

# GENERATION OF A STRONGLY CONTINUOUS SEMI-GROUP OPERATORS

ISAO MIYADERA

(Received May 17, 1952)

1. Let  $\{T(\xi); 0 \leq \xi < \infty\}$  be a one-parameter semi-group satisfying the following conditions:

(i)  $T(\xi)$  is a bounded linear transformation from a complex  $(B)$ -space  $E$  into itself.

(ii)  $T(\xi + \eta) = T(\xi) \cdot T(\eta)$ ,  $T(0) = I$  (the identity transformation).

(iii)  $T(\xi)$  tends to  $I$  strongly as  $\xi \rightarrow 0$ , but not uniformly.

We define  $Ax = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{h} [T(h) - I]x$  whenever the limit exists and the set of elements  $x$  for which  $Ax$  exists, will be denoted by  $D[A]$ .

Let us put

$$R(\lambda; A)x = - \int_0^{\infty} e^{-\lambda \xi} T(\xi) x d\xi, \quad \lambda = \sigma + i\tau,$$

for all  $x \in E$ , then the representation holds at least for all  $\lambda$  such that  $R(\lambda) = \sigma > \log M$ , where  $M = \sup_{0 \leq \xi \leq 1} \|T(\xi)\|$ .

We shall consider a problem of E. Hille [1]<sup>1)</sup> which is stated as follows:

What properties should an operator  $A$  possess in order that it be the infinitesimal generator of a strongly continuous semi-group  $\{T(\xi); 0 \leq \xi < \infty\}$  of bounded linear transformations from a complex  $(B)$ -space into itself?

A theorem of E. Hille [1, Theorem 12.2.1] reads as follows.

**THEOREM H.** *Let  $A$  be a closed linear unbounded operator on  $E$  into itself whose domain is dense in  $E$ . Let the spectrum of  $A$  be located in  $R(\lambda) = \sigma \leq 0$  and suppose that*

$$(1) \quad \|R(\sigma + i\tau; A)\| \leq \frac{1}{\sigma} + \frac{\beta}{\sigma^2}, \quad \sigma > 0,$$

where  $\beta$  is a fixed constant,  $\beta \geq 0$ . Then there exists a semi-group  $\{T(\xi); 0 \leq \xi < \infty\}$  such that  $T(\xi)$  satisfies the conditions (i)-(iii),  $\|T(\xi)\| \leq e^{\beta \xi}$  for all  $\xi > 0$  and that  $A$  is its infinitesimal generator.

The behavior of the norm,  $\|T(\xi)\| \leq e^{\beta \xi}$ , is by no means implied by the conditions (i)-(iii) as may be seen later (Example 1). Therefore this theorem is not the perfect solution of the above problem.

In this paper we shall give a necessary and sufficient condition that the

---

1) Numbers in brackets refer to the bibliography at the the end of the paper.

operator  $A$  becomes an infinitesimal generator of a semi-group  $\{T(\xi); 0 \leq \xi < \infty\}$  satisfying the conditions (i)-(iii), in terms of its spectrum and resolvent.

2. We first prove the following theorem.

THEOREM 1. *Let  $\{T(\xi); 0 \leq \xi < \infty\}$  be a one-parameter semi-group satisfying the conditions (i)-(iii). Then*

(a)  *$A$  is a closed linear operator and  $D[A]$  is dense in  $E$ ;*

(b) *for  $\lambda$  such that  $R(\lambda) > \log M$ , where  $M = \max_{0 \leq \xi \leq 1} \|T(\xi)\|$ , 1), we*

*have*

$$(A - \lambda I)R(\lambda; A)x = x, \quad x \in E,$$

$$R(\lambda; A)(A - \lambda I)x = x, \quad x \in D[A];$$

(c) *for  $\sigma > \log M$ , we have*

$$(2) \quad \| [R(\sigma; A)]^k \| \leq M[\sigma - \log M]^{-k}, \quad (k = 1, 2, 3, \dots).$$

PROOF. For the proof of (a) and (b), see Hille's book [1]. We shall prove (c). Since  $\sup_{0 \leq \xi \leq 1} \|T(\xi)\| \leq M$ , we have  $\|T(\xi)\| \leq M^{1+\xi}$ . Now

$$\begin{aligned} [R(\lambda; A)]^k x &= - \int_0^\infty e^{-\xi_1 \lambda} T(\xi_1) \{ [R(\lambda; A)]^{k-1} \} x d\xi_1 \\ &= (-1)^2 \int_0^\infty e^{-\xi_1 \lambda} d\xi_1 \int_0^\infty e^{-\xi_2 \lambda} T(\xi_1 + \xi_2) \{ [R(\lambda; A)]^{k-2} \} x d\xi_2 \\ &= (-1)^k \int_0^\infty e^{-\xi_1 \lambda} d\xi_1 \int_0^\infty e^{-\xi_2 \lambda} d\xi_2 \dots \int_0^\infty e^{-\xi_k \lambda} T(\xi_1 + \xi_2 + \dots + \xi_k) x d\xi_k. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \| [R(\sigma; A)]^k \| &\leq \int_0^\infty e^{-\xi_1 \sigma} d\xi_1 \int_0^\infty e^{-\xi_2 \sigma} d\xi_2 \dots \int_0^\infty e^{-\xi_k \sigma} \|T(\xi_1 + \xi_2 + \dots + \xi_k)\| d\xi_k \\ &\leq M \prod_{i=1}^k \int_0^\infty e^{-\xi_i \sigma} M^{\xi_i} d\xi_i = M[\sigma - \log M]^{-k}. \quad \text{Q. E. D.} \end{aligned}$$

3. We prove now the main theorem.

THEOREM 2. (i') *Let  $A$  be a closed linear unbounded operator on  $E$  into itself whose domain is dense in  $E$ . (ii') *There is a constant  $M \geq 1$  such that the spectrum of  $A$  is located in  $R(\lambda) = \sigma \leq \log M$ , and such that for  $\sigma > \log M$ ,**

$$\| [R(\sigma; A)]^k \| \leq M[\sigma - \log M]^{-k} \quad (k = 1, 2, \dots)$$

*where  $R(\sigma; A)$  is the resolvent of  $A$ . Then there exists a semi-group  $\{T(\xi); 0 \leq \xi < \infty\}$  such that  $T(\xi)$  satisfies the conditions (i)-(iii) and that  $A$  is its infinitesimal generator.*

We have, from the assumption (ii') of the above theorem,

$$(3) \quad \begin{aligned} & (A - \lambda I) R(\lambda; A) x = x, \quad x \in E, \\ & R(\lambda; A) (A - \lambda I) x = x, \quad x \in D[A], \end{aligned}$$

for all  $R(\lambda) = \sigma > \log M$ .

For the proof of this theorem<sup>2)</sup> we need the following lemmas.

LEMMA 1. *Under the assumption of Theorem 2,  $D[A^2]$  is dense in  $E$ .*

This result is due to E. Hille [1, Lemma 12.2.1].

LEMMA 2. *Under the assumption of Theorem 2, we have*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} A(-nR(n; A)y) = Ay, \quad y \in D[A^2].$$

PROOF. From the result (3) we have  $-nR(n; A)y = y - R(n; A)Ay$  for all  $z \in D[A^2]$ . Hence

$$A(-nR(n; A)y) = Ay - AR(n; A)Ay.$$

Since  $y \in D[A^2]$ ,  $Ay \in D[A]$ . Therefore we have  $AR(n; A)Ay = R(n; A)A^2y$ . Thus it follows that

$$\|A(-nR(n; A)y - Ay)\| \leq M(n - \log M)^{-1} \|A^2y\|. \quad \text{Q. E. D.}$$

PROOF OF THEOREM 2. Let us put

$$(4) \quad I_n = -nR(n; A), \quad n > \log M.$$

Then  $I_n$  is a bounded linear transformation from  $E$  into itself and

$$(5) \quad \|I_n^k\| = \|n^k [R(n; A)]^k\| \leq M[n(n - \log M)^{-1}]^k \quad (k = 1, 2, \dots),$$

$$(6) \quad AI_n x = n(I_n - I)x, \quad x \in E.$$

Since

$$\exp(\xi AI_n)x = \exp \xi n I_n \cdot \exp(-n\xi)x = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{[\xi n I_n]^k}{k!} \exp(-n\xi)x$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} (7) \quad \|\exp(\xi AI_n)\| & \leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} n^k \xi^k \frac{\|I_n^k\|}{k!} \exp(-n\xi) \leq M \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} \left( \frac{\xi n^2}{n - \log M} \right)^k \exp(-n\xi) \\ & = M \exp\left( \frac{\xi \log M}{1 - \frac{1}{n} \log M} \right). \end{aligned}$$

By the definition of  $T_n(\xi)$ , we have

$$(8) \quad T_n(\xi)x - x = \int_0^\xi T_n(\zeta) AI_n x d\zeta.$$

Since  $AI_n$  commute with  $T_m(\xi)$  for all integers  $m, n > \log M$ , it follows that

2) We wish to express our cordial thanks to Prof. K. Yoshida, who has kindly pointed us, that the proof of our Theorem 2 is similar to his investigation in K. YOSHIDA, On the differentiability and the representation of one-parameter semigroup of linear operators, Journ. Math. Soc. Japan, 1 (1948).

$$\begin{aligned}
\| [T_m(\xi) - T_n(\xi)] x \| &= \left\| \int_0^\xi \frac{d}{d\zeta} [\exp(\xi - \zeta) A I_n T_m(\zeta) x] d\zeta \right\| \\
&= \left\| \int_0^\xi [\exp(\xi - \zeta) A I_n] T_m(\zeta) (A I_m - A I_n) x d\zeta \right\| \\
&\leq M^2 \exp \left( \frac{\xi \log M}{1 - \frac{1}{n} \log M} + \frac{\xi \log M}{1 - \frac{1}{m} \log M} \right) \xi \| (A I_m - A I_n) x \|.
\end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2, we have

$$\lim_{m, n \rightarrow \infty} \| T_m(\xi)x - T_n(\xi)x \| = 0, \quad x \in D[A^2].$$

Thus, by (7) and Lemma 1, for all  $\xi > 0$  and all  $x \in E$

$$(9) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T_n(\xi)x$$

exists.

We shall now define  $T(\xi)$  as the limit (9). Then we have, by (7),

$$(10) \quad \| T(\xi) \| \leq M^{1+\xi}, \quad \xi > 0.$$

It is obvious that  $\{T(\xi); 0 \leq \xi < \infty\}$  satisfies the conditions (i) and (ii). By (8) and Lemma 2, we have

$$(11) \quad T(\xi)x - x = \int_0^\xi T(\zeta) A x d\zeta, \quad x \in D[A^2].$$

Thus it follows that  $\lim_{\xi \rightarrow 0} \| T(\xi)x - x \| = 0$  for  $x \in D[A^2]$ . By the Banach-Steinhaus theorem, we have the condition (iii). It follows from (11) that  $A$  is the infinitesimal generator of  $\{T(\xi); 0 \leq \xi < \infty\}$ . This completes the proof of Theorem 2.

Let us consider the assumption (1) of Theorem H. If  $\| R(\sigma; A) \| \leq 1/\sigma + \beta/\sigma^2$ , then  $\| R(\sigma; A) \| \leq (\sigma - \beta)^{-1}$  for  $\sigma > \beta$ . Hence  $\| [R(\sigma; A)]^k \| \leq (\sigma - \beta)^{-k} \leq e^\beta (\sigma - \beta)^{-k}$  for  $\sigma > \beta$ . Hence (1) implies (1'). Hence Theorem 2 implies Theorem H.

As a particular case of Theorem 2, we get

**THEOREM 3.** *Let  $\{T(\xi); 0 \leq \xi < \infty\}$  be a semi-group satisfying the assumptions (i)-(iii) and let  $\| T(\xi) \| \leq M$  for all  $\xi \geq 0$ . Then*

(a') *A is a closed linear unbounded operator on E into itself whose domain is dense in E;*

(b') *there is a constant  $M \geq 1$  such that the spectrum of A is located in  $\sigma = R(\lambda) \leq 0$  and such that for  $\sigma > 0$*

$$\| [R(\sigma; A)]^k \| \leq M \sigma^{-k} \quad (k = 1, 2, \dots),$$

*where  $R(\sigma; A)$  is the resolvent of A.*

*Conversely, let A be an operator satisfying (a') and (b'). Then there exists a semi-group  $\{T(\xi); 0 \leq \xi < \infty\}$  such that  $T(\xi)$  satisfies the condition (i)-(iii),*

$\|T(\xi)\| \leq M$  for all  $\xi \geq 0$  and that  $A$  is its infinitesimal generator.

By Theorem 1 and Theorem 2, we get a perfect solution of E. Hille's problem.

4. We shall extend above theorem to the  $n$ -parameter semi-group.

Let  $E_n$  be a real Euclidean space of  $n$ -dimension with usual metric and  $e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n$  be its independent unit vectors.

Let  $A_i$  be the infinitesimal generator of  $T(\xi e_i)$ . We have then the following theorem.

**THEOREM 4.** Let  $\{T(\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_n); 0 \leq \xi_1 < \infty, 0 \leq \xi_2 < \infty, \dots, 0 \leq \xi_n < \infty\}$  be an  $n$ -parameter semi-group satisfying the following conditions:

(ia)  $T(\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_n)$  is a bounded linear transformation from  $E$  into itself;

(iia)  $T(\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_n) \cdot T(\eta_1, \eta_2, \dots, \eta_n) = T(\xi_1 + \eta_1, \xi_2 + \eta_2, \dots, \xi_n + \eta_n);$   
 $T(0, 0, \dots, 0) = I$  (= the identity transformation),

(iiia)  $T(\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_n)$  tends to  $I$  strongly as  $(\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_n) \rightarrow (0, 0, \dots, 0)$ , but not uniformly. Then we have

(ib)  $A_i$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ) is a closed linear unbounded operator on  $E$  into itself whose domain is dense in  $E$ ;

(iib) there is a constant  $M \geq I$  such that the spectrum of  $A_i$  is located in  $R(\lambda) = \sigma \leq \log M$  and that, for all  $1 \leq i \leq n$ ,

$$\| [R(\sigma; A_i)]^k \| \leq M [\sigma - \log M]^{-k}, \quad \sigma > \log M \quad (k = 1, 2, \dots),$$

where  $R(\sigma; A_i)$  is the resolvent of  $A_i$ ;

(iiib)  $R(\sigma; A_i)R(\mu; A_j) = R(\mu; A_j)R(\sigma; A_i)$  for  $i, j = 1, 2, \dots$ .

Conversely, let  $A_i$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ) be operators satisfying the conditions (ib)-(iiib). Then there exists a semi-group  $\{T(\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_n); 0 \leq \xi_i < \infty, i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$  such that  $T(\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_n)$  satisfies the conditions (ia)-(iiia) and all the generators of  $\{T(\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_n); 0 \leq \xi_i < \infty, i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$  are of the form  $A = \sum_{k=1}^n \xi_k A_k$ .

For the proof, it is sufficient to note that  $\bigcap_{i=1}^n D[A_i^2]$  is dense in  $E$ , where  $D[A_i^2]$  denotes the domain of  $A_i^2$ . Then Theorem 4 is immediately obtained from Theorems 1 and 2.

5. We shall show, by examples, that the conditions (i)-(iii) do not imply  $\|T(\xi)\| \leq e^{\xi}$ .

**EXAMPLE 1.** Let  $E = L[(0, \infty); e^{-\sqrt{t}}]$  be the class of all measurable functions on  $(0, \infty)$  such that

$$\|x\| = \int_0^\infty |x(t)| e^{-\sqrt{t}} dt < \infty.$$

Then  $E$  is a  $(B)$ -space. Let  $\{T(\xi); 0 \leq \xi < \infty\}$  be the semi-group of right

translations on  $E$ . Then  $\{T(\xi); 0 \leq \xi < \infty\}$  satisfies the conditions (i)-(iii) and  $\|T(\xi)\| = e^{\sqrt{\xi}}$ . Hence  $T(\xi)$  does not satisfy the Hille's condition  $\|T(\xi)\| \leq e^{\beta\xi}$  for any  $\beta \geq 0$ .

We shall now show that the condition (i') of Theorem 2 can not be replaced by  $\|R(\sigma; A)\| \leq M/\sigma$ .

EXAMPLE 2. Let  $E_2^n$  ( $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ) be a sequence of two dimensional complex Euclidean spaces, where the norm of  $x_n = (y, z)$  in  $E_2^n$  is defined by  $\|x_n\| = (|y|^2 + n|z|^2)^{1/2}$ . By  $E$ , we denote the set of all sequences  $\{x_n \in E_2^n\}$  such that  $\sum \|x_n\| < \infty$ , with the norm  $\|\{x_n\}\| = \sum \|x_n\|$ . We now define a semi-group  $T_n(\xi)x_n = x'_n = (y', z')$  from  $E_2^n$  into  $E_2^n$  such that

$$y' = \exp[-(n + in^3)\xi](y \cos n\xi - z \sin n\xi),$$

$$z' = \exp[-(n + in^3)\xi](y \sin n\xi + z \cos n\xi).$$

It is obvious that  $\|T_n(\xi)\| \leq n^{1/2} \exp(-n\xi)$ . If we define a semi-group  $\{T(\xi); 0 < \xi < \infty\}$  from  $E$  into itself by  $T(\xi)\{x_n\} = \{T_n(\xi)x_n\}$ , then we have  $\|T(\xi)\| \leq (2e\xi)^{-1/2}$  and  $\{T(\xi); 0 < \xi < \infty\}$  is a semi-group satisfying the following conditions [2]:

(ic)  $T(\xi)$  is strongly measurable for  $\xi > 0$ .

(iic)  $\int_0^\infty \exp(-\lambda\xi) \|T(\xi)\| d\xi$  exists for  $\lambda > 0$ ,

(iiic)  $\lim_{\eta \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\eta} \int_0^\eta T(\xi)x d\xi = x$  for all  $x \in E$ ,

(ivc)  $\limsup_{\xi \rightarrow 0} \|T(\xi)\| = \infty$ .

From the conditions (ic)-(ivc), we can see that the infinitesimal generator  $A$  is a closed linear operator,  $D[A]$  is dense in  $E$  and that the spectrum of  $A$  is located in  $R(\lambda) = \sigma \leq 0$  [1, §11.8]. Conditions (iiic) means that  $T(\xi)$  is strongly (c,1)-ergodic at  $\xi = 0$ . Thus  $T(\xi)$  is strongly Abel-ergodic at  $\xi = 0$ . Hence there exists a constant  $M_0 > 0$  such that  $\sigma \|R(\sigma; A)\| \leq M_0$  for  $1 \leq \sigma < \infty$  [1, Theorem 14.7.3]. Since  $\|T(\xi)\| \leq (2e\xi)^{-1/2}$ , we have

$$\|\sigma R(\sigma; A)\| \leq \sigma \int_0^\infty e^{-\sigma\xi} (2e\xi)^{-\frac{1}{2}} d\xi = \sqrt{\frac{\pi\sigma}{2e}} \leq \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2e}} \quad \text{for } 0 < \sigma \leq 1.$$

Hence there exists a constant  $M > 0$  such that  $\sigma \|R(\sigma; A)\| \leq M$  for  $0 < \sigma < \infty$ . On the other hand, the condition (ivc) shows that  $T(\xi)$  does not imply the condition (iii).

#### BIBLIOGRAPHY

- [1] E. HILLE, Functional analysis and semi-groups, Amer. Math. Soc. Coll. Publ., New York, 1948.
- [2] R. S. PHILLIPS, A note on ergodic theory, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 2(1951).

WASEDA UNIVERSITY HIGH SCHOOL.