# Linear evolution equations du/dt+A(t)u=0: a case where A(t) is strongly uniform-measurable

By Susumu ISHII

(Received Feb. 28, 1978) (Revised Nov. 7, 1980)

#### § 1. Introduction.

Kato [1, 2] studied the Cauchy problem for a linear evolution equation of hyperbolic type in a Banach space X:

$$(d/dt)u(t)+A(t)u(t)=0$$
,  $u(s)=y\in Y$ ,  $0\leq s\leq t\leq T<\infty$ ,

where Y is a Banach space dense in X and -A(t) is the generator of a  $(C_0)$  semigroup of bounded linear operators on X for each t. He proved a basic existence theorem (Theorem 4.1 of [1]) of the solution for the Cauchy problem when the family  $A = \{A(t)\}$  is stable (see P. 244 of [1]) and  $A(\cdot)$  is (Y, X) norm-continuous, i.e., A(t) belongs to B(Y, X) and it is continuous in the norm of B(Y, X). Here B(Y, X) denotes the set of all bounded linear operators on Y to X. Though he used Cauchy's method in the proof, the author gave another proof by means of the Yosida approximation in [6]. Kato also proposed to solve the Cauchy problem when  $A(\cdot)$  is (Y, X) strongly continuous.

In this paper we prove an existence theorem (Theorem 2.1) directly by the Yosida approximation method for a case where  $A(\cdot)$  is (Y, X) strongly uniform-measurable. Since our method involves no process of step function approximations of time-dependent operators, it is distinguished from Cauchy's method as well as from the usual Yosida approximation method for evolution equations (see [7, 8]). Some additional assumption ((A4) (c) in § 2) is needed for the proof but we hope it is not so restrictive. We remark that Kobayasi [9] obtained a similar result by Cauchy's method with no additional assumptions when  $A(\cdot)$  is (Y, X) strongly continuous but it seems difficult to extend his result to a case where  $A(\cdot)$  is (Y, X) strongly measurable.

The author would like to express his hearty thanks to Professors H. Sunouchi, T. Kato and the referee for their kind and valuable advices.

## § 2. Theorem.

In this section we state our theorem with some preliminary considerations. Our assumptions are the following. 414 S. Ishii

Let  $0 \le t \le T < \infty$ . Further assume (A1) to (A4).

- (A1) Y is a Banach space densely and continuously embedded in a real Banach space X.
- (A2) -A(t) is the generator of a  $(C_0)$  semigroup on X for a.e. t. A is quasi-stable with index  $\{M, \beta(\cdot)\}$ :

$$\|(I+\lambda_k A(t_k))^{-1}\cdots(I+\lambda_1 A(t_1))^{-1}\|_X \leq M \prod_{j=1}^k (1-\lambda_j \beta(t_j))^{-1},$$

for  $0 \le t_1 \le \cdots \le t_k \le T$ ,  $1 > \lambda_j \beta(t_j)$ ,  $1 \le j \le k$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , where M is a constant,  $\beta$  is a real-valued upper-integrable function (in the Lebesgue sense) on [0, T] and  $\|\cdot\|_X$  denotes the norm of  $\mathbf{B}(X) = \mathbf{B}(X, X)$ .

- (A3)  $Y \subset D(A(t))$  a. e., so that  $A(t) \in \mathbf{B}(Y, X)$  a. e.  $||A(\cdot)||_{Y, X}$  is upper-integrable on [0, T] and  $A(\cdot)$  is (Y, X) strongly uniform-measurable on [0, T], i. e., there is a sequence of finite partitions  $\{I_{nk}: k=1, \cdots, k(n)\}$ ,  $n=1, 2, \cdots$ , of [0, T] into subintervals and Riemann step functions  $A_n$ , such that  $A_n$  takes a constant value  $A(t_{nk})$  on  $I_{nk}$  for some  $t_{nk} \in I_{nk}$ ,  $\sup_k |I_{nk}| \to 0$ , and  $A_n(t) \to A(t)$  strongly for a. e. t.
  - (A4) There is a family  $\{S(t)\}$  of isomorphisms from Y onto X such that:
- (a)  $S(t)A(t)S(t)^{-1}=A(t)+B(t)$ ,  $B(t)\in B(X)$  for a. e. t, where  $B(\cdot)$  is (X) strongly measurable with  $||B(\cdot)||_X$  upper-integrable on [0, T].
- (b) There is a strongly measurable function  $\dot{S}: [0, T] \to \mathbf{B}(Y, X)$  a.e., with  $\|\dot{S}(\cdot)\|_{Y,X}$  upper-integrable on [0, T], such that S is equal to an indefinite strong integral of  $\dot{S}$ , where  $\|\cdot\|_{Y,X}$  denotes the norm of  $\mathbf{B}(Y,X)$ .
- (c)  $(I+\alpha S(t))^{-1}$  is uniformly bounded in  $\mathbf{B}(X)$  for  $0 \le t \le T$ ,  $0 < \alpha \le \alpha_0$ , where  $\alpha_0$  is some positive constant.

REMARK 2.1. If D(A(t)) is equal to Y for all t with the graph norm and  $A(\cdot)$  is (Y, X) strongly continuously differentiable, then A(3) and A(4) are satisfied by taking  $S(t)=I+\alpha A(t)$  for some  $\alpha>0$ .

Next we state the definition of evolution operators.

DEFINITION 2.1. A family  $U = \{U(t, s) : 0 \le s \le t \le T\}$  of bounded linear operators in B(X) is called an evolution operator for A if the following conditions are satisfied.

- (a)  $U(\cdot, \cdot)$  is (X) strongly continuous.
- (b) U(t, s)U(s, r)=U(t, r), U(s, s)=I,  $0 \le r \le s \le t \le T$ .
- (c)  $U(t, s)Y \subset Y$  and  $U(\cdot, \cdot)$  is (Y) strongly continuous.
- (d) For each  $y \in Y$ ,  $U(\cdot, \cdot)y$  satisfies the following:

$$U(t, s)y-y=-\int_{s}^{t}A(\sigma)U(\sigma, s)y\,d\sigma$$
,

$$U(t, s)y - y = -\int_{s}^{t} U(t, \sigma) A(\sigma) y d\sigma.$$

So that  $U(\cdot, \cdot)y$  is strongly absolutely continuous in X and satisfies

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}U(t, s)y = -A(t)U(t, s)y$$
 a.e.  $t$ ,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial s}U(t, s)y = U(t, s)A(s)y$$
 a.e. s,

which exist in the strong sense in X.

Now we can state our theorem.

Theorem 2.1. Under the assumptions (A1) to (A4) there is a unique evolution operator U for A.

In the proof of Theorem 2.1 we often use the following lemmas.

LEMMA 2.1. 1) Let  $P(t) \in \mathbf{B}(X_1)$  and  $Q(t) \in \mathbf{B}(X_2)$  be uniformly bounded for  $t_1 \le t \le t_2$ , where  $X_1$ ,  $X_2$  are Banach spaces with  $X_2$  continuously embedded in  $X_1$ . If  $Q(\cdot)y$ ,  $y \in X_2$ , is strongly absolutely continuous in  $X_1$  and  $P(\cdot)$  is strongly absolutely continuous in  $\mathbf{B}(X_2, X_1)$ -norm, then  $P(\cdot)Q(\cdot)y$  is strongly absolutely continuous in  $X_1$ .

- 2) Let  $X_1$  be a Banach space. If  $P(\cdot)$ ,  $Q(\cdot) \in \mathbf{B}(X_1)$  are strongly absolutely continuous in  $\mathbf{B}(X_1)$ -norm, then  $P(\cdot)Q(\cdot) \in \mathbf{B}(X_1)$  is also strongly absolutely continuous in  $\mathbf{B}(X_1)$ -norm.
- 3) Let f(t) be strongly absolutely continuous in  $X_1$  for  $t_1 \le t \le t_2$ , where  $X_1$  is a Banach space. If  $f(\cdot)$  is a.e. differentiable and (d/dt)f(t) is strongly integrable, then

$$f(t_2)-f(t_1) = \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \frac{df(t)}{dt} dt$$
.

PROOF. 1) It suffices to note the following.

$$\begin{split} \|P(a)Q(a)y - P(b)Q(b)y\|_{X_1} \\ & \leq \|P(a) - P(b)\|_{X_2, \, X_1} \|Q(a)\|_{X_2} \|y\|_{X_2} + \|P(b)\|_{X_1} \|Q(a)y - Q(b)y\|_{X_1} \\ & \leq & \text{Const} \left(\|P(a) - P(b)\|_{X_2, \, X_1} \|y\|_{X_2} + \|Q(a)y - Q(b)y\|_{X_1}\right), \\ & t_1 \leq a \leq b \leq t_2 \,, \qquad y \in X_2 \,. \end{split}$$

The proof of 2) and 3) is straightforward.

LEMMA 2.2. Let  $P(\cdot) \in B(X_1, X_2)$  and  $Q(\cdot) \in B(X_2, X_3)$  be strongly measurable, where  $X_1, X_2$  and  $X_3$  are Banach spaces. Then  $Q(\cdot)P(\cdot) \in B(X_1, X_3)$  is strongly measurable [2: Lemma A4].

Hereafter we assume, without loss of generality, that  $\beta$  is Lebesgue integrable with some positive constant  $\beta_0 < \beta(t)$  a.e., if necessary, by replacing  $\beta$  with a dominating integrable function. Then we define the Yosida approximation  $A_{\lambda}$  of A by the relation:

$$(2.1) A_{\lambda}(t) = (I - J_{\lambda}(t))/\lambda(t), a.e. t,$$

where

(2.2) 
$$J_{\lambda}(t) = (I + \lambda(t)A(t))^{-1}$$
, a.e.  $t$ ,

(2.3) 
$$\lambda(t) = \lambda/(\beta(t) + Mb(t)), \quad \text{a. e. } t, \quad 0 < \lambda < 1,$$

and  $b(\cdot)$  is a Lebesgue integrable function such that  $||B(t)||_X \leq b(t)$  a.e.

LEMMA 2.3. Let  $0 \le t \le T$  and  $0 < \alpha < \alpha_0$ . Under the assumptions above we have the following.

- (B1) For each  $y \in Y$ ,  $(d/dt)S(t)y = \dot{S}(t)y$  a.e.
- (B2) S(t) is strongly absolutely continuous in  $\mathbf{B}(Y, X)$ -norm. Hence  $||S(t)||_{Y, X}$  is uniformly bounded in t.
- (B3)  $S(\cdot)^{-1}$  is strongly absolutely continuous in  $\mathbf{B}(X, Y)$ -norm. Hence  $||S(t)^{-1}||_{X,Y}$  is uniformly bounded in t.
- (B4)  $(I+\alpha S(\cdot))^{-1}$  is strongly absolutely continuous in  $\mathbf{B}(X, Y)$ -norm for each  $\alpha$ .  $(I+\alpha S(t))^{-1}$  is uniformly bounded for t,  $\alpha$  both in  $\mathbf{B}(X)$  and in  $\mathbf{B}(Y)$ .
  - (B5)  $J_{\lambda}(t) = S(t)^{-1}(I + \lambda(t)A_{1}(t))^{-1}S(t)$  a. e. t

where  $A_1 = A + B$ .

$$||J_{\lambda}(t)||_{X} \leq \frac{M}{1-\lambda}$$
,  $a.e. t$ ,  $0 < \lambda < 1$ .

$$||J_{\lambda}(t)||_{Y} \leq \frac{M}{1-\lambda} \cdot \sup ||S(t)^{-1}||_{X,Y} \cdot \sup ||S(t)||_{Y,X}, \quad a.e. \ t, \quad 0 < \lambda < 1.$$

- (B6)  $J_{\lambda}(\cdot)$  is (X) strongly measurable for  $0 < \lambda < 1$  and (Y) strongly measurable for  $0 < \lambda < 1/2$ .
- (B7)  $A_1$  is quasi-stable with index  $\{M, \beta(\cdot)+M\|B(\cdot)\|_X\}$ . Since  $A_1(t)=S(t)A(t)S(t)^{-1}$ , Y is A(t)-admissible (a.e. t), i.e., the semi-group generated by -A(t) leaves Y invariant and forms a  $(C_0)$  semi-group on Y.

(B8) 
$$\|(I+\lambda(t)A_1(t))^{-1}\|_X \leq \frac{M}{1-\lambda}$$
 a. e. t,  $0 < \lambda < 1$ .

- (B9)  $(I+\lambda(\cdot)A_1(\cdot))^{-1}$  is (X) strongly measurable for  $0<\lambda<1/2$ .
- (B10)  $A_{\lambda}(\cdot)$  is (X) strongly measurable for  $0 < \lambda < 1$  and (Y) strongly measurable for  $0 < \lambda < 1/2$ .

$$\begin{split} (\mathrm{B11}) \quad & \|A_{\lambda}(t)\|_{X} \leq \frac{1}{\lambda} (\beta(t) + Mb(t)) \Big( 1 + \frac{M}{1 - \lambda} \Big) \quad a. \, e. \, t \, , \quad 0 < \lambda < 1 \, . \\ & \|A_{\lambda}(t)\|_{Y} \leq \frac{1}{\lambda} (\beta(t) + Mb(t)) \Big( 1 + \frac{M}{1 - \lambda} \sup \|S(t)^{-1}\|_{X, Y} \sup \|S(t)\|_{Y, X} \Big) \, , \\ & \quad a. \, e. \, t \, , \quad 0 < \lambda < 1 \, . \end{split}$$

PROOF. (B1) to (B3) is a simple consequence of (A4) (b). To prove (B4) we note the following.

$$||(I+\alpha S(t))^{-1}||_{Y} \leq \sup ||S(t)^{-1}||_{X,Y} \sup ||S(t)||_{Y,X} \sup ||(I+\alpha S(t))^{-1}||_{X}.$$

In fact,

$$\begin{split} \|(I+\alpha S(t))^{-1}y\|_{Y} &= \|S(t)^{-1}S(t)(I+\alpha S(t))^{-1}y\|_{Y} \\ &\leq \|S(t)^{-1}\|_{X,Y} \|S(t)(I+\alpha S(t))^{-1}y\|_{X} \\ &= \|S(t)^{-1}\|_{X,Y} \|(I+\alpha S(t))^{-1}S(t)y\|_{X} \\ &\leq \|S(t)^{-1}\|_{X,Y} \|(I+\alpha S(t))^{-1}\|_{X} \|S(t)\|_{Y,X} \|y\|_{Y} \,. \end{split}$$

Hence  $(I+\alpha S(t))^{-1}$  is uniformly bounded for t,  $\alpha$  in  $\textbf{\textit{B}}(Y)$  by (A4) (c), (B2) and (B3). Similarly we have

$$||(I+\alpha S(t))^{-1}||_{X,Y} \leq \frac{1}{\alpha} \sup ||S(t)^{-1}||_{X,Y} (1+\sup ||(I+\alpha S(t))^{-1}||_{X}).$$

Thus  $(I+\alpha S(\cdot))^{-1}$  is strongly absolutely continuous in B(X, Y)-norm by (B2), completing the proof of (B4).

For the proof of (B7) we refer to Proposition 2.4 of [1]. Then (B5) and (B8) are obtained from (A2) and (B7) since  $J_{\lambda}(t) = S(t)^{-1} \cdot (I + \lambda(t)A_1(t))^{-1}S(t)$  a.e.

Now we prove the strong measurability of  $J_{\lambda}(\cdot)$ . Since  $\lambda(\cdot)$  is measurable by definition (2.3), we can take a sequence of Riemann step functions  $\lambda_n(\cdot)$  such that  $\lambda_n(t) \to \lambda(t)$  a.e. A sequence of Riemann step functions  $(I + \lambda_n(t)A_n(t))^{-1}y$  strongly converges in X to  $(I + \lambda(t)A(t))^{-1}y$  by (B5) where  $y \in Y$ ,  $0 < \lambda < 1$  and  $A_n$  is defined in (A3). Thus  $J_{\lambda}(\cdot)y$  is strongly measurable in X for each  $y \in Y$  and  $0 < \lambda < 1$ , so that  $J_{\lambda}(\cdot)x$  is strongly measurable in X by continuity for each  $x \in X$ .

(B9) is verified as follows. First we note that  $(I+\lambda(t)A_1(t))^{-1}=(I+\lambda(t)J_{\lambda}(t)B(t))^{-1}$   $\cdot J_{\lambda}(t)$  for a. e. t,  $0<\lambda<1$  and  $(I+\lambda(\cdot)J_{\lambda}(\cdot)B(\cdot))^{-1}$  is (X) strongly measurable for  $0<\lambda<1/2$ . The latter is obtained by development into series for  $0<\lambda<1/2$  since  $\lambda(\cdot)J_{\lambda}(\cdot)B(\cdot)$  is (X) strongly measurable by Lemma 2.2 with the estimate:

$$\|\lambda(t)J_{\lambda}(t)B(t)\|_{\mathit{X}} \leq \frac{\lambda}{\beta(t) + Mb(t)} \cdot \|J_{\lambda}(t)\|_{\mathit{X}} \|B(t)\|_{\mathit{X}} \leq \frac{\lambda}{1-\lambda} \quad \text{a. e. } t \,, \quad 0 < \lambda < 1 \,.$$

Thus we complete the proof of (B9) by Lemma 2.2. Hence we can also get (B6) by  $J_{\lambda}(t) = S(t)^{-1}(I + \lambda(t)A_1(t))^{-1}S(t)$  since  $J_{\lambda}(\cdot)$  is (Y) strongly measurable for  $0 < \lambda < 1/2$ .

(B10) and (B11) are simple results of (B5), (B6) since  $\lambda(\cdot)^{-1}$  is measurable.

REMARK 2.2. If  $A(\cdot)$  is (Y, X) strongly piecewise continuous, then it is (Y, X) strongly uniform-measurable. In case X is separable (so that Y is also separable by (A4)) or A(t) is uniformly bounded in B(X), strong measurability of  $J_{\lambda}(\cdot)$  is implied by that of  $A(\cdot)$  for small  $\lambda > 0$  (see Lemma A2 of [2]).

REMARK 2.3. If we assume (X) strong measurability of  $J_{\lambda}(\cdot)$ ,  $A(\cdot)$  is (Y, X) strong measurable as the limit of strongly measurable function  $A_{\lambda}(\cdot)$  in B(X). But this assumption seems to be difficult to verify because of the complicated structure of  $J_{\lambda}(\cdot)$ ,  $A_{\lambda}(\cdot)$ .

418 S. Ishii

## § 3. Proof of Theorem 2.1.

We use the Yosida approximation method to construct an evolution operator for A. We will show that a family of evolution operators  $U_{\lambda}$  for the Yosida approximation  $A_{\lambda}$  of A has a unique strong limit U as  $\lambda \setminus 0$ , which corresponds to a unique evolution operator for A.

Since  $J_{\lambda}(\cdot)$  is (X) strongly measurable by (B6) and  $\|J_{\lambda}(t)\|_{X} \leq M/(1-\lambda)$  for a.e. t and  $0 < \lambda < 1$  by (B5), we can define an operator  $U_{\lambda}$ :

$$(3.1) U_{\lambda}(t, s) = \exp\left[-\int_{s}^{t} \frac{d\tau}{\lambda(\tau)}\right] \\ \cdot \left[I + \int_{s}^{t} \frac{J_{\lambda}(\tau)}{\lambda(\tau)} d\tau + \dots + \int_{s}^{t} \left(\int_{s}^{t_{1}} \dots \left(\int_{s}^{t_{n-1}} \frac{J_{\lambda}(t_{1})}{\lambda(t_{1})} \dots \frac{J_{\lambda}(t_{n})}{\lambda(t_{n})} dt_{n}\right) \dots\right) dt_{1} + \dots\right], \\ 0 \le s \le t \le T, 0 < \lambda < 1,$$

in the strong sense in B(X). Now we will show that  $U_{\lambda}$  is the evolution operator for  $A_{\lambda}$ . First we note that this operator is estimated by (A2) as follows.

(3.2) 
$$||U_{\lambda}(t, s)||_{X} \leq M \cdot \exp\left[\frac{1}{1-\lambda} \int_{s}^{t} \beta(\tau) d\tau\right], \quad 0 < \lambda < 1.$$

By definition  $U_{\lambda}(\cdot, \cdot)$  satisfies the integral equations:

$$U_{\lambda}(t, s) x - x = -\int_{s}^{t} A_{\lambda}(\tau) U_{\lambda}(\tau, s) x d\tau,$$

$$U_{\lambda}(t, s) x - x = -\int_{s}^{t} U_{\lambda}(t, \tau) A_{\lambda}(\tau) x d\tau, \qquad x \in X,$$

so that  $U_{\lambda}$  is strongly absolutely continuous in B(X)-norm for a fixed  $\lambda$  and in B(Y, X)-norm uniformly for  $\lambda$  by (3.2) and (B11). It also satisfies the relation:

(3.3) 
$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}U_{\lambda}(t, s)x = -A_{\lambda}(t)U_{\lambda}(t, s)x \quad \text{a. e. } t, \quad x \in X,$$

(3.4) 
$$\frac{\partial}{\partial s} U_{\lambda}(t, s) x = U_{\lambda}(t, s) A_{\lambda}(s) x \text{ a. e. } s, x \in X,$$

and

$$U_{\lambda}(s, s) = I$$
 for  $0 \le s \le T$ .

The relation  $U_{\lambda}(t, s)U_{\lambda}(s, r)=U_{\lambda}(t, r)$ ,  $0 \le r \le s \le t \le T$ , is verified by Lemma 2.1 (3), if we use strong absolute continuity of  $U_{\lambda}(t, \cdot) \cdot U_{\lambda}(\cdot, r)$  in B(X)-norm and the relation  $(\partial/\partial s)[U_{\lambda}(t, s)U_{\lambda}(s, r)x]=0$  a.e.  $s, x \in X$ .

Moreover  $U_{\lambda}$  satisfies the following lemma as B(Y)-valued operator.

LEMMA 3.1.  $U_{\lambda}(t, s)$  is uniformly bounded in  $\mathbf{B}(Y)$  for  $0 < \lambda < 1/2$ ,  $0 \le s \le t \le T$ , and strongly absolutely continuous in  $\mathbf{B}(Y)$ -norm for each  $\lambda$ .

REMARK 3.1. Since  $J_{\lambda}(\cdot)$  is (Y) strongly measurable by (B6), the operator  $U_{\lambda}$  in (3.1) is well defined also in  $\mathbf{B}(Y)$ , if we notice the stability of A restricted in Y (see Proposition 4.4 of [1]). But we prove Lemma 3.1 only by the essential boundedness of  $J_{\lambda}(t)$  in  $\mathbf{B}(Y)$  (see (B5)).

PROOF OF LEMMA 3.1. Let  $0 \le r \le t \le T$ . We note that  $U_{\lambda}(t, \cdot)S(\cdot)^{-1}U_{\lambda}(\cdot, r)$  is strongly absolutely continuous in B(X)-norm for  $0 < \lambda < 1$ . Consider the relation:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial s} [U_{\lambda}(t, s)S(s)^{-1}U_{\lambda}(s, r)x]$$

$$= U_{\lambda}(t, s) \left[ A_{\lambda}(s) S(s)^{-1} - S(s)^{-1} A_{\lambda}(s) + \frac{d}{ds} S(s)^{-1} \right] U_{\lambda}(s, r) x, \quad \text{a. e. } s, \quad x \in X.$$

The right hand side of this equation is strongly integrable for s in X, so we get

(3.5) 
$$S(t)^{-1}U_{\lambda}(t, r)x - U_{\lambda}(t, r)S(r)^{-1}x$$

$$= \int_r^t U_{\lambda}(t, s) \left[ A_{\lambda}(s) S(s)^{-1} - S(s)^{-1} A_{\lambda}(s) - S(s)^{-1} \frac{dS(s)}{ds} S(s)^{-1} \right] U_{\lambda}(s, r) x ds.$$

Omitting the argument s, we notice the following:

(3.6) 
$$A_{\lambda}S^{-1} - S^{-1}A_{\lambda} = \lambda^{-1}(I - J_{\lambda})S^{-1} - S^{-1}\lambda^{-1}(I - J_{\lambda})$$

$$= \lambda^{-1}(S^{-1}J_{\lambda} - J_{\lambda}S^{-1})$$

$$= \lambda^{-1}J_{\lambda}[(I + \lambda A)S^{-1} - S^{-1}(I + \lambda A)]J_{\lambda}$$

$$= J_{\lambda}(AS^{-1} - S^{-1}A)J_{\lambda}$$

$$= J_{\lambda}S^{-1}BJ_{\lambda}.$$

Hence from (3.5) we have

$$(3.7) V_{\lambda}(t, r)x = S(t)^{-1}U_{\lambda}(t, r)x + \int_{r}^{t} V_{\lambda}(t, s)C_{\lambda}(s)U_{\lambda}(s, r)x ds,$$

where

(3.8) 
$$V_{\lambda}(t, r) = U_{\lambda}(t, r)S(r)^{-1}$$
,

(3.9) 
$$C_{\lambda}(s) = \frac{dS(s)}{ds} S(s)^{-1} - S(s) J_{\lambda}(s) S(s)^{-1} B(s) J_{\lambda}(s),$$

with the estimate

$$||C_{\lambda}(s)||_{X} \leq ||\dot{S}(s)||_{Y,X} ||S(s)^{-1}||_{X,Y} + \frac{M}{1-\lambda} ||B(s)||_{X} \cdot \frac{M}{1-\lambda}, \quad 0 < \lambda < 1/2.$$

Since  $||C_{\lambda}(\cdot)||_X$  is upper-integrable and  $C_{\lambda}(\cdot)$  is (X) strongly measurable, we can define a family  $\{W_{\lambda}\}$  of bounded linear operators in X:

$$(3.10) W_{\lambda}(t, r) = U_{\lambda}(t, r) + U_{\lambda} * (C_{\lambda}U_{\lambda})(t, r) + U_{\lambda} * (C_{\lambda}U_{\lambda}) * (C_{\lambda}U_{\lambda})(t, r) + \cdots,$$

where  $U_1*(PU_2)(t, r) = \int_r^t U_1(t, s)P(s)U_2(s, r)ds$ . By use of the estimate:

$$||W_{\lambda}(t, r)||_{X} \leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} \left( \int_{(r, t)}^{*} ||C_{\lambda}(s)||_{X} ds \right)^{k} (\sup ||U_{\lambda}(t, s)||_{X})^{k+1},$$

where  $\int^*$  denotes upper-integral, we can conclude that  $W_{\lambda}(t,r)$  is uniformly bounded in  $\mathbf{B}(X)$  for  $\lambda$ , t, r and strongly absolutely continuous for t, r in  $\mathbf{B}(X)$ -norm as the limit of a uniformly convergent sequence since  $U_{\lambda}(\cdot,\cdot)$  is strongly absolutely continuous. Moreover  $W_{\lambda}$  satisfies the following relation by definition.

$$(3.11) W_{\lambda}(t, r) = U_{\lambda}(t, r) + \int_{r}^{t} W_{\lambda}(t, s) C_{\lambda}(s) U_{\lambda}(s, r) ds.$$

Since the solution of (3.7) is unique, we obtain (see [4, 5])

$$V_{\lambda}(t, r)x = S(t)^{-1}W_{\lambda}(t, r)x$$
,  $x \in X$ .

Thus by (3.8) we have

(3.12) 
$$U_{\lambda}(t, r)y = S(t)^{-1}W_{\lambda}(t, r)S(r)y, \quad y \in Y.$$

This relation implies that  $U_{\lambda}(t, r)$  is uniformly bounded in B(Y) for  $\lambda$ , t, r and strongly absolutely continuous for t, r in B(Y)-norm. The lemma is proved.

By using this lemma, we can conclude that  $U_{\lambda}$  is a unique evolution operator for  $A_{\lambda}$ .

To show that the family  $\{U_{\lambda}(t, s)x : \lambda \setminus 0\}$  has a strong limit in X for each  $x \in X$ , we use the lemma.

LEMMA 3.2.

$$||U_{\mu}(t, r)y - U_{\lambda}(t, r)y||_{X} \leq C||y||_{Y} \left[\alpha + \int_{E} a(s)ds + \frac{\lambda + \mu}{\alpha \delta \beta_{0}}\right], \quad y \in Y,$$

where  $a(\cdot)$  is a Lebesgue integrable function on [0, T] with  $||A(s)||_{Y,X} \leq a(s)$  a.e.,  $E = \{s : a(s) \geq \delta^{-1}, 0 \leq s \leq T\}$  is a measurable set,  $\beta_0$  is a constant with  $\beta_0 \leq \beta(t)$  a.e. and C is a constant independent of  $0 \leq r \leq t \leq T$ ,  $y \in Y$ ,  $0 < \alpha \leq \alpha_0$ ,  $0 < \lambda$ ,  $\mu < 1/2$  and  $\delta$ ,  $\beta_0 > 0$ .

PROOF. We begin with the relation obtained from (3.3), (3.4):

(3.13) 
$$\frac{\partial}{\partial s} [U_{\lambda}(t, s) K_{\alpha}(s) U_{\mu}(s, r) y]$$

$$=U_{\lambda}(t, s) \left[A_{\lambda}(s)K_{\alpha}(s)-K_{\alpha}(s)A_{\mu}(s)-\alpha K_{\alpha}(s)\frac{dS(s)}{ds}K_{\alpha}(s)\right]U_{\mu}(s, r)y,$$

a.e. s, where

(3.14) 
$$K_{\alpha}(s) = (I + \alpha S(s))^{-1}$$
,

 $y \in Y$ ,  $0 < \alpha \le \alpha_0$ ,  $0 < \lambda$ ,  $\mu < 1/2$ ,  $0 \le r \le t \le T$ . Since the right hand side of (3.13) is strongly integrable for s in X and  $U_{\lambda}(t,\cdot)K_{\alpha}(\cdot)U_{\mu}(\cdot,r)y$  is strongly absolutely continuous in X, we have

(3.15) 
$$U_{\mu}(t, r)y - U_{\lambda}(t, r)y$$

$$= [I - K_{\alpha}(t)]U_{\mu}(t, r)y - U_{\lambda}(t, r)[I - K_{\alpha}(r)]y$$

$$+ \int_{r}^{t} U_{\lambda}(t, s) \Big[A_{\lambda}(s)K_{\alpha}(s) - K_{\alpha}(s)A_{\mu}(s) - \alpha K_{\alpha}(s)\frac{dS(s)}{ds}K_{\alpha}(s)\Big]$$

$$\cdot U_{\mu}(s, r)y ds.$$

We notice the decomposition

$$J = \int_{r}^{t} U_{\lambda}(t, r) [A_{\lambda}(s) K_{\alpha}(s) - K_{\alpha}(s) A_{\mu}(s)] U_{\mu}(s, r) y \, ds$$

$$= \left( \int_{E \cap (r, t)} + \int_{(r, t) \setminus E} \right) \cdots y \, ds \,, \qquad y \in Y \,.$$

Then each term of J is estimated as follows,

(3.16) 
$$\left\| \int_{E \cap (r,t)} \cdots y \, ds \right\| \leq \int_{E} \| \cdots y \| \, ds \leq C \| y \|_{Y} \int_{E} a(s) \, ds.$$

$$(3.17) \qquad \left\| \int_{(r,t)\setminus E} \cdots y \, ds \right\|_{X} \leq C \int_{(r,t)\setminus E}^{*} \|A_{\lambda}(s)K_{\alpha}(s) - K_{\alpha}(s)A_{\mu}(s)\|_{Y,X} \|y\|_{Y} ds.$$

To estimate (3.17) we observe, with argument s omitted,

$$\begin{split} A_{\lambda}K_{\alpha}-K_{\alpha}A_{\mu} &= (A_{\lambda}-A_{\mu})K_{\alpha} + (A_{\mu}K_{\alpha}-K_{\alpha}A_{\mu}) \\ &= A(J_{\lambda}-J_{\mu})K_{\alpha} + \mu^{-1}(K_{\alpha}J_{\mu}-J_{\mu}K_{\alpha}) \\ &= (\mu-\lambda)A_{\lambda}A_{\mu}K_{\alpha} + \mu^{-1}K_{\alpha}[J_{\mu}(I+\alpha S) - (I+\alpha S)J_{\mu}]K_{\alpha} \\ &= (\mu-\lambda)A_{\lambda}J_{\mu}AS^{-1} \cdot SK_{\alpha} + \alpha\mu^{-1}K_{\alpha}(J_{\mu}S - SJ_{\mu})K_{\alpha} \\ &= (\mu-\lambda)A_{\lambda}J_{\mu}S^{-1}(A+B)SK_{\alpha} + \alpha \cdot K_{\alpha}J_{\mu}BSJ_{\mu}K_{\alpha} \,. \end{split}$$

Thus we have

$$\begin{split} \|A_{\lambda}(s)K_{\alpha}(s) - K_{\alpha}(s)A_{\mu}(s)\|_{Y, X} \\ & \leq \frac{\lambda + \mu}{\beta_{0}} \|A_{\lambda}(s)J_{\mu}(s)\|_{Y, X} \|S^{-1}(s)(A(s) + B(s))\|_{Y} \cdot \alpha^{-1} \|I - K_{\alpha}(s)\|_{Y} \\ & + \alpha \|K_{\alpha}(s)J_{\mu}(s)B(s)S(s)J_{\mu}(s)K_{\alpha}(s)\|_{Y, X} \\ & \leq & \text{Const} \left[ \frac{\lambda + \mu}{(1 - \lambda)(1 - \mu)} \cdot \frac{M^{2}}{\alpha \, \delta \, \beta_{0}} \cdot (a(s) + b(s)) + \frac{M^{2}\alpha b(s)}{(1 - \mu)^{2}} \right], \qquad s \in (r, t) \backslash E \,, \end{split}$$

where we used the estimate  $\lambda(s) = \lambda/(\beta(s) + Mb(s)) \le \lambda/\beta_0$ . Hence (3.17) is estimated as follows.

(3.18) 
$$\left\| \int_{(r,t)\setminus E} \cdots y \, ds \right\|_{X} \leq C \|y\|_{Y} \left( \alpha + \frac{\lambda + \mu}{\alpha \delta \beta_{0}} \right).$$

The lemma is verified by (3.16) and (3.18).

422 S. Ishii

Lemma 3.2 implies that  $U_{\lambda}(t,r)y$  has a strong limit in X uniformly for t,r, if  $y \in Y$ , since  $0 < \alpha \le \alpha_0$ ,  $\delta > 0$  are arbitrary and the measure of E is not greater than  $\delta \int_0^T a(s) ds$ . Then by continuity  $U_{\lambda}(t,r)y$  converges to some U(t,r)y strongly in X uniformly in t,r for each  $y \in X$ , so that U(t,r)x is strongly continuous in X.

Moreover U has the following properties as the limit of  $U_{\lambda}$ .

$$U(t, t) = I, \quad U(t, s)U(s, r) = U(t, r), \quad 0 \le r \le s \le t \le T,$$
$$\|U(t, s)\|_{X} \le M \cdot \exp\left[\int_{s}^{t} \beta(\tau)d\tau\right].$$

 $U_{\lambda}(\cdot,\cdot)y$ ,  $y \in Y$ , is strongly absolutely continuous in X uniformly for  $\lambda$  and so is  $U(\cdot,\cdot)y$ .

To check the regularity of U(t, s) we use the next lemma.

LEMMA 3.3. U(t, r) is uniformly bounded in  $\mathbf{B}(Y)$  for t, r and it is (Y) strongly continuous for t, r. Moreover  $U_{\lambda}(t, r)$  converges strongly to U(t, r) as  $\lambda \setminus 0$  in  $\mathbf{B}(Y)$  uniformly for t, r.

PROOF. We get the following from (3.7), (3.10) by the dominated convergence theorem.

 $V_{\lambda}(t, r), W_{\lambda}(t, r)$  converges strongly to V(t, r), W(t, r), respectively, as  $\lambda \setminus 0$  in B(X) uniformly for t, r, and following is satisfied:

$$V(t, r)x = S(t)^{-1} \cdot U(t, r)x + \int_{r}^{t} V(t, s)C(s)U(s, r)x \, ds \,, \qquad x \in X \,,$$

$$W(t, r) = U(t, r) + U*(C \cdot U)(t, r) + U*(C \cdot U)*(C \cdot U)(t, r) + \cdots \,,$$

where

$$V(t, r) = U(t, r)S(r)^{-1}$$
,  
 $C(s) = \frac{dS(s)}{ds} \cdot S(s)^{-1} - B(s)$ .

Then we can conclude as in Lemma 3.1 that

$$U(t, r) = S(t)^{-1} \cdot W(t, r)S(r)$$
 in  $\mathbf{B}(Y)$ 

so U(t, r) is uniformly bounded in  $\mathbf{B}(Y)$  for t, r and it is (Y) strongly continuous for t, r. Thus  $U_{\lambda}(t, r)$  converges strongly to U(t, r) as  $\lambda \searrow 0$  in  $\mathbf{B}(Y)$  uniformly for t, r. Proof is completed.

Since U satisfies the following integral equations:

$$U_{\lambda}(t, s) - I = -\int_{s}^{t} U_{\lambda}(t, \sigma) A_{\lambda}(\sigma) d\sigma$$
,

$$U_{\lambda}(t, s) - I = -\int_{s}^{t} A_{\lambda}(\sigma) U_{\lambda}(\sigma, s) d\sigma$$
,

we can prove by the dominated convergence theorem and Lemma 3.3:

$$U(t, s)y - y = -\int_{s}^{t} U(t, \sigma) A(\sigma) y \, d\sigma, \qquad y \in Y,$$

$$U(t, s)y - y = -\int_{s}^{t} A(\sigma)U(\sigma, s)y d\sigma, \quad y \in Y.$$

Thus U is an evolution operator for A and strongly absolutely continuous both in B(Y, X)-norm and in B(X). U also satisfies the following:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}U(t, s)y = -A(t)U(t, s)y$$
 a.e.  $t, y \in Y$ ,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial s}U(t, s)y = U(t, s)A(s)y$$
 a.e.  $s, y \in Y$ ,

which exist in the strong sense in X.

The uniqueness of the evolution operator is verified as follows. If U' is another evolution operator for A,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial s} [U(t, s)U'(s, r)y] = U(t, s)[A(s) - A(s)]U'(s, r)y$$

$$= 0, y \in Y, a.e. s.$$

Since  $U(t, \cdot) \cdot U'(\cdot, r)y$  is strongly absolutely continuous in X, U'(t, r)x = U(t, r)x for each  $x \in X$  by continuity. This completes the proof of Theorem 2.1.

#### References

- [1] T. Kato, Linear evolution equations of "hyperbolic" type, J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect. IA, 17 (1970), 241-258.
- [2] T. Kato, Linear evolution equations of "hyperbolic" type II, J. Math. Soc. Japan, 25 (1973), 648-666.
- [3] T. Kato, Quasi-linear equations of evolution, with applications to partial differential equations, in Spectral Theory and Differential Equations, Lecture Notes in Math., 448, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1975, 25-70.
- [4] K. Masuda, Evolution equations, Kinokuniya, Tokyo, 1975, in Japanese.
- [5] J.R. Dorroh, A simplified proof of a theorem of Kato on linear evolution equations, J. Math. Soc. Japan, 27 (1975), 474-478.
- [6] S. Ishii, An approach to linear hyperbolic evolution equations by the Yosida approximation method, Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A, 54 (1978), 17-20.
- [7] J. Kisyński, Sur les opérateurs de Green des problèmes de Cauchy abstraits, Studia Math., 23 (1963/4), 285-328.
- [8] E. Heyn, Die Differentialgleichung dT/dt = P(t)T für Operator-funktionen, Math. Nachr., 24 (1962), 281-330.
- [9] K. Kobayasi, On a theorem for linear evolution equations of hyperbolic type, J. Math. Soc. Japan, 31 (1979), 647-654.

Susumu ISHII 1-3-14 Higashi-Sugano Ichikawa-shi, Chiba-ken 272 Japan