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Let $k_{1}$ and $k_{2}$ be algebraic number fields of finite degrees. Let $\Omega_{1}$ and $\Omega_{2}$ be solvably closed Galois extensions of $k_{1}$ and $k_{2}$, respectively. Let $G_{1}=G\left(\Omega_{1} / k_{1}\right)$ and $G_{2}=G\left(\Omega_{2} / k_{2}\right)$ be their Galois groups. If $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$ are isomorphic as topological groups, it is known that $\Omega_{1}$ and $\Omega_{2}$ are isomorphic fields, more precisely:

Theorem [3]. Let $\sigma: G_{1} \rightarrow G_{2}$ be an isomorphism of topological groups. Then there corresponds a unique isomorphism $\tau: \Omega_{2} \rightarrow \Omega_{1}$ such that $\tau \cdot \sigma\left(g_{1}\right)=g_{1} \tau$ for any $g_{1} \in G_{1}$.

Looking at the statement above, it is natural to ask if the isomorphism $\sigma$ can be replaced by a homomorphism.

Conjecture. Let $\sigma: G_{1} \rightarrow G_{2}$ be a continuous homomorphism such that $\sigma\left(G_{1}\right)$ is open in $G_{2}$. Then there corresponds a unique injection $\tau: \Omega_{2} \rightarrow \Omega_{1}$ of fields such that $\tau \cdot \sigma\left(g_{1}\right)=g_{1} \tau$ for any $g_{1} \in G_{1}$.

This conjecture means $\tau\left(\Omega_{2}\right)$ is $G_{1}$-invariant, $\tau\left(k_{2}\right) \subset k_{1}$ and $\Lambda_{1}=k_{1} \cdot \tau\left(\Omega_{2}\right)$ is a Galois extension of $k_{1}$ which corresponds to the kernel of $\sigma$. The Galois group $G\left(\Lambda_{1} / k_{1}\right)$ is isomorphic to an open subgroup of $G_{2}$. Then our conjecture may also be regarded as an extension of the theorem above to a non-solvably-closed extension $\Lambda_{1} / k_{1}$.

In the following, let $k_{1}, k_{2}, \Omega_{1}, \Omega_{2}, G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$ be as above, though we do not assume $k_{2}$ is of finite degree in the corollary of Theorem 2. Let $\sigma: G_{1} \rightarrow G_{2}$ be a homomorphism as in the conjecture, except in Theorem 2 where we do not assume $\sigma\left(G_{1}\right)$ is open. Let $\Lambda_{1}$ be the subfield of $\Omega_{1}$ corresponding to the kernel of $\sigma$. Let $E_{2}$ be an extension of $k_{2}$ contained in $\Omega_{2}$, and let $U_{2}$ be the corresponding subgroup of $G_{2}$. Let $E_{1}$ be the subfield of $\Omega_{1}$ corresponding to $\sigma^{-1}\left(U_{2}\right)$. We call $E_{1}$ is the field corresponding to $E_{2}$ by $\sigma$.

1. Let $\mathfrak{p}_{1}$ be a finite prime of $k_{1}$. Let $G_{\mathfrak{p}_{1}}$ be a decomposition subgroup of $\mathfrak{p}_{1}$ in $G_{1}$. If $\sigma\left(G_{\mathfrak{p}_{1}}\right) \neq(e)$ and if $\sigma\left(G_{\mathfrak{p}_{1}}\right)$ is contained in some decomposition subgroup of a finite prime $\mathfrak{p}_{2}$ of $k_{2}, \mathfrak{p}_{2}$ is uniquely determined by $\mathfrak{p}_{1}$. Thus we get a mapping $\phi: \mathfrak{p}_{1} \mapsto \mathfrak{p}_{2}$ from a set of finite primes of $k_{1}$ into a set of finite primes of $k_{2}$. We will see below that almost all primes of $k_{2}$ are in the image of $\phi$.

We fix a prime number $l$. Let $p_{1}$ be not above $l$. Then a Sylow $l$-subgroup $G_{p_{1}, l}$ of $G_{p_{1}}$ is non-abelian and given by the extension

$$
1 \longrightarrow T_{l} \longrightarrow G_{p_{1}, l} \longrightarrow Z_{l} \longrightarrow 1
$$

where $Z_{l}$ is the additive group of $l$-adic integers and $T_{l} \cong Z_{l}$ is the inertia subgroup of $G_{\eta_{1}, l}$. All the continuous homomorphic images of such a group are classified as below :
i) Trivial group, $Z_{l}$.
ii) $G_{p_{1}, l}$.
iii) Groups containing non-trivial elements of finite orders.

We note that every non-trivial closed normal subgroup of $G_{p_{1}, l}$ contains an open subgroup of $T_{l}$. This classification is the same as the classification by the cohomological dimensions. In the third case, centers of such groups contain elements of order $l$. We now apply the above for $\sigma\left(G_{p_{1}, l}\right)$.
i) If $\operatorname{cd} \sigma\left(G_{\mathfrak{p}_{1}, l}\right) \leqq 1$, the kernel of $\sigma$ contains $T_{l}$. Then the ramification index of $\mathfrak{p}_{1}$ in the extension $\Lambda_{1} / k_{1}$ is not a multiple of $l$.
ii) If $\operatorname{cd} \sigma\left(G_{p_{1}, l}\right)=2, \sigma$ is an isomorphism on $G_{p_{1}, l}$. Let $N=\operatorname{Ker} \sigma \cap G_{p_{1}}$. Then

$$
1 \longrightarrow N \longrightarrow G_{p_{1}} \longrightarrow \sigma\left(G_{p_{1}}\right) \longrightarrow 1
$$

is exact, and a Sylow $l$-subgroup of $N$ is trivial. Let $U$ be any open subgroup of $\sigma\left(G_{\mathfrak{p}_{1}}\right)$ and let $V$ be the inverse image of $U$ in $G_{\mathfrak{p}_{1}}$. As

$$
1 \longrightarrow N \longrightarrow V \longrightarrow U \longrightarrow 1
$$

is exact, and as $H^{i}(N, Z / l Z)=0, i=1,2, \cdots$, we have isomorphisms

$$
H^{i}(U, Z / l Z) \cong H^{i}(V, Z / l Z), \quad i=1,2, \cdots
$$

As $V$ is an open subgroup of $G_{p_{1}}, H^{2}(V, Z / l Z) \cong Z / l Z$. Then $H^{2}(U, Z / l Z) \cong$ $Z / l Z$ shows that the field corresponding to $\sigma\left(G_{p_{1}}\right)$ is $\Omega_{2}$-Henselian by [2, Lemma 2]. Hence there exists a prime $\mathfrak{p}_{2}$ of $k_{2}$ such that $\phi\left(\mathfrak{p}_{1}\right)=\mathfrak{p}_{2}$. As $\sigma\left(G_{\mathfrak{p}_{1}}\right)$ is infinite, $\mathfrak{p}_{2}$ is a finite prime. As cd $\sigma\left(G_{\mathfrak{p}_{1}, l}\right)=2, \sigma\left(G_{\mathfrak{p}_{1}, l}\right)$ must be an open subgroup of $G_{p_{2}, l}$. Then we see that $\mathfrak{p}_{2}$ is not above $l$. As $G_{p_{1}, l}$ maps isomorphically onto an open subgroup of $G_{p_{2}, l}$, the inertia subgroup $T_{l}$ maps into the inertia subgroup of $G_{\mathfrak{p}_{2}, l}$. Let $E_{2}$ be a finite Galois extension of $k_{2}$ contained in $\Omega_{2}$. Let $E_{1}$ be the corresponding extension of $k_{1}$ by $\sigma$. If the ramification index of $\mathfrak{p}_{2}$ in the extension $E_{2} / k_{2}$ is not a multiple of $l$, the ramification index of $\mathfrak{p}_{1}$ in $E_{1} / k_{1}$ cannot be a multiple of $l$, as shown by the argument above.
iii) If $\operatorname{cd} \sigma\left(G_{p_{1}, l}\right)=\infty, l$ must be 2 because $\operatorname{cd}_{l} G_{2}=2$ for $l \neq 2$. As noted above, the center of $\sigma\left(G_{p_{1}, 2}\right)$ contains a subgronp $M$ of order 2 . The field corresponding to $M$ has a unique real prime. Let $v$ be the restriction of this
prime onto the field corresponding to $\sigma\left(G_{p_{1}, 2}\right)$. Let $w_{1}, w_{2}, \cdots$ be the extension of $v$ in $\Omega_{2}$. As decomposition subgroups are conjugate, all of them coincide with $M$. Then it must be $w_{1}=w_{2}=\cdots$, and the field corresponding to $\sigma\left(G_{\mathfrak{p}_{1}, 2}\right)$ is $\Omega_{2^{-}}$ Henselian by a real prime. This shows $\sigma\left(G_{\mathfrak{\eta}_{1}, 2}\right)=M$ is of order 2 .

Proposition 1. Almost all finite primes of $k_{2}$ are in the image of $\phi$. More precisely, every finite prime $\mathfrak{p}_{2}$ of $k_{2}$ except finite number of primes is the image of a finite prime $\mathfrak{p}_{1}$ of $k_{1}$ such that $\operatorname{cd} \sigma\left(G_{\mathfrak{p}_{1}}, l\right)=2$.

Proof. First we show that we can replace $k_{2}$ by any finite extension $E_{2}$ contained in $\Omega_{2}$. Let $E_{1}$ be the extension of $k_{1}$ corresponding to $E_{2}$ by $\sigma$. We assume our assertion is true for $E_{2}$. For every finite prime $P_{2}$ of $E_{2}$ except finite number of primes, there exists a prime $P_{1}$ of $E_{1}$ such that $\phi\left(P_{1}\right)=P_{2}$ and $\operatorname{cd} \sigma\left(G_{P_{1}, l}\right)=2$. Let $\mathfrak{p}_{1}$ be the restriction of $P_{1}$ onto $k_{1}$. As $G_{P_{1}}$ is an open subgroup of $G_{p_{1}}, \sigma\left(G_{p_{1}, l}\right)$ is a non-abelian infinite group. This shows $\operatorname{cd} \sigma\left(G_{p_{1}, l}\right)=2$. Then $\mathfrak{p}_{1}$ maps to the restriction of $P_{2}$. Then our assertion is also true for $k_{2}$. Now we can assume that $k_{2}$ contains the $l$-th roots of unity and that $k_{2}$ is totally imaginary if $l=2$. Then $\mathrm{cd}_{2} G_{2}=2$ and the case iii) cannot happen. We assume that there exist infinitely many finite primes $\mathfrak{q}_{1}, \mathfrak{q}_{2}, \cdots$ in some ideal class such that they are not images of primes of $k_{1}$ as in our assertion. Let $\mathfrak{q}_{1} / \mathfrak{q}_{j}=\left(\alpha_{j}\right)$. Then the extension $k_{2}\left(\sqrt[4]{\alpha_{2}}, \sqrt[2]{\alpha_{3}}, \cdots\right)$ is an infinite abelian extension of type $(l, l, \cdots)$. Only prime divisors of $l$ and $\mathfrak{q}_{1}, \mathfrak{q}_{2}, \cdots$ are ramified in this extension. Let $E_{1}$ be the corresponding extension of $k_{1}$. Then $E_{1}$ is an infinite abelian extension of $k_{1}$ of type $(l, l, \cdots)$. As we don't have the case iii), every finite prime of $k_{1}$ except the divisors of $l$ is not ramified in this extension. But this is a contradiction because such an extension must be of finite rank.
2. Let $k$ be an algebraic number field of finite degree. Let $p$ be a prime number, and let $Z_{p}$ be the additive group of the $p$-adic integers. Let $Z_{p}^{s}$ denote the direct sum of $s$ copies of $Z_{p}$. A Galois extension of $k$ is called a $Z_{p}^{s}$-extension if the Galois group is isomorphic to $Z_{p}^{s}$. We say $k$ has $Z_{p}$-rank s if $k$ has a $Z_{p}^{s}$-extension and does not have any $Z_{p}^{s+1}$-extension. It is known that $s \geqq r_{2}+1$ where $r_{2}$ is the number of complex primes of $k$. Let $F_{2}$ be the finite extension of $k_{2}$ which corresponds to $\sigma\left(G_{1}\right)$. Let $E_{2}$ be a totally imaginary quadratic extension of $F_{2}$. Let $E_{1}$ be a quadratic extension of $k_{1}$ corresponding to $E_{2}$ by $\sigma$. As $G\left(\Omega_{2} / E_{2}\right)$ is a homomorphic image of $G\left(\Omega_{1} / E_{1}\right)$, the $Z_{p}$-rank of $E_{1}$ is not less than the $Z_{p}$-rank of $E_{2}$. As $E_{2}$ is totally imaginary, the $Z_{p^{-}}$ rank of $E_{2}$ is not less than $\left[F_{2}: Q\right]+1$. If Leopoldt conjecture is true in $E_{1}$ for a prime number $p$, i. e., if $s=r_{2}+1$ in $E_{1}$, the above shows $\left[k_{1}: Q\right] \geqq\left[F_{2}: Q\right]$.

From now on we assume $k_{1}=Q$. As $E_{1}$ is a quadratic field in this case, the $Z_{p}$-rank of $E_{1}$ is 1 or 2 . This shows $\left[F_{2}: Q\right]=1$, i.e., $\sigma$ is surjective and $k_{2}=Q$. We now put $l=2$, and apply the argument of Section 1 in our case.

As $Q$ has a unique $Z_{2}$-extension, the $Z_{2}$-extension corresponds to itself by $\sigma$. Let $p$ be any odd prime number. As the decomposition group of $p$ in this extension is infinite, $\sigma\left(G_{p, 2}\right)$ is infinite. Thus the case iii) does not occur when $k_{1}=Q$.

Lemma 1. The field $K_{m}$ of the $2^{m}$-th roots of unity corresponds to itself by $\sigma$ for $m \geqq 3$. If it has $Z_{p}$-rank s, the $Z_{p}^{s}$-extension of $K_{m}$ corresponds to itself by $\sigma$.

Proof. As 2 is the only prime which is ramified in the extension $Q(\sqrt{-1}$, $\sqrt{2}$ ) of $k_{2}=Q$, i) and ii) show that every prime except 2 is not ramified in the corresponding extension of $k_{1}=Q$. As this extension has the abelian Galois group of type (2, 2), it must be $Q(\sqrt{-1}, \sqrt{2})$. That is, $Q(\sqrt{-1}, \sqrt{2})$ corresponds to itself by $\sigma$. The $Z_{2}$-extension of $Q$ corresponds to itself, as shown above. Then $K_{m}$ must correspond to itself for any $m \geqq 3$. As it has a unique $Z_{p}^{\mathrm{s}}$-extension, and as a $Z_{p}^{\mathrm{s}}$-extension corresponds to a $Z_{p}^{\mathrm{s}}$-extension, the $Z_{p^{-}}^{\mathrm{s}}$ extension must correspond to itself.

Lemma 2. The mapping $\phi$ is defined for every odd prime number, and $\phi$ is the identity.

Proof. Let $q$ be any odd prime number. The field corresponding to $Q(\sqrt{q})$ by $\sigma$ is not contained in $Q(\sqrt{-1}, \sqrt{2})$ by Lemma 1. Then an odd prime $p$ is ramified in the corresponding field. As the case iii) does not occur, the argument in Section 1 shows the case ii) occurs for $p$, i. e., $\operatorname{cd} \sigma\left(G_{p, 2}\right)=2$. Then there corresponds an odd prime $r$ such that $\phi(p)=r$. As ii) shows, $r$ must be ramified in $Q(\sqrt{ } \bar{q})$. This shows $r=q$, i. e., every odd prime number $q$ is in the image of $\phi$. Now let $p$ be an odd prime such that $\phi(p)$ is defined. We choose $m$ large enough as $p$ does not split completely in $K_{m}$. Let $s$ be the $Z_{p}$-rank of $K_{m}$. The number of the prime divisors of $p$ in $K_{m}$ is at most the half of the degree of $K_{m}$. Hence $s$ is greater than the number of the prime divisors. We consider inertia subgroups of the prime divisors of $p$ in the $Z_{p}^{s}$-extension. If all of them are of rank at most one, $K_{m}$ has an unramified $Z_{p}$-extension, which is a contradiction. Hence at least one of them contains a subgroup isomorphic to $Z_{p}^{2}$. Then a decomposition group of a prime divisor of $\phi(p)$ in the $Z_{p}^{s}$-extension contains a subgroup isomorphic to $Z_{p}^{2}$. If $\phi(p)=r \neq p$, the decomposition group of $r$ does not contain such a subgroup. This shows $\phi(p)=p$. Let $p$ be any odd prime number. There exists an odd prime number $r$ such that $\phi(r)=p$. Then the above shows $p=\phi(r)=r$. That is, $\phi$ is defined for every prime $p$ and $\phi(p)=p$.

Theorem 1. The conjecture is true for $k_{1}=Q$.
Proof. Let $L_{2}$ be any finite Galois extension of $k_{2}=Q$ contained in $\Omega_{2}$. Let $L_{1}$ be a finite Galois extension of $k_{1}=Q$ corresponding to $L_{2}$ by $\sigma$. Let $p$ be any odd prime which splits completely in $L_{2}$. As $\phi$ is defined at $p, p$ also
splits completely in $L_{1}$. This shows $L_{1} \subset L_{2}$. As they have the same degree, it must be $L_{1}=L_{2}$. Then $\Lambda_{1}$ coincides with $\Omega_{2}$, and $\sigma$ is induced from an automorphism of $G_{2}$. Then there exists a unique isomorphism

$$
\tau: \Omega_{2} \longrightarrow \Omega_{2}=\Lambda_{1} \subset \Omega_{1}
$$

such that $\tau \cdot \sigma\left(g_{1}\right)=g_{1} \tau$ for any $g_{1} \in G_{1}$.
Corollary 1. Let $\Omega$ be a solvably closed Galois extension of $Q$. Let $\Lambda$ be a Galois extension of $Q$. If $G(\Lambda / Q) \cong G(\Omega / Q)$, it must be $\Lambda=\Omega$.

Proof. Let $\Omega_{1}$ be a solvably closed Galois extension of $Q$ which contains 1. Then the isomorphism above induces a surjective homomorphism $G\left(\Omega_{1} / Q\right) \rightarrow$ $G(\Omega / Q)$. We note that $\Lambda$ is the field corresponding to the kernel of this homomorphism. Then Theorem 1 shows $\Lambda=\Omega$.
3. We will now prove uniqueness in our conjecture.

Lemma 3. If $\Omega_{1}$ is not contained in $\Omega_{2}, \Omega_{1} \Omega_{2}$ is an infinite extension of $\Omega_{2}$.
Proof. A finite extension of $k_{1}$ in $\Omega_{1}$ is not contained in $\Omega_{2}$. Hence we may assume $k_{1}$ is not contained in $\Omega_{2}$. Let $K$ be a Galois extension of $Q$ of finite degree which contains both $k_{1}$ and $k_{2}$. Let $H=G(K / Q)$. Let $p$ be any prime number, and let $F_{p}$ be a prime field with $p$ elements. We put $A=F_{p} H$ and let

$$
1 \longrightarrow A \longrightarrow E \longrightarrow H \longrightarrow 1
$$

be a split group extension with the natural operation of $H$ on $A$. Let $L$ be a Galois extension of $Q$ containing $K$ with Galois group $E$. Let $M$ be the maximal abelian $p$-extension of $k_{1}$ contained in $L$. Let $H_{1}$ be a subgroup of $H$ corresponding to $k_{1}$. Then the field $M K$ corresponds to a subgroup

$$
B=\sum_{h_{1} \in H_{1}}\left(h_{1}-1\right) A
$$

of $A$. Let $k_{2}^{\prime}=k_{1} k_{2} \cap \Omega_{2}$ and let $H_{2}$ be a subgroup of $H$ corresponding to $k_{2}^{\prime}$. By our assumption, $k_{1}$ is not contained in $k_{2}^{\prime}$, i. e., $H_{1}$ does not contain $H_{2}$. Then $B$ does not contain $\sum_{h_{2} \in H_{2}}\left(h_{2}-1\right) A$. This shows $M K$ cannot be obtained as a composition of $K$ and an abelian extension of $k_{2}^{\prime}$. As $M$ is a subfield of $\Omega_{1}, M \Omega_{2}$ is contained in $\Omega_{1} \Omega_{2}$. We now show that $M \Omega_{2}$ is not contained in $k_{1} \Omega_{2}$. There exists a natural isomorphism

$$
G\left(k_{1} \Omega_{2} / k_{1} k_{2}\right) \cong G\left(\Omega_{2} / k_{2}^{\prime}\right)
$$

If $M \Omega_{2}$ is contained in $k_{1} \Omega_{2}, M k_{2} / k_{1} k_{2}$ is an abelian extension contained in $k_{1} \Omega_{2}$. The above isomorphism shows that there exists an abelian extension $F$ of $k_{2}^{\prime}$ contained in $\Omega_{2}$ such that $M k_{2}=F k_{1}$. Then $M K=F K$ is a composition of $K$ and an abelian extension $F$ of $k_{2}^{\prime}$, which is a contradiction. As $M \Omega_{2}$ is not
contained in $k_{1} \Omega_{2}$, and as $M$ is a $p$-extension of $k_{1}$, $\left[M \Omega_{2}: k_{1} \Omega_{2}\right]$ is a multiple of $p$. Then $\Omega_{1} \Omega_{2}$ contains a subfield whose degree is a multiple of $p$ over $\Omega_{2}$ for any $p$. Then $\Omega_{1} \Omega_{2}$ must be an infinite extension of $\Omega_{2}$.

Corollary. If there exists an algebraic number field $E$ of finite degree such that $E \Omega_{1}=E \Omega_{2}, \Omega_{1}$ must be equal to $\Omega_{2}$.

Proof. As $\Omega_{1} \Omega_{2}$ is contained in $E \Omega_{1}$ by our assumption, $\Omega_{1} \Omega_{2}$ is a finite extension of $\Omega_{1}$. Similarly $\Omega_{1} \Omega_{2}$ is a finite extension of $\Omega_{2}$. Then $\Omega_{1}$ and $\Omega_{2}$ are the same by Lemma 3.

Proposition 2. An injection $\tau$ in our conjecture is unique if it exists.
Proof. Let $\tau$ and $\rho$ be injections from $\Omega_{2}$ into $\Omega_{1}$ such that

$$
\tau \cdot \sigma\left(g_{1}\right)=g_{1} \tau \quad \text { and } \quad \rho \cdot \sigma\left(g_{1}\right)=g_{1} \rho
$$

for any $g_{1} \in G_{1}$. Then $k_{1} \cdot \tau\left(\Omega_{2}\right)=k_{1} \cdot \rho\left(\Omega_{2}\right)$, because both of them correspond to the kernel of $\sigma$. As $\tau\left(\Omega_{2}\right) / \tau\left(k_{2}\right)$ and $\rho\left(\Omega_{2}\right) / \rho\left(k_{2}\right)$ are solvably closed, the above shows $\tau\left(\Omega_{2}\right)=\rho\left(\Omega_{2}\right)$. That is, $\rho \cdot \tau^{-1}$ is an automorphism of $\tau\left(\Omega_{2}\right)$. It holds

$$
g_{1} \cdot \rho \cdot \tau^{-1}=\rho \cdot \sigma\left(g_{1}\right) \cdot \tau^{-1}=\rho \cdot \tau^{-1} \cdot g_{1}
$$

on $\tau\left(\Omega_{2}\right)$, i. e., $\rho \cdot \tau^{-1}$ commutes with $G_{1}$ on $\tau\left(\Omega_{2}\right)$. As $G_{1} / \operatorname{Ker} \sigma$ is naturally isomorphic with the Galois group of $\tau\left(\Omega_{2}\right) / k_{1} \cap \tau\left(\Omega_{2}\right), \rho \cdot \tau^{-1}$ commutes with the Galois group. Then [2, Lemma 3] shows $\rho \cdot \tau^{-1}=1$, i. e., $\rho=\tau$.
4. We will now prove our conjecture when $\sigma$ has good local behavior.

Theorem 2. Let $\sigma: G_{1} \rightarrow G_{2}$ be a continuous homomorphism such that $\phi$ is defined everywhere, i.e., $\sigma\left(G_{\mathfrak{p}_{1}}\right) \neq(e)$ for every finite prime $\mathfrak{p}_{1}$ of $k_{1}$, and there exists a finite prime $\mathfrak{p}_{2}$ of $k_{2}$ such that $\sigma\left(G_{\mathfrak{p}_{1}}\right) \subset G_{p_{2}}$. We further assume that every $\sigma\left(G_{p_{1}}\right)$ is open in $G_{p_{2}}$. Then $\sigma\left(G_{1}\right)$ is open in $G_{2}$, and there corresponds a unique injection $\tau: \Omega_{2} \rightarrow \Omega_{1}$ such that $\tau \cdot \sigma\left(g_{1}\right)=g_{1} \tau$ for any $g_{1} \in G_{1}$.

Let $Q_{p}$ be the rational $p$-adic numbers, and let $\bar{Q}_{p}$ be its algebraic closure. Let $D=G\left(\bar{Q}_{p} / Q_{p}\right)$ be the Galois group.

Lemma 4. Let $D_{1}$ and $D_{2}$ be open subgroups of $D$. Let $\sigma: D_{1} \rightarrow D_{2}$ be a continuous surjection. Then fields corresponding to $D_{1}$ and $D_{2}$ have the same residue class field. The inertia subgroup of $D_{1}$ maps onto the inertia subgroup of $D_{2}$.

Proof. Let $N$ be the kernel of $\sigma$. Let $l$ be a prime number other than $p$. As shown by the argument of Section 1, $\sigma$ is an isomorphism on a Sylow $l$ subgroup of $D_{1}$. This shows $H^{1}\left(N, Q_{l} / Z_{l}\right)=0$ and

$$
H^{1}\left(D_{1}, Q_{l} / Z_{l}\right) \cong H^{1}\left(D_{2}, Q_{l} / Z_{l}\right) .
$$

That is, Sylow $l$-subgroups of $D_{1} /\left[D_{1}, D_{1}\right]$ and $D_{2} /\left[D_{2}, D_{2}\right]$ are isomorphic. As $l$ is any prime number other than $p$, torsion parts of $D_{1} /\left[D_{1}, D_{1}\right]$ and $D_{2} /\left[D_{2}, D_{2}\right]$
are isomorphic except $p$-primary parts. This shows corresponding residue class fields have the same number of elements, and they are the same. Let $T_{2}$ be the inertia subgroup of $D_{2}$, and let $T_{1}=\sigma^{-1}\left(T_{2}\right)$. The above argument for open subgroups of $D_{1}$ and $D_{2}$ shows that the field corresponding to $T_{1}$ is unramified, As $D_{1} / T_{1} \cong D_{2} / T_{2} \cong \hat{Z}, T_{1}$ must be the inertia subgroup of $D_{1}$

We first prove that $\sigma\left(G_{1}\right)$ is open in $G_{2}$ in our theorem. Let $F_{2}$ be the extension of $k_{2}$ corresponding to $\sigma\left(G_{1}\right)$. We have to prove [ $F_{2}: k_{2}$ ] is finite. Let $\mathfrak{p}_{2}=\phi\left(\mathfrak{p}_{1}\right)$. As $\sigma\left(G_{\mathfrak{p}_{1}}\right)$ is open in $G_{\mathfrak{p}_{2}}$, it is clear that $\mathfrak{p}_{1}$ and $\mathfrak{p}_{2}$ lie above the same prime number. Lemma 3 shows $N \mathfrak{p}_{1}$ is equal to the number of the residue classes of the field corresponding to $\sigma\left(G_{\mathfrak{p}_{1}}\right)$. In particular, $N \mathfrak{p}_{1} \geqq N p_{2}$ holds. This inequality is also valid when $k_{2}$ is replaced by a finite extension contained in $F_{2}$. Let $E_{2}$ be a Galois extension of $k_{2}$ contained in $\Omega_{2}$. Let $E_{1}$ be the corresponding extension of $k_{1}$ by $\sigma$. If $p_{2}$ is unramified in $E_{2}, \mathfrak{p}_{1}$ is unramified in $E_{1}$. Let $P_{1}$ and $P_{2}$ be the sets of the finite primes of $k_{1}$ and $k_{2}$, respectively. We want to show $P_{2}-\phi\left(P_{1}\right)$ is finite. If it is infinite, there exist infinitely many primes belonging to $P_{2}-\phi\left(P_{1}\right)$ in some ideal class. Let $\mathfrak{q}_{1}, \mathfrak{q}_{2}, \cdots$ be such primes, and let $\mathfrak{q}_{1} / \mathfrak{q}_{i}=\left(\alpha_{i}\right)$. Then $k_{2}\left(\sqrt{\alpha_{2}}, \sqrt{\alpha_{3}}, \cdots\right)$ is an infinite abelian extension of $k_{2}$ contained in $\Omega_{2}$. Any prime other than divisors of 2 is unramified in the field corresponding to $k_{2}\left(\sqrt{\alpha_{2}}, \sqrt{\alpha_{3}}, \cdots\right)$ by $\sigma$. Then it must be a finite extension of $k_{1}$. This shows $F_{2}$ contains an infinite abelian extension $E_{2}$ contained in $k_{2}\left(\sqrt{\alpha_{2}}, \sqrt{\alpha_{3}}, \cdots\right)$. Let $\mathfrak{p}_{1}$ be a prime of $k_{1}$ of degree 1 which is not above 2 . Then $\mathfrak{p}_{2}=\phi\left(\mathfrak{p}_{1}\right)$ must be of degree 1 and any extension of $\mathfrak{p}_{2}$ in $E_{2}$ must be also of degree 1. As $\mathfrak{p}_{2}$ is unramified in $E_{2}, \mathfrak{p}_{2}$ splits completely in $E_{2}$. As $N \mathfrak{p}_{1}=$ $N \mathfrak{p}_{2}$, and as there exist at most $\left[k_{1}: Q\right]$ primes $\mathfrak{p}_{1}$ such that $\phi\left(\mathfrak{p}_{1}\right)=\mathfrak{p}_{2}$ for a fixed $\mathfrak{p}_{2}$,

$$
\lim _{s \rightarrow 1+0} \Sigma \frac{1}{N \mathfrak{p}_{2}^{s}} / \log \frac{1}{s-1} \geqq \frac{1}{\left[k_{1}: Q\right]}
$$

where the sum is taken over the primes $\mathfrak{p}_{2}$ of $k_{2}$ such that $\mathfrak{p}_{2}=\phi\left(\mathfrak{p}_{1}\right)$ for some prime $\mathfrak{p}_{1}$ of degree one. This is a contradiction because primes of density more than $\left[k_{1}: Q\right]^{-1}$ split completely in an infinite Galois extension $E_{2}$. Thus $P_{2}-\phi\left(P_{1}\right)$ is finite. This shows that $\phi$ maps the primes above $p$ onto the primes above $p$ for almost all $p$. Then $N \mathfrak{p}_{1} \geqq N \mathfrak{p}_{2}$ shows $\left[k_{1}: Q\right] \geqq\left[k_{2}: Q\right]$. As this is also true for any finite extension of $k_{2}$ contained in $F_{2}$, it must be $\left[k_{1}: Q\right] \geqq\left[F_{2}: Q\right]$. This shows $\sigma\left(G_{1}\right)$ is open in $G_{2}$. Uniqueness of $\tau$ is then proved by Proposition 2. We now show existence of $\tau$. Let $K$ be a finite Galois extension of $Q$ which contains both $k_{1}$ and $k_{2}$. Let $H=G(K / Q)$, and let $S_{1}$ and $S_{2}$ be subgroups of $H$ corresponding to $k_{1}$ and $k_{2}$, respectively.

Lemma 5. Every element of $S_{1}$ is conjugate to an element of $S_{2}$ in $H$.
Proof. Let $s$ be any element of $S_{1}$. There exists a prime number $p$ unramified in $K$ such that $s$ is a Frobenius automorphism of a prime divisor $\mathfrak{P}_{1}$
of $p$ in $K$. Then $\mathfrak{p}_{1}=\mathfrak{F}_{1} \cap k_{1}$ is a prime of degree 1 in $k_{1}$. As shown above, $\mathfrak{p}_{2}=\phi\left(\mathfrak{p}_{1}\right)$ is of degree 1 in $k_{2}$. Let $\mathfrak{p}_{2}$ be a prime divisor of $\mathfrak{p}_{2}$ in $K$. Let $h$ be an element of $H$ such that $\mathfrak{B}_{2}=\Re_{1}^{h}$. Then $h s h^{-1}$ is in $S_{2}$.

Lemma 6. Let $L$ be a finite Galois extension of $Q$. Let $E_{2}$ be a finite Galois extension of $k_{2}$ contained in $\Omega_{2}$, and let $E_{1}$ be the corresponding extension of $k_{1}$ by $\sigma$. If $L$ contains $k_{1}$ and $E_{2}, L$ also contains $E_{1}$.

Proof. Let $p$ be any prime number such that all prime divisors of $p$ in $k_{2}$ are images of primes in $k_{1}$ through $\phi$. If $p$ splits completely in $L$, every prime divisor of $p$ in $E_{2}$ has relative degree 1 over $k_{2}$. Then the correspondence $\phi$ shows every prime divisor of $p$ in $E_{1}$ has relative degree 1 over $k_{1}$. As every prime divisor of $p$ in $k_{1}$ is also of degree 1 , every prime divisor of $p$ in $E_{1}$ is of degree 1 . This shows $E_{1} \subset L$.

Let $K_{2}$ be any finite Galois extension of $k_{2}$ contained in $\Omega_{2}$. Let $K_{1}$ be the corresponding Galois extension of $k_{1}$ by $\sigma$. Let $H_{i}=G\left(K_{i} / k_{i}\right)$. Then an injection $\sigma: H_{1} \rightarrow H_{2}$ is naturally induced. We will show that there exists an injection $\tau: K_{2} \rightarrow K_{1}$ such that $\tau \cdot \sigma\left(h_{1}\right)=h_{1} \tau$ on $K_{2}$ for any $h_{1} \in H_{1}$. Then we can easily get a desiring injection $\tau: \Omega_{2} \rightarrow \Omega_{1}$. Most of the argument below is the same as in [3].

Let $K$ be a finite Galois extension of $Q$ which contains both $K_{1}$ and $K_{2}$. Let $H=G(K / Q), S_{i}=G\left(K / k_{i}\right)$ and $N_{i}=G\left(K / K_{i}\right)$. Then $H_{i} \cong S_{i} / N_{i}$. Let $h_{11}, \cdots$, $h_{1 m}$ be a system of generators of $H_{1}$ and let $h_{2 j}=\sigma\left(h_{1 j}\right)$. Let $s_{i j}$ be an element of $S_{i}$ such that $s_{i j} N_{i}=h_{i j}$. Let $S_{i 0}$ be $N_{i}$ and let $S_{i j}, j=1, \cdots, m$, be a subgroup of $S_{i}$ which is generated by $s_{i j}$ and $N_{i}$. Let $F_{i j}$ be a subfield of $K$ which corresponds to $S_{i j}$. Then $F_{1 j}$ corresponds to $F_{2 j}$ by $\sigma$. Let $p$ be a prime number such that $p \equiv 1 \bmod |H|$ and let $F_{p}$ be a prime field of characteristic $p$. Let $A=$ $F_{p} H u_{0}+\cdots+F_{p} H u_{m}$ be an $H$-module which is isomorphic to a direct sum of $m+1$ copies of $F_{p} H$. Let

$$
1 \longrightarrow A \longrightarrow E \longrightarrow H \longrightarrow 1
$$

be a split group extension. Let $L$ be a Galois extension of $Q$ which contains $K$ and whose Galois group is isomorphic to $E$. Let $L_{j}$ be a subfield of $L$ which corresponds to $F_{p} H u_{0}+\cdots+F_{p} H u_{j-1}+F_{p} H u_{j+1}+\cdots+F_{p} H u_{m}$. Then $L_{j}$ is a Galois extension of $Q$ whose Galois group is isomorphic to a split extension of $H$ by $F_{p} H u_{j}$. Let $\chi_{j}$ be a character of $S_{1 j} / N_{1}$ whose order is equal to the order of $S_{1 j} / N_{1}$. Values of $\chi_{j}$ are considered to be elements of $F_{p}$. As $\sigma$ induces an isomorphism from $S_{1 j} / N_{1}$ onto $S_{2 j} / N_{2}, \chi_{j} \sigma^{-1}$ is a character of $S_{2 j} / N_{2}$ which is also denoted by $\chi_{j}$ by abuse of the notation. Let $M_{2 j}$ be the maximal abelian p-extension of $K_{2}$ contained in $L_{j}$ such that the operation of $S_{2 j} / N_{2}$ on the Galois group $G\left(M_{2 j} / K_{2}\right)$ coincides with the scalar multiplication of the values of $\chi_{j}$. As $M_{2 j}$ is a subfield of $\Omega_{2}$, there exists an extension $M_{1 j}$ of $K_{1}$ correspond-
ing to $M_{2 j}$ by $\sigma$. Lemma 6 shows $M_{1 j}$ is contained in $L_{j}$. As the Galois group $G\left(M_{1 j} / F_{1 j}\right)$ is isomorphic to a subgroup of $G\left(M_{2 j} / F_{2 j}\right)$, the operation of $S_{1 j} / N_{1}$ on $G\left(M_{1 j} / K_{1}\right)$ is also the scalar multiplication of the values of $\chi_{j}$. Let $B_{i j}$ be the subgroup of $F_{p} H u_{j}$ which corresponds to an intermediate field $K M_{i j}$. As $G\left(M_{i j} / K_{i}\right)$ and $F_{p} H u_{j} / B_{i j}$ are isomorphic as $S_{i j} / N_{i}$-modules, $\left(t_{i j}-\chi_{j}\left(t_{i j}\right)\right) F_{p} H u_{j}$ is contained in $B_{i j}$ for any $t_{i j} \in S_{i j}$. That is, $C_{i j}=\sum_{t_{i j} \in S_{i j}}\left(t_{i j}-\chi_{j}\left(t_{i j}\right)\right) F_{p} H u_{j}$ is contained in $B_{i j}$. As $N_{2}$ operates trivially on $F_{p} H u_{j} / C_{2 j}$, the intermediate field corresponding to $C_{2 j}$ comes from some abelian $p$-extension of $K_{2}$. Then the maximality shows $B_{2 j}=C_{2 j}$. Let $A_{i}$ be the subgroup of $A$ corresponding to $K \prod_{j=0}^{m} M_{i j}$. We have shown

$$
A_{1} \supset \sum_{j} \sum_{t_{1 j} \in S_{1 j}}\left(t_{1 j}-\chi_{j}\left(t_{1 j}\right)\right) F_{p} H u_{j}
$$

and

$$
A_{2}=\sum_{j} \sum_{t_{2} \in \mathcal{N}_{2 j}}\left(t_{2 j}-\chi_{j}\left(t_{2 j}\right)\right) F_{p} H u_{j} .
$$

As $\Pi M_{1 j}$ corresponds to $\Pi M_{2 j}$ by $\sigma$, Lemma 5 shows every element of $G\left(L / \Pi M_{1 j}\right)$ is conjugate to an element of $G\left(L / \Pi M_{2 j}\right)$ in $E$. As $G(L / K)$ is a normal subgroup of $E$, every element of $A_{1}=G\left(L / K \Pi M_{1 j}\right)$ is conjugate to an element of $A_{2}=G\left(L / K \Pi M_{2 j}\right)$ in $E$. We put

$$
a=\sum_{n_{1} \in N_{1}}\left(n_{1}-1\right) u_{0}+\sum_{j=1}^{m}\left(s_{1 j}-\chi_{j}\left(s_{1 j}\right)\right) u_{j} \in A_{1} .
$$

Then there exists an element $h \in H$ such that $h a \in A_{2}$, i. e.,

$$
h \sum_{n_{1}}\left(n_{1}-1\right) \in \sum_{n_{2}}\left(n_{2}-1\right) F_{p} H
$$

and

$$
h\left(s_{1 j}-\chi_{j}\left(s_{1 j}\right)\right) \in \sum_{t_{2 j}}\left(t_{2 j}-\chi_{j}\left(t_{2 j}\right)\right) F_{p} H, \quad j=1, \cdots, m .
$$

This shows

$$
\sum_{n_{2}} n_{2} h \sum_{n_{1}}\left(n_{1}-1\right)=0
$$

and

$$
\sum_{t_{2 j}} t_{2 j} \chi_{j}\left(t_{2 j}\right)^{-1} h\left(s_{1 j}-\chi_{j}\left(s_{1 j}\right)\right)=0 .
$$

Let $n_{1}$ be any element of $N_{1}$. We calculate the coefficient of $h n_{1}$ in the first equality. As the number of pairs ( $n_{2}, n_{1}^{\prime}$ ) such that $n_{2} h n_{1}^{\prime}=h n_{1}$ is smaller than $p$, there necessarily exists an element $n_{2} \in N_{2}$ such that $n_{2} h=h n_{1}$. This shows $h N_{1} h^{-1} \subset N_{2}$. Then $h^{-1}$ induces an injection from $K_{2}$ into $K_{1}$. As the coefficient of $h s_{1 j}$ is zero in the second equality, there exists an element $t_{2 j} \in S_{2 j}$ such that

$$
h s_{1 j}=t_{2 j} h \quad \text { and } \quad \chi_{j}\left(t_{2 j}\right)=\chi_{j}\left(s_{1 j}\right) .
$$

Then $h_{2 j}=s_{2 j} N_{2}=t_{2 j} N_{2}$ by the definition of $\chi_{j}$. As $h^{-1} t_{2 j}=s_{1 j} h^{-1}$, actions of $h^{-1} h_{2 j}$ $=h^{-1} \sigma\left(h_{1 j}\right)$ and $h_{1 j} h^{-1}$ are equal on $K_{2}$. Then $\tau=h^{-1}$ is a desired element, because $H_{1}$ is generated by $h_{11}, \cdots, h_{1 m}$. Thus we have shown the existence of $\tau$ in our theorem.

Corollary. Let $k_{1}$ and $k_{2}$ be algebraic number fields. We assume that $k_{1}$ is of finite degree. Let $\Omega_{1}$ and $\Omega_{2}$ be solvably closed Galois extensions of $k_{1}$ and $k_{2}$, respectively. If their Galois groups $G\left(\Omega_{1} / k_{1}\right)$ and $G\left(\Omega_{2} / k_{2}\right)$ are isomorphic, $k_{2}$ is also of finite degree.

Proof. Let $F_{2}$ be a subfield of $k_{2}$ of finite degree. Let $L_{2}$ be the maximal Galois extension of $F_{2}$ contained in $\Omega_{2}$. Then $L_{2}$ is solvably closed. There exists a natural homomorphism $\mu: G\left(\Omega_{2} / k_{2}\right) \rightarrow G\left(L_{2} / F_{2}\right)$. Combining with the given isomorphism $\sigma: G\left(\Omega_{1} / k_{1}\right) \rightarrow G\left(\Omega_{2} / k_{2}\right)$, a homomorphism

$$
\rho: G\left(\Omega_{1} / k_{1}\right) \longrightarrow G\left(L_{2} / F_{2}\right)
$$

is induced. As $L_{2}$ is solvably closed, $\mu$ maps any decomposition subgroup of a finite prime injectively into a decomposition subgroup. As shown in [1, Theorem 1], the isomorphism $\sigma$ induces isomorphisms of decomposition subgroups. Hence $\rho$ maps any decomposition subgroup injectively into a decomposition subgroup. Then the image must be open in a decomposition subgroup [1, Theorem 1]. Thus $\rho$ satisfies the condition of our theorem. Then it must be $\left[F_{2}: Q\right]<\left[k_{1}: Q\right]$ as shown in the proof of our theorem. As $F_{2}$ is arbitrary, $\left[k_{2}: Q\right]$ is not greater than $\left[k_{1}: Q\right]$.

## References

[1] J. Neukirch, Kennzeichnung der endlich-algebraischen Zahlkörper durch die Galoisgruppe der maximal aufösbaren Erweiterungen, J. für Math., 238 (1969).
[2] K. Uchida, Isomorphisms of Galois groups of algebraic function fields, Ann. of Math., 106 (1977).
[3] K. Uchida, Isomorphisms of Galois groups of solvably closed Galois extensions, Tôhoku Math. J., 31 (1979).

Kôji Uchida
Department of Mathematics
College of General Education
Tôhoku University
Sendai 980
Japan

