\mu}, \\ \Gamma_1 &= \mathcal{S} \simeq V_{sin}^*/G_{n,\mu}, \\ \Gamma_2 &= \{+, -\} \simeq \{\Omega_+, \Omega_-\}/G_{n,\mu}. \end{aligned}$$

In order to simplify notations, we shall treat only the first case in the following, i.e., we shall assume that  $V_{gen}^*$  is nonempty. The other case is similar and easier.

The topology of the orbit space  $\mathfrak{g}_{V_n}^*/G_{V_n}$  of the quotient group  $G_{n,\mu}/\mathcal{Z}$  has been described in **[LinLud]**. We recall that a sequence  $y = (y_k)_k$  is called properly converging if  $y$  has limit points and if every cluster point of the sequence is a limit point, i.e., the set of limit points of any subsequence is always the same, indeed, it equals to the set of all limit points of the sequence  $y$ .

**THEOREM 3.2** (**[LinLud]**, Theorem 2.3).

- (1) *A properly converging sequence  $(\Omega_{f_k})_k$  with  $f_k = (f_{k,0}, f_{k,+}, f_{k,-}) \in \mathcal{D}$  has either a unique limit point  $\Omega_f$  for some  $f \in \mathcal{D}$  and then  $f = \lim_k f_k$ , or  $\lim_k (f_{k,+}, f_{k,-}) = 0$  and then the limit set  $L$  of the sequence is given by*

$$L = \{\Omega_{(f_0, f_+, 0)}, \Omega_{(f_0, 0, f_-)}, \mathbb{R}\},$$

where  $f_0 = \lim_k f_{k,0}$ ,  $f_+ = \lim_k r(f_{k,+}) \cdot f_{k,+} \in \mathcal{S}_+$  and  $f_- = \lim_k q(f_{k,-}) \cdot f_{k,-} \in \mathcal{S}_-$ .

(2) A properly converging sequence  $(\Omega_{f_k})$  with  $f_k = (f_{k,0}, f_{k,+}, f_{k,-}) \in \mathcal{S}$  has the limit set

$$L = \{\Omega_f, \mathbb{R}\},$$

where  $f = \lim_k f_k \in \mathcal{S}$ .

**COROLLARY 3.3.** *The orbit  $\Omega_f$  for  $f \in \mathcal{D}$  is closed in  $\mathfrak{g}_{n,\mu}^*$ . The closure of the orbit  $\Omega_f$  for  $f \in \mathcal{S}$  is the set  $\{\Omega_f, \mathbb{R}\}$ .*

From the description (3.0.2) of the open orbits  $\Omega_\varepsilon$ ,  $\varepsilon = \pm$ , we have the boundary of  $\Omega_\varepsilon$  as the following.

**COROLLARY 3.4.** *For  $\varepsilon \in \{+, -\}$ , the boundary of the open orbit  $\Omega_\varepsilon$  is the subset  $\mathbb{R} \times V_n^* \times \{0\} = Z^\perp \simeq \mathfrak{g}_{V_n}^*$ .*

On the other hand, for every coadjoint orbit we can write down a corresponding irreducible representation as an induced representation by using Kirillov's orbit theory.

(1) Let  $P_n = \exp(\sum_{j=1}^n \mathbb{R}Y_j + \mathbb{R}Z)$ . This is a closed connected normal abelian subgroup of  $G_{n,\mu}$ . Let also  $\mathfrak{r}_n := \sum_{j=1}^n \mathbb{R}X_j$  and  $\mathfrak{h}_n := \sum_{j=1}^n \mathbb{R}Y_j \subset V_n$  (an abelian subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{g}_{n,\mu}$ ), then  $\mathcal{X}_n := \exp(\mathfrak{r}_n)$  and  $\mathcal{Y}_n = \exp(\mathfrak{h}_n)$  are closed connected abelian subgroups of  $G_{n,\mu}$ . We have

$$G_{n,\mu} = \exp(\mathbb{R}A) \cdot \mathcal{X}_n \cdot P_n = S_n \cdot P_n,$$

where  $S_n := \exp(\mathbb{R}A) \cdot \mathcal{X}_n$  is a subgroup of  $G_{n,\mu}$ . The irreducible representations  $\pi_\varepsilon$ ,  $\varepsilon = \pm$ , corresponding to the orbits  $\Omega_\varepsilon$  are of the form

$$\pi_\varepsilon := \text{ind}_{P_n}^{G_{n,\mu}} \chi_{\varepsilon Z^*}.$$

The Hilbert space of  $\pi_\varepsilon$  is the  $L^2$ -space  $L^2(G_{n,\mu}/P_n, \chi_\varepsilon) \simeq L^2(S_n)$ , where  $\chi_\varepsilon(y, z) := e^{-i2\pi\varepsilon z}$  for  $(y, z) \in P_n$ . The elements of this space are the measurable functions  $\xi : G_{n,\mu} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  satisfying the relations

$$\xi(gp) = \chi_\varepsilon(p^{-1})\xi(g) \text{ for } g \in G_{n,\mu}, p \in P_n, \text{ and}$$

$$\int_{G_{n,\mu}/P_n} |\xi(g)|^2 d\dot{g} < \infty,$$

where  $d\dot{g}$  is the left invariant measure on  $G_{n,\mu}/P_n$ . For  $F \in L^1(G_{n,\mu})$  and  $\xi \in L^2(G_{n,\mu}/P_n)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_\varepsilon(F)\xi(s') &= \int_{S_n P_n} F(sp)\xi(p^{-1}s^{-1}s') dsdp \\ &= \int_{S_n P_n} F(s'sp)\xi(p^{-1}s^{-1}) dsdp \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \int_{S_n P_n} F(s' s^{-1} p) \Delta_{S_n}(s^{-1}) \xi(p^{-1} s) ds dp \\
&= \int_{S_n P_n} F(s' s^{-1} p) \Delta_{S_n}(s^{-1}) \xi(s(s^{-1} p^{-1} s)) ds dp \\
&= \int_{S_n P_n} F(s' s^{-1} p) \Delta_{S_n}(s^{-1}) \chi_\varepsilon(s^{-1} p s) \xi(s) ds dp \\
&= \int_{S_n P_n} F(s' s^{-1} p) \Delta_{S_n}(s^{-1}) e^{-i2\pi \text{Ad}^*(s) \ell_\varepsilon(\log(p))} \xi(s) ds dp \\
&= \int_{S_n} \widehat{F}^{\mathfrak{p}_n}(s' s^{-1}; \text{Ad}^*(s) \ell_\varepsilon) \xi(s) \Delta_{S_n}(s^{-1}) ds.
\end{aligned}$$

Here  $\widehat{F}^{\mathfrak{p}_n}$  is the partial Fourier transform of  $F$  in the direction  $P_n$  given by

$$\widehat{F}^{\mathfrak{p}_n}(s; \ell) := \int_{P_n} F(sp) e^{-i2\pi \langle \ell, \log(p) \rangle} dp \text{ for } s \in S_n, \ell \in \mathfrak{p}_n^*.$$

Hence the operator  $\pi_\varepsilon(F)$  is given by the kernel function

$$F_\varepsilon((a', x'), (a, x)) = \widehat{F}^{\mathfrak{p}_n}(a' - a, a \cdot (x' - x); (-\varepsilon e^{-2a}(a \cdot x) \times \omega_n, \varepsilon e^{-2a})) e^{|\lambda|a},$$

where  $|\lambda| := \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j$ . In fact the linear functional  $\varepsilon e^{-2a}(a \cdot x) \times \omega_n$  is given by

$$\varepsilon e^{-2a}(a \cdot x) \times \omega_n = \varepsilon \left( \sum_{j=1}^n e^{(\lambda_j - 2)a} x_j Y_j^* \right) \text{ for } a \in \mathbb{R}, x \in \mathcal{X}_n.$$

Therefore,

$$F_\varepsilon((a', x'), (a, x)) = \widehat{F}^{\mathfrak{p}_n} \left( a' - a, a \cdot (x' - x); \left( -\varepsilon \left( \sum_{j=1}^n e^{(\lambda_j - 2)a} x_j Y_j^* \right), \varepsilon e^{-2a} \right) \right) e^{|\lambda|a}.$$

(2) For  $v^* \in V_n^*$ , we have the irreducible representation  $\pi_{v^*}$  on  $L^2(\mathbb{R})$  defined by

$$\pi_{v^*} := \text{ind}_{H_n}^{G_{n,\mu}} \chi_{v^*},$$

where  $H_n := \exp(\mathfrak{h}_n)$ . The kernel function  $F_{v^*}$  of the operator  $\pi_{v^*}(F)$ ,  $F \in L^1(G_{n,\mu})$ , is given by

$$F_{v^*}(a, b) = \widehat{F}^{\mathfrak{h}_n}(a - b, b \cdot v^*, 0) \text{ for } a, b \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (3.0.4)$$

(3) Finally, for  $(a^*, v_0^*) \in \mathbb{R} \times V_0^*$  we have the unitary characters

$$\chi_{(a^*, v_0^*)(a, v_0, v, c)} := e^{-2\pi i(a^* a + v_0^*(v_0))} \text{ for } a, c \in \mathbb{R}, v_0 \in V_0, v \in V_1.$$

DEFINITION 3.5. We denote by  $l^\infty(\Gamma)$  the  $C^*$ -algebra

$$l^\infty(\Gamma) = \left\{ (\phi(\gamma) \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_\gamma))_{\gamma \in \Gamma}; \|\phi\| := \sup_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \|\phi(\gamma)\|_{\text{op}} < \infty \right\}.$$

The Fourier transform  $\mathcal{F}_{n,\mu} : C^*(G_{n,\mu}) \rightarrow l^\infty(\Gamma)$  for  $C^*(G_{n,\mu})$  is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_{n,\mu}(a)(\varepsilon) &= \widehat{a}(\varepsilon) := \pi_\varepsilon(a) \text{ for } \varepsilon \in \{+, -\}, \\ \mathcal{F}_{n,\mu}(a)(f) &= \widehat{a}(f) := \pi_f(a) \text{ for } f \in \mathcal{D} \cup \mathcal{S}, \\ \mathcal{F}_{n,\mu}(a)(a^*, v_0^*) &:= \chi_{(a^*, v_0^*)}(a) \text{ for } (a^*, v_0^*) \in \mathbb{R} \times V_0^*, \\ &\left( = \int_{\mathbb{R} \times V_0 \times V \times \mathbb{R}} F(s, v_0, v_1, z) e^{-i2\pi a^* s} e^{-i2\pi v_0^*(v_0)} ds dv_0 dv_1 dz \right. \\ &\quad \left. \text{for } F \in L^1(G_{n,\mu}) \right). \end{aligned}$$

#### 4. The $C^*$ -conditions.

##### 4.1. The continuity and infinity conditions.

THEOREM 4.1. For every  $a \in C^*(G_{n,\mu})$ , the mapping

$$\mathcal{S} \cup \mathcal{D} \mapsto \mathcal{B}(L^2(\mathbb{R})) : f \mapsto \widehat{a}(f),$$

is norm continuous. We also have that

$$\lim_{\substack{|f| \rightarrow \infty \\ f \in \mathcal{D}}} \|\pi_f(a)\|_{\text{op}} = 0.$$

PROOF. See [LinLud, Proposition 4.2]. □

##### 4.2. The condition for the open orbits $\Omega_\varepsilon$ .

To understand the case of open orbits, we have to take into account the boundary points of such an orbit. It is well known that for  $a \in C^*(G)$  the operator  $\pi_\varepsilon(a)$  is compact if and only if  $\pi(a) = 0$  for every  $\pi$  in the boundary of the representation  $\pi_\varepsilon$ , i.e., if  $\pi_\gamma(a) = 0$  for every  $\gamma \in \Gamma_0 \cup \Gamma_1 \cup \Gamma_2$ . In this subsection we shall give a description of the algebra of operators  $\pi_\varepsilon(C^*(G_{n,\mu}))$ .

DEFINITION 4.2. For  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $r \in \mathbb{R}$ , let  $I_{r,k}$  be the half-open interval:

$$I_{r,k} := [kr, kr + r[ \subset \mathbb{R}.$$

- (1) Let  $S_{\delta,1} := \{(a, x) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathcal{X}_n; e^{-a} > \delta^3\}$ .
- (2) Let  $\delta \mapsto r_\delta \in \mathbb{R}_+$  be such that  $\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} r_\delta = +\infty$  and  $\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} e^{mr_\delta} \delta^{1/2} = 0$ , where  $1 \leq m := \max_j (2 - \lambda_j)$ .

(3) For constants  $D = (D_1, \dots, D_n) \in (\mathbb{R}_+^*)^n$  and  $\underline{k} = (k_0, k_1, \dots, k_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^{n+1}$ , let

$$S_{\delta, D, \underline{k}, 2} := \{(a, x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathcal{X}_n; e^{-a} \leq \delta^3, \\ a \in I_{r_\delta, k_0}, x_j \in I_{D_j \delta^2 e^{r_\delta(2-\lambda_j)k_0}, k_j}, j = 1, \dots, n\}.$$

PROPOSITION 4.3. *For every compact subset  $K \subseteq \mathbb{R} \times \mathcal{X}_n$  and  $\delta > 0$  small enough, we have that*

$$KS_{\delta, D, \underline{k}, 2} \subset \bigcup_{\substack{j_0 \in \mathbb{Z} \\ |j_0| \leq 1}} S_{\delta, D_{\delta, j_0}, \underline{k}, 2} =: R_{\delta, D, \underline{k}, 2},$$

where  $D_{\delta, j_0} = (D_1 e^{-r_\delta(2-\lambda_1)(j_0)}, \dots, D_n e^{-r_\delta(2-\lambda_n)(j_0)}) \in (\mathbb{R}_+^*)^n$ .

PROOF. Indeed, there is an  $M > 0$  such that  $K \subset [-M, M]^{n+1} \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ . Let  $r_\delta > M$ . For  $(s, u) \in K$  and  $(a, x) \in S_{\delta, D, \underline{k}, 2}$ , it follows that

$$\zeta := (s, u) \cdot (a, x) = (s + a, (-a) \cdot u + x),$$

and  $(k_0 + j_0)r_\delta \leq s + a < (k_0 + j_0 + 1)r_\delta$  for some  $k_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $j_0 \in \{-1, 0, 1\}$ . Furthermore

$$|e^{-a\lambda_j} u_j| = |u_j| e^{-2a} e^{(2-\lambda_j)a} \\ \leq M e^{-2a} e^{r_\delta(2-\lambda_j)(k_0+1)} \\ \leq D_j \delta^2 e^{-r_\delta(2-\lambda_j)j_0} e^{r_\delta(2-\lambda_j)(k_0+j_0)},$$

since for  $\delta$  small enough  $M e^{-2a} e^{r_\delta(2-\lambda_j)} \leq M \delta^6 e^{r_\delta(2-\lambda_j)} < D_j \delta^2$  for every  $j$ . Hence

$$x_j + e^{-a\lambda_j} u_j < (k_j + 1) D_j e^{r_\delta(2-\lambda_j)(-j_0)} \delta^2 e^{r_\delta(2-\lambda_j)(k_0+j_0)} + e^{-a\lambda_j} u_j \\ < (k_j + 2) D_j e^{r_\delta(2-\lambda_j)(-j_0)} \delta^2 e^{r_\delta(2-\lambda_j)(k_0+j_0)},$$

and also

$$x_j + e^{-a\lambda_j} u_j \geq k_j D_j e^{r_\delta(2-\lambda_j)(-j_0)} \delta^2 e^{r_\delta(2-\lambda_j)(k_0+j_0)} - e^{-a\lambda_j} |u_j| \\ \geq (k_j - 1) D_j e^{r_\delta(2-\lambda_j)(-j_0)} \delta^2 e^{r_\delta(2-\lambda_j)(k_0+j_0)}.$$

Therefore  $\zeta$  is contained in the set  $R_{\delta, D, \underline{k}, 2}$ .  $\square$

REMARK 4.4.

- (1) The family of sets  $\{S_{\delta, 1}, S_{\delta, D, \underline{k}, 2}; \delta > 0, \underline{k} \in \mathbb{Z}^{n+1}\}$  forms a partition of  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ .
- (2) Denote by  $M_{\delta, 1}$  the multiplication operator in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}) \simeq L^2(G_{n, \mu}/P_n, \chi_\varepsilon)$  with the characteristic function of the set  $S_{\delta, 1}$ . Similarly let  $M_{\delta, D, \underline{k}, 2}$  be the multiplication operator on  $L^2(G_{n, \mu}/P_n, \chi_\varepsilon)$  with the characteristic function of the set  $S_{\delta, D, \underline{k}, 2}$ . These

multiplication operators are pairwise disjoint orthogonal projections and the sum of them is the identity operator.

Let  $N_{\delta,D,\underline{k},2}$  be the multiplication operator with the characteristic function of the set  $R_{\delta,D,\underline{k},2}$  for  $\delta > 0$  and  $\underline{k} \in \mathbb{Z}^{n+1}$ . We have the following property of the operator  $N_{\delta,D,\underline{k},2}$ .

**PROPOSITION 4.5.** *There exists a constant  $C > 0$  such that for any bounded linear operator  $L$  on the Hilbert space  $L^2(G_{n,\mu}/P_n, \chi_\varepsilon)$ , we have that*

$$\left\| \sum_{\underline{k} \in \mathbb{Z}^{n+1}} N_{\delta,D,\underline{k},2} \circ L \circ M_{\delta,D,\underline{k},2} \right\|_{\text{op}} \leq C \sup_{\underline{k}} \|N_{\delta,D,\underline{k},2} \circ L \circ M_{\delta,D,\underline{k},2}\|_{\text{op}}.$$

**PROOF.** See Propositions 6.2 and 6.18 in [ILL]. □

**DEFINITION 4.6.** For  $\underline{k} \in \mathbb{Z}^{n+1}$  and  $\delta > 0$ , let

$$\ell_{\underline{k},\delta} = -\varepsilon \sum_{j=1}^n D_j \delta^2 e^{r\delta(2-\lambda_j)k_0} k_j Y_j^* \in \mathfrak{h}_n^*.$$

Let  $\sigma_{\underline{k},\delta} := \text{ind}_{P_n}^{G_{n,\mu}} \chi_{\ell_{\underline{k},\delta}}$ . The Hilbert space of this representation is the space

$$\mathcal{H}_{\underline{k},\delta} = L^2(G_{n,\mu}/P_n, \chi_{\ell_{\underline{k},\delta}})$$

and for  $F \in L^1(G_{n,\mu})$ ,  $\xi \in \mathcal{H}_{\underline{k},\delta}$  we have that

$$\sigma_{\underline{k},\delta}(F)\xi(a', x') = \int_S \widehat{F}^{\mathfrak{p}_n}(s' s^{-1}; \text{Ad}^*(s)\ell_{\underline{k},\delta})\xi(s)\Delta_S(s^{-1})ds.$$

Hence this operator has a kernel function given by

$$F_{\underline{k},\delta}((a', x'), (a, x)) = \widehat{F}^{\mathfrak{p}_n}(a' - a, a \cdot (x' - x); ((-a) \cdot \ell_{\underline{k},\delta}, 0))e^{|\lambda|a}.$$

Moreover, the representation  $\sigma_{\underline{k},\delta}$  is equivalent to the representation

$$\bar{\sigma}_{n,\ell_{\underline{k},\delta}} := \int_{\mathfrak{p}_n^\perp \subset V_n^*}^{\oplus} \pi_{f+\ell_{\underline{k},\delta}} df,$$

and an equivalence is given by

$$\begin{aligned} U_{n,\ell_{\underline{k},\delta}} : L^2(\mathbb{R} \times \mathcal{X}) &\equiv L^2(G_{n,\mu}/P_n, \chi_{\ell_{\underline{k},\delta}}) \rightarrow \int_{\mathfrak{p}_n^\perp}^{\oplus} L^2(G_{n,\mu}/H_n, \chi_{f+\ell_{\underline{k},\delta}}) df, \\ U_{n,\ell_{\underline{k},\delta}}(\xi)(f)(g) &:= \int_{H_n/P_n} \chi_{f+\ell_{\underline{k},\delta}}(h_n)\xi(gh_n)d\dot{h}_n \text{ for } g \in G, f \in \mathfrak{p}_n^\perp. \end{aligned} \quad (4.2.1)$$

Let  $C_{\mathcal{S} \cup \mathcal{D}}$  be the  $C^*$ -algebra of all uniformly bounded continuous mappings from  $\mathcal{S} \cup \mathcal{D}$  into  $\mathcal{B}(L^2(\mathbb{R}))$ . It follows from Theorem 4.1 that for every  $a \in C^*(G_{n,\mu})$  we have that  $\widehat{a}|_{\mathcal{S} \cup \mathcal{D}}$  is contained in  $C_{\mathcal{S} \cup \mathcal{D}}$ .

For each  $f = (f_0, f_+, f_-) \in V_n^*$ , we denote by  $f_1$  the unique element in its coadjoint orbit  $\Omega_f$  contained in  $\mathcal{S} \cup \mathcal{D}$ . Let  $U_{n,\underline{k},\delta}(f) : L^2(G_{n,\mu}/H_n, \chi_{f+\ell_{\underline{k},\delta}}) \rightarrow L^2(G_{n,\mu}/H_n, \chi_{(f+\ell_{\underline{k},\delta})_1})$  be the canonical intertwining operator of  $\pi_{f+\ell_{\underline{k},\delta}}$  and  $\pi_{(f+\ell_{\underline{k},\delta})_1}$ . Formula (4.2.1) allows us to define a representation of the algebra  $C_{\mathcal{S} \cup \mathcal{D}}$  on the space  $L^2(G_{n,\mu}/P_n)$  by

$$\tau_{n,\ell_{\underline{k},\delta}}(\phi) := U_{n,\ell_{\underline{k},\delta}}^{-1} \circ \int_{\mathfrak{p}_n^\perp} U_{n,\underline{k},\delta}(f)^* \circ \phi((f + \ell_{\underline{k},\delta})_1) \circ U_{n,\underline{k},\delta}(f) df \circ U_{n,\ell_{\underline{k},\delta}}.$$

We have that

$$\bar{\sigma}_{n,\ell_{\underline{k},\delta}}(a) = \tau_{n,\ell_{\underline{k},\delta}}(\widehat{a}|_{\mathcal{S}}) \text{ for all } a \in C^*(G_{n,\mu}). \quad (4.2.2)$$

DEFINITION 4.7. For  $\delta > 0$ ,  $\underline{k} \in \mathbb{Z}^{n+1}$  and  $a \in C^*(G_{n,\mu})$ , let

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_{n,\underline{k},\delta}(a) &:= \bar{\sigma}_{n,\ell_{\underline{k},\delta}}(a) \circ M_{\delta,D,\underline{k},2}, \\ \sigma_{n,\delta}(a) &:= \sum_{\underline{k} \in \mathbb{Z}^{n+1}} N_{\delta,D,\underline{k},2} \circ \sigma_{n,\underline{k},\delta}(a). \end{aligned}$$

PROPOSITION 4.8. Let  $a \in C^*(G_{n,\mu})$  and  $\varepsilon \in \{+, -\}$ . Then

$$\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \text{dis}((\pi_\varepsilon(a) - \sigma_{n,\delta}(a)), \mathcal{K}(L^2(\mathbb{R} \times \mathcal{X}))) = 0.$$

PROOF. Let  $L_c^1$  be the space of all  $F \in L^1(G_{n,\mu})$  for which the partial Fourier transform  $\widehat{F}^{\mathfrak{p}_n}((a, x), (v^*, s))$  is a  $C^\infty$ -function with compact support on  $S_n \times \mathfrak{p}_n^*$ . Take  $F \in L_c^1$  and choose  $C > 0$  such that  $\widehat{F}^{\mathfrak{p}_n}((a, x), (v^*, s)) = 0$ , whenever  $|a| + \|x\| > C$  or  $\|v^*\| + |s| > C$ . By Proposition 4.3, for  $\delta > 0$  small enough, we have that

$$\pi_\varepsilon(F) \circ M_{\delta,D,\underline{k},2} = N_{\delta,D,\underline{k},2} \circ \pi_\varepsilon(F) \circ M_{\delta,D,\underline{k},2}$$

for every  $\underline{k}$  and hence

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_\varepsilon(F) \circ (\mathbb{I} - M_{\delta,1}) - \sigma_{n,\delta}(F) &= \pi_\varepsilon(F) \circ \left( \sum_{\underline{k}} M_{\delta,\underline{k},2} \right) - \sigma_{n,\delta}(F) \\ &= \sum_{\underline{k} \in \mathbb{Z}^{n+1}} N_{\delta,D,\underline{k},2} \circ (\pi_\varepsilon(F) - \bar{\sigma}_{n,\ell_{\underline{k},\delta}}(F)) \circ M_{\delta,D,\underline{k},2}, \end{aligned}$$

and the kernel function  $F_{\delta,\underline{k}}$  of the operator  $a_{F,\delta,\underline{k}} := N_{\delta,D,\underline{k},2} \circ (\pi_\varepsilon(F) - \bar{\sigma}_{n,\ell_{\underline{k},\delta}}(F)) \circ M_{\delta,D,\underline{k},2}$  is therefore given by

$$\begin{aligned}
F_{\delta, \underline{k}}((a', x'), (a, x)) &= \left( \widehat{F}^{\mathfrak{p}_n} \left( a' - a, a \cdot (x' - x); \left( -\varepsilon \left( \sum_{j=1}^n e^{(\lambda_j - 2)a} x_j Y_j^* \right), \varepsilon e^{-2a} \right) \right) \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \widehat{F}^{\mathfrak{p}_n} \left( a' - a, a \cdot (x' - x); (-\varepsilon(-a) \cdot \ell_{\underline{k}, \delta}, 0) \right) \right) \\
&\quad \times e^{|\lambda|a} 1_{S_{\delta, D, \underline{k}, 2}}(a, x) 1_{R_{\delta, D, \underline{k}, 2}}(a', x') \quad \text{for } a, a' \in \mathbb{R}, x, x' \in V_n.
\end{aligned}$$

We see that

$$e^{(\lambda_j - 2)a} x_j - e^{-\lambda_j a} D_j \delta^2 e^{r_\delta(2 - \lambda_j)k_0} k_j = e^{-\lambda_j a} (x_j - D_j \delta^2 e^{r_\delta(2 - \lambda_j)k_0} k_j).$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
&|e^{(\lambda_j - 2)a} x_j - e^{-\lambda_j a} D_j \delta^2 e^{r_\delta(2 - \lambda_j)k_0} k_j| \\
&\leq e^{-\lambda_j a} D_j \delta^2 e^{r_\delta(2 - \lambda_j)k_0} \\
&= D_j \delta^2 e^{(2 - \lambda_j)(r_\delta k_0 - a)} \\
&\leq e^{r_\delta(2 - \lambda_j)} D_j \delta^2 \\
&\leq e^{r_\delta m} D_j \delta^2 \\
&\leq \delta.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.2.3}$$

Since  $F \in L_c^1$ , there exists a continuous function  $\varphi : S_n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  with compact support such that

$$|\widehat{F}^{\mathfrak{p}_n}(s; \ell) - \widehat{F}^{\mathfrak{p}_n}(s; \ell')| \leq \varphi(s) \|\ell - \ell'\| \quad \text{for } \ell, \ell' \in \mathfrak{p}_n^*, s \in S_n.$$

Whence for any  $(a, x), (a', x') \in S_n$  and any  $\delta > 0$  small enough,

$$\begin{aligned}
&|F_{\delta, \underline{k}}((a', x'), (a, x))| \\
&= \left| \widehat{F}^{\mathfrak{p}_n} \left( a' - a, a \cdot (x' - x); \left( -\varepsilon \left( \sum_{j=1}^n e^{(\lambda_j - 2)a} x_j Y_j^* \right), \varepsilon e^{-2a} \right) \right) \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \widehat{F}^{\mathfrak{p}_n} \left( a' - a, a \cdot (x' - x); (-\varepsilon(-a) \cdot \ell_{\underline{k}, \delta}, 0) \right) \right| e^{|\lambda|a} 1_{S_{\delta, D, \underline{k}, 2}}(a, x) 1_{R_{\delta, D, \underline{k}, 2}}(a', x') \\
&\leq \varphi(a' - a, a \cdot (x' - x)) \left\| \left( -\varepsilon \left( \sum_{j=1}^n e^{(\lambda_j - 2)a} x_j Y_j^* \right), \varepsilon e^{-2a} \right) + (\varepsilon(-a) \cdot \ell_{\underline{k}, \delta}, 0) \right\| \\
&\quad \times e^{|\lambda|a} 1_{S_{\delta, D, \underline{k}, 2}}(a, x) 1_{R_{\delta, D, \underline{k}, 2}}(a', x')
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\leq \varphi(a' - a, a \cdot (x' - x)) \left\| \left( \sum_{j=1}^n (e^{(\lambda_j-2)a} x_j - e^{-\lambda_j a} D_j \delta^2 e^{r\delta(2-\lambda_j)k_0} k_j) Y_j^*, \varepsilon e^{-2a} \right) \right\| \\
 &\quad \times e^{|\lambda|a} 1_{S_{\delta,D,\underline{k},2}}(a, x) 1_{R_{\delta,D,\underline{k},2}}(a', x') \\
 &\leq C\delta \varphi(a' - a, a \cdot (x' - x)) e^{|\lambda|a}
 \end{aligned}$$

for some constant  $C > 0$  independent of  $\delta$  by (4.2.3). Therefore by Young's inequality we have that

$$\|a_{F,\delta,\underline{k}}\|_{\text{op}} \leq C\delta \quad \text{for } \underline{k} \in \mathbb{Z}^{n+1},$$

and finally

$$\|\pi_\varepsilon(F) \circ (\mathbb{I} - M_{\delta,1}) - \sigma_{n,\delta}(F)\|_{\text{op}} \leq C'\delta$$

for a new constant  $C'$ , by Proposition 4.5.

On the other hand, the operator  $\pi_\varepsilon(F) \circ M_{\delta,1}$  is compact since

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\|\pi_\varepsilon(F) \circ M_{\delta,1}\|_{H-S}^2 \\
 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\{e^{-a} > \delta^3\}} \int_{(\mathcal{X}_n \times \mathcal{X}_n)} \left| \widehat{F}^{\mathbb{P}^n} \left( a' - a, a \cdot (x' - x); \left( -\varepsilon \left( \sum_{j=1}^n e^{(\lambda_j-2)a} x_j Y_j^* \right), \varepsilon e^{-2a} \right) \right) \right|^2 \\
 &\quad \times e^{2|\lambda|a} da da' dx dx' \\
 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\{e^{-a} > \delta^3\}} \int_{(\mathcal{X}_n \times \mathcal{X}_n)} \left| \widehat{F}^{\mathbb{P}^n} \left( a', x'; \left( -\varepsilon \left( \sum_{j=1}^n x_j Y_j^* \right), \varepsilon e^{-2a} \right) \right) \right|^2 e^{2na} da da' dx dx' \\
 &< \infty.
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\text{dis}((\pi_\varepsilon(F) - \sigma_{n,\delta}(F)), \mathcal{K}(L^2(\mathbb{R} \times \mathcal{X}))) \\
 &\leq \|\pi_\varepsilon(F) \circ (\mathbb{I} - M_{\delta,1}) - \sigma_{n,\delta}(F)\|_{\text{op}} \\
 &\rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } \delta \rightarrow 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

The Proposition follows, since  $L_c^1$  is dense in  $C^*(G_{n,\mu})$ .  $\square$

### 4.3. The two-dimensional orbits $\Omega_{p^*}$ and the characters.

The  $C^*$ -algebras of the groups  $G_{V_n} = G_{n,\mu}/\mathcal{Z}$  have been determined as algebras of operator fields in [LinLud]. We adapt this result to our present setting of almost  $C_0(\mathcal{K})$ - $C^*$ -algebras.

**DEFINITION 4.9.** For  $a \in C^*(G_{n,\mu})$ , let  $\Phi(a)$  be the element of  $C^*(\mathbb{R} \times V_0)$  defined by  $\widehat{\Phi(a)}(\theta) := \langle \chi_\theta, a \rangle$  for all  $\theta \in \mathbb{R} \times V_0^*$ . The mapping  $\Phi : C^*(G_{n,\mu}) \rightarrow C^*(\mathbb{R} \times V_0)$  is a

surjective homomorphism. Let the kernel of  $\Phi$  be denoted by  $I_{\mathfrak{X}}$ , then  $C^*(G_{n,\mu})/I_{\mathfrak{X}} \simeq C^*(\mathbb{R} \times V_0)$ . For  $\eta \in C_c(G_{n,\mu})$ , the element  $\Phi(\eta) \in C^*(\mathbb{R} \times V_0)$  is the continuous function with compact support given by

$$\Phi(\eta)(t, v_0) = \int_{V_1 \times \mathbb{R}} \eta(t, v_0, v, s) dv ds \quad \text{for } t \in \mathbb{R}, v_0 \in V_0.$$

Choose  $\zeta \in C_c(V_1 \times \mathbb{R})$  with  $\zeta \geq 0$  and  $\int_{V_1 \times \mathbb{R}} \zeta(v, s) dv ds = 1$ , define the mapping  $\beta : C_c(\mathbb{R} \times V_0) \rightarrow C_c(G_{n,\mu}) \subset C^*(G_{n,\mu})$  by

$$\beta(\varphi)(a, v_0, v, s) = \varphi(a, v_0) \zeta(v, s) \quad \text{for } \varphi \in C_c(\mathbb{R} \times V_0), s \in \mathbb{R} \text{ and } v \in V_1.$$

It has been shown in [**LinLud**] that  $\beta$  can be extended to a linear mapping bounded by 1 from  $C^*(\mathbb{R} \times V_0)$  into  $C^*(G_{n,\mu})$ , such that for every  $\varphi \in C^*(\mathbb{R} \times V_0)$  we have  $\Phi(\beta(\varphi)) = \varphi$ .

**DEFINITION 4.10.** Let  $(\Omega_{f_k})_k$  ( $f_k = (f_{k+}, f_{k-}) \in \mathcal{D}$  for all  $k$ ) be a properly converging sequence in  $\widehat{G_{n,\mu}}$ , whose limit set contains the orbits  $\Omega_{(f_+,0)}$  and  $\Omega_{(0,f_-)}$ . Let  $r_k, q_k \in \mathbb{R}$  be such that  $|r_k \cdot f_{k+}| = 1$  and  $|q_k \cdot f_{k-}| = 1$  for  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then  $\lim_k r_k = -\infty$  and  $\lim_k q_k = +\infty$ . Choose two positive sequences  $(\rho_k)_k, (\kappa_k)_k$  such that  $\kappa_k > q_k, -r_k < \rho_k$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \kappa_k - q_k = \infty, \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \rho_k + r_k = \infty$  and  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} ((\kappa_k - q_k)/r_k) = 0, \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} ((\rho_k + r_k)/q_k) = 0$ . We say that the sequences  $(\rho_k, \kappa_k)_k$  are *adapted* to the sequence  $(f_k)_k$ .

For  $r \in \mathbb{R}$ , let  $U(r)$  be the unitary operator on  $L^2(\mathbb{R})$  defined by

$$U(r)\xi(s) := \xi(s+r) \quad \text{for all } \xi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}) \text{ and } s \in \mathbb{R}.$$

**DEFINITION 4.11.** Let  $A = (A(f) \in \mathcal{B}, f \in \Gamma)$  be a field of bounded operators. We say that  $A$  satisfies the *generic condition* if for every properly converging sequence  $(\pi_{f_k})_k \subset \widehat{G_{n,\mu}}$  with  $f_k \in \mathcal{D}$  for every  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , which admits limit points  $\pi_{(f_0,0,f_-)}, \pi_{(f_0,f_+,0)}$  and for every pair of sequences  $(\rho_k, \kappa_k)_k$  adapted to the sequence  $(f_k)_k$  we have that

- (1)  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|U(r_k) \circ A(f_k) \circ U(-r_k) \circ M_{(\rho_k, +\infty)} - A(f_0, f_+, 0) \circ M_{(\rho_k, +\infty)}\|_{\text{op}} = 0,$
- (2)  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|U(q_k) \circ A(f_k) \circ U(-q_k) \circ M_{(-\infty, \kappa_k)} - A(f_0, 0, f_-) \circ M_{(-\infty, \kappa_k)}\|_{\text{op}} = 0.$

The following proposition had been proved in [**LinLud**, Proposition 5.2].

**PROPOSITION 4.12.** *For every  $a \in C^*(G_{n,\mu})$ , the operator field  $\mathcal{F}(a)$  satisfies the generic condition.*

We must show that on  $\mathcal{D}$ , our  $C^*$ -algebra satisfies the almost  $C_0(\mathcal{K})$  conditions given in Definition 2.2. For  $a \in C^*(G_{n,\mu})$  and  $f = (f_0, f_+, f_-) \in V_{gen}^*$ , we define the operator

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma_f(a) &:= U(-r(f)) \circ \pi_{(f_0, f_+, 0)}(a) \circ U(r(f)) \circ M_{]-\infty, \kappa(f)+r(f)}] \\ &\quad + U(-q(f)) \circ \pi_{(f_0, 0, f_-)}(a) \circ U(q(f)) \circ M_{[q(f)-\rho(f), +\infty[},\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}r(f) &= -\ln(|f_+|), & q(f) &= \ln(|f_-|), \\ \rho(f) &= q(f)^{1/3} - r(f), & \kappa(f) &= q(f) - r(f)^{1/3}.\end{aligned}$$

We have the following proposition.

PROPOSITION 4.13. *For all  $f \in \mathcal{D}$ , the operator field*

$$f \mapsto \sigma_{\mathcal{D}}(f)(a) := \pi_f(a) - \sigma_f(a) \quad (a \in C^*(G_{n,\mu}))$$

is contained in  $C_0(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{K}(L^2(\mathbb{R})))$ .

PROOF. Let  $a \in C^*(G_{n,\mu})$ . We know that  $\pi_f(a)$  is a compact operator for any  $f \in V_{gen}^*$ , that the mapping  $f \mapsto \pi_f(a)$  is norm continuous and that  $\lim_{f \rightarrow \infty} \pi_f(a) = 0$  by Corollary 3.2 and Proposition 4.2 in [LinLud]. If  $F \in L_c^1$ , then the kernel function  $F_{f_0, f_+}$  of the operator  $\pi_{(f_0, f_+, 0)} \circ M_{[\rho(f), \infty[}$  is given by

$$F_{f_0, f_+}(s, t) = \widehat{F}^{\flat n}(s - t, t \cdot f_+) 1_{[\rho(f), \infty[}(t).$$

The function  $F_{f_0, f_+}$  is of compact support and  $\rho$  is continuous. Hence the mapping  $f \mapsto \pi_{(f_0, f_+, 0)} \circ M_{[\rho(f), \infty[}$  is norm continuous on  $\mathcal{D}$  and for every  $f \in \mathcal{D}$ , the operator  $\pi_{(f_0, f_+, 0)} \circ M_{[\rho(f), \infty[}$  is compact. Since

$$\begin{aligned}\rho(f) &= \ln(|f_-|)^{1/3} + \ln(|f_+|) \\ &= \ln(|f_+|)^{1/3} + \ln(|f_+|)\end{aligned}$$

goes to infinity as  $\|f\|$  goes to infinity, it follows that  $\pi_{(f_0, f_+, 0)} \circ M_{[\rho(f), \infty[} = 0$  if  $\|f\|$  is big enough. Similar properties hold for the mapping  $f \mapsto \pi_{(f_0, 0, f_-)} \circ M_{]-\infty, \kappa(f)]}$  on  $\mathcal{D}$ .

Since the boundary  $\partial\mathcal{D}$  of  $\mathcal{D}$  is the set  $\mathcal{S} \cup \mathbb{R}$ , the generic condition tells us that  $\lim_{f \rightarrow \partial\mathcal{D}} \|\sigma_{\mathcal{D}}(f)(a)\| = 0$ . Hence the mapping  $f \mapsto \sigma_{\mathcal{D}}(f)(F)$  is contained in  $C_0(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{K}(L^2(\mathbb{R})))$ . The proposition follows from the density of  $L_c^1$  in  $C^*(G_{n,\mu})$ .  $\square$

#### 4.4. The $C^*$ -algebras of the groups $G_{n,\mu}$ .

Let  $\Gamma_i \subseteq \mathfrak{g}_{n,\mu}^*/G_{n,\mu}$  be given as in Section 3 and  $\Gamma = \bigcup \Gamma_i$ .

DEFINITION 4.14. (1) For  $f \in \mathcal{D}$  and  $\phi \in l^\infty(\Gamma)$ , let

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma_f(\phi) &:= U(-r(f)) \circ \phi(f_0, f_+, 0) \circ U(r(f)) \circ M_{]-\infty, \kappa(f)+r(f)}] \\ &\quad + U(-q(f)) \circ \phi(f_0, 0, f_-) \circ U(q(f)) \circ M_{[q(f)-\rho(f), +\infty[}.\end{aligned}$$

- (2) Let  $\varphi = (\varphi(f) \in \mathcal{B}, f \in \Gamma)$  be a field of bounded operators such that the restriction of the field  $\varphi$  to the set of characters  $\Gamma_0$  is contained in  $C_0(\Gamma_0)$ . We get the element  $\varphi(0) \in C^*(\mathbb{R} \times V_0)$  determined as in Definition 4.9 by the condition  $\gamma(\varphi(0)) = \varphi(\gamma)$  for  $\gamma \in \Gamma_0$ . We can then define as in Definition 4.9 that

$$\sigma_f(\varphi) := \beta(\varphi(0)) \in \mathcal{B}(L^2(\mathbb{R})) \text{ for } f \in \mathcal{S}.$$

DEFINITION 4.15. Let  $D^*(G_{n,\mu})$  be the subset of  $l^\infty(\widehat{G_{n,\mu}})$  defined as a set of all the operator fields  $\phi$  defined over  $\widehat{G_{n,\mu}}$  such that the mappings  $\gamma \mapsto \phi(\gamma)$  are norm continuous and vanish at infinity on the sets  $\Gamma_0$  and  $\Gamma_2$  and such that  $\phi(f) \in \mathcal{K}(L^2(\mathbb{R}))$  for all  $f \in \mathcal{D}$ . Moreover, each  $\phi$  must fulfill the following conditions:

- (1) For  $\varepsilon \in \{+, -\}$ ,

$$\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \text{dis}((\phi(\varepsilon) - \sigma_{n,\delta}(\phi)), \mathcal{K}(L^2(\mathbb{R} \times \mathcal{X}))) = 0, \text{ and}$$

$$\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \text{dis}((\phi^*(\varepsilon) - \sigma_{n,\delta}(\phi^*)), \mathcal{K}(L^2(\mathbb{R} \times \mathcal{X}))) = 0.$$

- (2) The mappings

$$\mathcal{D} \ni f \mapsto (\phi(f) - \sigma_f(\phi)) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{D} \ni f \mapsto (\phi(f)^* - \sigma_f(\phi^*))$$

are contained in  $C_0(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{K}(L^2(\mathbb{R})))$ .

- (3) The mappings

$$\mathcal{S} \ni f \mapsto (\phi(f) - \sigma_f(\phi)) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{S} \ni f \mapsto (\phi(f)^* - \sigma_f(\phi^*))$$

are contained in  $C_0(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{K}(L^2(\mathbb{R})))$ .

THEOREM 4.16. *The  $C^*$ -algebra of  $G_{n,\mu}$  is an almost  $C_0(\mathcal{K})$ - $C^*$ -algebra. In particular, the Fourier transform maps  $C^*(G_{n,\mu})$  onto the subalgebra  $D^*(G_{n,\mu})$  of  $l^\infty(\Gamma)$ .*

PROOF. Propositions 4.8 and 4.13 show that the Fourier transform maps  $C^*(G_{n,\mu})$  into  $D^*(G_{n,\mu})$ . The conditions on  $D^*(G_{n,\mu})$  imply that  $D^*(G_{n,\mu})$  is a closed involutive subspace of  $l^\infty(\Gamma)$ . It follows from [ILL] that  $D^*(G_{n,\mu})$  is a  $C^*$ -subalgebra of  $l^\infty(\Gamma)$  and that  $\mathcal{F}_{n,\mu}(C^*(G_{n,\mu})) = D^*(G_{n,\mu})$ .  $\square$

## References

- [ArLuSc] R. J. Archbold, J. Ludwig and G. Schlichting, Limit sets and strengths of convergence for sequences in the duals of thread-like Lie groups, *Math. Z.*, **255** (2007), 245–282.  
[Fell] J. M. G. Fell, The structure of algebras of operator fields, *Acta Math.*, **106** (1961), 233–280.  
[Lee] R.-Y. Lee, On the  $C^*$  algebras of operator fields, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.*, **26** (1977), 351–372.  
[LepLud] H. Leptin and J. Ludwig, Unitary representation theory of exponential Lie groups, *De Gruyter Expositions in Math.*, **18**, 1994.  
[LinLud] Y.-F. Lin and J. Ludwig, The  $C^*$ -algebras of  $ax+b$ -like groups, *J. Funct. Anal.*, **259** (2010), 104–130.

- [LinLud2] Y.-F. Lin and J. Ludwig, An isomorphism between group  $C^*$ -algebras of  $ax + b$ -like groups, *Bull. London Math. Soc.*, **45** (2013), 257–267.
- [ILL] J. Inoue, Y.-F. Lin and J. Ludwig, The solvable Lie group  $N_{6,28}$ : an example of an almost  $C_0(\mathcal{K})$ - $C^*$ -algebra, *Adv. Math.*, **272** (2015), 252–307.
- [LuTu] J. Ludwig and L. Turowska, The  $C^*$ -algebras of the Heisenberg group and of thread-like Lie groups, *Math. Z.*, **268** (2011), 897–930.

Junko INOUE

Education Center  
Organization for Supporting University Education  
Tottori University  
Tottori 680-8550, Japan  
E-mail: inoue@uec.tottori-u.ac.jp

Ying-Fen LIN

Pure Mathematics Research Centre  
Queen's University Belfast  
BT7 1NN, U.K.  
E-mail: y.lin@qub.ac.uk

Jean LUDWIG

Université de Lorraine  
Institut Elie Cartan de Lorraine  
UMR 7502, Metz  
F-57045, France  
E-mail: jean.ludwig@univ-lorraine.fr